# John Chipman Gray

## John Chipman Gray

### The Harvard Brahmin of Property Law

#### Gerald Paul Moran

Professor of Law Florida Coastal School of Law

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

#### Copyright © 2010 Gerald Paul Moran All Rights Reserved

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Moran, Gerald Paul.

John Chipman Gray : the Harvard Brahmin of property law / Gerald Paul Moran.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-59460-398-3 (alk. paper)

1. Gray, John Chipman, 1839-1915--Biography. 2. Lawyers--Massachusetts--Biography. I. Title.

KF368.G73M67 2010 340.092--dc22 [B]

2010007568

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

#### For Judith K. Moran

I was fortunate to have a muse who sparked the light in my early years in high school. She was also my high school girlfriend and who, to my delight, became some forty-six years later my wife. It is to her that I dedicate this book with special love and everlasting appreciation.

### **Contents**

Illustrations	xi
Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	XV
Chapter 1 • John Chipman Gray and the Rule Against Perpetuity	3
General Introduction	3
Twentieth-Century Perspective on the RAP	5
The Culture of the Boston Brahmin	7
Gray's Philosophical Approach to the Law	9
Cultural Influences of Gray as Related to the RAP	15
Symbiotic Relationship of Gray and the RAP	17
Summary Preamble	21
Chapter 2 • Gray's Cultural Experience and Formulation of the RAP	25
The Gray Family Tree	31
Trouble in the Financial World of Horace Gray Sr.	35
Impact of Father's Insolvency on Gray's Articulation of the RAP	39
Chapter 3 • Scholarly Influence of Half-Brother	
Justice Horace Gray Jr.	45
Distant in Birth but Identical in Interpretation of the Common Law	45
Parallel Pathways on Interpretation of the Common Law	49
Modus Operandi of the Gray Brothers	55
A Case of Financial Inheritance for the Descendants of William Gray	62
Judicial Criticism by the Gray Brothers	64
The Attack of the <i>Dred Scott</i> Decision	64
Chief Justice Doe's Decision Providing Relief from the RAP	65
Chapter 4 • The Early Years	69
Academic Study	69
Intervention of the Civil War	69
Grav's Entry into the Civil War	73

viii CONTENTS

Chapter 5 • Practice of Law and Wedded Bliss	83
The Mating Season: Readjustment to Civilian Life	83
Spring of 1865: The Dance of Rivalry, Courtship, and Mating	89
Marriage to Anna Lyman Mason: Perfect Spouse, Friend, and	
Participant in the Gilded Age	94
Transition from Military Service to Private Practice of Law:	
Creation of Ropes & Gray	98
Addendum: The Establishment of Judicial Law Clerks	102
Chapter 6 • Harvard Law School Years	105
Eliot: Guiding Innovator of the Academic Law School	105
Gray's Appointment to the Law School Faculty	107
Law as Science: Langdellian Innovation under Eliot's Supervision	115
Relation of Case Method to Socratic Dialogue	121
The Invention of the Academic Lawyer	124
Faculty Conflict and Gray's Plea to Eliot	127
Gray and University Relations	136
Gray Teaching Style Unaffected by Case Method and	
Socratic Dialogue	137
Gray and Student Relations	146
Chapter 7 • Gray as Philosopher in the Age of Classical Formalism	155
Gray as Legal Philosopher	155
Harvard Law School and Its Scientific Modality	158
Impact of Law Practice on Gray's Philosophy	163
Gray as an Incipient Legal Realist	166
Categorizing Gray's Philosophical Offerings	168
Gray's Treatises on the Law	171
Interpretive Analysis of Gray's Philosophy	173
Resolution of Gray's Philosophical Analysis	177
Chapter 8 • Consideration of Restraints on the Alienation of	
Property and the Spendthrift Provision	181
Gray's Separation of the RAP and Restraints on Alienation	181
Gray's Attack on the Enforceability of the Spendthrift Provision	183
Footnote to Legal History	185
Pain of the Judicial Invention of the Spendthrift Doctrine	186
Law as the Source of Secular Morality for Gray	188

CONTENTS	177
CONTENTS	1.7

Chapter 9 • Gray and His Contemporary Critics	195	
Sir Howard Warburton Elphinstone		
H. W. Challis	200	
Charles Sweet	201	
Judge Jabez Fox	207	
Albert Martin Kales	211	
The Gray and Kales Dialogue	218	
Sidebar: W. Barton Leach on the Class Gift Rule	223	
Endgame	225	
Chapter 10 • Americanization of the Rule Against Perpetuities	227	
Legal Education and Student Indoctrination of the Modern RAP	231	
Leach: De Novo Criticism of Gray's RAP	236	
Revision of Gray's RAP by the American Law Institute and		
Adoption of Modified USRAP	241	
Public Choice Theory and Repeal and/or Modification of the RAP	246	
The Reigning Oracle of the RAP	251	
Chapter 11 • The Modern Rule Against Perpetuities:		
Direct Derivative of the Common Law Rules		
Against Perpetuities	253	
Impact of Historical Context on the Creation, Application, and		
Comprehension of Property Rules	253	
Common Law Rules against Perpetuity (CLRAP)	259	
Contemporary Relevance of the RAP: Uncertain and Questionable	268	
Final Denouement of the RAP?	271	
Chapter 12 • Conclusion	273	
Appendix A • Butler's Note	283	
Appendix B • Students' Letter to Gray	289	
Appendix C • Gray's Appreciation of Students' Letter		
Bibliography	295	
Index	311	

### Illustrations

(1883–1913), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	
John Chipman Gray, Royall Professor of Law (1883–1913), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	148
The young Miss Nina Lyman Mason [Courtesy of Jeffrey B. Gray, Esq. & Roland Gray III, Esq.].	148
Horace Gray Jr., Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	149
John Codman Ropes (circa 1870), co-founder of the prestigious law firm, Ropes & Gray [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	149
John Chipman Gray upon graduating Harvard College, 1859 [Harvard University Archives, call # HUP Gray, John Chipman(1)].	150
Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr. upon graduating Harvard College, 1861 [Harvard University Archives, call # HUP Holmes, Oliver Wendell Jr. (1)].	150
Mary (Minnie or Minny) Temple, age 16, with her hair cut short (1861) [bMs Am 1092.9 (4597) No. 16, Houghton Library, Harvard University].	150
Mary Temple, age 24 (1869), one year before her death [pfMs Am 1094 (Box 3 #29, Houghton Library, Harvard University].	150

Harvard Law School Faculty, 1901: (top row, left to right) John Chipman Gray, Joseph Brannan, Jeremiah Smith, Samuel Williston, Eugene Wambaugh, Dean (Emeritus) Christopher Columbus Langdell; (bottom row, left to right) Jens Iverson Westengard, Joseph Henry Beale, Edward Henry Strobel, James Bradley Thayer, Dean James Barr Ames [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	151
Christopher Columbus Langdell, Dean (1870–1895), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	151
James Barr Ames, Harvard Law School Dean 1895–1910 [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	151
James Bradley Thayer (circa 1885), Royall Professor of Law (1874–1883), Weld Professor of Law (1883–1902), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	152
William Albert Keener, Story Professor of Law (1888–1890), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	152
Albert Martin Kales, Visiting Professor (1916–1917), Harvard Law School [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	152
Maj. John Chipman Gray, Civil War (served 1862–1865) [Courtesy of Jeffrey B. Gray, Esq. & Roland Gray III, Esq.].	153
Nina Lyman Gray [Courtesy of Jeffrey B. Gray, Esq. & Roland Gray III, Esq.].	153
Mrs. Nina Lyman Gray (1922) [Courtesy of Historical & Special Collections, Harvard Law School Library].	153

### **Preface**

This project started when I was involved with the discussion of whether Ohio should enact a statutory modification to the Rule Against Perpetuities (RAP) for the purpose of allowing the establishment of private trusts to be exempt from the limitations imposed by this ancient rule of law. Recognizing that a number of other states had taken the action for commercial reasons, I was interested in the current function of the rule. Intuitively, it did not appear that there was a current reason for the continuance of the RAP. Yet, to repeal a rule which is so embedded in legal education seemed like a monumental event. A brief review of the legal literature did not provide a current basis for its continuance.

My primary teaching experience at that time was in the area of taxation and my practice involved tax controversies against or as a former representative of the Internal Revenue Service. A comprehensive study of the matter would take an enormous amount of time. Several years later, I retired, as a professor emeritus, from the University of Toledo College of Law and accepted a position with Florida Coastal School of Law. In time, I decided to teach property law and slowly drifted into a comprehensive study of the development of the RAP. It is a complex area of law which calls for extended study. Reading the history of the common law of property, reviewing original cases of importance, and law review articles from the late nineteenth century to the present led me to question the hand of the distinguished Professor John Chipman Gray, as he was the principal marketing agent of the RAP in the United States. He, along with Lord Chancellor Nottingham and Lord Kenyon (as well as others), shaped the contours of the RAP. Gray interpreted the RAP in his famous treatise on the RAP in a very dogmatic and restrictive fashion. Because Gray's treatise on the RAP was, and is, universally accepted, it became obvious that one could not study the RAP as a legal abstraction apart from understanding the life and times of Gray himself.

The more I backed into the study of his life, the more I realized the intrinsic relationship of the man to his RAP. This historical essay is intended to pro-

xiv PREFACE

vide that context and also to suggest that there is no present reason for the continued hegemony of Gray's RAP. John Chipman Gray is and continues to be a special scholar. He did so much in his life: soldier in the Civil War, principal participant in the ascension of Harvard Law School in the late nineteenth century, practitioner of the law extraordinaire, founder of one of the major law firms in the United States (Ropes & Gray), founding Editor of *American Law Review*, member of a very distinguished family, and scholar of the first order. As a Brahmin, Gray was trained to be a perfectionist, and certainly fulfilled that mission.

What follows here is an extended essay on the man, John Chipman Gray. The object here is not to exempt private trusts from legal accountability, but to suggest continued utilization of his RAP as achieving that goal constitutes reliance on a custom instilled in legal education for far too long. A rule of law formed when real estate was the foundation of wealth and future interests in dirt were conveyed in kind has little relationship to the availability of a modern complex trust (funded with stocks, bonds, and other intangible assets) that possesses an arsenal of powers exercisable by an active and accountable trustee. I leave it to you to agree or disagree, however, that determination cannot be made without a focus on the hand applying the RAP.

### Acknowledgments

I take note of the valuable contributions of the many legal scholars on the common law and express deep respect for their dedicated work in providing a comprehensive narrative of the past. I am fully indebted to their contribution to the goal of attempting to establish a rational framework of the law of property. Although I may personally decry the fiction of the exclusively rational development and/or application of the rules of the common law in the Blackstonian rendition, I would have nothing to address but for the discipline and debt of the massive scholarship produced by the masters of the common law such as Maitland, Pollock, Plucknett, Holdsworth, Baker, Milsom and others of the English sort, as well as numerous American scholars. I owe and express special recognition to the historical scholarship of A. W. Brian Simpson. Also especially important to my study of John Chipman's family was the information provided by Stephen Robert Mitchell's doctorate dissertation (1961) on Horace Jr. Mitchell's dissertation provided important data as it relates to my analysis of the relationship between the two brothers and the enormous impact that the relationship with Horace had on Gray and his scholarship.

The scholarship of Steven A. Siegel on Gray has been also helpful in the development of my thesis although there are differences in our perspective of Gray. Bruce A. Kimball's extensive study on the life and contributions of Christopher Columbus Langdell, the first dean of Harvard Law School, is also noteworthy and particularly relevant. Kimball's scholarship will no doubt secure renewed recognition for the significance of Langdell's contributions to the development of modern legal education. He has "ably rescued Langdell from posterity's condescension." And further, I foremost acknowledge and continue to celebrate the illuminating scholarship, superb insight, and tortuous witticisms of W. Barton Leach whose diligent efforts alone revealed the

<sup>1.</sup> Bruce A. Kimball, The Inception of Modern Professional Education C.C. Langdell, 1826–1906 (2209).

<sup>2.</sup> Christopher Tomlins, *Book Review: The Inception of Modern Professional Education*, 59 J. Legal Educ. 657, 662 (2010).

RAP for what it was and remains: an oath of institutional allegiance on the part of the academic community to the mysticism of the legal past.

This extended essay could not have been accomplished without the generous financial summer research grants of Florida Coastal Law School. Dean Peter Goplerud and his administration provided needed resources for employment of several outstanding research assistants. I would particularly note the able assistance of the following past students who have aided me in this endeavor: Lance Neff, JD, Patrick J. Kelly, MD, JD, Catherine Micaud, JD, and Jennifer Shoaf, JD. In addition, I would like to give special appreciation for the editorial and research work of Kyle S[t]ill, JD. Mr. Sill has separately published an article regarding the RAP and its application to commercial transactions; notwithstanding, his contrary conclusion that "the elusive rule against perpetuities will not be going away any time soon." In addition, the assistance of former and present faculty assistants, Holly Bolinger, MaryBeth Evans, and Patricia Maroney, has been invaluable. I further deeply appreciate the editorial assistance and guidance provided by Sondra Greenfield.

I also express deep appreciation for the generous and caring services of the staff of the Florida Coastal School of Law Library and Technology Center, under the leadership of Nickie Singleton, Director, and Martha Smith, Associate Director. In addition, the willingness of Professor Christopher Roederer to read a rough first draft must be more than noted. I equally appreciate the research assistance of the Houghton Library and Harvard Archives of Harvard College and their permission to publish selected portions of materials contained in the correspondence file of Gray as well as letters in the presidential files. My appreciation also extends to the quality of assistance provided by the Harvard Law School Library and the generous services provided by its staff, particularly Lesley Schoenfeld.

Others participants in my life who have added greatly to my sense of exploration include Myrtle Loughney, Professor William H. Osterle (my mentor at the University of Scranton), Donald Keune, Esq., Joseph Patrick Moran (my brother, in whose steps and *direction* I have followed), Nora Kay Zelizer, Ph.D., my special step-children and their progeny, and finally my parents, Evelyn Murray Moran and Joseph Aloysius Moran. Each in different ways has added to my quest for understanding the experience of life.

I must also recognize and express deep appreciation for the willing assistance of Jeffrey B. Gray, Esq., and Roland Gray III, Esq., the great-grandsons of Gray. They openly shared the collected personal and private narrative of

<sup>3.</sup> Kyle B. Sill, Note, *Is RAP Gone? How* Old Port Cove Holdings, Inc. *Still Leaves RAP Alive in Florida*, 10 Fla. Coastal L. Rev. 491, 504 (2009).

their Gray ancestors. In addition, they provided previously unpublished family pictures of Gray and his wife, Nina. It is not surprising to note Jeffrey and Roland count seven generations of American lawyers in the Gray family dynasty.<sup>4</sup> Nicolas Gray, one of the latest family members of the bar and the great-great-grandson of Gray, made available several memorandums setting forth unique information regarding the careers of John Chipman and Horace Jr.

Finally, Gray was a man of enormous talent and energy and his contribution to the formation of a principled system of property law will be with us forever. Nothing stated herein should be interpreted to the contrary. The spirit of Gray's intelligence and relentless dedication to a legal system by which members of society are held accountable to his form of secular morality will resonate with me and others throughout time. Although Gray's original version of the RAP has been modified, his vision as the Harvard Brahmin on the law of property will be preserved in perpetuity. I hope that this essay will add to his record of exceptional achievement.

<sup>4.</sup> An account of the lawyer tradition of the Gray family was published in the Massachusetts Lawyer Weekly. *See* Dick Dahl, *The Long Gray Line*, 18 Mass. Law. Wkly. 1661, 1666 (May 1991).