

Current Studies in Archaeoastronomy

Current Studies in Archaeoastronomy

Conversations Across Time and Space

*Selected Papers from the
Fifth Oxford International Conference
at Santa Fe, 1996*

Edited by

John W. Fountain

and

Rolf M. Sinclair

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2003
John W. Fountain and
Rolf M. Sinclair
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 0-89089-771-9
LCCN

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
E-mail: cap@cap-press.com
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Introduction

John W. Fountain and Rolf M. Sinclair

Section 1

Worldwide Archaeoastronomy

- 1 The Nature of Archaeoastronomy: Archaeoastronomy and the Oxford Conferences 3
Rolf M. Sinclair
- 2 The Universe in a Cultural Context: An Essay 15
George J. Gumerman and Miranda Warburton
- 3 Cultural Aspects of Neo-Catastrophism: Implications for Archaeoastronomy 25
Benny J. Peiser
- 4 Astronomical Omens 37
Bradley E. Schaefer
- 5 Black Suns and Dark Times: Cultural Responses to Solar Eclipses in the Ancient Puebloan Southwest 47
W. Bruce Masse and Robert Soklow
- 6 Different Astronomies, Different Cultures and the Question of Cultural Relativism 69
Stephen C. McCluskey
- 7 Interpreting Rock Art: European and Anasazi Representations of Spirituality 81
Richard L. Poss

Section 2

Archaeoastronomy in the United States (Particularly in the US Southwest)

- 8 A Database of Rock Art Solar Markers 101
John Fountain
- 9 Consistent Forms of Solstice Sunlight Interaction with Petroglyphs throughout the Prehistoric American Southwest 109
Robert A. Preston and Ann L. Preston
- 10 Fern Cave Rock Paintings at Lava Beds National Monument, California: Not the AD 1054 Supernova 121
R. A. Armitage, M. Hyman, M. W. Rowe, J. R. Southon, and C. Barat
- 11 A Cultural Interpretation of an Astronomical Calendar (SITE #WS 833) at Wupatki National Monument 133
Bryan C. Bates

12	The Shaw Butte Hilltop Site: A Prehistoric Hohokam Observatory <i>Todd W. Bostwick and Stan Plum</i>	151
13	A Sunrise Calendar at a Kayenta Anasazi Village <i>Von Del Chamberlain, William R. Latady and Todd Prince</i>	161
14	Calendric Investigations of a Complex Petroglyph Panel at a Gila River Archaeological Site in Arizona <i>Tom Hoskinson</i>	169
15	A Petroglyph Panel of Astronomical Significance <i>R. P. Olowin</i>	181
16	Feathered Stars and Scalps in Pueblo IV <i>Polly Schaafsma</i>	191
17	Evidence for Early Navajo Horizon Astronomy in Chaco Canyon <i>Carol W. Ambruster and Tony Hull</i>	205
18	Tracking Stars in Diné'tah: Astronomical Symbolism in Gobernador Phase Rock Art <i>Von Del Chamberlain and Hugh C. Rogers</i>	221
19	Celestial Imagery in Lakota Culture <i>Mark Hollabaugh</i>	243
20	Effigy Mound Archaeoastronomy <i>Frank D. Stekel</i>	261

**Section 3
Central and South America**

21	A Lunar Origin for the Mesoamerican Calendars of 20, 13, 9, and 7 Days <i>Martha J. Macri</i>	275
22	2000 Years of Continuity in Astronomical Symbols from Monte Albán to the Aztec Stone at Tenochtitlán <i>R. Robert Robbins</i>	289
23	Jupiter in Classic and Postclassic Maya Art <i>Susan Milbrath</i>	301
24	Analysis of the Nazca Spirals <i>Phyllis Burton Pitluga</i>	331
25	Inti, the Condor and the Underworld: The Archaeoastronomical Implications of the Newly Discovered Caves at Machu Picchu, Peru <i>James S. Westerman</i>	339
26	The Astronomical Significance of a Procession, a Pilgrimage and a Race in the Calendar of Cuzco <i>R. Tom Zuidema</i>	353

**Section 4
Europe, Asia Minor, and Egypt**

27	Elements of Greek Astronomy and Religion in Minoan Crete <i>Goren Henriksson and Mary Blomberg</i>	371
----	---	-----

28	Scratching the Surface of Astronomy in the Land of the Bible: Archaeology, Texts, and Astronomy <i>Sara L. Gardner</i>	393
29	Bedroom Politics and Celestial Sovereignty <i>E.C. Krupp</i>	413
30	The Decans of Ancient Egypt: Timekeepers for Worship, or Worshiped Beyond Time? <i>Kurt Locher</i>	429
31	Metal Artifacts As a Mirror of Ancient Georgian Astronomical World View <i>Irakli Simonia and Tsitsino Simonia</i>	435
32	The Equinox in Pre-Hispanic Canary Islands <i>César Esteban, Juan A. Belmonte, and María A. Perera Betancor</i>	441
33	Hunting the European Sky Bears: A Proto-European Vision Quest to the End of the Earth <i>Roslyn Frank</i>	455
34	Solar Eclipses and Encke's Comet on Swedish Rock Carvings <i>Göran Henriksson</i>	475
35	Simon Ushakov's Icon "The Archangel Michael Trampling the Devil Underfoot" (1676) and Archangel's Fiery Sword in the Russian Iconographic Tradition in the Context of Bright Comets' Appearances <i>Petko Nedialkov</i>	487
Section 5		
Far East and Australia		
36	Characteristics of Field Allocation (fenye) Astrology in Early China <i>David W. Pankenier</i>	499
37	Canton at the Tropic of Cancer <i>Bella C. Chiu</i>	515
38	Discoveries in Aboriginal Sky-Mapping (Australia) <i>Hugh C. Cairns</i>	523
Section 6		
Education and Archaeoastronomy		
39	Archaeoastronomy in the Core Curriculum <i>Sarah M. Nelson and Robert E. Stencel</i>	541
40	Ancient Cosmology Resource Center <i>Bryan Penprase</i>	549
41	Archaeoastronomical Research and Public Education in a Digistar Computer-Generated Planetarium <i>Carolyn Sumners and Alan Knox</i>	555
Appendix	Oxford V Conference Final Program	571

Introduction

John W. Fountain
Tucson, Arizona

Rolf M. Sinclair
Chevy Chase, Maryland

The “Oxford” Meetings

It is a pleasure to introduce this volume of papers presented at the 1996 meeting on “Cultural Aspects of Astronomy”, held at St. John’s College in Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, August 3–9, 1996. This was the fifth in a series of “Oxford Conferences on Archaeoastronomy” and the first held in the US Southwest, and got the working name “Oxford V”.

The Oxford Conferences are a series of triennial meetings that have focused on the role that astronomical phenomena have played in human societies, ranging from the applied (such as the basis for calendrics and orientations) to the ceremonial (the significance given the “ritual landscape” of the sky). These conferences serve as a meeting place for those working in anthropology, archaeology, history and prehistory, archaeoastronomy, and other studies of human cultures, who share a common interest in the importance of astronomical phenomena (or “sky-watching”) to traditional societies of the past and present. The emphasis of these meetings is on the reaction of human societies to these astronomical phenomena as part of the worldview of each society. The astronomical phenomena are universal, while the reactions to them are part of (and unique to) each culture.

The first of these meetings (called in retrospect “Oxford I”) was held at Oxford University (England) in 1981, and was the first major international conference on archaeoastronomy. Further ones have converged on a three-year interval—Oxford II in Mexico (1986), Oxford III in Scotland (1990), Oxford IV in Bulgaria (1993), and then Oxford V in Santa Fe. We note that Oxford VI (1999) has just come to a very successful end in the Canary Islands, and the time and place of Oxford VII will be announced soon.

This series of “Oxford” meetings and the publications that have come out of them show clearly the powerful effect that simply getting like-minded people together for a week to compare notes and ideas can have on a field of study. Prior to Oxford I there had been only regional and specialized meetings on various aspects of past astronomical practices. Oxford I brought together this past work as well

as newcomers to the field, and helped define a more general study of archaeoastronomy. The later meetings built on this beginning and explored the variety of research in this area.

Through the Oxford meetings, many from a variety of disciplines have come to realize the importance of astronomical phenomena in the development and even the daily life of peoples throughout the world. This has led to a broader knowledge of past cultures, and some understanding of the motivations that led to the traditions, constructions and artifacts by which we now know them.

The Nature of Oxford V

Oxford V was the first meeting of this series to be announced on the World Wide Web at <<http://www.lpl.arizona.edu/oca/oxv.htm>>. Also, it was the first to be publicized widely in professional circles in archaeology and anthropology. The result was a larger and more varied attendance, and a broader range of topics discussed, than had been the case at the earlier meetings. Astronomers made up a third of the attendees, and archeologists and anthropologists another third. The remaining third were distributed among a number of disciplines (Gumerman and Warburton, this volume, Table I.). There was unfortunately only one person from religious studies—this is an area that future meetings could contact profitably. Another result was that Oxford V avoided becoming a regional meeting—papers dealing with the US Southwest were in the minority, and most presentations dealt with other parts of the World. The Oxford meetings are now established internationally through a wide range of disciplines, and this continued at Oxford VI.

As usual, the attendees define a meeting. Get enough good people there and it works. By publicizing the meeting widely and accepting the great majority of applicants, we let Oxford V define itself (and archaeoastronomy) through the interests of the attendees rather than set up *a priori* guidelines. This allows the subject areas of the meetings to evolve with time. The attendees are listed in an appendix, as are the meeting program and the list of poster papers presented. We note that about half the presentations at the meeting were in the form of posters. This allowed for fewer and longer talks, and more time for discussion. Each lot of posters was on display for three days. Specific times each day were set aside for the posters, with no competition from talks or other events, so attendees could all view them. The poster style of presentation worked well at Oxford V, and allowed more time for discussion of each than would have been possible had it been given as a talk. The papers in this volume are based equally on talks and posters presented at Oxford V.

Santa Fe was the clear choice for the site of Oxford V in the United States. It is a fascinating town (“The City Different”) ringed by mountains in the lovely high plateau country of New Mexico. It is easily accessible, yet avoids the unpleasant sprawl and summer heat of the major cities of the Southwest. It brings together several threads of history—the Spanish and then Mexican rule of the area, starting in the 16th century, followed by the 19th century acquisition by the United States—while around it is the living Indian Pueblo world which derives from the complex prehistoric Pueblo cultures that are proving to have had a rich astronomical tradition. The surrounding area bears witness to many millennia of human activity.

The meeting was held on the campus of St. John's College, with magnificent views down to Santa Fe and across the Rio Grande to Los Alamos and the Jemez Mountains. The main lecture hall held the conference comfortably, and opened onto the poster area where a bar was set up each evening at "poster time". The attendees stayed in the dormitories and ate together in the main dining room, letting us all enjoy a collegial atmosphere for the meeting. One day was spent on a visit to the world-famous silver and pottery collections at the School of American Research in Santa Fe, and on a trip to the Comanche Gap petroglyph field near Lamy. After the meeting, a number of attendees went on a three-day guided tour of the archaeological riches of Chaco Canyon (the Chaco Culture National Historic Park) (<http://www.nps.gov/chcu/>).

The petroglyph on the cover of this volume was suggested by Polly Schaafsma as the identifying symbol of Oxford V. It was used on the poster and on the Web Site (<http://www.lpl.arizona.edu/oca/pet.htm>) that announced the meeting, and on the lovely commemorative T-shirt that Abbie Morris designed for us (as seen in the Oxford V group picture at <http://www.lpl.arizona.edu/oca/group400.htm>). This glyph is located in the Petroglyph National Monument in New Mexico (<http://www.nps.gov/petr/>), across the Rio Grande from Albuquerque. It is one of several in that area that Polly interprets as Venus glyphs (Schaafsma, this volume).

Acknowledgments

The success of Oxford V was due to the work of many people. We would first like to single out Ray and Ruby White and Suzy Chippindale for praise for actually making the meeting happen day by day. Mike Zeilik made Oxford V known internationally through the World Wide Web and the posters. In addition to them, the others on the Organizing Committee—George Gumerman, Ed Krupp, Bill Longacre, Steve McCluskey, Peter Pesic, and Ray Williamson—played important and sometimes vital roles in the planning of the meeting. We are grateful to Joe Shaffer and Irene Sadler and the staff of St. John's College for being our hosts and for making our stay at the College so pleasant.

Doug Schwartz and Duane Anderson of the School of American Research are to be thanked for inviting us to visit their world-famous collections. Henry Singleton graciously allowed us to visit the Comanche Gap site on the San Cristóbal Ranch. J. D. Arnold of Rocky Mountain Tours, Santa Fe, did a splendid job of supplying transportation and very comfortable life support for the trip to Chaco Canyon. Dave Phillips and Steve Lekson served ably as our guides in Chaco, and made our trip both instructive and enjoyable.

And a final thanks to all who came to Oxford V and made the meeting worth attending.

References

Gumerman, George and Miranda Warburton, this volume.
Schaafsma, Polly, this volume.

