

**Principles and Practice
of Maryland
Administrative Law**

Principles and Practice of Maryland Administrative Law

Arnold Rochvarg

UNIVERSITY OF BALTIMORE SCHOOL OF LAW

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2011
Arnold Rochvarg
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Rochvarg, Arnold.

Principles and practice of Maryland administrative law / Arnold Rochvarg.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-1-61163-055-8 (alk. paper)

1. Administrative law--Maryland. 2. Administrative procedure--Maryland. 3. Judicial review of administrative acts--Maryland. 4. Maryland. Office of Administrative Hearings--Rules and practice. I. Title.

KFM1640.R63 2011

342.752'06--dc23

2011019452

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To Courtney and Molly

Contents

Preface	xv
Chapter 1 • Introduction	3
§ 1.1. What Is Administrative Law?	3
§ 1.2. Administrative Agencies	5
§ 1.3. Sources of Administrative Law	6
§ 1.4. Maryland APA	6
Chapter 2 • The Rulemaking Process	9
§ 2.1. Introduction	9
§ 2.2. What Is a Regulation?	9
§ 2.3. Substantive Regulations	10
§ 2.4. Interpretive Regulations	10
§ 2.5. Procedural Regulations	11
§ 2.6. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR)	11
§ 2.7. Maryland Register	13
§ 2.8. The APA Rulemaking Process	14
§ 2.9. The Proposed Rule	14
§ 2.10. AELR Review	16
§ 2.11. Withdrawal of Proposed Regulation	17
§ 2.12. Economic Impact Statement	18
§ 2.13. Notice of Adoption	18
§ 2.14. Modification and Reproposal	19
§ 2.15. APA Exceptions to Required Procedures	21
§ 2.16. Emergency Adoption of Regulations	22
§ 2.17. Internal Management Exception	24
§ 2.18. Judicial Challenges to What Is a Regulation	27
§ 2.19. Granting an Appropriate Remedy	32
Chapter 3 • Judicial Review of a Regulation	33
§ 3.1. How to File a Challenge to a Regulation in Court	33
§ 3.2. APA Grounds to Invalidate a Regulation	34
§ 3.3. Challenges to the Constitutionality of a Regulation	34
§ 3.4. Separation of Powers	34
§ 3.5. Other Constitutional Challenges to Regulations	36
§ 3.6. In Excess of Statutory Authority	37
§ 3.7. Improper Procedure	38
§ 3.8. Bias Challenges to Regulations	38
§ 3.9. Substantial Evidence Review of Regulations	39
§ 3.10. Arbitrary or Capricious Review of Regulations	40

§ 3.11.	Judicial Deference in Judicial Review of Regulations	41
§ 3.12.	Judicial Review of a Regulation as Part of Judicial Review of a Contested Case	42
Chapter 4 • Contested Cases: Right to a Contested Case Hearing		45
§ 4.1.	Quasi-Judicial and Quasi-Legislative Proceedings	45
§ 4.2.	Right to Contested Case Hearing Under the APA	47
§ 4.3.	No Contested Case Hearing Required	48
§ 4.4.	Contested Case Hearing Required	49
§ 4.5.	Special Statutory Provisions Limiting a Contested Case Hearing	50
§ 4.6.	Due Process Right to a Hearing	51
§ 4.7.	Flexible Due Process	53
§ 4.8.	Summary Suspension	55
Chapter 5 • Contested Cases: General Procedural Matters		57
§ 5.1.	Notice of Agency Action	57
§ 5.2.	Notice of Hearing	58
§ 5.3.	Representation: Maryland Attorneys	58
§ 5.4.	Representation: Out-of-State Attorneys	59
§ 5.5.	Non-Attorney Representation	60
§ 5.6.	Pro Se Representation	61
§ 5.7.	Agency Subpoenas	62
§ 5.8.	Refusal to Issue a Subpoena	64
§ 5.9.	Enforcement of Subpoenas	64
§ 5.10.	Discovery	66
§ 5.11.	Statute of Limitations	66
§ 5.12.	Intervention	67
§ 5.13.	Open Hearings	67
§ 5.14.	Ashbacker Doctrine/Comparative Hearings	68
§ 5.15.	Mental Competency	68
§ 5.16.	Right to Jury Trial	69
§ 5.17.	Interpreters	70
§ 5.18.	Electronic Hearings	70
§ 5.19.	Substitution of Presiding Officer and the Institutional Decision	71
§ 5.20.	Decisionmaking In Cases Not Delegated by APA Agencies to OAH	72
§ 5.21.	Reconsideration	73
Chapter 6 • Contested Cases: Evidentiary Principles		75
§ 6.1.	Privilege	75
§ 6.2.	Irrelevant	76
§ 6.3.	Immaterial	76
§ 6.4.	Incompetent	77
§ 6.5.	Unduly Repetitious	78
§ 6.6.	Probativity	78
§ 6.7.	Authentication	79
§ 6.8.	Expunged Records	79
§ 6.9.	Illegally Seized Evidence	80
§ 6.10.	Prior Criminal Cases	81
§ 6.11.	Hearsay	83

§ 6.12. Missing Witness Rule	86
§ 6.13. Official or Administrative Notice	87
§ 6.14. Reopening the Hearing for Additional Evidence	89
§ 6.15. Exclusiveness of the Record	90
§ 6.16. Ex Parte Communications	91
Chapter 7 • Contested Cases: Standard of Proof and Findings	93
§ 7.1. Standard of Proof	93
§ 7.2. The Findings Requirement	95
§ 7.3. Findings Requirement and the Sanction	97
Chapter 8 • Contested Cases: Res Judicata, Collateral Estoppel and Double Jeopardy	99
§ 8.1. Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel	99
§ 8.2. Two Administrative Proceedings	100
§ 8.3. Administrative Contested Case Followed by Judicial Proceeding	101
§ 8.4. Judicial Proceeding Followed by Contested Case	104
§ 8.5. Intra-Agency Decisions	107
§ 8.6. Law of the Case	107
§ 8.7. Double Jeopardy	108
Chapter 9 • Contested Cases: Office of Administrative Hearings	113
§ 9.1. History	113
§ 9.2. Organization of OAH	113
§ 9.3. OAH Rules of Procedure	114
§ 9.4. OAH Power to Hold Contested Case Hearings	115
§ 9.5. Statutory Delegation to OAH	116
§ 9.6. Workload of OAH	117
Chapter 10 • Contested Cases: The OAH Hearing Process	121
§ 10.1. Initial OAH Involvement	121
§ 10.2. Notice of Hearing	122
§ 10.3. Assignment of ALJs	122
§ 10.4. Entry of Appearance	123
§ 10.5. Service	123
§ 10.6. Computing Time	124
§ 10.7. Pre-Hearing Motions	124
§ 10.8. Expedited Hearings	125
§ 10.9. Postponements	125
§ 10.10. Disqualification of ALJ	126
§ 10.11. Substitution of ALJ	127
§ 10.12. Intervention	128
§ 10.13. Pre-Hearing Conferences	128
§ 10.14. Discovery	129
§ 10.15. Subpoenas	129
§ 10.16. Stipulations	131
§ 10.17. Prefiled Testimony	131
§ 10.18. Official Notice	132
§ 10.19. Waiver of Hearing	132

§ 10.20. Waiver of Right to Appear at Hearing	132
§ 10.21. Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution	133
§ 10.22. Default Order for Failure to Attend or Participate	133
§ 10.23. Venue	133
§ 10.24. Flexible Due Process and Formality of the Hearing	134
§ 10.25. The Hearing	134
§ 10.26. Open Hearings	135
§ 10.27. Telephone Hearings	136
§ 10.28. Video Hearings	136
§ 10.29. ADA Compliance	137
§ 10.30. Evidence	137
§ 10.31. Exclusion of Witnesses	137
§ 10.32. Interpreters	138
§ 10.33. Motion for Judgment	138
§ 10.34. The Record	138
§ 10.35. ALJ Decisions	139
§ 10.36. Revision	140
§ 10.37. Stays	140
§ 10.38. Cases Remanded to OAH	140
§ 10.39. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) at OAH	141
§ 10.40. Foreclosure Mediation	141
Chapter 11 • Contested Cases: OAH Proposed Decisions and the Exceptions Process	143
§ 11.1. Exceptions Regulations	143
§ 11.2. Scope of Exceptions Process	143
§ 11.3. Standard of Review in the Exceptions Process	144
§ 11.4. Expert Witnesses and the Anderson-Shrieves Doctrine	147
§ 11.5. Approaches in Federal and Other State Cases	149
§ 11.6. Modification of the Exceptions Process by Regulation or Statute	149
Chapter 12 • Contested Cases: MVA Hearings at OAH	151
§ 12.1. Flexible Due Process	151
§ 12.2. The Hearing	152
§ 12.3. Implied Consent Cases	153
Chapter 13 • Judicial Review: Procedural Matters	155
§ 13.1. Non-Reviewability and Inherent Power of Review	155
§ 13.2. Non-Reviewability and Committed to Agency Discretion by Law	158
§ 13.3. Non-Reviewability and Intra-Government Disputes	159
§ 13.4. Original Jurisdiction in the Circuit Court	159
§ 13.5. Forms of Review	162
§ 13.6. The Petition for Judicial Review	162
§ 13.7. Filing	163
§ 13.8. Time Limits	164
§ 13.9. Other Petitions for Review	165
§ 13.10. Response to Petition	165
§ 13.11. Preliminary Motions	166
§ 13.12. Stays	167

§ 13.13. Transmittal of Agency Record	167
§ 13.14. Memoranda	169
§ 13.15. Mandamus	170
§ 13.16. Administrative Mandamus	170
§ 13.17. Traditional Mandamus	171
§ 13.18. Discovery	172
§ 13.19. Attempts to Question the Agency Decision-Maker	173
§ 13.20. Circuit Court Judicial Review Hearing	175
§ 13.21. De Novo Trial	175
§ 13.22. Presentation of New Evidence at Circuit Court Hearing	176
§ 13.23. Remand for New Evidence at the Agency	177
§ 13.24. Scope of Circuit Court Review	177
§ 13.25. Circuit Court Order	178
§ 13.26. Petition for Civil Enforcement	179
§ 13.27. Reimbursement for Litigation Expenses	180
§ 13.28. Judicial Review in Court of Special Appeals	180
§ 13.29. No Court of Special Appeals Review	182
§ 13.30. Judicial Review in the Court of Appeals	183
Chapter 14 • Judicial Review: Proper Parties and Timing	185
§ 14.1. Standing	185
§ 14.2. Being a Party	185
§ 14.3. Aggrieved	186
§ 14.4. Special Statutory Standing	187
§ 14.5. Standing of the Agency to Obtain Judicial Review	188
§ 14.6. Sua Sponte Rulings on Standing	189
§ 14.7. Finality	190
§ 14.8. Review of Interlocutory Orders	191
§ 14.9. Exhaustion	192
§ 14.10. The No Agency Jurisdiction Claim	193
§ 14.11. Statutory Interpretation	195
§ 14.12. Constitutional Challenges	195
§ 14.13. Inadequate or Unauthorized Procedures	196
§ 14.14. Inadequate Remedy	197
§ 14.15. The Constitutional Exception	198
§ 14.16. Integral Component Exception	200
§ 14.17. Exhaustion Doctrine Applied Against the State	200
§ 14.18. Federal Case Law	201
§ 14.19. Primary Jurisdiction	201
§ 14.20. Ripeness	206
Chapter 15 • Judicial Review: Grounds for Reversal and Deference	209
§ 15.1. APA and Non-APA Grounds for Reversal	209
§ 15.2. Deference	210
Chapter 16 • Judicial Review: Unconstitutional	211
§ 16.1. Deference	211
§ 16.2. Separation of Powers	212
§ 16.3. Due Process—Bias	214

§ 16.4. OAH ALJ Bias	215
§ 16.5. Financial Bias	215
§ 16.6. Personal Bias	216
§ 16.7. Prejudgment Bias	218
§ 16.8. Rule of Necessity	219
§ 16.9. Due Process—Combination of Functions	220
§ 16.10. Equal Protection—Selective Enforcement	222
§ 16.11. Due Process—Void for Vagueness	223
§ 16.12. Avoidance of Constitutional Issues	224
Chapter 17 • Judicial Review: Substantial Evidence Review	225
§ 17.1. Findings of Fact	225
§ 17.2. Successful Substantial Evidence Challenges	227
§ 17.3. Inferences from the Facts	229
§ 17.4. Mixed Questions of Law and Fact	230
§ 17.5. The Banks Case	232
Chapter 18 • Judicial Review: Exceeds Statutory Authority or Jurisdiction	235
§ 18.1. Mixed Questions of Law and Fact and Excess of Statutory Authority or Jurisdiction	235
§ 18.2. Pure Issues of Law	236
§ 18.3. The No Deference Approach	236
§ 18.4. Liquor Board Cases	238
§ 18.5. Deferential Approach	239
Chapter 19 • Judicial Review: Errors of Law	243
§ 19.1. Deference	243
§ 19.2. The No-Deference Cases	245
§ 19.3. The “Some Deference” Approach	247
§ 19.4. Chevron Deference	249
§ 19.5. Agency Interpretation of Its Regulations	250
§ 19.6. Deference and Auer	252
§ 19.7. Noland Footnote 3	252
Chapter 20 • Judicial Review: Arbitrary or Capricious	255
§ 20.1. A Case-by-Case Reasonableness Review	255
§ 20.2. MTA v. King	258
§ 20.3. Agency Refusal to Act	259
Chapter 21 • Judicial Review: Abuse of Discretion	263
§ 21.1. Reasonableness	263
§ 21.2. MTA v. King	263
§ 21.3. Cases Reviewing Agency’s Discretion	264
§ 21.4. Agency Decision to Proceed by a Contested Case Instead of Rulemaking	266
Chapter 22 • Judicial Review: Improper Procedure	271
§ 22.1. APA Agencies	271
§ 22.2. Non-APA Agencies	272
§ 22.3. Agency Failure to Adopt Procedural Regulations	273

Chapter 23 • Judicial Review of the Sanction	275
§ 23.1. Grounds to Challenge the Sanction	275
§ 23.2. Delambo	276
§ 23.3. Noland	277
§ 23.4. Why Noland Should Be Abandoned	278
§ 23.5. MTA v. King and Disproportionality	282
§ 23.6. Federal Cases and Disproportionality	283
§ 23.7. Other State Cases and Disproportionality	285
§ 23.8. Maryland Cases and Review of the Sanction	287
§ 23.9. Proper Analysis	288
Chapter 24 • Judicial Review: Prejudicial Error	289
§ 24.1. The Prejudice Requirement	289
§ 24.2. Must the Agency Prove Prejudice?	290
Chapter 25 • Declaratory Rulings	293
§ 25.1. APA Provisions	293
§ 25.2. When Is a Declaratory Ruling Appropriate?	294
§ 25.3. Declaratory Ruling or Rulemaking?	295
§ 25.4. Declaratory Ruling Regulations	297
Appendix I • Administrative Procedure Act—Regulations	299
Appendix II • Administrative Procedure Act—Contested Cases	311
Appendix III • Administrative Procedure Act—Declaratory Rulings	327
Appendix IV • Office of Administrative Hearings—Maryland Code	329
Appendix V • Office of Administrative Hearings—Rules of Procedure	335
Appendix VI • Judicial Review of Administrative Agency Decisions— Maryland Rules	351
Appendix VII • Administrative Mandamus—Maryland Rules	357
Table of Cases	359
About the Author	375
Index	377

Preface

Administrative Law has a pervasive role in the practice of law. Lawyers not familiar with the principles of Administrative Law will not be able to fully provide effective representation to their clients. It is not just in those cases that might be labeled “Administrative Law” cases where knowledge of Administrative Law is required. For example, many cases which may be more properly described as criminal, family or personal injury also require knowledge of Administrative Law. This book, therefore, is not just for lawyers who work for government agencies or who represent private clients who have regular dealings with government agencies, but also for those lawyers whose law practices do not regularly handle cases which involve the government.

Administrative Law impacts important individual rights and societal values. Lawyers must understand Administrative Law in order to fully protect the rights of their clients. Lawyers who work for government agencies must understand Administrative Law in order to help further the important economic and social policies which agencies create and enforce. Judges must understand Administrative Law to ensure that important public policy goals can be achieved without violating individual rights.

This book is descriptive, analytical, and prescriptive. Maryland Administrative Law is of relatively recent development and will continue to be a dynamic area of law into the foreseeable future. Although this book is thorough, lawyers must also be prepared to read the actual cases, the statutes, and the regulations which control the decision in the case. The Appendices to the book provide the practicing lawyer with most of the primary sources needed to represent a client before the Office of Administrative Hearings and administrative agencies, as well as in the courts upon judicial review.

I would like to thank J. Bernard McClellan, an administrative law judge at the Office of Administrative Hearings, and Alan Lazerow, a former student, for helpful comments on an earlier draft of the book. I also express my thanks to three students at the University of Baltimore School of Law, Erin Gzrech, Emily Keller and Kerri Smith for their research and production assistance. Above all, I want to thank my assistant, Laurie Schnitzer, for her amazing efforts in preparing the numerous drafts and final manuscript of this book.

I welcome comments and suggestions for updates and future editions. I can be reached at the University of Baltimore School of Law by email at arochvarg@ubalt.edu.

