**BALANCE OF FORCES** 

# **BALANCE OF FORCES**

Separation of Powers Law in the Administrative State

Harold H. Bruff

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2006 Harold H. Bruff All Rights Reserved

## Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent St. Durham, NC 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

for Sherry and Annie who are everything to me

The government of the United States was ... a sort of unconscious copy of the Newtonian theory of the universe.... Every sun, every planet, every free body in the spaces of the heavens, the world itself, is kept in its place and rein ed to its course by the attraction of bodies that swing with equal order and precision about it, them selves govern ed by the nice poise and balance of forces which give the whole system of the universe its symmetry and perfect adjustment.

#### -Professor Woodrow Wilson

The actual art of governing under our Constitution does not and cannot conform to judicial definitions of the power of any of its branches based on isolated clauses or even single Articles torn from context. While the Constituti on diffuses power the better to secure liberty, it also contemplates that practice will integrate the dispersed powers into a work able government. It enjoins upon its branches separateness but interdependence, autonomy but reciprocity.

—Justice Robert Jackson

# Contents

Preface

xiii

#### Part One Introduction

Chapter One $\cdot$ Origins: A Brief Constitutional History	3
Atlantic Legacy	4
Government in Colonial America	10
Revolutionary State Governments	13
The Articles of Confederation	17
The Constitutional Convention	21
Expounding and Ratifying the Constitution	29
Chapter Two $\cdot$ The Federal Government at its Inception and Today	33
Congress	40
The Presidency	43
The Courts	45
The Interplay of Power	47
Chapter Three • Approaches to Legal Analysis	57
Text	57
Original Intent	59
Structure	63
Institutional Competence	64
Historical Practice	65
Values	67
An Interpretive Strategy for Separation of Powers Controversies	70
Preserve the Rule of Law by Requiring the Executive	
to Obey Statutes	73
Balance the Autonomy and Accountability of Each Branch,	
While Preserving the Rule of Law	75

x CONTENTS

Maintain the Separation of Legislative and Executive	
Personnel and Functions	75
Maintain the Overall Balance of Power Among	
the Branches	76

## Part Two Esta blishing and Maintaining the Rule of Law

Chapter Four $\cdot$ Judicial Enforcement of Constitutional and	
Statutory Duties	79
Marbury Establishes the Rule of Law	79
Methods for Obtaining Judicial Review of Executive Action	87
Chapter Five $\cdot$ The Outer Limits of Executive Power	93
Executive Action Without Statutory Authorization	94
The Limits of Implied Statutory Authority	102
The Executive's Duty to Comply with Statutory Limitations	106
Who Interprets the Constitution?	114
Synthesis: Executive Power After 9/11	121
Chapter Six $\cdot$ Statutory Empowerment of the Executive	127
The Delegation Doctrine	127
Implementation of Statutes by Presidents and Administrators	144
Executive Orders	154
Theories of Legislation and Statutory Interpretation	163

### Part Three

# Defining the Role of the Courts

Chapter Seven $\cdot$ Appointing and Empowering the Judiciary	173
Nomination and Confirmation of Judges	173
Statutory Control of Federal Jurisdiction	186
Allocations of the Judicial Power	195
Chapter Eight • Judicial Self-Restraint	203
Political Questions	205
Standing	207
Other Threshold Defenses	216

#### Part Four Fundamental Checks and Balances

Chapter Nine · Vetoes	221
The President's Veto	222
Legislative Vetoes	231
Chapter Ten • Money	245
Congressional Appropriation of Funds	245
Executive Spending Discretion	257
The Line-Item Veto	266

# Part Five

### The Autonomy of the Branches

Chapter Eleven · Congressional Autonomy	273
Exclusion and Expulsion	274
Term Limits	279
The Speech or Debate Privilege	280
Chapter Twelve • Immunities of Officers	291
Immunities of Executive Officers from Civil Liability	292
Judicial Immunities from Civil Liability.	304
Presidential Pardons	306

### Part Six

#### PROMOTING ACCOUNTABILITY

Chapter Thirteen · Impeachment	311
"High Crimes and Misdemeanors"	312
A Judicial Role?	327
Chapter Fourteen $\cdot$ Information and Accountability	331
Confidentiality in Congress and the Courts	331
Executive Privilege and the Courts	335
Executive Privilege and Congress	356
Chapter Fifteen · Congressional Power to Regulate Accountability	367
Regulating the Executive	367
Regulating the Judiciary	378
Congressional Self-Regulation	380

#### xii CONTENTS

#### Part Seven

#### Control of Administration by President and Congress

Chapter Sixteen · Executive Branch Appointments	389
The Appointments Clause and the Incompatibility Principle	390
Confirmation of Nominees	404
The Civil Service	408
Chapter Seventeen $\cdot$ Restricted Removals and the Independence	
of Agencies	411
The Power of Congress to Restrict Removal	411
Independent Prosecution	431
The Special Status of the Independent Agencies	441
Chapter Eighteen $\cdot$ Oversight of Policy by President and Congress	451
Congressional Oversight of Administration	452
The President's Power to Manage Administration	455

# Part Eight

### Conclusion

Chapter Nineteen · Changing the Rules:	
<b>Constitutional Amendments</b>	477
Adjustments to the Separation of Powers	477
Who Decides an Amendment's Validity?	479
The Convention Quandary	483
Chapter Twenty $\cdot$ The State of the Union	485
The Law of Separation of Powers in Operation	485
A Skeptical View of Constitutional Reform	490
Appendix $\cdot$ The Constitution of the United States	495
Table of Cases	

Index

# PREFACE

AlthoughWoodrow Wilson (in his professorial incarn at i on) may have been the first American to detect the resemblance of our constitutional system to Newtonian physics, he certainly was not the last.<sup>1</sup> I have borrowed his phrase "balance of forces" for the title of this book, because it captures the primary strategy that the framers of our Constitution employed as they invented a new structure of government. It is easy—but erroneous—to re ad Wilson's description (and Newton's system from which it is drawn) as automatic and selfcorrecting, a machine never in need of repair.<sup>2</sup> Newton himself stressed that the balances he identified were temporary and contingent, always vulnerable to the stray comet wandering in from deep space.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, Wilson stressed the need to understand the Constitution's balance of forces as the evolving product of our behavior and our history, and not the result of some mechanistic, ineluctable process:

[G] overnment is not a machine, but a living thing.... It is accountable to Darwin, not to Newton. It is mod i fied by its environment, necessitated by its tasks, shaped to its functions by the sheer pressure of life.... Fortunately, the definitions and prescriptions of our constitutional law ... are sufficiently broad and elastic to allow for the play of life and circumstance.... [T]he men who framed the federal Constitution ... have given us a thoroughly workable model. If it had in fact been a machine governed by mechanically automatic balances, it would have had no history; but it was not, and its history has been rich with the influences and personalities of the men who have conducted it and made it a livingreality. The government of the United States has had a vital and normal organic growth and has

<sup>1.</sup> Count me among them. Harold H. Bruff, The Federalist Papers: *The Framers Con*struct an Orrery, 16 Harv. J. L. & Pub. Pol'y 9 (1993).

<sup>2.</sup> I. Bernard Cohen, Science and the Founding Fathers ch. 5 & 308-13 (1995).

<sup>3.</sup> James Gleick, Isaac Newton 136-37 (2003).

xiv PREFACE

proved itself eminently adapted to express the changing temper and purposes of the American people from age to age.<sup>4</sup>

Wilson's analysis implies the central challenge that our Constitution has faced and (so far) surmounted. Confronted by human frailties, how can any constitution endure? The difficulty, of course, is to give the document life by ensuring that it does not state empty promises that an operating government can disregard at will. The survival of the American Constitution for over two centuries is wonderful in the eighteenth century sense of the term—generative of a sense of wonder. The archaic cadences of its text provide few clues to its durability. Today, the document is so interwoven with our history and our culture that we can no lon ger separate cause and effect in our relationship with it. Has the Constitution made us what we are, or have we made it what it is?<sup>5</sup>

These imponderables of ten lie near the surface in this book, which analyzes the law that governs the structure of the federal government. Our system of separated and balanced powers is so linked to our politics that it is often difficult to tell where politics ends and law begins. In deed, one of my primary tasks is to sketch the boundary between them. Hence a book about law must often discuss the practical operations of the government that is ruled by this body of law.

The framers of our Constitution quite consciously created a unique new structure of government.<sup>6</sup> One of its distinguishing features was the formation of separate branches of government having distinct functions. This idea was about a century old in 1787. The American innovation was to combine separation of powers with checks and balances that were designed to stabilize the entire edifice.<sup>7</sup> (The other American contribution, federalism, interacts with the system of separated and checked powers. It has a vast literature of its own and will play only a minor role here.)

The framers were acutely aware that previous republics had led short, unhappy lives. (Modern Americans can add many more examples to their list.)

<sup>4.</sup> Constitutional Government in the United States 56–57 (1908).

<sup>5.</sup> For some ruminations on this topic, see Michael Kammen, A Machine That Would Go Of Itself, The Constitution in American Culture (1986).

<sup>6.</sup> Some good introductory treatments of separation of powers are: Louis Fisher, Cons ti tuti onal Con flicts Bet ween Con gress and the President (4th ed. 1997); Wi lliam B. Gwyn, The Meaning of the Separation of Powers (1965); M.J.C. Vile, Constitutionalism and the Separati on of Powers (1967); Art hur T. Van derbilt, The Doctrine of the Separati on of Powers and Its Present-Day Significance (1953).

<sup>7.</sup> Gordon S. Wood, The Creation of the American Republic, 1776–1787 (1969); *see also* Forum, *The Creation of the American Republic*, 44 William & Mary Q. 550 (1987).

Hence the framers could only hope that their experiment satisfied Montesquied's maxim that a nation's laws must match the spirit of its people to endure.<sup>8</sup> Evidently that has been the case, for we have altered the original structure only in detail. Yet the paucity of formal constitutional amendments affecting the internal organization of the federal government is misleading, for two centuries of life and controversy have added a rich gloss to our spare constitutional text.

This book focuses on the constitutional structure of the federal government in the formation and execution of domestic policy. Conducting foreign policy and waging war raise constitutional issues that are fundamentally different from those of the domestic sphere, due to the imperatives of effective nati onal acti on and the presence of the President's independent constitutional powers in those realms. Moreover, these "external" activities of our government are comparatively free of legal constraints-although no one should think that they are en ti rely unguided by law.9 I also con fine my analysis mostly to administration of civil not criminal law. Separation of powers analysis should be responsive to its context, as the unique bodies of law concerning war and foreign policy demonstrate. Criminal law involves its own set of specific constitutional provisions, including the protections of individual rights set forth in the Bill of Rights. Its relation to separation of powers law, now of heightened interest in the wake of terrorist attacks on the United States, is in flux and merits its own extended treatment, which is now occurring in many places. I touch on it here, as it relates to my main themes.

Federal constitutional and administrative law can no longer be practiced by lawyers or understood by citizens without a grasp of separation of powers principles. The Supreme Court decided a series of landmark separation of powers cases in the last three decades of the twentieth century. Notwithstanding the Court's activity—or perhaps because of it—debate still surrounds many fundamental questions. Although there are clear answers to

<sup>8.</sup> This principle was so important to Montesquieu that it accounts for the title of his masterpiece, The Spirit of the Laws (1748). The standard modern translation is edited by Anne M. Cohler, Basia C. Miller, and Harold S. Stone (1989). Montesquieu thought the "spirit" of the laws was "a mixture of intentional human designs and of the deep circumstances which condition all the rules of a society." Judith Shklar, Montesquieu 69 (1987).

<sup>9.</sup> The classic discussion of the law of foreign affairs is Louis Henkin, Foreign Affairs and the United States Constitution (2d ed. 1996). *See also* H. Jefferson Powell, The President's Authority over Foreign Affairs(2002). For the relation of law to war, see Louis Fisher, Presidential War Power (1995); John Hart Ely, War and Responsibility (1993). For constituti onal history, see Abraham Sof aer, War, Foreign Affairs, and Constituti onal Powers: The Origins (1976).

#### xvi PREFACE

s ome questions, much room remains for spiri ted controversy as a polity more than two centuries old continues to define itself. Today, lawyers inhabiting all three branches of the federal government (and in the private sector as well) encounter these issues regularly. Also, because state governments incorporate separation of powers principles, state constitutional law of ten borrows concepts from federal doctrine.<sup>10</sup>

Our federal bu re a u c racy has grown so large , and affects so many aspects of our daily life, that lawyers commonly refer to it as the "administrative state." Yet no such term occurs anywhere in the Constitution, which discusses the three branches of government in some detail but says little to foreshadow today's thicket of federal agencies. Indeed, the term "administrative *state*" has vaguely Sovi et overtones of a consolidated, remote, and arbitrary government rather than a constitutional democracy. Such a notion would not, h owever, accurately describe our government, which is bounded by law on every side and linked to the elected officials in whom we repose temporary trust.

In over twenty years of teaching and writing about the separation of powers, I have found that the subject is a mystery to many persons who are otherwise sophisticated about American government. Too many of us retain a wooden conception, drawn from the numbing civics courses of our youth, of three grand branches exercising wholly different powers. To others, separation of powers seems a set of abstractions that, however dear to our bewigged forbears, have lost their relevance to modern American life. I hope to show that neither of these caricatures is accurate, and that separation of powers ideas are both intrinsic to our liberties and central to the operative nature of our government.

The first part of this book introduces the reader to three pervasive themes: the constitutional history of our system, the structure and nature of the federal government, and the available modes of legal analysis of separation of powers issues. Part Two introduces the central role of the courts in maintaining the rule of law by forcing the executive to obey statutory limits on its power. I then examine related issues concerning whether "inherent" executive power exists, how statutes both constrain and em power the executive, and how Presidents engage in lawmaking of their own. Part Three turns to controls on the courts that flow from congressional definition of their jurisdiction, presidential selection of their judges, and traditional doctrines that urge the courts to exercise self-restraint.

<sup>10.</sup> Harold H. Bruff, Separation of Powers Under the Texas Constitution, 68 Tex. L. Rev. 1337 (1990).

Having outlined the core relationships of the three branches, I canvass the matters of detail that furnish our system much of its richness. Part Four considers the two most important checks and balances: the President's veto and Congress's power of the purse. These devices create a stabilizing mutual dependency bet ween the two branches. Part Five explores the auton omy of each of the branches. Congress holds substantial power to control its own membership. Officers of all three branches possess important but limited immunities from civil damages for their actions. Part Six moves to thedelicate tradeoffs between autonomy and accountability of government officers. I examine how Congress exercises the ultimate impeachment power over members of the other two branches, how information about government activities promotes accountability, and how doctrines such as executive privilege shield some activities from our scrutiny.

Part Seven reviews the role of the elected branches in overseeing the bureaucracy. The President appoints and removes those who execute the law, but Congress som etimes restricts presidential powers of removal to form an independent agency. Both branches engage in vigorous oversight of policy formation in the bureaucracy, as they compete to control thead ministrative state. The concluding part begins by examining the role of constitutional amendments in altering the original design. Although few changes have occurred, the potential for amendment always looms in the background. Again, fundamental questions abound: for example, who should decide whether a proposed amendment has achieved ratification?

I finish by reviewing the state of the Union as it has evolved. I advocate no large changes in the Constitution, and on ly marginal changes in the legal doctrines that gloss it. It is important to distinguish transitory problems with our government, which can be corrected by legislation or by changes in our practices, from the more enduring problems we face. The latter, in my judgment, have more to do with the content of our character than that of our Constitution.