

# FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS

---

## *Cases and Materials*

SECOND EDITION

### **Andrea D. Lyon**

CLINICAL PROFESSOR OF LAW,  
ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR CLINICAL PROGRAMS  
DIRECTOR, THE CENTER FOR JUSTICE IN CAPITAL CASES  
DEPAUL UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW

### **Emily Hughes**

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LAW  
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS SCHOOL OF LAW

### **Mary Prosser**

CLINICAL ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF LAW  
FRANK J. REMINGTON CENTER  
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN LAW SCHOOL

### **Justin Marceau**

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, STURM COLLEGE OF LAW

Carolina Academic Press

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2011  
Andrea D. Lyon, Emily Hughes, Mary Prosser, and Justin Marceau  
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-59460-866-7  
LCCN 2010938806

Carolina Academic Press  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, NC 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919)493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

---

---

Foreword	
Preface	
Acknowledgments	

## PART I INTRODUCTION

<b>Chapter 1 · The Great Writ</b>	3
A. What Is Habeas Corpus?	3
B. When Is Habeas Corpus?	4
(1) The Stages of a Criminal Case	5
(2) The Three Phases of Review	5
C. Habeas in Context: The History and Evolution of the Writ	7
(1) The Origins of Habeas Corpus	8
(2) Habeas Corpus Recognized in the United States	8
<i>Frank v. Mangum</i>	9
Notes	15
(3) The Warren Era and the Habeas Trinity	15
<i>Brown v. Allen</i>	16
Notes	19
(4) The Anti-Warren Counter-Revolution	19
Finality in Criminal Law and Federal Habeas Corpus for State Prisoners	20
Notes	33
<b>Chapter 2 · Introduction to AEDPA</b>	35
A. When Do the Restrictions Announced in AEDPA Apply?	35
B. Statute of Limitations	36
Notes	37
C. 28 U.S.C. § 2254	38
Notes	41
(1) Making Sense of § 2254(d)	42
<i>Williams v. Taylor</i>	42
Notes	55
(2) “Clearly Established Federal Law”	56
<i>Carey v. Musladin</i>	56
Notes	60
(3) “Contrary to” and “Unreasonable Application of”	61
<i>Woodford v. Visciotti</i>	61
Notes	63

<b>Chapter 3 · The Statute of Limitations</b>	69
A. The One-Year Statute of Limitations	70
Notes	70
B. Statutory Tolling of the Statute of Limitations	72
<i>Pace v. DiGuglielmo</i>	72
<i>Lawrence v. Florida</i>	75
Notes	80
C. Equitable Tolling of the Statute of Limitations	81
<i>Holland v. Florida</i>	81
Notes	90

**PART II**  
**COGNIZABLE CLAIMS**

<b>Chapter 4 · When Is a Claim Cognizable?</b>	93
<i>Carafas v. LaVallee</i>	93
Notes	95
<i>Sibron v. New York, Peters v. New York</i>	96
Notes	99
<i>Lane v. Williams</i>	100
Notes	102
<i>The Conviction That Keeps on Hurting—Drug Offenders and Federal Benefits</i>	108
<b>Chapter 5 · Types of Cognizable Claims</b>	109
A. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	109
<i>Williams v. Taylor</i>	109
Notes	114
<i>Wiggins v. Smith</i>	115
Notes	123
B. Withholding Exculpatory Evidence	125
<i>Strickler v. Greene</i>	126
Notes	134
C. Politics and Race	138
(1) Politics	139
A Broken System: Error Rates in Capital Cases, 1973–1995	139
A Broken System, Part II: Why There Is So Much Error in Capital Cases, and What Can Be Done About It	140
Notes	144
(2) Race	145
<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	145
Notes	159
<i>Miller-El v. Cockrell</i>	160
Notes	174
<b>Chapter 6 · Limiting Access to Federal Review</b>	177
<i>Stone v. Powell</i>	177
Notes	183
<i>Kimmelman v. Morrison</i>	185
Notes	192
<i>Withrow v. Williams</i>	193
Notes	198

<b>Chapter 7 · Innocence</b>	201
<i>Herrera v. Collins</i>	202
Notes	211
<i>Schlup v. Delo</i>	215
Notes	222
<i>House v. Bell</i>	224
Notes	244
<i>District Attorney's Office for the Third Judicial District v. Osborne</i>	245
Notes	259
<i>In re Troy Anthony Davis</i>	263
Notes	266
Is Innocence Irrelevant? Collateral Attack on Criminal Judgments	268
Notes	276

## PART III

## ACCESS TO FEDERAL COURTS

<b>Chapter 8 · Exhaustion of State Remedies</b>	279
<i>Fay v. Noia</i>	280
Notes	288
<i>Rose v. Lundy</i>	290
Notes	296
<i>Granberry v. Greer</i>	298
Notes	300
<b>Chapter 9 · Procedural Default</b>	301
<i>Wainwright v. Sykes</i>	301
Notes	309
<i>Coleman v. Thompson</i>	311
Notes	325
<b>Chapter 10 · Successive Petitions</b>	327
<i>Sanders v. United States</i>	327
Notes	332
<i>Kuhlmann v. Wilson</i>	333
Notes	341
<i>McCleskey v. Zant</i>	342
Notes	352
<i>Felker v. Turpin</i>	353
Notes	359

## PART IV

## FEDERAL HABEAS PROCEDURE

<b>Chapter 11 · Litigating Questions of Fact</b>	363
A. The Habeas Rules	363
<i>Harris v. Nelson</i>	364
Notes	368
B. The Right to a Federal Hearing	369
<i>Townsend v. Sain</i>	370
Notes	380
<i>Keeney v. Tamayo-Reyes</i>	381
Notes	389

C. AEDPA's Role in Limiting Evidentiary Hearings and Requiring Deference to State Court Findings of Fact	390
<i>Michael Williams v. Taylor</i>	391
Notes	397
<i>Insyxiengmay v. Morgan</i>	398
Notes	403
<i>Schriro v. Landrigan</i>	404
Notes	410
<b>Chapter 12 · Litigating Questions of Deference: When AEDPA Doesn't Apply</b>	411
A. De Novo Review of the Merits of a Claim Permitted When State Court Denial of Relief Does Not Amount to an Adjudication on the Merits	411
B. De Novo Review of the Merits of a Claim Permitted When the State Court System Summarily Denies the Constitutional Claim	413
(1) There Need Be No Deference to Silent State Court Judgments	414
<i>Washington v. Schriver</i>	414
Note	416
Section 2254(d) of the Federal Habeas Statute: Is It Beyond Reason?	416
Note	417
(2) Complete Deference to Silent State Court Judgments	417
<i>Reid v. True</i>	417
Notes	418
C. Statutory Interpretation: Full and Fair Procedures	420
(1) No "Full and Fair" Requirement Implied by § 2254(d)(2)	420
<i>Valdez v. Cockrell</i>	420
Note	428
(2) "Full and Fair" Requirement Implied by § 2254(d)(2)	428
<i>Taylor v. Maddox</i>	428
Notes	435
(3) "Full and Fair" Requirement and Adjudication on the Merits	436
<i>Monroe v. Angelone</i>	437
Notes	438
<b>Chapter 13 · Harmless Error</b>	441
<i>Greer v. Miller</i>	442
Notes	448
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i>	448
Notes	459
<i>Brecht v. Abrahamson</i>	460
Notes	468
<i>Fry v. Pliler</i>	469
Notes	473
<b>Chapter 14 · Guantánamo Bay</b>	477
<i>Rasul v. Bush</i>	478
Notes	484
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	488
Notes	502
<i>Reflections from a Guantanamo Detainee Lawyer</i>	503
Notes	504