Celebrity Rights

Rights of Publicity and Related Rights in the United States and Abroad

David S. Welkowitz

Professor of Law Whittier Law School

Tyler T. Ochoa

Professor of Law Santa Clara University School of Law

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2010 David S. Welkowitz Tyler T. Ochoa All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-59460-657-1 LCCN: 2009939230

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Table of Illustrations	xi
Table of Cases	xiii
Table of Secondary Authorities	xvii
Foreword	xix
Chapter I • Introduction: The Origins of Rights of Publicity	3
A. The Development of Rights of Publicity in the United States	3
Roberson v. Rochester Folding Box Co.	3
Notes and Questions	12
Pavesich v. New England Life Ins. Co.	14
Edison v. Edison Polyform Mfg. Co.	26
Notes and Questions	27
O'Brien v. Pabst Sales Co.	29
Notes and Questions	30
Haelan Laboratories, Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc.	31
Notes and Questions	34
Stephano v. News Group Publications, Inc.	36
Notes and Questions	39
B. Rationales for Recognizing Rights of Publicity	40
Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Players Association	40
Notes and Questions	42
C. Foundations of Rights of Publicity and Related Rights Outside	
the United States	44
Irvine v. Talksport, Ltd.	44
Notes and Questions	51
Krouse v. Chrysler Canada, Ltd.	52
Notes and Questions	59
Henderson v. Radio Corporation Pty. Ltd.	60
Notes and Questions	63
Problem 1	63
Eric H. Reiter, Personality and Patrimony:	
Comparative Perspectives on the Right to One's Image	64
Elisabeth Logeais & Jean-Baptiste Schroeder, The French Right	
of Image: An Ambiguous Concept Protecting the Human Persona	66
Stephen R. Barnett, "The Right to One's Own Image":	
Publicity and Privacy Rights in the United States and Spain	67
Susanne Bergmann, Publicity Rights in the United States	
and in Germany: A Comparative Analysis	70
Notes and Questions	72

vi CONTENTS

Chapter II • Issues of Identification	/3
A. Domestic Law	73
Motschenbacher v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.	73
Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc.	77
Midler v. Ford Motor Co.	87
White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	90
Notes and Questions	97
B. Comparative Law	101
Joseph v. Daniels	101
Holdke v. The Calgary Convention Centre Authority	104
Notes and Questions	106
10th Cantanae Pty. Ltd. v. Shoshana Pty. Ltd.	107
Notes and Questions	116
Problem 2	117
Susanne Bergmann, Publicity Rights in the United States	
and in Germany: A Comparative Analysis	117
Silvio Martuccelli, The Right of Publicity under Italian Civil Law	118
Notes and Questions	118
Chapter III • The Scope of Celebrity Rights	119
A. Characters and Personas	119
Lugosi v. Universal Pictures	119
McFarland v. Miller	124
Groucho Marx Prods. v. Day and Night Company, Inc.	127
Notes and Questions	129
B. Celebrity Impersonators	132
Estate of Presley v. Russen	132
Joplin Enterprises v. Allen	139
Notes and Questions	140
Allen v. National Video, Inc.	141
Notes and Questions	146
Problem 3	147
C. Comparative Law	148
Pacific Dunlop Ltd. v. Hogan	148
Notes and Questions	162
Chapter IV • Post-Mortem Rights of Publicity	163
A. Domestic Law	163
Memphis Development Foundation v. Factors Etc., Inc.	163
State ex rel. Elvis Presley Int'l Memorial Foundation v. Crowell	167
Lugosi v. Universal Pictures	168
Martin Luther King Center for Social Change, Inc. v.	
American Heritage Products, Inc.	177
Notes and Questions	184
Shaw Family Archives, Ltd. v. CMG Worldwide, Inc.	186
Notes and Questions	193
B. Comparative Law	197
Elisabeth Logeais & Jean-Baptiste Schroeder, The French Right of	
Image: An Ambiguous Concept Protecting the Human Persona	197

CONTENTS	3711
CONTENTS	V11

Gould Estate v. Stoddart Publishing Co.	20
Marlene Dietrich	205
Notes and Questions	214
Chapter V • Alternative Means of Protection: Celebrities as Trademarks	217
A. Domestic Law	217
1. Introduction	217
2. Registration Issues	219
In re Debbie Sauer	220
Notes and Questions	223
In re MC MC S.r.l.	224
Notes and Questions	226
3. Infringement Issues: Registered and Unregistered Marks	226
ETW Corp. v. Jireh Publishing, Inc.	227
Notes and Questions	230
Problem 4	232
Allen v. National Video, Inc.	232
Notes and Questions	235
B. Comparative Law	236
Elvis Presley Enterprises, Inc. v. Sid Shaw Elvisly Yours	236
Notes and Questions	247
Problem 5	252
Chapter VI • Internet Domain Names and Rights of Publicity	255
A. Introduction	255
B. Arbitration under the UDRP	256
Julia Fiona Roberts v. Russell Boyd	258
Israel Harold Asper v. Communication X Inc.	260
Notes and Questions	270
Friends of Kathleen Kennedy Townsend v. B. G. Birt	270
Notes and Questions	272
C. The Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act	272
1. Provisions Specific to the Protection of Individuals	272
2. General Provisions of the ACPA	273
Chapter VII • Newsworthiness as an Exception to Rights of Publicity	275
A. News Reporting and the First Amendment	275
Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co.	275
Notes and Questions	28
B. Defamation, Newsworthiness, and Rights of Publicity	282
1. Introduction: Constitutional Limits on Defamation and Privacy	282
2. Case Law: Newsworthiness	284
Eastwood v. Superior Court	284
Notes and Questions	29
Eastwood v. National Enquirer, Inc.	292
Notes and Questions	298
Messenger v. Gruner + Jahr Printing and Publishing	300
Notes and Questions	307
C. Other Uses of Newsworthy Information	

viii CONTENTS

C.B.C. Distribution and Marketing, Inc. v. Major League Baseball	
Advanced Media, L.P.	308
Notes and Questions	311
Chapter VIII • Celebrity Rights and Freedom of Expression in the United States	315
A. Works of Art	315
Comedy III Prods. Inc. v. Gary Saderup, Inc.	315
ETW Corp. v. Jireh Publishing, Inc.	326
Notes and Questions	338
B. Parody and Satire	341
White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	341
White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	343
Notes and Questions	349
Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Players Association	352
Notes and Questions	363
Winter v. DC Comics	364
Doe v. TCI Cablevision	368
Notes and Questions	374
Parody, Satire, and Political Speech	375
Problem 6	376
C. Other Free Expression Issues	376
1. Works of Fiction	376
Guglielmi v. Spelling-Goldberg Prods.	376
Tyne v. Time Warner Entertainment Co.	384
Notes and Questions	385
2. News vs. Advertising: Noncommercial vs. Commercial Speech	387
Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.	387
Stephano v. News Group Publications, Inc.	394
Notes and Questions	395
Problem 7	397
Chapter IX • Celebrity Rights and Freedom of Expression Outside the	
United States	399
A. Introduction	399
B. The European Convention on Human Rights	399
Von Hannover v. Germany	400
Notes and Questions	414
C. The United Kingdom	415
Timothy Pinto, The Influence of the European Convention	
on Human Rights on Intellectual Property Rights	415
Campbell v. MGN Limited	423
Notes and Questions	442
D. Canada and Australia	444
Aubry v. Éditions Vice-Versa Inc.	444
Notes and Questions	454
Australian Broadcasting Corp. v. Lenah Game Meat Pty. Ltd.	456
Notes and Questions	462
E. Free Speech in Other Countries	463
Elisabeth Logeais & Jean-Baptiste Schroeder, The French Right of	
Image: An Ambiguous Concept Protecting the Human Persona	463

CONTENTS ix

Stephen R. Barnett, "The Right to One's Own Image":	
Publicity and Privacy Rights in the United States and Spain	464
Edward J. Eberle, Human Dignity, Privacy, and Personality	
in German And American Constitutional Law	467
Deborah Fisch Nigri & Silvia Regina Dain Gandelman,	
The Right of Publicity in the Brazilian Legal System	468
Notes and Questions	469
Total and Questions	10)
Chapter X • Preemption	471
Baltimore Orioles, Inc. v. Major League Baseball Players Association	472
Toney v. L'Oreal USA, Inc.	480
Laws v. Sony Music Entertainment, Inc.	483
Wendt v. Host Int'l, Inc.	492
Wendt v. Host Int'l, Inc.	493
Wendt v. Host Int'l, Inc.	497
Notes and Questions	500
1000 4114 Q400120110	200
Chapter XI • Other Defenses to Rights of Publicity	505
A. Consent	505
Miller v. Glenn Miller Prods.	505
Notes and Questions	514
B. First-Sale Doctrine	515
Allison v. Vintage Sports Plaques	515
Notes and Questions	519
C. Abandonment and Fair Use	520
Abdul-Jabbar v. General Motors Co.	520
Notes and Questions	528
D. Statutes of Limitations and Laches	530
Christoff v. Nestlé USA, Inc.	530
Notes and Questions	539
Notes and Questions	333
Chapter XII • Jurisdiction and Choice of Law	541
A. Jurisdiction in the United States	541
1. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction	541
2. Personal Jurisdiction	541
Chang v. Virgin Mobile USA, LLC	542
Notes and Questions	547
B. Choice of Law in the United States	548
1. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	548
2. Choice of Law Principles	548
Schumann v. Loew's Inc.	549
Factors Etc., Inc. v. Pro Arts, Inc.	553
Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co.	560
Notes and Questions	565
C. Jurisdiction and Choice of Law in the European Union	567
1. Jurisdiction	567
2. Choice of Law	568

x CONTENTS

5/1
571
572
572
576
577
581
581
583
583
583
584
584
585
587
587
588
588
588
590
592
592
593
594
594
595
596
602
602
605
605
605
606
607
611

Table of Illustrations

Figure 1-1.	Franklin Mills Flour. From the Collection of The Public Library of Cincinnati and Hamilton County	4
Figure 1-2.	The advertisement in Pavesich	15
Figure 1-3.	Label for Edison's Polyform	28
Figure 1-4.	1952 Topps Mickey Mantle Rookie Card	32
Figure 1-5.	The "Best Bets" column in Stephano	37
Figure 2-1.	Lothar Motschenbacher in his McLaren M8C Chevrolet Image Courtesy Artemis Images/Raymond Golub Memorial Collection	74
Figure 2-2.	Johnny Carson in ad for Johnny Carson Apparel	78
Figure 2-3.	Logo for Here's Johnny Portable Toilets	79
Figure 2-4.	The advertisement in Newcombe	99
Figure 2-5.	Body builder and kitten. Photo courtesy of Brent Daniels	102
Figure 3-1.	Bela Lugosi as Dracula (1931) Courtesy of Bela G. Lugosi. All Rights Reserved.	120
Figure 3-2.	The National Video advertisement	142
Figure 3-3.	The M&M and the Naked Cowboy	148
Figure 4-1.	The Elvis Presley memorial statue in Memphis Photograph courtesy of Michelle Doscher.	165
Figure 4-2.	The American Heritage advertisement	178
Figure 4-3.	Marilyn Monroe on the set of <i>The Seven Year Itch</i> © 1954 Sam Shaw, Inc., Photo by Sam Shaw. Courtesy of the Shaw Family Archives, Ltd.	187
Figure 5-1.	The proposed "Bo Ball" trademark	221
Figure 5-2.	The Zidane Head-Butt	252
Figure 5-3.	The proposed Head-Butt "trademark"	253
Figure 7-1.	An Advertisement for Hugo Zacchini, The Human Cannonball	276
Figure 7-2	Exhibit A in <i>Eastwood I</i>	290

Figure 7-3.	Exhibit B in Eastwood I	291
Figure 7-4.	Figure 1 in Eastwood II	297
Figure 7-5.	Figure 2 in Eastwood II	298
Figure 7-6.	The "Love Crisis" column in Messenger	301
Figure 8-1.	Saderup's portrait of The Three Stooges	326
Figure 8-2.	The Masters of Augusta by Rick Rush	328
Figure 8-3.	Vanna White	350
Figure 8-4.	Ms. C3PO?	351
Figure 8-5.	Front of "Treasury Bonds" card	354
Figure 8-6.	Back of "Treasury Bonds" card	354
Figure 8-7.	Volume 4 of <i>Jonah Hex</i>	367
Figure 8-8.	"Tony Twist" from Spawn	369
Figure 8-9.	The Schwarzenegger bobblehead doll (Photo by Eric B. Johnson)	377
Figure 8-10.	Rudolph Valentino in <i>The Sheik</i> (1921)	379
Figure 8-11.	Dustin Hoffman as Tootsie	388
Figure 8-12.	The altered photo in Los Angeles Magazine	391
Figure 10-1.	"Hank" and "Bob"	494
Figure 11-1.	Glenn Miller	506
Figure 12-1.	Virgin Australia ad. Photo by Brenton Cleeland. http://flickr.com/sesh00	543
Figure 12-2.	Robert Schumann (1810–1856). Portrait by Carl Jaeger.	550
Figure 12-3.	"In Memory" of Elvis Presley	554

Table of Cases

10th Cantanae Pty. Ltd. v. Shoshana Pty. Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Ltd., 107-116 Players Assocation, 40–42, 352–363 Abdul-Jabbar v. General Motors Co., Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc., 77-87, 235, 592-593 520-528 Adobe Systems, Inc. v. One Stop Micro, C.B.C. Distribution & Marketing, Inc. v. Major League Baseball Advanced Inc., 520 Adobe Systems, Inc. v. Stargate Software, Media, 308-311 Inc., 520 CBS Interactive, Inc. v. National Football League Players Ass'n, 312 Ali v. Playgirl, Inc., 588–590 Allen v. National Video, 141-145, 232-235 Chang v. Virgin Mobile USA, LLC, 542-547, 591 Allison v. Vintage Sports Plaques, 515–519 Chaplin v. Amadour, 130-131 American Rice, Inc. v. Producers Rice Mill, Inc., 592 Christoff v. Nestle USA, Inc., 530-539, 583 Andretti v. Borla Performance Industries, Cie Generale des Etablissements Michelin-Michelin & Cie v. C.A.W. Canada, 454-Inc., 577-581 Apple Corps. Ltd. v. A.D.P.R., 141 Apple Corps. Ltd. v. Leber, 141 Comedy III Productions, Inc. v. Gary Asper v. Communication X Inc., 260–270 Saderup, Inc., 315–326 Association Pour la Defense et la Promo-Comedy III Productions, Inc. v. New Line tion de L'oeuvre de Marc Chagall Dite Cinema, 231 Comite Marc Chagall v. Bondarchuk, Commerce Union Bank v. Coors of the Cumberland, Inc., 566 Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps, Ltd., Curran v. Amazon.com, Inc., 40 107 Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts, 283 Aubry v. Editions Vice-Versa, Inc., 444–454 Doe v. McFarlane, 375 Australian Broadcasting Corp. v. Lenah Doe v. TCI Cablevision, 368–374 Game Meats Pty. Ltd., 456-462 Douglas v. Hello! Ltd., 442-443, 569, 571, Australian Competition & Consumer Com-581-583 mission v. Chen, 596-602 Dr. Seuss Enterprises, L.P. v. Penguin Books Baltimore Orioles, Inc. v. Major League USA, Inc., 364 Baseball Players Ass'n, 472–480 Eastwood v. National Enquirer, Inc., Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co., 292-298 341 Eastwood v. Superior Court, 284–291 Bobbs-Merrill Co. v. Straus, 520 eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC, 571 Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co., 560-565, 566 Edison v. Edison Polyform Mfg. Co., 26–27 Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 364 Elvis Presley Enterprises, Inc. v. Jelcic, 252 Campbell v. MGN Ltd., 423–442 Elvis Presley Enterprises, Inc. v. Sid Shaw

Elvisly Yours, 236–247

Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins, 36, 548 Estate of Presley v. Russen, 132–139, 185 ETW Corp. v. Jireh Publishing, Inc., 227-230, 326-338 Facenda v. NFL Films, Inc., 396 Factors Etc., Inc. v. Pro Arts, Inc., 553-560 Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., 43 Fiorucci v. OHIM, 251 Friends of Kathleen Kennedy Townsend v. Birt, 270-272 Frosch v. Grosset & Dunlap, 131-132, 386 Gionfriddo v. Major League Baseball, 310, Gould Estate v. Stoddart Publishing Co., 201-205 Groucho Marx Prods. v. Day & Night Company, Inc., 127-129, 186 Guglielmi v. Spelling-Goldberg Prods., 132, 376 - 384Haelan Laboratories, Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc., 31-34 Halicki v. United Artists Communications, Inc., 235 Henderson v. Radio Corporation Pty. Ltd., Herman Miller, Inc. v. Palazzetti Imports & Exports, Inc., 593 Hicks v. Casablanca Records, 132, 387 Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc., 387-394 Holdke v. Calgary Convention Centre Authority, 104-106 Horton v. Tim Donut, Ltd., 60 Hustler Magazine v. Falwell, 283 In re Farley's Application, 251 In re Golden Books Entertainment, Inc., 514 In re Kinski-Klaus.de, 216 In re MC MC S.r.l., 224–226 In re Junior Golf Co., 223 In re Sauer, 220-222 In re Sloppy Joe's International, Inc., 223 In the Matter of Application No. 2117532 by Corsair Toiletries Ltd. to Register the Mark Jane Austen, 248

Irvine v. Talksport, Ltd., 44-51

520

Jazz Photo Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,

Joplin Enterprises v. Allen, 139–140 Joseph v. Daniels, 101-104 Kirby v. Sega of America, Inc., 584, 585 Klaxon v. Stentor Electric Mfg. Co., 97, 548 Krouse v. Chrysler Canada, Ltd., 52-59 Lahr v. Adell Chemical Co., 89 Lancaster v. Factors Etc., Inc., 566 Lauf v. Life Extension Foundation, 40 Laws v. Sony Music Entertainment, Inc., 483 - 492Lear v. Adkins, 313 Lee v. A.R.T. Co., 520 Lloyd Corp. v. Tanner, 330, 339 Lombardo v. Doyle, Dane & Bernbach, Inc., 146-147 Los Angeles News Service v. Reuters Television Int'l, Ltd., 591 Louis Vuitton Malletier S.A. v. Haute Diggity Dog, LLC, 363 Lucien Picard Watch Corp. v. Since 1868 Crescent Corp., 223 Lugosi v. Universal Pictures, 119-123, 168 - 177Marlene Dietrich, 205–214 Martin Luther King Center for Social Change, Inc. v. American Heritage Products, Inc., 177-184 McBee v. Delica Co., Ltd., 592 McFarland v. Miller, 124-126, 185 Memphis Development Foundation v. Factors Etc., Inc., 163-167, 554 Messenger v. Gruner – Jahr Printing & Publishing, 300-306 Midler v. Ford Motor Co., 87-90 Miller v. Glenn Miller Prods., 505-514, 540 Miller v. Universal Pictures Co., 146 Milton H. Greene Archives, Inc. v. CMG Worldwide, Inc., 195 Mirage Editions, Inc. v. Albuquerque A.R.T. Co., 520 Montana v. San Jose Mercury News, Inc., Motschenbacher v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 73-77 Musidor BV v. Tansing, 251 Myskina v. Conde Nast Publications, Inc.,

Newcombe v. Adolf Coors Co., 98–99

New Kids on the Block v. News America Publishing, Inc., 523–524, 529

New York Magazine v. Metro. Transp. Auth., 375

New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 283 O'Brien v. Pabst Sales Co., 29–30

Onassis v. Christian Dior N.Y. Inc., 144

Pacific Dunlop Ltd. v. Hogan, 148–162

Parks v. LaFace Records, 340

Pavesich v. New England Life Ins. Co., 14–26

Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy, 592

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals v. Berosini, 40

Perry Education Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators Ass'n, 338

Quality King Distributors, Inc. v. L'anza Research Int'l, Inc., 520

Roberson v. Rochester Folding Box Co., 3–12

Roberts v. Boyd, 258-260

Rock & Roll Hall of Fame & Museum, Inc. v. Gentile Prods., 231

Rogers v. Grimaldi, 230, 330, 340

Ross v. Analytical Technology, Inc., 223

Ruiz-Picasso v. OHIM, 251

Sallen v. Corinthians Licenciamentos Ltda., 274

Sarl Louis Feraud Int'l v. Viewfinder, Inc., 594–595

Schumann v. Loew's Inc., 549–553

Shaw Family Archives, Ltd. v. CMG Worldwide, Inc., 186–193, 196

Shevill v. Presse-Alliance SA, 568

Silhouette Int'l Schmied GmbH v. Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH, 520

Sim v. H.J. Heinz & Co., 52

Sinatra v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 88, 236

Softman Prods. Co. v. Adobe Systems, Inc., 520

Source Perrier (Societe Anonyme) v. Fira-Less Marketing Co., Ltd., 454

State ex rel. Elvis Presley Int'l Memorial Foundation v. Crowell, 167–168

Steele v. Bulova Watch Co., 591

Stephano v. News Group Publications, Inc., 36–39, 394–395

Storey v. Cello Holdings, LLC, 274

Subafilms, Ltd. v. MGM-Pathe Communications Co., 591

Taylor v. National Broadcasting Co., 385 Time, Inc. v. Hill, 283

Toffoloni v. LFP Publishing Group, LLC, 307

Toney v. L'Oreal USA, Inc., 480–483 Town & Country Properties, Inc. v. Riggir

Town & Country Properties, Inc. v. Riggins, 397

Traicoff v. Digital Media, Inc., 514

Tyne v. Time Warner Entertainment Co., 384–385

UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Augusto, 519

Vanity Fair Mills v. T. Eaton Co., 592

Vernor v. Autodesk, Inc., 520

Von Hannover v. Germany, 400-414

Waits v. Frito-Lay, Inc., 236, 572-576

Ward v. Rock Against Racism, 339

Wendt v. Host International, Inc., 131, 235, 349, 492–500

White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 90–96, 341–349, 375–376, 502–504

Winter v. DC Comics, 364-367

Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 571

Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co., 275–281

Table of Secondary Authorities

- Stephen R. Barnett, "The Right to One's Own Image": Publicity and Privacy Rights in the United States and Spain, 47 Am. J. Comp. L. 555 (1999), 67–70, 464–467
- Ellen S. Bass, A Right in Search of a Coherent Rationale—Conceptualizing Personal in a Comparative Context: The United States Right of Publicity and German Personality Rights, 42 U.S.F. L. Rev. 799 (2008), 72
- Susanne Bergmann, Publicity Rights in the United States and in Germany: A Comparative Analysis, 19 Loy. L.A. Ent. L.J. 479 (1999), 70–72, 117
- Stacey L. Dogan & Mark A. Lemley, What the Right of Publicity Can Learn from Trademark Law, 58 Stan. L. Rev. 1161 (2006), 42, 43
- Edward J. Eberle, Human Dignity, Privacy, and Personality in German and American Constitutional Law, 1997 Utah L. Rev. 963, 467–468
- Jack H. Friedenthal, Mary Kay Kane & Arthur Miller, CIVIL PROCEDURE (4th ed. 2005), 97
- Dorothy Glancy, Privacy and the Other Miss M, 10 N. Ill. U. L. Rev. 401 (1990), 27
- Krissy Geary, *Tribute Bands: Flattering Imitators or Flagrant Infringers*, 29 S. Ill. U. L. Rev. 481 (2005), 141
- Mark F. Grady, A Positive Economic Theory of the Right of Publicity, 1 UCLA Ent. L. Rev. 97 (1994), 43
- Daniel J. Kornstein, *The Roberson Privacy Controversy*, [2006] Hist. Soc'y of the Cts. of the State of N.Y., Issue 4 at 3, 14
- Mark A. Lemley, Ex Post Versus Ex Ante Justifications for Intellectual Property, 71 U. Chi. L. Rev. 129 (2004), 43
- Elizabeth Logeais & Jean-Baptiste Schroeder, *The French Right of Image: An Ambiguous Concept Protecting the Human Persona*, 18 Loy. L.A. Ent. L.J. 511 (1998), 66–67, 197–201, 463–464
- Michael Madow, *Private Ownership of Public Image: Popular Culture and Publicity Rights*, 81 Cal. L. Rev. 127 (1993), 42–43
- Silvio Martuccelli, *The Right of Publicity under Italian Civil Law*, 18 Loy. L.A. Ent. L.J. 543 (1998), 118
- J. Thomas McCarthy, The Rights of Publicity and Privacy (2d ed. 2008), xi, 39, 185, 539
- Deborah Fisch Nigri & Silvia Regina Dain Gandelman, *The Right of Publicity in the Brazilian Legal System*, 18 Loy. L.A. Ent. L.J. 469 (1998), 468–469
- Melville B. Nimmer, The Right of Publicity, 19 L. & Contemp. Probs. 203 (1954), 34–35
- Tyler T. Ochoa, *Dr. Seuss, The Juice and Fair Use: How the Grinch Silenced a Parody*, 45 J. Copyr. Soc'y USA 546 (1998), 364

- Tyler T. Ochoa, *Introduction: Tiger Woods and the First Amendment*, 22 Whittier L. Rev. 381 (2000), 340
- Timothy Pinto, *The Influence of the European Convention on Human Rights on Intellectual Property Rights*, 24 E.I.P.R. 209 (2002), 415–422
- Richard A. Posner, Economic Analysis of Law (7th ed. 2007), 43
- William L. Prosser, *Privacy*, 48 Cal. L. Rev. 383 (1960), 35–36
- Eric H. Reiter, Personality and Patrimony: Comparative Perspectives on the Right to One's Own Image, 76 Tul. L. Rev. 673 (2002), 64–66
- Jennifer E. Rothman, Copyright Preemption and the Right of Publicity, 36 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 199 (2002), 504
- Eugene F. Scoles, Peter Hay, Patrick J. Borchers & Symeon C. Symeonides, Conflict of Laws (4th ed. 2004)
- Symposium, The Schwarzenegger Bobblehead Case, 45 Santa Clara L. Rev. 547 (2005), 376
- John A. Tessensohn & Shusaku Yamamoto, *Japan: Trade Marks—Registration of Famous Persons' Names*, [2001] E.I.P.R. N136, 251
- David S. Welkowitz, *Preemption, Extraterritoriality, and the Problem of State Antidilution Laws*, 67 Tulane L. Rev. 1 (1992), 504, 594
- David S. Welkowitz, Trademark Dilution: Federal, State and International Law (2002 & 2008 Supp.), 231
- David S. Welkowitz & Tyler T. Ochoa, *The Terminator as Eraser: How Arnold Schwarzeneg*ger Used the Right of Publicity to Terminate Non-Defamatory Political Speech, 45 Santa Clara L. Rev. 651 (2005), 283, 299, 375
- Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Edward H. Cooper, Federal Practice & Procedure (2009)
- Diane Leenheer Zimmerman, Amicus Curiae Brief of Seventy-Three Law Professors in Support of Jireh Publishing, Inc., 22 Whittier L. Rev. 391 (2000), 340–341

Foreword

In our celebrity-obsessed culture, it cannot be denied that celebrities are big business. Sports stars such as Tiger Woods are paid millions of dollars to endorse a wide variety of products and services, and often earn more from their endorsements than they do from their sporting prowess. Actors, singers and other performers are paid millions of dollars to appear in films and on television, to make recordings, and to endorse products or services. Supermodels are paid millions of dollars to have their photographs taken and published in various states of dress and undress. Tabloid newspapers and gossip magazines pay millions of dollars for the right to publish photos of celebrities and celebrity offspring, while paparazzi make a living by stalking celebrities and taking candid photos which they sell to the highest bidder. Manufacturers make millions of dollars selling products—everything from t-shirts and posters to coffee mugs, dart boards and bobblehead dolls—adorned with celebrity likenesses. Even dead celebrities can earn millions of dollars annually when their heirs lend their fame to various commercial enterprises.

The legal foundation for all of this economic activity is the right of publicity. The right of publicity can be defined simply as "the inherent right of every human being to control the commercial use of his or her identity." J. Thomas McCarthy, The Rights of Publicity and Privacy § 1:3 (2d ed. 2008). Technically speaking, however, there is not one right of publicity but many, since the right of publicity is protected in the United States only as a state-law right (although various aspects of the right of publicity may also be protected under federal trademark and unfair competition law, as we will see). These rights help insure that celebrities get paid for their labors in accumulating fame and bestowing the benefit of that fame upon various products and services.

As of 2008, at least 28 states recognize a right of publicity (10 states as a common-law right, 10 states as a statutory right, and 8 states as both). McCarthy, §6:3. In addition, all states recognize a common-law or statutory right of privacy, which generally provides at least some protection against commercial appropriation of a celebrity's identity. And yet, despite widespread agreement that celebrities should be paid for their endorsements, rights of publicity are often shrouded in controversy. How far should rights of publicity extend? Should a tabloid newspaper be prohibited from featuring a celebrity on its cover without that celebrity's consent? Can a local television station show a clip of a performance at the county fair of a human cannonball without his consent? May an artist create and sell pictures of celebrities without their consent? To what extent should celebrity impersonators be permitted to imitate others in advertisements and performances? Should rights of publicity endure after the death of a celebrity; and if so, for how long?

Moreover, although courts in the United States may consider the right of publicity to be an inherent right, the same consensus is not necessarily shared in other countries of the world. As you will see, a number of countries protect celebrities from the use of their names and likenesses in situations that lawyers in the United States would recognize as sim-

xx FOREWORD

ilar, if not identical, to our "right of publicity." However, the rationales used in other countries differ significantly from our conception of the "right of publicity," which sometimes leads to differing results. Obviously, it is impossible to canvass all of the countries of the world to determine which ones grant such rights, and to what extent. However, these materials are designed to give you some idea of the range of issues contested in the United States, and a taste of how other countries address those issues.

The purpose of these materials, therefore, is twofold. First, these materials are designed to give you a thorough understanding of rights of publicity as they are understood in the United States. Therefore, each chapter begins with materials from courts and/or legislatures in the United States. Second, these materials allow you to compare the analysis and conclusions of courts and legislatures in the United States with those in other countries. Again, these comparisons are only selected samples of what is done elsewhere. Moreover, because of language issues, some of the material concerning other countries is presented via commentary from knowledgeable academics and practitioners.

Although any significant discussion of other legal systems is beyond the scope of these materials, you should understand that not all countries have legal systems that mirror the system in the United States. In the United Kingdom and in many countries in which British influence is strong, such as Canada and Australia, the common-law system of legal development through case law is an important aspect of the legal system. (As in the United States, however, those systems are also increasingly governed by statutory schemes. Moreover, because the United Kingdom is part of the European Union, there is now a strong European influence in many aspects of British law, particularly in intellectual property.) Other countries, such as France, are governed by a civil law tradition, in which civil codes set forth various rights and responsibilities. In these countries (at least in theory), it is the codes that govern civil wrongs, and relatively less importance is placed on case law. However, some of the provisions of those codes are relatively broad, which has allowed courts to interpret those provisions in ways that in many respects are similar to rights of publicity in the United States.

As you read the materials, do not just seek the results of the cases. You should attempt to develop a sense of the differences in the various legal systems and traditions that lead courts in different directions. Often courts in different countries may reach similar results, but they arrive at those results from very different premises. It is important that you recognize those differences as we go through the course. Consider for yourself how courts in other countries might decide some of the difficult issues faced by courts in the United States.