

Mental Health Issues in Jails and Prisons

*Carolina Academic Press
Law Casebook Series
Advisory Board*



Gary J. Simson, Chairman
Dean, Case Western Reserve University School of Law

Raj Bhala
University of Kansas School of Law

John C. Coffee, Jr.
Columbia University Law School

Randall Coyne
University of Oklahoma College of Law

John S. Dzienkowski
University of Texas School of Law

Paul Finkelman
Albany Law School

Robert M. Jarvis
*Shepard Broad Law Center
Nova Southeastern University*

Vincent R. Johnson
St. Mary's University School of Law

Michael A. Olivas
University of Houston Law Center

Kenneth Port
William Mitchell College of Law

Michael P. Scharf
Case Western Reserve University School of Law

Peter M. Shane
*Michael E. Moritz College of Law
The Ohio State University*

Emily L. Sherwin
Cornell Law School

John F. Sutton, Jr.
Emeritus, University of Texas School of Law

David B. Wexler
*John E. Rogers College of Law
University of Arizona*

Mental Health Issues in Jails and Prisons

Cases and Materials

Michael L. Perlin

PROFESSOR OF LAW
NEW YORK LAW SCHOOL

Henry A. Dlugacz

ADJUNCT PROFESSOR OF LAW
NEW YORK LAW SCHOOL
ASSISTANT CLINICAL PROFESSOR OF
PSYCHIATRY AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES
NEW YORK MEDICAL COLLEGE

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2008
Michael L. Perlin and Henry A. Dlugacz
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 10: 1-59460-408-8
ISBN 13: 978-1-59460-408-9
LCCN: 2007936555

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

As always, to Linda, Julie and Alex — my inspiration and my heart and soul.

M.L.P.
Trenton, New Jersey
May 11, 2007

This book is dedicated to the family, immediate and extended, I love so much, for their endless support, guidance, (and tolerance). To Luisa, wife and partner, our children, Isaac, Noah and Alfredo, and to the memories of my father, Dr. Irving Dlugacz, and my uncle, Professor Joseph Bensman, who taught me how to think critically from an early age. I also want to thank the many colleagues, teachers and clients, from whom I've learned so much about how to bring humanity to intellectual pursuits, especially, Professor Andrew Hacker, Professor Michael Perlin, Dr. Lambert King, and the Honorable Jack B. Weinstein.

H.A.D.
New York, New York
May 9, 2007

And, in the words of the poet, for those ...

Tolling for the rebel, tolling for the rake
Tolling for the luckless, the abandoned an' forsaked
Tolling for the outcast, burnin' constantly at stake

(Bob Dylan, 1964, *Chimes of Freedom*)

Contents

Table of Cases	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxv
About the Authors	xxvii
Chapter 1 An Overview	3
A. Introduction	3
Chapter 2 Persons with Mental Illness and the Criminal Justice System: Why Should We Care?	7
A. Overview	7
B. Scope of the Public Health Problem	8
1. Doris J. James & Lauren E. Glaze, <i>Mental Health Problems of Prison and Jail Inmates</i>	8
C. Public Safety	9
1. Introduction	9
2. Violence and Mental Illness	10
a. MacArthur Research Network on Mental Health and the Law, <i>The MacArthur Community Violence Study</i>	10
3. Victimization of Persons with Mental Illness in the Community	11
D. Fiscal Responsibility	12
1. Chad Kinsella, <i>Healthcare Cost Strains Prisons</i>	12
E. Historical Background	13
1. “Slave of the State” Doctrine	14
a. <i>Ruffin v. Commonwealth</i>	14
2. “Hands Off” Doctrine	15
a. <i>Banning v. Looney</i>	15
3. Demise of the “Hands Off” Doctrine	15
a. Introduction	15
b. Early Pre-Gamble Cases	16
(1) Introduction	16
(2) <i>Newman v. Alabama</i>	16
(3) <i>Williams v. Vincent</i>	18
F. Questions and Notes	18
Chapter 3 Diversion	21
A. Overview	21
1. Mark R. Munetz & Patricia A. Griffin, <i>Use of the Sequential Intercept Model As an Approach to Decriminalization of People with Serious Mental Illness</i>	23

B.	Different Mechanisms	30
C.	Developing a Diversion Approach	31
1.	Introduction	31
2.	Pre-Booking Approaches	32
a.	Special Training for Police	33
(1)	Crisis Intervention Team	33
(a)	Major Sam Cochran, <i>Fighting Stigma in Law Enforcement: The Message Has to Come from the Heart</i>	35
(b)	Procedural Justice and Police Response	35
3.	Other Models	36
a.	National Alliance of the Mentally Ill, <i>The Mentally Ill and the Criminal Justice System: A Review of Programs</i>	36
D.	An Under-Explored Legal Issue: Emergency Rooms	36
1.	Examination and Treatment for Emergency Medical Conditions and Women in Labor: The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act	36
E.	Post-Arrest Diversion Programs	39
1.	The Nathaniel Project	39
2.	At Arraignment: The NY EXIT Program	41
F.	Trial Diversion Strategies: Mental Health Courts	42
1.	Introduction	42
2.	Aaron Levin, <i>MH Courts Garner Mostly Favorable Reviews</i>	42
3.	The Role of Mental Health Courts in System Reform	44
a.	Brooklyn Mental Health Court Treatment Plan <i>The Brooklyn Mental Health Court</i>	44
b.	Questions of Appropriateness	46
(1)	The Broward County Court	47
(2)	Other Mental Health Courts	47
4.	Council of State Governments: <i>A Guide to Mental Health Court Design and Implementation</i>	47
5.	On Effectiveness	50
a.	Rearrest and Linkage to Mental Health	50
G.	What Works?	50
1.	Joseph Morrissey & Piper Meyer, <i>Extending Assertive Community Treatment to Criminal Justice Settings, The National GAINS Center for Systemic Change for Justice-Involved People with Mental Illness</i>	50
2.	The Most Recent Research	53
H.	The Role of the Judge and Court System	53
1.	Judge Jack Weinstein, <i>When Is a Social Worker As Well As a Lawyer Needed?</i>	53
I.	Questions	56
Chapter 4 Entry into the Criminal Justice System		57
A.	Detainees	57
1.	Introduction	57
2.	Statistical Profile	57
a.	The National GAINS Center, <i>The Prevalence of Co-Occurring Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders in Jails</i>	58

b. Suicide	59
B. What Is Legally Required?	61
1. Detainee Status	61
a. <i>Griffin B. Bell et al. v. Louis Wolfish et al.</i>	61
C. Application: Screening/Suicide	80
1. Introduction	80
2. <i>Michelle Gibson v. County of Washoe, Nevada</i>	81
D. Duty to Screen	96
1. <i>Phyllis Jean Belcher, Administratrix of the Estate of Arthur Belcher, Plaintiff-Appellee v. Sidney Oliver, Individually and As Mayor of Clendenin, West Virginia; et al.</i>	96
2. <i>Elise Elizabeth Gordon, Individually and As Administratrix of the Estate of Clarence Gordon, Deceased, Plaintiff-Appellee v. C.W. Kidd, et al. Defendants-Appellants</i>	99
3. Questions	108
4. <i>Joe Marsh, Leroy Owens, Plaintiffs-Appellants v. Butler County, Alabama, the Butler County Commission, et al., Defendants-Appellees</i>	109
E. Competency to Stand Trial	122
1. Background	122
a. Bruce Winick, <i>Restructuring Competency to Stand Trial</i>	122
b. Note: <i>The Identification of Incompetent Defendants: Separating Those Unfit for Adversary Combat from Those Who Are Fit</i>	125
c. Bruce Winick & Terry DeMeo, <i>Competence to Stand Trial in Florida</i>	127
d. Questions	129
2. Substantive Rights	129
a. <i>Milton R. Dusky v. United States of America</i>	129
b. <i>James Edward Drope v. Missouri</i>	130
c. Questions	135
3. Procedural Standards	136
a. <i>Frank J. Pate v. Theodore Robinson</i>	136
b. Burden of Proof	143
(1) <i>Teofilo Medina, Jr. v. California</i>	143
(2) <i>Byron Keith Cooper v. Oklahoma</i>	153
c. Questions	161
4. Medication	162
5. Non-Psychiatric Disorders	162
a. Introduction	162
b. James Ellis & Ruth Luckasson, <i>Mentally Retarded Criminal Defendants</i>	162
c. Questions	171
6. Disposition and Placement of Issues	172
a. Note: Before <i>Jackson v. Indiana</i>	172
(1) Historical Background	172
(2) Institutional Conditions	172
b. <i>Theon Jackson v. State of Indiana</i>	172
c. Questions	183

d.	Grant Morris & J. Reid Meloy, <i>Out of Mind? Out of Sight: The Uncivil Commitment of Permanently Incompetent Criminal Defendants</i>	184
e.	Questions	191
F.	Insanity	192
1.	Introduction	192
a.	Michael L. Perlin, <i>Mental Disability Law: Civil and Criminal</i>	192
2.	Substantive Standards	195
a.	Michael L. Perlin, <i>Mental Disability Law: Civil and Criminal</i>	195
b.	On <i>Clark v. Arizona</i>	202
3.	Guilty but Mentally Ill (GBMI)	213
a.	<i>People v. William Lee Seefeld</i>	213
b.	<i>Arthur C. Whitt v. State of Indiana</i>	214
c.	<i>People v. Shirley Marshall</i>	215
d.	Linda Fentiman, “ <i>Guilty But Mentally Ill</i> ”: <i>The Real Verdict Is Guilty</i>	216
e.	Ira Mickenberg, <i>A Pleasant Surprise: The Guilty but Mentally Ill Verdict Has Both Succeeded in Its Own Right and Successfully Preserved the Traditional Role of the Insanity Defense</i>	223
4.	After <i>Hinckley</i>	228
a.	The Insanity Defense Reform Act	228
(1)	Michael L. Perlin, <i>Mental Disability Law: Civil and Criminal</i>	228
(2)	Lisa Callahan, Connie Mayer & Henry Steadman, <i>Insanity Defense Reform in the United States Post-Hinckley</i>	230
(3)	Questions	236
5.	Procedural Issues	237
a.	Burden of Proof	237
(1)	Michael L. Perlin, <i>The Jurisprudence of the Insanity Defense</i>	237
b.	Privilege against Self-Incrimination	239
(1)	Michael L. Perlin, <i>Law and Mental Disability</i>	239
c.	The Right to Refuse Medication	240
d.	Experts and Counsel	240
(1)	<i>Glen Burton Ake v. Oklahoma</i>	240
e.	Questions	248
6.	Defendants with Other Disabilities	250
a.	Mental Retardation	250
(1)	James Ellis & Ruth Luckasson, <i>Mentally Retarded Criminal Defendants</i>	250
b.	Physiological Disorders	253
(1)	Michael L. Perlin, “ <i>Big Ideas, Images and Distorted Facts:</i> ” <i>The Insanity Defense, Genetics, and the “Political World,” from Genetics and Criminality: The Potential Misuse of Scientific Information in Court</i>	253
c.	Notes and Questions	258
7.	Insanity Defense Myths	259
a.	Empirical Myths	259

(1) Michael L. Perlin, <i>Mental Disability Law: Civil and Criminal</i>	259
b. Question	262
c. John Hinckley: The Saga Continues	262
8. Post-Acquittal Commitment	262
a. <i>State of New Jersey v. Stefan Krol</i>	262
b. <i>Michael Jones v. United States</i>	270
(1) On Jones	277
(a) Michael L. Perlin, <i>Mental Disability Law: Civil and Criminal</i>	277
(2) Under the IDRA	278
9. Questions	279
Chapter 5 Sentenced Inmates	281
A. Introduction	281
B. Federal Sentencing Guidelines	282
1. Background	282
a. Jack B. Weinstein & Christopher Wimmer, <i>Sentencing in the United States</i>	282
b. How Do the Guidelines Apply to Individuals with Mental Illness?	283
2. Departures and Mental Illness: Standards and Underlying Attitudes	283
a. Michael L. Perlin & Keri K. Gould, <i>Rashomon and the Criminal Law: Mental Disability and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines</i>	283
b. Success of Defendants with Mental Disabilities in Seeking Departures	293
(1) <i>United States of America, Appellant v. Jose Maldonado-Montalvo, Defendant, Appellee</i>	293
c. Note on the Feeney Amendment	300
3. Guidelines Are Advisory Not Mandatory: The Booker Case	300
a. <i>United States, Petitioner v. Freddie J. Booker, United States, Petitioner v. Duan Fanfan</i>	300
b. Post-Booker Applications	316
(1) <i>United States of America, Appellee v. Paul M. Anderson, Defendant, Appellant</i>	316
(2) <i>United States of America, Plaintiff v. Jacob Pallowick, Defendant</i>	322
(3) <i>United States of America, Plaintiff-Appellee v. Marion Hungerrord, Defendant-Appellant</i>	329
C. Post Sentence: Inmate Discipline	335
1. Jamie Fellner, <i>A Correctional Quandary: Mental Illness and Prison Rules</i>	335
D. Legal Standard for Disciplinary Actions: Sandin	346
1. Cinda Sandin, <i>Unit Team Manager, Halawa Correctional Facility, Petitioner v. Demont R.D. Conner et al.</i>	346
E. Post-Conviction Transfer to a Psychiatric Hospital	350
1. <i>Joseph Vitek, etc., et al. v. Larry D. Jones</i>	350

F.	Probation: Special Issues Involving Probationers with Mental Disabilities	358
1.	Risdon N. Slate, Richard Feldman, Erik Roskes & Migdalia Baerga, <i>Training Federal Probation Officers As Mental Health Specialists in Federal Probation</i>	358
G.	Questions	370
Chapter 6	Special Populations	371
A.	Juveniles	371
1.	Introduction	371
2.	Historical Background	371
a.	Development of the Juvenile System and Unique Characteristics of the Population	371
(1)	Carrie Fried Mulford, N. Dickon Reppucci, Edward P. Mulvey, Jennifer L. Woolard & Sharon L. Portwood, <i>Legal Issues Affecting Mentally Disordered and Developmentally Delayed Youth in the Justice System</i>	371
b.	Shift towards a More Rights-Oriented Approach	395
(1)	<i>In re Gault</i>	395
c.	Rights within Institutions: Constitutional Standard and Applicability of Federal Statutes—Is There a Right to Rehabilitation?	408
(1)	<i>Flora Santana, et al., Plaintiffs, Appellants v. Jenaro Collazo Collazo, et al., Defendants, Appellees. Flora Santana, et al., Plaintiffs, Appellees and United States of America, Plaintiff-Intervenor, Appellant v. Jenaro Collazo, et al., Defendants, Appellees</i>	408
(2)	<i>Alexander S., Alfred S., Benny B., Christopher M., Lafayette M., and Ricky S., by and through Their Guardian ad Litem, Lesly A. Bowers, Individually and As Representatives of a Class of Juveniles, Plaintiffs v. Flora Brooks Boyd, Individually and in Her Official Capacity As Director of the Department of Juvenile Justice; Richard E. McLawhorn, Individually and in His Official Capacity As Former Commissioner of the Department of Juvenile Justice for the State of South Carolina; John F. Henry, Frank Mauldin, Kathleen P. Jennings, Joseph W. Hudgens, Karole Jensen and J.P. Neal, Individually and in Their Official Capacities As Former Board Members for the South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice, Defendants</i>	417
d.	Questions	429
B.	Super-Max or Extended Control Units	430
1.	Introduction	430
2.	What Are Supermax Facilities? What Effect Does Isolation Have on People?	430
a.	Overview	430
(1)	<i>In re Medley</i>	430

(2) Jeffrey Metzner & Joel Dvoskin, <i>An Overview of Correctional Psychiatry</i>	433
(3) <i>Disability Advocates, Inc. v. New York State Office of Mental Health</i>	441
b. Is There a Protected Interest Due Process Proceedings Prior to Placement in Isolation? What Procedures Does the Constitution Require and What Is the Standard Employed?	442
(1) <i>Reginald A. Wilkinson, Director, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, et al., Petitioners v. Charles E. Austin et al.</i>	442
(2) Note	451
c. Does Confinement in Supermax Aggravate, Cause or Promote Mental Illness? What Does the Constitution Require?	451
(1) <i>Christopher J. Scarver, Plaintiff-Appellant v. Jon Litscher, et al., Defendants-Appellees</i>	451
(2) <i>Dennis E. Jones'El, Micha'El Johnson, De'Ondre Conquest, Luis Nieves, Scott Seal, Alex Figueroa, Robert Sallie, Chad Goetsch, Edward Piscitello, QuintinL'Minggio, Lorenzo Balli, Donald Brown, Christopher Scarver, Benjamin Biese, Lashawn Logan, Jason Pagliarini and Andrew Collette, and all Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs v. Gerald Berge and Jon Litscher, Defendants</i>	455
(3) Note	475
d. A Legislative Response?	475
(1) Note: NYSCOPA Testimony	475
e. An Executive Response?	475
3. Questions	475
Chapter 7 Conditions in Jails and Prisons: Deliberate Indifference to a Serious Medical Need	477
A. Introduction	477
B. Historical Background	478
1. "Slave of the State"	478
a. <i>Ruffin v. Commonwealth</i>	478
2. "Hands Off Doctrine"	478
a. <i>Banning v. Looney</i>	478
3. Demise of the "Hands Off Doctrine"	478
a. Early Pre-Gamble Cases	478
(1) <i>Newman v. Alabama</i>	478
(2) <i>Williams v. Vincent</i>	478
(3) Questions	479
b. The Supreme Court Speaks: <i>Estelle v. Gamble</i> and the "Deliberate Indifference" Standard	479
(1) <i>W. J. Estelle, Jr., Director, Texas Department of Corrections, et al., Petitioners v. J. W. Gamble</i>	479
(2) Note	484
(3) Questions	484
c. Applying the Constitutional Standard	484

(1) <i>Larry Grant Bowring, Appellant v. Mills E. Godwin, Individually and As Governor, et al., Appellees</i>	484
(2) The Supreme Court: Refining the Eighth Amendment Standard	486
(a) <i>Pearly L. Wilson, Petitioner v. Richard Seiter et al.</i>	486
i) Questions	488
(b) <i>Dee Farmer, Petitioner v. Edward Brennan, Warden, et al.</i>	488
i) Questions	500
ii) Note	501
d. Reckless Indifference	502
(1) <i>The People of the State of New York, Appellant v. Michael Angelo, Respondent</i>	502
(2) Questions	503
e. Medical Needs and Supreme Court Standards	503
(1) Note	503
(2) Serious Medical Needs: In General	503
(a) Note	503
(b) Test Employed to Determine Seriousness of Need	504
i) <i>Ellis Partee, Plaintiff v. Michael Lane, et al., Defendants</i>	504
a) Question	506
ii) <i>John C. McGuckin, Plaintiff-Appellant v. Dr. Smith, et al.; John C. Medlen, Dr., Defendants-Appellees</i>	506
iii) Questions	508
(3) Specific Issues in Applying the Serious Medical Needs Prong	508
(a) Gender Identity Disorder	508
i) <i>Michelle Kosilek, Plaintiff v. Michael T. Maloney, Defendant</i>	508
(b) Medication Side Effects and Unwanted Medication	519
i) <i>Vurnis L. Gillis, Plaintiff v. Judge Charles H. Toliver et al.</i>	519
(c) Lithium Toxicity	521
i) <i>Julie Rogers, Plaintiff v. Nolan County, Nolan County Sheriff Donnie Rannifeld, and Unknown Deputies, Defendants</i>	521
(d) Depression Related to Violation of Religious Belief	525
i) <i>Rian Deverick Lewis, Plaintiff v. John Mitchell, et al., Defendants</i>	525
ii) Questions	526
4. Application of the Deliberate Indifference Standard: Cases of Complex Mental Health Delivery Systems	527
a. <i>David Ruiz et al., Plaintiff, United States of America, Plaintiff-Intervenor v. W. J. Estelle, Jr., et al., Defendants</i>	527
(1) Note	534
b. <i>David Ruiz, et al., Plaintiffs, United States of America, Plaintiff-Intervenor v. Gary Johnson, et al., Defendants,</i>	

<i>Honorable John Culberson and Honorable J.E. “Buster” Brown, Defendant-Intervenors</i>	534
(1) Questions	536
c. <i>Ralph Coleman, et al., Plaintiffs v. Pete Wilson, et al., Defendants</i>	536
(1) Questions	563
d. Note	566
(1) Questions	566
Chapter 8 Elements of a Constitutional System	569
A. Introduction	569
1. Clarence J. Sundram, <i>Monitoring the Quality and Utilization of Mental Health Services in Correctional Facilities</i>	569
B. Elements of a Constitutional System of Care: General Systemic Requirements	581
1. <i>Alejandro Madrid, et al., on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs v. James Gomez, Director, California Department of Corrections, et al., Defendants</i>	581
2. Jeffrey L. Metzner, <i>Class Action Litigation in Correctional Psychiatry</i>	616
3. Note	616
4. Specific Applications: Screening and Suicide	616
a. Introduction	616
b. <i>Pugh v. Wallace</i>	616
c. <i>Belcher v. Oliver et al.</i>	618
d. <i>Hendrix v. Faulkner</i>	621
(1) Jail Suicide/Mental Health Update	628
(2) Henry J. Steadman, Jack E. Scott, Fred Osher, Tara K. Agnese & Pamela Clark Robbins, <i>Validation of the Brief Jail Mental Health Screen</i>	628
5. Specific Applications: Records	628
a. <i>Hott v. Hennepin</i>	628
6. Specific Applications: Restraint	633
a. <i>Hadix v. Caruso</i>	633
b. Metin Bosog lu Maria Livanou & Cvetana Crnobaric, <i>Torture vs Other Cruel, Inhuman, and Degrading Treatment, Is the Distinction Real or Apparent?</i>	634
C. Professional Judgment Standard: Applicable to Assessment of Adequacy?	634
1. <i>Youngberg v. Romeo</i>	634
2. <i>Langley v. Coughlin</i>	639
3. Application of <i>Youngberg</i> Standard: In General	648
a. <i>Anderson v. Caden</i>	648
4. Application of <i>Youngberg</i> : The Question of Suicide	653
a. <i>The Estate of Max G. Cole, by Its Administratrix Lois Pardue, and Lois Pardue, Plaintiffs-Appellants v. Jackie Fromm, Phill Spires, Dan Beck, Katie Grier Easton, Clement Morris, and Nancy Butler, Defendants-Appellees</i>	653
b. Questions	659

Chapter 9 The Right to Refuse Treatment	661
A. Pretrial	661
1. Incompetency to Stand Trial	661
a. The Charters Decisions	661
b. <i>Charles Sell v. United States</i>	662
B. In Prison	670
1. <i>Washington v. Walter Harper</i>	670
C. On Trial	686
1. <i>David Riggins v. Nevada</i>	686
D. Other Criminal Statutes	696
1. Michael L. Perlin, <i>Decoding Right to Refuse Treatment Law</i>	696
2. Questions	697
E. Non-Psychiatric Treatment	698
1. <i>William Pabon, Plaintiff-Appellant, Felix Manuel Ruiz a/k/a Pedro Ruiz, Plaintiff v. Dr. Lester Wright, et al.</i>	698
2. Questions	707
Chapter 10 The Death Penalty	709
A. Competence to Be Executed	709
1. <i>Alvin Bernard Ford v. Louie L. Wainwright</i>	709
2. <i>Johnny Paul Penry v. James A. Lynaugh</i>	720
a. Subsequent Developments in <i>Penry</i>	735
b. Note	735
3. <i>Daryl Atkins v. Virginia</i>	736
4. <i>Scott Louis Panetti, Petitioner v. Nathaniel Quarterman, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division</i>	748
5. Questions	763
B. The Constitutionality of Medicating a Defendant So As to Make Him Competent to Be Executed	767
1. The <i>Perry</i> Litigation	767
a. Michael L. Perlin, <i>Decoding Right to Refuse Treatment Law</i>	767
b. <i>State of Louisiana v. Michael Owen Perry</i>	768
c. <i>Michael Owen Perry, Petitioner v. Louisiana</i>	770
d. <i>State of Louisiana v. Michael Owen Perry</i>	770
2. Developments after <i>Perry</i>	786
a. <i>Fred Singleton, Respondent v. The State, Petitioner</i>	786
b. <i>Charles Laverne Singleton, Appellant v. Larry Norris, Director, Arkansas Department of Correction, Appellee</i>	790
3. The Most Recent Developments	798
a. <i>Scott Louis Panetti, Petitioner-Appellant v. Doug Dretke, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, Respondent-Appellee</i>	798
b. Subsequent Developments	803
4. Questions	803
Chapter 11 Statutory Issues	805
A. Introduction	805
B. The Americans with Disabilities Act	806

1.	An Overview	808
a.	Michael L. Perlin, <i>The ADA and Persons with Mental Disabilities: Can Sanist Attitudes Be Undone?</i>	808
2.	Threshold Question: Does the ADA Apply to Prisons?	814
a.	<i>Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, et al., Petitioners v. Ronald R. Yeskey</i>	814
3.	Does Title II of ADA Permit Suits for Monetary Damages by a Prisoner with a Disability?	817
a.	<i>United States, Petitioner v. Georgia et al.; Tony Goodman, Petitioner v. Georgia et al.</i>	817
4.	The Interplay between Title II of the ADA and Other Federal Statutes	822
a.	Laurence W. Paradis, <i>Rights of Prisoners with Disabilities under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act</i>	822
b.	Interplay with Other Statutes	831
5.	Applicability of ADA to Parole Decisions	831
a.	<i>William G and Walter W. Complaint</i>	831
b.	<i>Messiah S. Complaint</i>	831
c.	<i>Dennis B. Anderson, Petitioner v. Teresa A. Schwartz, Respondent</i>	832
6.	Is the Community Integration Mandate Relevant to Corrections?	836
a.	<i>Olmstead, Commissioner, Georgia Department of Human Resources, et al. v. L. C., by Zimring, Guardian ad Litem and Next Friend, et al.</i>	836
7.	Is Lack of Funding a Sufficient Defense to an ADA Claim? If So, What Is a Sufficient Showing?	847
a.	<i>Frederick L.; Nina S.; Kevin C.; Steven F., on Behalf of Themselves and all Persons Similarly Situated, Appellants v. Department of Public Welfare of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Estelle B. Richman, in Her Official Capacity As Secretary of Public Welfare for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</i>	847
C.	Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA)	852
1.	Overview	852
a.	John Boston, <i>The Prison Litigation Reform Act</i>	852
2.	The Statute	852
3.	Should the PLRA Be Amended?	861
a.	Prison Reform: Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons, <i>Confronting Confinement</i>	861
b.	Application: Standards for Continuation or Termination of Injunctive Relief	864
(1)	<i>Charles E. Austin et al., Plaintiffs v. Reginald Wilkinson et al., Defendants</i>	864
c.	Application to Consent Decrees	867
(1)	<i>Benjamin v. Jacobson</i>	867
d.	Prior Showing of Physical Injury	879
(1)	<i>Thompson v. Carter</i>	879
D.	Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act	884
E.	Mentally Ill Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Act of 2004	891

1. Note	891
2. Summary of the Mentally Ill Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Act of 2004	891
Chapter 12 Reentry Planning	893
A. Introduction	893
1. The Presenting Problem	893
2. Council of State Governments, <i>Introduction: The Report of the Re-Entry Policy Council</i>	894
3. <i>APIC Model</i>	894
4. <i>Newly Released Prisoners at High Risk of Death</i>	894
B. The Constitutional Standard	895
1. <i>Joshua DeShaney, a Minor, by His Guardian Ad Litem, and Melody DeShaney, Petitioners v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services, et al.</i>	895
a. Note on <i>DeShaney</i>	907
2. <i>Timothy E. Wakefield, Plaintiff-Appellant v. John Thompson, Parole Agent; James Gomez, Director, California Department of Corrections; Daniel Vasquez, ex-Warden, San Quentin Prison; John Dupre, Staff Psychiatrist, San Quentin Prison; and John Doe, Correctional Officer, San Quentin Prison, Defendants-Appellees</i>	907
3. Application of <i>Wakefield</i> in Cases Involving Medical Care	911
a. <i>George Lugo, Plaintiff v. Daniel Senkowski, Superintendent of Clinton Correctional Facility; Dr. Lee, Medical Director of Clinton Correctional Facility; Patrick Edwards, Parole Officer, Defendants</i>	911
4. Application of <i>Wakefield</i> in Cases Involving Psychotropic Medications	915
a. <i>Brandon Griffith, Plaintiff v. Robert Hofmann, Vermont Department of Corrections, Vermont Probation Department, Jay Imons, Deb Thibault, Celeste Girrell, Scott Morley, Defendants</i>	915
5. Application of <i>Wakefield</i> to Cases of Parole and Housing	918
a. <i>Alonzo Jacobs, Plaintiff-Appellant v. H. Ramirez, Parole Officer, R. Mroczek, Parole Counselor, Santiago, Senior Parole Officer, E. Fisher, Assist. Senior Parole Officer, etc., al., Defendants-Appellees</i>	918
6. Not All Courts Have Followed the <i>Wakefield</i> Reasoning	920
a. <i>Joe Marsh, Leroy Owens, Plaintiffs-Appellants v. Butler County, Alabama, the Butler County Commission, et al., Defendants-Appellees</i>	920
C. Claims Based upon State Law	935
1. <i>Brad H. et al., on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs v. City of New York et al., Defendants</i>	935
2. <i>Brad H., Stipulation of Settlement</i>	941
D. Questions	941

Chapter 13 Selected Private Law Issues	943
A. Documentation in the Clinical Record	943
1. Introduction	943
2. Basic Functions of the Record	943
3. Simpson and Stacy Article	944
B. Confidentiality	944
1. Introduction	944
2. Confidentiality v. Privilege	944
a. Philip Merideth, <i>The Five C's of Confidentiality and How to DEAL with Them</i>	944
3. HIPPA and Preemption and Interplay with Other Relevant Standards	946
C. The Duty to Warn	946
1. <i>Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California</i>	946
2. Subsequent Developments	955
a. <i>Ewing v. Goldstein</i>	955
D. Confidentiality in Medical Context in Federal Cases	964
1. <i>John Doe, Appellant v. Joan Delie, Health Care Administrator; Paul Noel, Medical Director; Diane Manson, Medical Nurse/Grievance Officer; Sophie Swika, Medical Nurse; Kim Zimmerman, Medical Nurse, and All Other Parties et al., Relevant to This Instant Civil Action against Them; James Price, Superintendent (SCI Pittsburgh)</i>	964
2. <i>Doe v. Wigginton</i>	973
E. Questions	975
Chapter 14 International Law Issues	977
A. Introduction	977
1. Human Rights before World War II	977
2. David Weissbrodt, <i>An Introduction to the Sources of International Law</i>	977
3. Questions	978
B. Human Rights in the Modern Era	979
1. Introduction	979
a. Micheline R. Ishay, <i>History of Human Rights</i>	979
b. Questions	980
2. Conceptual Dichotomies	981
a. Universalism v. Cultural Relativism	981
(1) Mahmood Monshipouri, <i>Promoting Universal Human Rights: Dilemmas of Integrating Developing Countries</i>	981
(2) Rosalyn Higgins, <i>Problems and Process: International Law and How We Use It</i>	983
(3) Questions	983
b. Hard v. Soft Law	983
(1) Jose Alvarez, <i>The New Dispute Settlers: (Half) Truths and Consequences</i>	983
(2) Questions	985
c. Peremptory Norms and Derogable v. Non-Derogable Rights	985

(1) Sara Stapleton, <i>Ensuring a Fair Trial in the International Criminal Court: Statutory Interpretation and the Impermissibility of Derogation</i>	985
d. Negative v. Positive Rights	986
(1) Henry J. Steiner & Philip Alston, <i>International Human Rights in Context: Law, Politics, and Morality</i>	986
(2) Questions	987
e. Civil and Political Rights v. Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights	987
(1) Javaid Rehman, <i>International Human Rights Law: A Practical Approach</i>	987
(2) Questions	988
f. The U.N. and Its Mechanisms for Protecting Human Rights	988
(1) The Work of U.N. Bodies	988
(a) Questions	989
(2) Looking at International Law	989
(a) The European Convention on Human Rights	989
(b) Case Law	989
i) <i>Keenan v. The United Kingdom</i>	990
ii) <i>Anthony James Drew against the United Kingdom the European Court of Human Rights</i>	1008
iii) <i>Iorgov v. Bulgaria</i>	1013
iv) Questions	1024
3. International Law in Jail and Prison Cases	1025
a. <i>Lareau v. Manson</i>	1025
b. <i>Lareau v. Manson</i> (on appeal)	1036
c. <i>Thompson v. Oklahoma</i>	1036
d. Questions	1041
C. On Applicability of Standards	1042
1. <i>Atkins v. Virginia</i>	1042
a. Questions	1042
2. Jamie Fellner, <i>A Corrections Quandary: Mental Illness and Prison Rules</i>	1042
a. Questions	1042
3. Alvin J. Bronstein & Jenni Gainsborough, <i>Using International Human Rights Laws and Standards for U.S. Prison Reform</i>	1043
D. The Relationship between Forensic Mental Health Practice, Professional Standards, International Human Rights Standards, and Conditions in Jails and Prisons	1049
1. Introduction	1049
Chapter 15 Legal Counseling of Persons with Mental Disabilities in Jails and Prisons: On Sanism and Pretextuality	1053
A. Some Other Jurisprudential Considerations: Heuristics and “Ordinary Common Sense” (OCS)	1053
1. Heuristics	1053
2. Ordinary Common Sense (OCS)	1059
B. On Sanism	1060
1. Introduction	1060

2. Sanist Myths	1063
a. Michael L. Perlin, “ <i>Where the Winds Hit Heavy on the Borderline: Mental Disability Law, Theory and Practice, “Us” and “Them”</i> ”	1063
b. Sanist Judges	1066
(1) Michael L. Perlin, “ <i>Half-Wracked Prejudice Leaped Forth: Sanism, Pretextuality, and Why and How Mental Disability Law Developed As It Did</i> ”	1066
3. Sanism and Legal Representation	1068
a. Michael L. Perlin, “ <i>You Have Discussed Lepers and Crooks: Sanism in Clinical Teaching</i> ”	1068
C. On Pretextuality	1077
1. Introduction	1077
2. Pretextuality and Morality	1080
a. Michael L. Perlin, <i>Pretexts and Mental Disability Law: The Case of Competency</i>	1080
3. Questions	1086
Index	1089

Table of Cases

- Ake v. Oklahoma, 151–152, 201, 240, 290, 714, 718, 764
- Alexander v. Boyd, 386, 417
- Anderson v. Caden, 648
- Anderson v. Schwartz, 832
- Atkins v. Virginia, 171, 736, 759, 763–764, 766–767, 796, 1042, 1044
- Austin v. Wilkinson, 864
- Banning v. Looney, 15, 478
- Belcher v. Oliver, 96, 105, 107, 618
- Bell v. Wolfish, 61, 87, 96–97, 349, 413–414, 416, 424–425, 454, 495–496, 581, 604, 618, 627, 630, 638, 688, 776, 899, 1028–1030, 1032, 1034
- Benjamin v. Jacobson, 867–868
- Bowring v. Godwin, 217, 484, 505, 533, 603
- Brad H. v. City of NY, 935
- Coleman v. Wilson, 338, 536, 571, 574, 616
- Cooper v. Oklahoma, 153
- DeShaney v. Winnebago, 21, 85, 113, 120, 500, 642, 793, 893, 895, 909, 912, 919, 925, 933
- Disability Advocates, Inc. v. NY OMH, 441
- Doe v. Delie, 964
- Doe v. Wigginton, 970, 973
- Drew v. UK, 1008
- Drope v. Missouri, 124, 127, 130, 143, 145–146, 148–149, 155, 167, 380, 391, 690
- Dusky v. United States, 123, 129, 135, 141, 146, 150, 155, 160, 726
- Estate of Cole by Pardue v. Fromm, 467, 653
- Estelle v. Gamble, 12, 14, 96–97, 104, 107, 120, 217, 416, 477, 479, 486, 491, 496, 505, 509, 519, 525, 533, 536, 538, 563, 618, 621, 625, 638, 642–643, 699, 793, 899–900, 902, 909, 912, 917, 1031–1033, 1046
- Ewing v. Goldstein, 955
- Farmer v. Brennan, 87, 112, 117, 453, 470, 484, 486, 488, 569, 631, 655, 866, 912, 917, 923–924
- Ford v. Wainwright, 709, 713, 725–727, 740, 748, 759–760, 769, 772, 787, 790, 793, 799
- Frederick v. Dept of Public Welfare of PA, 847
- In re Gault, 372, 392, 395, 418
- Gibson v. County of Washoe, Nevada, 81
- Gillis v. Toliver, 519
- Goodman v. Georgia, 817
- Gordon v. Kidd, 99
- Griffith v. Hofmann, 915
- Hadix v. Caruso, 633
- Hendrix v. Faulkner, 621
- Hott v. Hennepin, 628
- Iorgov v. Bulgaria, 1013
- Jackson v. Indiana, 5, 124, 133, 152, 159, 171–172, 183–184, 264–265, 273, 275, 354, 387, 392, 409, 413, 421, 423, 696, 1042, 1073
- Jacobs v. Ramirez, 918
- Jones v. United States, 210, 217, 261, 270, 272, 275, 277–279, 302, 412, 747
- Jones’El v. Berge, 452, 455
- Keenan v. UK, 990
- Kosilek v. Maloney, 508
- Langley v. Coughlin, 571–572, 639, 937
- Lareau v. Manson, 605, 1025, 1046
- Lareau v. Manson, 1036
- Lewis v. Mitchell, 525
- Lugo v. Senkowski, 911, 916, 919
- Madrid v. Gomez, 341, 454, 467, 475, 541, 543, 571–572, 574, 581
- Marsh v. Butler County, 109–110, 920–921
- McGuckin v. Smith, 506, 526, 538
- Medina v. California, 143, 155, 157–158, 383, 392

- In re Medley, 430, 598
Newman v. Alabama, 4, 16, 412, 478, 485,
532, 605, 622–623
Olmstead v. Zimring, 836
Pabon v. Wright, 698
Panetti v. Quarterman, 748
Panetti v. Dretke, 798
Partee v. Lane, 504
Pate v. Robinson, 124, 128, 132–136, 143,
146–149, 155, 167, 690, 715
Pennsylvania Dep’t of Corrections v. Yeskey,
814
Perry v. Lynaugh, 284, 289, 720, 736, 742,
767
People v. Angelo, 502
People v. Marshall, 215
People v. Seefeld, 213
Perry v. Louisiana, 767–768, 770–771, 773
Pugh v. Wallace, 616
Riggins v. Nevada, 148–149, 153, 155, 158,
240, 520, 665, 686, 775, 788, 791, 797,
1068
Ruffin v Commonwealth, 14, 478
Rogers v. Nolan County, 521
Ruiz v. Estelle, 416, 425, 527, 535–536, 538,
570, 943
Ruiz v. Johnson, 342, 467, 534, 616,
862–863
Sandin v. Conner, 346, 445–446, 522, 834
Santana v. Collazo, 387, 393, 408, 420, 422,
643
Scarver v. Litscher, 451
Sell v. US, 162, 662–665
Singleton v. Norris, 790
Singleton v. State, 250, 786, 796
State v. Krol, 239, 262
State v. Perry, 767–768, 770–771, 793, 796
Thompson v. Carter, 879, 918
Thompson v. OK, 1036
Tarasoff v. Regents of University of CA, 946
US v. Anderson, 316
US v. Booker, 300
US v. Georgia, 817
US v. Hungerford, 329
US v. Maldonado-Montalvo, 293
US v. Pallowick, 322
Vitek v. Jones, 350, 446, 534, 636, 671,
775–776, 789, 821, 840, 900, 1074
Wakefield v. Thompson, 121, 386, 394, 893,
907, 913, 916, 919, 933–934
Washington v. Harper, 4, 495, 520, 551–552,
577, 589, 650, 665, 670, 688, 691,
694–695, 701, 770–772, 774–775, 778,
788, 790, 797, 1074, 1083
Whitt v. Indiana, 214
Wilkinson v. Austin, 442
Williams v. Vincent, 18, 478, 483, 517
Wilson v. Seiter, 88, 486, 490, 492, 496–498,
500, 513, 538–539, 866, 967
Youngberg v. Romeo, 88, 215, 387, 395, 412,
416, 423, 634, 642–643, 652, 658, 661,
673, 679, 684, 846, 897–900, 902

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Lisa Ruff, our research assistant, for her truly phenomenal (there is no other word) assistance in every phase of this book. It is an overused cliché, but, truly, we could not have done this without her. We also wish to thank Katrice Ayarza for her flawless administrative support. Finally, we wish to thank Deans Richard Matasar and Stephen Ellmann for their encouragement and on-going support.

About the Authors

Michael L. Perlin is Professor of Law at New York Law School (NYLS), director of NYLS's Online Mental Disability Law Program, and director of NYLS's International Mental Disability Law Reform Project in its Justice Action Center. He is also an Adjunct Professor at NYU Medical Center and the University of Rochester Medical Center. Formerly the Director of the Division of Mental Health Advocacy in the NJ Department of the Public Advocate, and Deputy Public Defender in charge of the Mercer County (Trenton) NJ Office of the Public Defender, he now serves on the Board of Advisors of Mental Disability Rights International, and on the Board of Directors of the International Academy of Law and Mental Health. He has also recently served as a member of the Board of Advisors of the Correctional Association of New York's Mental Health Services project.

Henry A. Dlugacz is an adjunct professor at New York Law School, at St. John's University School of Law, and an assistant clinical professor of psychiatry and behavioral Sciences at New York Medical College. He is an attorney, psychiatric social worker and consultant in private practice in New York City, specializing in mental disability issues. His work includes the monitoring of complex, federal class action litigations related to forensic psychiatric hospitals and correctional mental health. Before entering private practice exclusively, Prof. Dlugacz served as Director of Mental Health for the St. Vincent's Hospital Correctional Health Program, Assistant Program Director for the St. Vincent's Hospital Correctional Health Program, an expert consultant and mediator for the Special Master appointed by the Chief Judge of the District of New Mexico in a multi-party class action litigation. As an attorney and psychiatric social worker, he has performed similar court-appointed duties in cases in numerous jurisdictions around the country and lectured on this topic in national and international forums.