The Discipline of Law Schools
The Discipline of Law Schools
The Making of Modern Lawyers

Philip C. Kissam

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina
for Brenda, Jonathan

and Ariane
Contents

Acknowledgments ix
Introduction 3

Chapter One. Basic Practices 17
Elite and Non-Elite Law Schools 19
Professional Expectations 23
The Core Curriculum 25
Casebooks 31
The Case Method 37
Law School Examinations 50
The Institutions of Scholarship 58
The Placement Process 66

Chapter Two. Space and Time 71
Spatial Relationships 71
The Buildings 72
Amphitheatre Classrooms 73
Courtrooms and Clinics 77
Other Spaces 78
Temporal Relationships 81
Student Rhythms 81
Faculty Rhythms 85

Chapter Three. Disciplinary Techniques 93
Invisibilities 93
Coolness 96
Rituals, Symbols, Myths 99
The Examinatorial Regime 105
A Confessional Technology 113
The Discourse of Law Schools 117
Coda: The Pleasures of the Discipline

Chapter Four. Reform
  The Reform Tradition
  The Professional Reforms
    Legal Writing Programs
    Clinical Education
    Professional Ethics
  Academic/Political Movements
    Law and Economics
    The Law and Society Movement
    Law and Literature
    Critical Legal Studies
    Feminist Jurisprudence
    Critical Race Theory

Chapter Five. Foreign Relations
  The Diffuse Influence of Legal Practices
  Corporate Law Firms
  The Judicial System
  The Organized Bar
  The Research University
  The State and Culture

Chapter Six. Ethics
  Major Consequences of the Discipline
  Possible Selves, Possible Ethics
  The Ethics of a Dialogical Self
    The Moral Conversation
    Deconstructing the Discipline
    Reconstructions

Epilogue. Our Disciplinary Future

Index
Acknowledgments

I owe thanks first of all to Dwight Kiel, who introduced me to the work of Michel Foucault a long time ago and encouraged us “to get down into the trenches” in order to apply Foucault’s ideas to contemporary social institutions. My colleagues at the University of Kansas School of Law, Sandy McKenzie and Peter Schanck, read endless early drafts, provided helpful comments and other support in the rough and early going. John Covell, then at Yale Press, and two anonymous peer reviewers provided very substantial assistance in improving the manuscript. I am thankful also to many others for their helpful comments on this project. They include Richard Abel, Mike Davis, Kim Dayton, John Elson, Jay Feinman, Jerry Frug, Bryant Garth, Mike Hoeflich, Frank Levy, Allan Hanson, Lauren Hoopes, Rex Martin, Martha Minow, Jeremy Paul, Alexander Somek, Chris Suggs, Bill Staples, Carol Warren and Fred Woodward. The University of Kansas and University of Kansas School of Law provided research grants and a sabbatical to support this project. I am thankful as well to the publisher and editors of Carolina Academic Press, especially Bob Conrow, for their guidance and help in bringing this manuscript to publication.