








# **LAW, SOCIAL SCIENCE, AND THE CRIMINAL COURTS**







# LAW, SOCIAL SCIENCE, AND THE CRIMINAL COURTS

ALISA SMITH, JD, PH.D.

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
Durham, North Carolina



Copyright © 2004  
Alisa Smith  
All Rights Reserved.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Smith, Alisa, 1963–

Law, social science, and the criminal courts / by Alisa Smith.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-89089-518-X

1. Criminal law—Social aspects—United States. 2. Criminal Procedure—  
Social aspects—United States. 3. Criminal courts—United States. 4. Law and  
the social sciences—United States. I. Title.


KF9219.S62 2003

345.73'05—dc22

2003061088

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
E-mail: cap@cap-press.com  
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America.






*In memory of my grandparents,*

*Emil and Jennie Danella*

*Dedicated to my children, Skyler Marie and Alec Emile*

*and their cousins, Cari, Margaret, Elena, Ashley,  
Megan, Kaitlin, Kacey, Cody, and Kyra*



# CONTENTS

## SECTION I: INTRODUCTION

<b>Chapter 1 The History of Social Science in the Law</b>	3
Muller v. Oregon	10
Brown v. Board of Education	14
T. Meares and B. Harcourt, Foreword: <i>Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology</i> 90: 733.	17
<b>Chapter 2 Social Science as Evidence in Court</b>	23
L. Walker and J. Monahan, Social frameworks: A new use of social science in law 73 <i>Va Law Rev.</i> 559.	30
<b>Chapter 3 The Legal Science of Social Science</b>	43
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals,	47
Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael	55

## SECTION II: PRE-TRIAL ISSUES

<b>Chapter 4 Police Stops and Seizures</b>	59
New Jersey v. Soto	66
U.S. Department of Criminal Justice (1998), Criminal victimization and perceptions of community safety in 12 cities, Washington DC: NIJ.	75
<b>Chapter 5 The Exclusionary Rule</b>	83
United States v. Leon	89
<b>Chapter 6 Pretrial Publicity and Cameras in the Courtroom</b>	111
Sheppard v. Maxwell	119

- C. Lassiter(1996). The appearance of justice:  
TV or not TV—That is the question.  
*Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* 86: 938 136

### SECTION III: TRIAL ISSUES

- Chapter 7 Right to Counsel** 153  
Strickland v. Washington, 162  
C.W. Harlow (2000), Defense Counsel in Criminal Cases.  
Washington DC: United States Department of Justice 172
- Chapter 8 Six Persons, a Fair Cross-section, and nullification—  
The Right to a Jury Trial.** 189  
Ballew v. Georgia, 197  
Batson v. Kentucky 204  
United States v. Dougherty 211  
I. Horowitz, N.L. Kerr and K.E. Niedermeier (Summer 2001).  
Jury nullification: Legal and psychological perspectives  
Brooklyn Law Review. Volume 66, page 1207. 218
- Chapter 9 Eyewitness and Mistaken Identifications** 237  
Neil v. Biggers 242  
State v. Chapple 248  
State v. Butterfield 259
- Chapter 10 Miranda and False Confessions** 267  
Miranda v. Arizona 274  
United States v. Hall 283  
R.A. Leo and R.J. Ofshe,  
The Consequences of false confessions: Deprivations of  
liberty and miscarriages of justice in the age of  
psychological interrogation.  
*The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* 88: 429. 288

### SECTION IV: SENTENCING ISSUES

- Chapter 11 Future Dangerousness and the Offender** 301  
Smith v. Doe 309

viii CONTENTS




D. Post. Preventive victimization: Assessing future dangerousness in sexual predators for purposes of indeterminate civil commitment.	318
Simmons v. South Carolina,	327
J.H. Blume, S.P. Garvey, and S.L. Johnson: Future dangerousness in capital cases: Always at issue.	332
<b>Chapter 12 The Death Penalty</b>	341
McCleskey v. Kemp	346
D.C. Baldus, G. Woodworth, D. Zuckerman, N. Weiner and B. Broffitt. Racial discrimination and the death penalty in the post-Furman era: An empirical and legal overview, with recent findings from Philadelphia. <i>Cornell Law Review</i> 83: 1638	358
<b>Index</b>	377










## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe a special thanks to Bob Conrow for his encouragement and Reuben Ayres for his assistance in the production of this book. I appreciate the early reviews of this manuscript by Dr. David Neubauer and the willingness of the publishing companies and authors to allow their work to be included in this book. I also must thank Laurens Walker and John Monahan because their work, cited throughout this book, inspired the creation of this undergraduate text. Finally, I thank my husband, Rene Ramos. It is his support and love that allows me to pursue my dreams.







# **LAW, SOCIAL SCIENCE, AND THE CRIMINAL COURTS**

