

# Mastering Civil Procedure

**Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series**

RUSSELL WEAVER, SERIES EDITOR

**Mastering Bankruptcy**

George W. Kuney

**Mastering Civil Procedure**

David Charles Hricik

**Mastering Criminal Law**

Ellen S. Podgor, Peter J. Henning, Neil P. Cohen

**Mastering Evidence**

Ronald W. Eades

**Mastering Intellectual Property**

George W. Kuney

**Mastering Legal Analysis and Communication**

David T. Ritchie

**Mastering Negotiable Instruments (UCC Articles 3 and 4)  
and Other Payment Systems**

Michael D. Floyd

**Mastering Products Liability**

Ronald W. Eades

**Mastering Statutory Interpretation**

Linda D. Jellum

# Mastering Civil Procedure

**David Charles Hricik**  
MERCER UNIVERSITY  
WALTER F. GEORGE SCHOOL OF LAW

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2008 David Charles Hricik  
All Rights Reserved.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Hricik, David Charles.

Mastering civil procedure / by David Charles Hricik.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-59460-263-4 (alk. paper)

1. Civil procedure--United States. I. Title.

KF8841.H75 2008

347.73'5--dc22

2008008285

Carolina Academic Press  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, NC 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

*For Abby*



# Contents

Series Editor’s Foreword	xxxv
Introduction and Acknowledgments	xxxvii
<b>Chapter 1 Courts Decide Claims</b>	<b>3</b>
Claim Roadmap	3
A. A Lawsuit Begins with a Client	3
B. What Is a “Claim?”	5
C. Affirmative Defenses Compared to Claims	7
D. What “Required” Means in the Adversary System: A Myth	8
Checkpoints	8
<b>PART A</b>	
<b>THE PLAINTIFF MUST PLEAD ONE CLAIM TO</b>	
<b>ANCHOR THE ACTION IN A FEDERAL COURT WHERE</b>	
<b>(1) SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION,</b>	
<b>(2) PERSONAL JURISDICTION, AND</b>	
<b>(3) VENUE ARE PROPER</b>	
<b>Chapter 2 The Preview of Part A of This Book</b>	<b>11</b>
A. The Three Requirements to Adjudicate an Anchor Claim:	
Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Personal Jurisdiction, and Venue	11
<b>Chapter 3 The Foundations of Subject Matter Jurisdiction</b>	<b>13</b>
Subject Matter Jurisdiction Roadmap	13
A. A Divided System of Government	13
B. Power to Resolve a Claim: Subject Matter Jurisdiction	14
C. Sources of Law: A Distinct Issue	17
Checkpoints	18
<b>Chapter 4 Federal Question and Diversity Subject Matter</b>	
<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>19</b>
Federal Question and Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction Roadmap	19
A. Does the Federal Court Have Power to Adjudicate the Claim?	20

1. Federal Question Subject Matter Jurisdiction	
under Section 1331	20
a. Federal Law Creates the Claim	23
i. The Typical Arising Under Federal Claim	23
ii. Complete Preemption of a State Law Claim Creates a Federal Claim	24
b. Plaintiff's State Law Claim Necessarily Turns on a Substantial Question of Federal Law	25
2. Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction	29
a. Section 1332 Requires Complete Diversity and a Minimum of \$75,000 be in Controversy	29
i. Section 1332(a) Requires Complete Diversity	30
(a) Determining Citizenship of a Party	31
(1) When Is Citizenship Determined?	31
(2) How Is Citizenship Determined?	32
aa. A Natural Person Is a Citizen of His State of Domicile	32
bb. Corporations are Citizens of both the State of Incorporation and the State of Principal Place of Business	34
cc. Citizenship of Other Business Entities	38
dd. Representative Actions: Citizenship of Real Party in Interest	40
ee. A Citizenship Chart	41
ii. Section 1332 Requires a \$75,000 Minimum Amount in Controversy	41
(a) When Is the Amount in Controversy Calculated?	41
(b) The Legal Certainty Test Applies to the Amount Pled by Plaintiff	42
(c) Multiple Claims, Multiple Plaintiffs, and the Amount in Controversy Requirement	45
(1) A Single Plaintiff May Aggregate Claims against a Single Defendant	46
(2) A Plaintiff Cannot Aggregate Claims against More Than One Defendant Unless There Is Joint Liability among the Defendants	46
(3) Multiple Plaintiffs May Not Aggregate Their Claims Unless They Are Pursuing a Unified Remedy	47



---

B. Must the Claim Be Filed in Federal Court?	48
1. Federal Question Claims	48
2. State Law Claims that Meet Diversity Requirements	49
Checkpoints	50
<b>Chapter 5 The Foundations of Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>51</b>
Personal Jurisdiction Roadmap	51
Checkpoints	55
<b>Chapter 6 Personal Jurisdiction: Long Arm Statutes, Minimum     Contacts, and Fair Play</b>	<b>57</b>
Personal Jurisdiction Roadmap	57
A. Generally a Claim-by-Claim Analysis	58
B. The Three Steps to Evaluate <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	58
1. A Long Arm Statute Must Authorize Service of Process	58
a. State Long Arm Statutes Authorize Either State or Federal Claims	59
b. Specific Federal Statutes Govern Some Federal Claims	62
2. Assertion of <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction Must Comply with Constitutional Limitations in the Due Process Clause	62
a. For State Claims or Federal Claims without Nationwide Service of Process, There Must Be Minimum Contacts between the Forum State and the Defendant; For Federal Claims with Nationwide Service of Process, the Defendant Must Have Minimum Contacts with the United States	64
b. Specific or General Personal Jurisdiction Must Exist	65
i. General Jurisdiction	67
ii. Specific Jurisdiction: “Sufficient” Contacts, a Relationship between the Claim and the Contacts, and Fairness	68
(a) Purposeful Availment or Direction	68
(b) Relationship between Claim and Contacts	73
3. Defendant Shows Even If There Are Minimum Contacts, Assertion of Personal Jurisdiction Would Be Unfair	73
C. Special Issues in <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	74
1. Corporate Defendant’s Contacts with the Forum	74
2. Does an Internet Website Count?	75
3. Service during Fleeting Physical Presence in the Forum Is Enough	77

4. Service on Residents	77
5. Express or Implied “Consent” to Personal Jurisdiction	77
6. Rule 4(k)(2) and Foreign Defendants	78
Checkpoints	79
<b>Chapter 7 <i>In Rem</i> and <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Jurisdiction</b>	<b>81</b>
<i>In Rem</i> and <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Jurisdiction Roadmap	81
A. The Three Types of <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	81
1. True <i>In Rem</i>	82
2. <i>Quasi In Rem</i>	83
a. <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Type 1	83
b. <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Type 2	84
B. The Same Standard for <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction Applies to <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	84
C. How Is Notice Given?	86
Checkpoints	86
<b>Chapter 8 The Foundations of Venue</b>	<b>87</b>
Venue Jurisdiction Roadmap	87
Checkpoints	90
<b>Chapter 9 Proper and Improper Venue</b>	<b>91</b>
Proper and Improper Venue Roadmap	91
A. Determining Proper Venue	91
1. Venue over a Claim in a Complaint without Any Federal Claim	92
2. Venue over a Claim in a Complaint with at Least One Federal Claim	93
3. The General Venue Statute in a Chart	94
B. Venue Based on Defendant’s Residence	95
1. Single Defendant Cases	95
2. Determining “Residences” for Purposes of Venue	96
a. Residences of Natural Persons	96
b. Residences of Corporations	96
c. Residences of Other Entities Such as Partnerships	97
C. Venue Based on Where “Substantial Part” of the Action Arose	98
1. Part of the Events or Omissions	98
2. What Does “Substantial Part” Mean?	99
D. Venue Based on the Catch-All Subsections	100
E. Establishing Proper Venue Based on Other Federal Statutes	101

---

F. There Must Be Proper Venue, or Pendent Venue, Over Each Claim of a Plaintiff	101
Checkpoints	102
<b>Chapter 10 Removal: What If Plaintiff Filed in State Court a Claim Over Which a Federal Court Would Have Subject Matter Jurisdiction?</b>	<b>103</b>
Removal Roadmap	103
A. The Foundations of Removal	104
B. <i>When</i> Is a Case Removable?	104
C. Is the Case Removable?	105
1. Removal on the Basis of Federal Question	106
a. Single Claim State Court Complaints	106
b. Federal Claim Joined with Non-Removable State Claim or Claims	106
2. Removal on the Basis of Removal-Type Diversity	107
a. Citizenship of Fraudulently Joined Defendants Is Ignored	108
i. Fraudulent Jurisdictional Allegations	109
ii. No Possibility of Recovery	109
iii. Fraudulent Procedural “Misjoinder”	110
iv. The Trump Card: The Forum-Defendant Rule	111
b. Determining the Amount in Controversy	112
i. Plaintiff Pleads No Specific Amount	112
ii. Plaintiff Pleads Less than \$75,000	112
iii. Plaintiff Seeks Injunctive Relief	113
D. Deadlines, Waiver by Conduct, and Procedure for Removal	113
1. Deadlines	113
a. The 30 Day Deadline	113
i. Initial Pleading: What Is “Receipt”?	114
ii. Subsequent Papers: “Receipt”	115
b. One Year Deadline in Diversity Cases	117
2. Waiver by Conduct of Right to Remove	118
3. Procedure for Removal	119
a. Consent of All Properly Joined and Served Defendants	119
b. Required Filings	119
E. Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand to State Court	120
1. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs	121
F. Removed or Remanded: What Next?	121
G. Post-Removal Actions that Destroy Subject Matter Jurisdiction	122

H. Limited Appealability of Orders Granting or Denying Remand	122
1. Orders Granting Remand	123
2. Orders Denying Remand	124
I. Removal by Parties Other Than Defendants	125
Checkpoints	125
<b>Chapter 11 The Preview of Part B of This Book</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Chapter 12 The Plaintiff's Pre-Suit Investigation Required by Rule 11</b>	<b>129</b>
Plaintiff's Pre-Suit Investigation Roadmap	129
A. The Purpose of Rule 11 Is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Court Papers	129
B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint Is Covered by Rule 11	130
C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11	130
1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry	130
2. The Other Four Implied Representations	132
a. No Improper Purpose	132
b. No Frivolous Legal Arguments or Claims (or Defenses)	132
c. No Unfounded Allegations	132
d. No Unfounded Denials of Allegations	133
e. What Must be Investigated?	133
f. Examples with Explanations	133
Checkpoints	134
<b>Chapter 13 The Complaint</b>	<b>135</b>
Complaint Roadmap	135
A. Historical Role of Pleadings	135
B. The Modern Limited Role of Pleadings	136
C. The Requirements for Pleadings	138
1. Technical Requirements	138
2. Substantive Requirements	139
a. Short and Plain Statement of the Court's Jurisdiction	139
b. Short and Plain Statement of the Claim	139
i. Inconsistent Pleadings	141
ii. Pleading Unsupported Allegations	142
iii. Rule 9(b) as an Exception	142
c. Demand for Relief	143
D. Who Must Be the Named Plaintiff and the Named Defendant?	144
E. Examples and Forms	145

---

F. Corporate Disclosure Statement and Civil Cover Sheet	147
G. Conclusion	147
Checkpoints	148
<b>Chapter 14 Preview of the Four Steps to Analyze Joinder of Claims and Parties</b>	<b>149</b>
A. The Anchor Claim	150
B. The Four Steps for Analyzing Joining Each Additional Claim against Each Party	151
<b>Chapter 15 Joinder Step One: Authority in a Rule for Joining the Party or the Claim</b>	<b>153</b>
A. Introduction to the Concept of Authority	153
<b>Chapter 16 Authority to Join Claims</b>	<b>157</b>
Authority to Join Claims Roadmap	157
A. Joinder of Claims by a Plaintiff	157
1. Joinder of a Claim by the Plaintiff against the Defendant	158
a. Permissive Joinder	158
b. Mandatory Joinder of Claims by Plaintiffs	161
B. Joinder of Claims by a Defendant against an Existing Plaintiff	161
1. Compulsory Counterclaims	162
2. Permissive Counterclaims	166
3. Impact of Rule 18(a)	166
C. Procedure For Joining Claims or Counterclaims	167
Checkpoints	167
<b>Chapter 17 Authority to Join Parties</b>	<b>169</b>
Party Joinder Roadmap	169
A. Joinder of Parties by Plaintiffs	169
1. Permissive Joinder of Parties by Plaintiffs	169
a. Permissive Joinder of Co-Plaintiffs by a Plaintiff	170
i. What Is the “Same Transaction or Occurrence”?	170
ii. What Constitutes a “Common Question of Law or Fact”?	171
b. Permissive Joinder of Co-Defendants by a Plaintiff	171
2. Mandatory Joinder of Parties	172
a. How Rule 19 Typically Gets Litigated	172
b. Rule 19: Three Steps with a Venue-Related Twist	173
i. Is the Person a “Necessary” Party?	174

(a) Parties Who Are Necessary to Accord Complete Relief to Existing Parties	174
(b) Parties Who Claim an Interest and Are Necessary to Join	175
ii. If the Person Is Necessary, Joinder Must Be Ordered if Personal and Subject Matter Jurisdiction Exist	176
(a) Joinder Can't Be Ordered If Personal or Subject Matter Jurisdiction Is Lacking, but Must Be Ordered Even If Venue Is Improper	177
iii. Determining Whether a Necessary Person Is Indispensable	178
B. Joinder of Parties by Defendants	182
1. Joining a Party to a Counter- or Cross-Claim	182
2. Third Party Defendants: Impleader	184
a. Scope of Rule 14(a)	184
b. Time for Filing and Serving	186
c. Service of the Third Party Complaint	187
3. Joinder of Additional Claims Under Rule 18(a)	187
Checkpoints	187
<b>Chapter 18 Multiple Parties Allow Joinder of Even More Claims and Parties</b>	<b>189</b>
Multiple Parties Roadmap	189
A. Crossclaims against Co-Parties and Joinder of Parties to Crossclaims	189
B. Rule 14: Fourth Party Defendants and Beyond	190
C. Misjoinder, Severance, and Separate Trials	191
Checkpoints	192
<b>Chapter 19 Interpleader: A Nonparty Creates a Suit between Two Others</b>	<b>193</b>
Interpleader Roadmap	193
A. What the Two Kinds of Interpleader Share	193
B. Statutory Interpleader	194
C. Rule Interpleader	194
D. Adjudication of Interpleader Actions	195
Checkpoints	195
<b>Chapter 20 Intervention: The Only Way a Person Can Force Itself into a Lawsuit between Other Parties</b>	<b>197</b>
Intervention Roadmap	197

A. Intervention	197
1. Intervention as of Right	198
a. Rule 24(a)(1): Unconditional Statutory Right	198
b. Rule 24(a)(2): Rule-Based Right	198
2. Permissive Intervention	199
a. Rule 24(b)(1): Conditional Statutory Right	199
b. Rule 24(b)(2): Rule-Based Conditional Right	200
C. Impact of Intervention on Subject Matter Jurisdiction	200
D. Appellate Review	200
Checkpoints	200
<b>Chapter 21 Joinder Step Two: Original or Supplemental Subject Matter Jurisdiction Must Exist</b>	<b>201</b>
Joinder Step Two Roadmap	201
A. Is There Original Jurisdiction over the Additional Claim?	202
B. Is There Supplemental Jurisdiction over the Additional Claim?	202
1. Step One: Is the Additional Claim Part of the Same “Case or Controversy” As an Anchor Claim?	204
2. Step Two: Even If It Is Part of the Same “Case or Controversy” and Is Not “Contaminated” Does Section 1367(b) Preclude Supplemental Jurisdiction over the Additional Claim?	207
a. Is There at Least One Federal Question Anchor Claim?	208
i. What’s Not Excluded by Section 1367(b)?	209
(a) Nothing Is Excluded If There Is at Least One Federal Question Anchor Claim	209
(b) No Claim by a Defendant or a Party Sought to Be Joined as Defendants is Excluded	209
(c) No Claim by a Single Plaintiff against a Single Defendant Is Excluded	209
ii. What Is Excluded?	210
3. Step Three: Does the District Court Have Discretion to Decline to Exercise Supplemental Jurisdiction over the Claim?	210
a. The Four Triggers to Exercise of Discretion in the Subparagraphs of Section 1367(c)	211
i. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Raises a Novel or Complex Issue of State Law	212
ii. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Substantially Predominates over the Claim or Claims over Which the District Court Has Original Jurisdiction	212

iii. Dismissal of the Additional Claims after the District Court Has Dismissed All Claims over Which It Had Original Jurisdiction	213
iv. Dismissal of an Additional Claim When, in Exceptional Circumstances, There Are Other Compelling Reasons for Declining Jurisdiction	213
b. If One Subparagraph Is Met: What Informs Discretion?	214
B. What Happens If the Court Does Dismiss the Additional Claim?	214
Checkpoints	215
<b>Chapter 22 Joinder Step Three: Personal or Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Exist over Each Claim</b>	<b>217</b>
Joinder Step Three Roadmap	217
A. Is There Regular Personal Jurisdiction over the Claim?	218
B. Is There Pendent Personal Jurisdiction over the Additional Claim?	218
C. Assertion of Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Comport with Due Process	220
Checkpoints	220
<b>Chapter 23 Joinder Step Four: Venue or Pendent Venue Must Exist over Most, but Not All, Claims</b>	<b>221</b>
Joinder Step Four Roadmap	221
A. Is Venue Proper under the “Regular” Analysis?	221
B. Is There Venue, Pendent Venue, or Is Venue Unnecessary over the Claim?	222
1. Exceptions to the Requirement of Proper Venue	222
a. Venue Is Not Required for a Counterclaim against Plaintiff	222
b. Venue Is Not Required for a Counterclaim against Parties Joined As Counterclaim Defendants under Rule 13(h)	222
c. Venue Is Not Required for a Claim against a Third Party Defendant Joined under Rule 14(a)	222
2. All Other Claims Require Proper Venue	223
Checkpoints	224
<b>Chapter 24 A Recap and Flow Chart of the Four Steps for Adding Claims or Parties</b>	<b>225</b>
A. A Flow Chart for Applying Section 1367	225
B. The Charts Revisited	227



---

C. Some Examples with Explanations	227
1. Compulsory Counterclaims	228
2. Permissive Counterclaims	229
3. Joinder of Plaintiffs under Rules 19 or 20	230
4. Joinder of Defendants under Rules 19 and 20	232
5. Joinder of Counterclaim Defendants under Rule 13(h)	233
6. Third Party Practice under Rule 14	233
7. Rule 18(a) Joinder of Claims	235
<b>Chapter 25 Notifying the Defendant of the Filing of Suit through             Service or Waiver of Service of Process</b>	<b>237</b>
Notifying the Defendant Roadmap	237
A. The First Step: Mail the Complaint and Required Forms to the Defendant and Request It Waive Formal Service of Process	237
B. Formal Service of Process	239
1. Plaintiff’s Counsel Fills Out the Forms	240
2. The Court Clerk Signs and Seals the Forms	242
3. Plaintiff’s Lawyer Has Process Timely Served	243
4. Proof of Service Form Is Completed	244
5. Plaintiff Files Proof of Service	244
C. The Purpose of Service of Process and Alternatives to It	244
D. What’s Next?	245
Checkpoints	245
<b>Chapter 26 Preview of the Defendant’s Options in Response to             Receiving a Federal Court Complaint</b>	<b>247</b>
<b>Chapter 27 The Defendant’s Initial Set of Options</b>	<b>251</b>
Defendant’s Initial Options Roadmap	251
A. Filing Only Motion Under Rule 12	252
B. Filing an Answer	252
C. Doing Nothing	253
D. Limitations on the Defendant’s Options: Rule 11 and Related Laws	254
E. Moving to the Details	254
Checkpoints	255
<b>Chapter 28 An Introduction to Motions</b>	<b>257</b>
Motions Roadmap	257
A. Technical Requirements for Motions	257
1. Writing, Caption, Grounds	258

2. Service and Filing of the Motion	258
B. Briefs, Affidavits, and Other Things Associated with Motions	259
C. The Opposition to the Motion	261
D. The Reply in Support of the Motion	262
E. Court Rules on the Motion	262
Checkpoints	263
<b>Chapter 29 When and Why Must a Defendant File an Answer or Rule 12 Motion?</b>	<b>265</b>
File and Answer or a Rule 12 Motion Roadmap	265
A. When and Why Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	265
1. When Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	265
2. Why Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	265
B. What Must or Can Be Raised in a Rule 12 Motion?	266
Checkpoints	267
<b>Chapter 30 Rule 12(b)(1) Challenges to Subject Matter Jurisdiction</b>	<b>269</b>
Rule 12(b)(1) Roadmap	269
A. Two Forms of Attack	269
1. Facial Attacks	270
2. Factual Attacks	270
B. The Impact of Adjudication	270
C. Additional Doctrines Related to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	271
1. A Summary Chart	272
2. Requirements Originating from Article III’s Case or Controversy Clause	273
a. Constitutional Standing	275
b. Ripeness	275
c. Mootness	276
i. The Exception to the Mootness Limitation: Courts May Adjudicate Claims Capable of Repetition Yet Evading Review	277
3. Prudential Standing Requirements	277
4. Other Limitations on Federal Judicial Power	279
a. <i>Rooker-Feldman</i> : Appeals from State Courts Go to the Supreme Court, Not Federal District Courts	279
b. <i>Younger</i> “Abstention”	280
c. <i>Colorado River</i> Abstention	282
d. <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	282
Checkpoints	283

---

<b>Chapter 31 Rule 12(b)(2) Challenges to Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>285</b>
Rule 12(b)(2) Roadmap	285
A. Promptly and Timely Objecting to Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	285
1. Two Types of Challenges	285
a. Facial Challenges	286
b. Factual Challenges	286
2. Waiving a Personal Jurisdiction Objection	287
a. Intentional Waivers	287
i. Pre-Dispute Forum Selection Clauses	287
ii. Post-Filing Deliberate Inaction	287
iii. Filing of Suit	288
b. Inadvertent Waivers: Including It in the Answer Is Not Enough	288
B. The Impact of Adjudication of the Motion	289
C. Not Appearing and Later Collaterally Attacking the Judgment Checkpoints	289
<b>Chapter 32 Rule 12(b)(3) Challenges to Improper Venue</b>	<b>291</b>
Rule 12(b)(3) Roadmap	291
A. Objections to Improper Venue Must Be Made Promptly or Are Waived	291
1. Inadvertent Waiver	291
a. Failing to Timely Raise Improper Venue	291
b. Including the Objection to Venue in a Pleading but Not Moving to Dismiss before Engaging in Continued Litigation	292
2. Intentional Waiver	292
a. Post-Filing Deliberate Choice to Waive Objection	292
b. Pre-Dispute: Forum Selection Clauses	292
i. Raising a Venue Objection Based on a Forum Selection Clause	292
ii. Adjudicating Validity of Forum Selection Clauses	293
B. Procedure for Adjudicating Objections to Improper Venue	293
1. Burdens of Proof	293
2. The Court Must Either Dismiss or Transfer If Venue Is Improper	294
3. Where Can the Court Transfer the Claim?	295
4. What If Venue over Some Claims Is Proper?	296
5. No Second-Guessing by Transferee Court Checkpoints	297

<b>Chapter 33 Rule 12(b)(4) and (5) Challenges to Process</b>	<b>299</b>
Rule 12(b)(4) Roadmap	299
A. The Scope of These Two Rules	299
B. Procedure to Make a Rule 12(b)(4) or 12(b)(5) Motion	300
C. Waiver of Objection	300
D. The Impact of Adjudication	300
Checkpoints	301
<b>Chapter 34 Rule 12(b)(6) Challenges to Statement of a Claim by Plaintiff and for Judgment on the Pleadings</b>	<b>303</b>
Rule 12(b)(6) Roadmap	303
A. The Limitations on Rule 12(b)(6)	304
1. If Consideration Is Not Limited to the Contents of the Complaint a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion Must Be Converted to a Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment	304
a. What Are the “Contents of the Complaint”?	305
b. What If the Court Does Not Exclude, but Does Not Consider, Matters outside the Complaint?	305
2. Everything the Party Asserting the Claim Says Is True: Rule 12(b)(6)’s Burden of Persuasion	306
a. An Example Applied to a Claim	307
b. Rule 12(b)(6) and Affirmative Defenses	307
B. Determining Whether a Claim for Relief Is Stated	308
C. Procedure for Making a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	309
D. Responding to a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	309
E. It’s Hard to Waive the Objection of Failing to State a Claim	310
1. Rule 12(c)	310
a. The Window of Rule 12(c)	310
b. The Same Standards under Rule 12(b)(6) Apply under Rule 12(c)	311
i. Burden of Proof	311
ii. Conversion to a Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment	311
2. At Trial	312
F. The Impact of Adjudication of a Rule 12(c) Motion	312
Checkpoints	313
<b>Chapter 35 Rule 12(b)(7) and Indispensable Parties under Rule 19</b>	<b>315</b>
A. Timing of Rule 12(b)(7) Motion	315
B. Facial or Factual Attacks Permitted	315
C. The Impact of Adjudication	316

---

<b>Chapter 36 Rule 12’s One-Motion-Consolidation Requirement</b>	<b>317</b>
Rule 12’s One-Motion-Consolidation Requirement Roadmap	317
A. A Party Can Easily Waive Personal Protections	317
B. The Requirement That Rule 12 Objections Be Consolidated or Be Deemed Waived, and the Three Exceptions	318
C. Special Issues under Rule 12	320
1. One, and Only One, Pre-Answer Motion	320
2. An Occasional Consolidation Exception for Motions under Rules 12(e) or (f)	321
3. Compelling a Party to Abide by a Pre-Dispute Arbitration Clause	322
D. The Court Can Grant, Deny, or Carry a Rule 12 Motion with the Case	322
1. Impact of Granting a Rule 12 Motion	323
2. Impact of Denial of a Rule 12 Motion	323
3. Carrying the Motion with the Case	323
E. Should a Party with the Choice File an Answer or a Rule 12 Motion?	324
F. Filing a Rule 56 Motion Likely Does Not Postpone the Answer Date	324
Checkpoints	325
<b>Chapter 37 The Defendant’s Answer</b>	<b>327</b>
Answer Roadmap	327
A. Defense Counsel Must Investigate the Facts and Law	327
B. Defense Counsel Must Address Each Allegation in the Complaint	328
C. Defense Counsel Must Plead Every Affirmative Defense	329
1. What Is an “Affirmative Defense”?	329
2. How Much Detail Is Required in the Answer?	331
3. May the Defendant Be Inconsistent?	331
4. An Example of Pleading Affirmative Defenses	332
D. Defense Counsel Must Plead Its Rule 12(b) Defenses	332
E. Defense Counsel Must Analyze Joinder of Claims and Parties	332
F. Defense Counsel Must Determine If a Jury Trial Is Available and Desired	333
G. Defense Counsel Must Timely File and Serve the Answer	333
Checkpoints	333
<b>Chapter 38 Rule 11’s Reach and Special Procedures</b>	<b>335</b>
Rule 11’s Reach Roadmap	335

A. The Reach of Rule 11 beyond the Complaint and Answer	335
B. The Reach of Rule 11 beyond “Filing” or “Submitting” a Paper to “Later Advocating”	336
C. Mechanisms for Establishing Violations of Rule 11	337
1. Party’s Motions for Sanctions	337
2. Court’s Own Motion for Sanctions	338
D. The Proper Sanction Under Rule 11	338
1. A Violation Permits but Does Not Require Imposition of Any Sanction	338
2. Identifying Who the Court Can Sanction	339
3. Determining the “Appropriate” Sanction	340
a. Monetary Sanctions	340
b. Nonmonetary Sanctions, Including Dismissal	341
E. Related Law: Sanctions under 28 U.S.C. § 1927 and Other Authority	341
1. Section 1927	341
2. Inherent Power	342
F. Sanctions Related to Discovery Checkpoints	342
<b>Chapter 39 Transfer from a Proper Venue to a More Convenient, Proper Venue</b>	<b>343</b>
Venue Transfer Roadmap	343
A. How to Analyze a Section 1404(a) Motion to Transfer Venue	344
1. Venue Must Be Proper in the Current District	344
2. Venue in the Proposed Transferee District Must Be Proper and the Defendant Must Be Subject to Personal Jurisdiction There	345
3. The Party Seeking to Transfer the Case Must Establish the Transferee District Is Clearly More Convenient	345
B. Procedure to Move to Transfer under Section 1404(a)	348
1. Is a Rule 12(b)(3) Motion Required to Move under Section 1404(a)?	348
2. Transfer, Not Dismissal, Is the Only Option	349
C. Intra-District, Inter-Divisional Transfer under Section 1404(a) Checkpoints	350
<b>Chapter 40 Special Venue Issues</b>	<b>351</b>
Special Venue Issues Roadmap	351
A. The Impact of Forum Selection Clauses	351

---

1. Enforceability and Interpretation	351
2. Interplay with Rule 12(b)(3)	352
B. Forum <i>Non Conveniens</i>	354
1. International Application of Forum Non	354
2. No Domestic Application of Forum Non	356
3. Appellate Review	356
4. Waiver of Forum Non	356
C. Venue in Removed Actions	356
Checkpoints	357
<b>Chapter 41 Amending Pleadings</b>	<b>359</b>
Amending Pleadings Roadmap	359
A. The General Rule Regarding Amendment of Pleadings in Rule 15(a)	359
1. The Right to Amend	360
2. Agreement from the Opposing Parties	360
3. Moving for Leave to Amend	360
a. Rule 15(a)(2): The General Rule	360
b. Rule 13(f): The Rule Specific to Amending to Add an Omitted Counter- and Cross-Claims	362
B. The Procedure to Amend a Pleading	363
C. The Other Parties' Right to Respond If Amendment Is Permitted	364
D. Rule 15(c) Relation Back of an Otherwise Time-Barred Claim	365
1. Rule 15(c)(1): The Rule Governing Relation Back	365
a. Relation Back under Rule 15(c)(1)(A)	366
b. Relation Back under Rule 15(c)(1)(B)	366
c. Relation Back under Rule 15(c)(1)(C)	368
i. Changing the Defendant or Defendant's Name	368
ii. Changing the Plaintiff	369
2. How the Question of Relation Back Is Typically Litigated	370
Checkpoints	371
<b>Chapter 42 Lawyer Responsibility for and Judicial Involvement   in Case Management</b>	<b>373</b>
<b>Chapter 43 The First Steps after Pleadings Close: Conferences and   Required Initial Disclosures</b>	<b>375</b>
After Pleadings Close Roadmap	375
A. Required Initial Disclosures: Each Party Must Show Its Best Hand	376

B. The Parties Agree on a Plan for the Lawsuit at the Rule 26(f) Conference	376
1. When	377
2. What	377
3. Why	380
B. The Rule 26(f) Conference Frees the Parties to Start Litigating	380
C. The Rule 16(b) Conference and Order	380
D. Exchange of Required Initial Disclosures	381
1. Exemptions	382
2. When	382
3. What: The Good Stuff Reasonably Available to the Party	382
4. Supplementation	383
5. Sanctions and Exclusion from Evidence for Violating the Rule	383
E. The Impact of Rule 16 Scheduling Orders: Good Cause Plus	384
1. Amending Pleadings: Good Cause to Amend or Bias toward Amendment?	385
Checkpoints	386
<b>Chapter 44 Adjudication or Resolution of Claims before Discovery</b>	<b>387</b>
Adjudication before Discovery Roadmap	387
A. Default Judgments	387
1. The Three Steps to Obtain a Default Judgment	388
a. The Act of Default: The Failure to “Plead or Otherwise Defend”	388
i. Failure to Plead	388
ii. What Constitutes “Failure to Otherwise Defend”?	389
b. Entry of Default and Setting It Aside	389
i. Entry of Default	389
ii. Setting Aside Entry of Default	390
c. Entry of Default Judgment and Vacating Default Judgment	391
i. Who Can Enter Default Judgment?	391
(a) What Is a “Sum Certain”?	391
(b) What Constitutes an “Appearance”?	392
ii. Vacating a Default Judgment	392
B. Voluntary Dismissal	392
1. Voluntary Unilateral Dismissal of a Claim by a Party	393
a. Time for Filing Notice of Dismissal	393
b. Impact of Filing the Notice of Dismissal	393
2. Only One Voluntary Dismissal without Prejudice	394



---

3. Dismissal by Stipulation of the Parties	394
a. Dismissal after Answer or Summary Judgment or without All Parties' Consent: Court Approval Required	395
C. Involuntary Dismissal with Prejudice for Violating Rules or Orders	395
1. Dismissals for Lack of Jurisdiction, Improper Venue, or Failure to Join an Indispensable Party	396
2. Dismissals as a Sanction	396
D. Dismissal of a Claim Based upon a Motion under Rule 12(b)(6) or 12(c)	397
E. Settling the Case	397
Checkpoints	397
<b>Chapter 45 The Foundations of Choice of Law in Federal Court</b>	<b>399</b>
Foundations of Choice of Law Roadmap	399
A. Federal Power Is Limited	399
B. States Did Authorize Congress to Create District Courts	400
C. Limits on State Power	400
D. The Complexities of Choice of Law	401
Checkpoints	402
<b>Chapter 46 Choice of Law: <i>Erie</i> and Beyond</b>	<b>403</b>
Choice of Law Roadmap	403
A. Federal Claims	403
B. State Claims	403
1. The <i>Erie</i> Decision	404
2. <i>Hanna's</i> View of the Rules as Valid Federal Law Authorized by the Rules Enabling Act	407
3. Where We Are Today	407
a. The Black Letter Law	407
b. The Continuing Impact of <i>Erie</i> and <i>Hanna</i>	409
C. Determining the Content of State Law	410
1. Which State's Law Applies?	410
a. Choice of Law after Transfer of Venue	411
i. Choice of Law after Transfer Based on Section 1404(a) Motions	412
ii. Choice of Law after a Section 1406(a) Transfer	413
b. Typical Choice of Law Principles Applied by State Courts	414
2. Constitutional Limitations on Imposition of Substantive State Law	414

D. Appellate Review	414
Checkpoints	415
<b>Chapter 47 Discovery</b>	<b>417</b>
Discovery Roadmap	417
A. Purpose of Discovery	418
B. Party Responsibility for Setting the Boundaries for Discovery and Making Initial Disclosures	419
C. Lawyer Planning and Involvement	419
1. Each Lawyer Must Participate in the Rule 26(f) Conference	420
2. Each Party Must Make Initial Disclosures	420
3. A Discovery Request Is Certified as Reasonable	420
4. Lawyers Must Meet and Confer before Raising Disputes with the Court	421
D. Judicial Management of the Discovery Stage	421
Checkpoints	421
<b>Chapter 48 Scope of Discovery: Relevancy and Other Boundaries</b>	<b>423</b>
Scope of Discovery Roadmap	423
A. Relevancy As a Boundary of Discovery	423
B. Sometimes Even Relevant Information Is Not Discoverable	426
C. Privilege and Work Product As Boundaries to Discovery	427
1. Privileged Information Is Protected from Disclosure	427
2. Work Product or “Trial Preparation” Gets Only Qualified Protection	429
D. Other Boundaries	432
E. Enforcing the Boundaries	432
Checkpoints	433
<b>Chapter 49 Forms of Discovery</b>	<b>435</b>
Forms of Discovery Roadmap	435
A. Requests for Production of Documents and Things	435
1. Obligations of the Requesting Party	436
2. Obligations of the Recipient	436
3. Document Requests by Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i> to Nonparties	442
B. Requests for Inspection of Land and Other Locations to Parties or Nonparties	443
C. Interrogatories to Parties	444
1. Obligations of the Serving Party	444

---

2. Recipient's Obligations	445
D. Requests for Admission	445
1. Sender's Responsibilities	445
2. Recipient's Obligations	446
3. Scope of Admission and Withdrawal of an Admission	447
E. Depositions on Written Questions	448
1. Sender's Obligations	448
2. Recipient's Obligations	448
F. Oral Depositions	449
1. Overview	449
2. Procedure for Taking the Deposition of Parties or Nonparties	449
3. Deposing a Natural Person Who Is Already a Party to the Suit	451
4. Deposing Corporations and Other Entities: Rule 30(b)(6)	451
a. The Procedure for Obtaining a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition	451
b. Issues Concerning the Designee's Testimony	452
5. Taking Oral Deposition of a Nonparty by Service of a Subpoena	454
G. Physical and Mental Examinations	455
1. Obtaining an Order for an Examination	455
a. Who May Be Examined?	456
b. When Is a Condition "in Controversy"?	456
c. What Constitutes "Good Cause"?	456
H. Special Issues	457
1. E-Discovery	457
I. Practical but Crucial Big Picture View of Discovery Checkpoints	457 458
<b>Chapter 50 Expert Witnesses: Disclosure, Discovery, and Protection</b>	<b>459</b>
Expert Witnesses Roadmap	459
A. Required Disclosures from Testifying Experts and Fact Witnesses with Expertise	460
1. An Overview of the Two Rounds of Disclosures	460
a. Disclosure of the Identity of Each Testifying Expert and Fact-Expert	461
b. Disclosure of Reports of Testifying Experts	462
i. Who Must Prepare Reports?	462
ii. What Must Be in the Report?	463
2. Each Party Must Supplement Expert Disclosures	464
3. Incomplete or Late Reports or Testimony	464

B. Nontestifying (aka Consulting) Experts	466
1. The Rule: No Discovery of Consulting Experts	466
2. The “Exceptional Circumstances” Exception	467
a. The Condition Is No Longer Observable and Could Not Have Been Observed by the Party Seeking Discovery from the Consulting Expert	468
b. The Event or Condition Can Be Recreated Only at Great Expense	468
c. There’s No Other Expert in the Field Available	469
C. Special Issues	469
1. Deposing a Testifying Expert’s Non-Testifying Assistants	469
2. Waiver of Protection of Consulting Expert’s Opinions	469
3. De-Designating a Testifying Expert	470
Checkpoints	470
<b>Chapter 51 Adjudication of Discovery Disputes</b>	<b>471</b>
Adjudication of Discovery Disputes Roadmap	471
A. Penalties for Noncompliance with Discovery Requests and Disclosure Obligations	471
1. The Built-in Penalties for Noncompliance with Discovery	471
B. The Process for Adjudicating Disputes over Discovery	472
1. Adjudication of Motions to Compel	473
a. The Process for Moving to Compel	473
b. Violation of an Order Issued as the Result of Adjudication of a Motion to Compel	474
2. Adjudication of Motions for Protective Order	475
Checkpoints	477
<b>Chapter 52 Summary Judgment: Adjudication of Claims Prior to Trial but after Discovery</b>	<b>479</b>
Summary Judgment Roadmap	479
A. When a Motion for Summary Judgment Can Be Filed	481
B. What a Court May Consider in Adjudicating a Motion for Summary Judgment	481
C. The Burden of Proof the Summary Judgment Movant Faces Is Constant	482
1. The Burden of Persuasion	482
a. No Genuine Issue of Material Fact	482
i. When Is a Fact “Material”?	483
ii. When Is There a “Genuine Issue”?	483

---

b. When Is a Movant “Entitled to JMOL”?	484
2. The Burden of Production, Not Persuasion, Is Usually What Matters	485
a. How Much Is Sufficient?	486
D. The Summary Judgment Procedure: Shifting Burdens of Production	487
1. The Movant’s Initial Burden of Production and the Shift to the Responding Party	488
a. The Burden of Production If the Party Moving for Summary Judgment Does Not Have the Burden of Proof at Trial	488
b. The Burden of Production If the Party Moving for Summary Judgment Also Has the Burden of Proof at Trial	490
2. The Burden of Production Shifts Only If the Summary Judgment Movant Meets Its Burden of Production	490
E. Examples with Explanations and a Flow Chart	491
1. Examples with Explanations	491
2. A Flow Chart	493
Checkpoints	493
<b>Chapter 53 The Final Set of Required Disclosures: The Pretrial Order</b>	<b>495</b>
Pretrial Order Roadmap	495
A. Pretrial Disclosures Under Rule 26(a)(3)	495
B. The More Common, Jointly Prepared Pretrial Order	496
C. Impact of the Pretrial Order on Subsequent Events	497
Checkpoints	499
<b>Chapter 54 Adjudication of Claims by Trial</b>	<b>501</b>
Adjudication of Claims by Trial Roadmap	501
A. Pretrial Motions in <i>Limine</i>	501
B. Juries	502
1. Will a Jury Hear the Case?	502
a. Is There a Right to Trial by Jury?	502
b. Was the Right Waived or, If Made, Can It Be Revoked?	503
c. Power of the Court to Use Juries on Non-Jury Questions or Despite Waiver or Non-Existence of the Right to a Jury	503
2. Jury Selection	504
a. Jury Pools	504
b. Jury Strikes	504

i. Striking for Cause	505
ii. Striking Peremptorily	505
c. Jury Seated	506
C. Trial	506
1. Turns and Burdens	506
2. Plaintiff's Case in Chief	506
3. Defendant's Turn	508
a. JMOL Under Rule 50(a): aka "Motions for Directed Verdict"	508
i. Timing of Rule 50(a) Motion	508
ii. Two Motions	508
iii. Movant's Burden of Proof	509
iv. Grant or Denial of Motion	511
4. Plaintiff's Rebuttal and Plaintiff JMOL against Defendant's Counterclaims or Affirmative Defenses	511
5. JMOL on Issues on Which the Movant Has the Burden of Proof	512
6. JMOL Examples with Explanations	512
D. Conferring on the Charge and Verdict Form	514
E. Closing Arguments	515
F. Charging the Jury	516
G. Jury Deliberation	516
H. Return of Verdict	517
Checkpoints	517
<b>Chapter 55 After Verdict: In the District Court</b>	<b>519</b>
After Verdict Roadmap	519
A. Options for the Verdict Loser that Must Be Taken Before Jury Discharge	519
B. After the Jury Discharge: Verdict Winner Moves for Entry of Judgment	521
1. Process for Entry of Judgment	521
2. Form of Judgment	521
C. Within 10 Days of Entry of Judgment, the Verdict Loser Must File Any Motions for New Trial and Rule 50(b) Renewed Motions for JMOL	522
1. Post-Judgment Rule 50(b) JMOL Motions (aka JNOV)	522
a. Predicate: Pre-Submission Rule 50(a) JMOL Motion	522
b. Scope of Motion Limited to Pre-Verdict JMOL	522

c. Burden of Proof	523
d. Impact of Rulings	524
2. Motions for New Trial Under Rule 59	524
a. The Two Common Bases for New Trial Motions	524
b. New Trials Awarded Based Only on the Amount of Damages	526
i. Remittur	526
ii. Additur: Unconstitutional in Federal Court	527
D. Motions to Amend the Judgment under Rule 59(e)	527
E. What Does the Judgment Winner Have to Do?	528
1. Did the Verdict Deprive It of Any Relief?	528
2. Respond to the Loser's Motions	528
F. Impact of Entry of Judgment on Execution and Appeals	528
G. Possible Actions by the Trial Court and the Impact on Appeal Checkpoints	530
<b>Chapter 56 Obtaining Relief after Prevailing on a Claim</b>	<b>531</b>
Obtaining Relief Roadmap	531
A. Execution of Judgment and Discovery in Aid of Execution	531
B. The Judgment Loser Can Move in Federal Court to Stay Execution of the Judgment Pending Appeal	532
Checkpoints	533
<b>Chapter 57 Limited Availability of Appeals: The Final Judgment Rule and Its Exceptions</b>	<b>535</b>
Final Judgment Rule Roadmap	535
A. Who Can Appeal?	536
B. When Is the Earliest an Appeal Can Be Taken?	
The Final Judgment Rule and Its Exceptions	537
1. Exceptions to the Final Judgment Rule	538
a. Rule 54(b)	539
i. Adjudication of at Least One of Multiple Claims or Parties	540
ii. Express Determination There Is No Just Reason for Delay	541
iii. Express Entry of Final Judgment	542
iv. Waiver of the Right to Seek Rule 54(b) Certification	543
v. Appellate Review	543
b. Collateral Orders	543
i. Conclusive Determination	544

ii. An Important Issue Separate from the Merits	544
iii. Effectively Unreviewable After Appeal	544
c. Orders Granting or Denying Injunctive Relief:	
28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1)	545
i. Temporary Restraining Orders: Generally Not Appealable	546
ii. Preliminary Injunctions: Appealable as of Right	547
iii. Permanent Injunctions: Appealable as of Right	547
d. Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)	547
i. Requirements	547
(a) Controlling Question of Law	548
(b) Substantial Ground for Difference of Opinion	548
(c) Interlocutory Appeal May Materially Advance Litigation	549
ii. Process in the District Court	549
iii. Process in the Appellate Court	549
iv. Waiver	550
e. Mandamus	550
C. The Big Picture	551
Checkpoints	551
<b>Chapter 58 A Brief Word on Appeals</b>	<b>553</b>
Appeals Roadmap	553
A. Timing of Filing of Notice of Appeal	553
B. The Appellate Process	554
1. Error and Harm Must Be Established	554
a. Standards of Review	554
b. Harmful Error Is Required to Reverse	555
2. Affirm, Reverse, Render or Remand	556
3. Panel Reconsideration and Rehearing En Banc	556
C. Petition for Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court	556
Checkpoints	556
<b>Chapter 59 Attacking a Judgment in District Court under Rule 60(b)</b>	<b>557</b>
Rule 60(b) Roadmap	557
A. Motions to Reopen the Judgment under Rule 60(b)	557
B. The Six Grounds under Rule 60(b)	558
C. Adjudication of Rule 60(b) Motions	558
1. The Scope of Rule 60b(1)	558
2. The Scope of Rule 60(b)(2)	559



3. The Scope of Rule 60(b)(3)	559
4. The Scope of Rule 60(b)(4)	560
5. The Scope of Rule 60(b)(5)	561
6. The Scope of Rule 60(b)(6)	561
Checkpoints	562
<b>Chapter 60 After Appeals: Claim and Issue Preclusion</b>	<b>563</b>
Claim and Issue Preclusion Roadmap	563
A. Choice of Law	565
B. Claim Preclusion	565
1. Purpose of Claim Preclusion	565
2. The Elements of Claim Preclusion	566
a. Could the Asserted Claim Have Been Brought in the First Suit?	567
b. Is the Asserted Claim a Covered “Same Claim”?	568
i. Is It the “Same” Claim As a Claim in the First Suit?	568
ii. Is It a Compulsory Counterclaim to a Claim in the First Suit?	569
iii. Could the Claim Have Been a Defense to the First Suit That, If Allowed to Be Raised, Could Nullify Rights Established in the First Suit?	570
c. Was the Party Who Is Bringing the Claim a Party to the First Suit or in Privity with Someone Who Was a Party to the First Suit?	571
d. Did the First Suit End with a Final Judgment “on the Merits”?	572
C. Issue Preclusion	573
1. Purpose of Issue Preclusion	573
2. Elements of Issue Preclusion	574
a. Was the Same Issue Litigated and Actually Determined in the First Suit?	574
b. Was the Issue Essential to the Judgment in the First Case, Such That the Judgment Could Not Have Issued without That Issue Having Been Decided?	575
c. Was There a Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits in the First Suit?	577
d. Can Issue Preclusion Be Applied against the Party in the Second Suit?	578
e. Can the Party in the Second Suit Assert Issue Preclusion?	578

D. Procedure for Litigating Claim Preclusion	580
1. <i>Res Judicata</i> Is an Affirmative Defense under Rule 8(c)	580
2. Litigation by Motion for Summary Judgment	580
E. Procedure for Litigating Issue Preclusion	581
1. Pleading Requirements	581
a. <i>Res Judicata</i> Is an Affirmative Defense under Rule 8(c)	581
b. Offensive Use: Must Plaintiff Plead Issue Preclusion?	581
2. Litigation by Motion for Summary Judgment	581
F. Special Issues	581
1. Co-Pending Suits with Same Claims	581
Checkpoints	582

### PART C

#### SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING SIMILAR CLAIMS AMONG MANY PARTIES

<b>Chapter 61 A Brief Introduction to Mass and Class Actions</b>	<b>585</b>
A. Class Actions	586
1. General Principles of Class Actions under Rule 23	586
2. Impact of CAFA	587
B. Multi-District Consolidation: 28 U.S.C. § 1407	588
<b>Index</b>	<b>589</b>

# Series Editor's Foreword

The Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series is designed to provide you with a tool that will enable you to easily and efficiently “master” the substance and content of law school courses. Throughout the series, the focus is on quality writing that makes legal concepts understandable. As a result, the series is designed to be easy to read and is not unduly cluttered with footnotes or cites to secondary sources.

In order to facilitate student mastery of topics, the Mastering Series includes a number of pedagogical features designed to improve learning and retention. At the beginning of each chapter, you will find a “Roadmap” that tells you about the chapter and provides you with a sense of the material that you will cover. A “Checkpoint” at the end of each chapter encourages you to stop and review the key concepts, reiterating what you have learned. Throughout the book, key terms are explained and emphasized. Finally, a “Master Checklist” at the end of each book reinforces what you have learned and helps you identify any areas that need review or further study.

We hope that you will enjoy studying with, and learning from, the Mastering Series.

Russell L. Weaver  
Professor of Law & Distinguished University Scholar  
University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law



# Introduction and Acknowledgments

This book was written by someone who spent fourteen years litigating cases in federal court and then five teaching federal civil procedure. It is designed to bring the practical side of procedure to life while thoroughly discussing the philosophical and conceptual underpinnings of civil procedure.

Thank you Russ, Lindsey, Chase, Kody and Joshua Cup.

The music of Wes, Warren, Kelly and several others kept me focused.

Profound thanks to Cindy Schiesel at Palo Verde High School and Ruth Gardner at the University of Arizona for helping me—greatly—learn to write.