

# **Products Liability Law**

*Carolina Academic Press  
Law Advisory Board*



Gary J. Simson, Chairman  
*Dean, Mercer University School of Law*

Raj Bhala  
*University of Kansas School of Law*

Davison M. Douglas  
*Dean, William and Mary Law School*

Paul Finkelman  
*Albany Law School*

Robert M. Jarvis  
*Shepard Broad Law Center  
Nova Southeastern University*

Vincent R. Johnson  
*St. Mary's University School of Law*

Peter Nicolas  
*University of Washington School of Law*

Michael A. Olivas  
*University of Houston Law Center*

Kenneth L. Port  
*William Mitchell College of Law*

H. Jefferson Powell  
*Duke University School of Law*

Michael P. Scharf  
*Case Western Reserve University School of Law*

Peter M. Shane  
*Michael E. Moritz College of Law  
The Ohio State University*

# Products Liability Law

---

Cases, Commentary, and Conundra

---

**Tim Kaye**

PROFESSOR,  
STETSON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2012  
Timothy S. Kaye  
All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-59460-842-1  
LCCN: 2012940585

Carolina Academic Press  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Cover photo credits: bakery display © Missing35mm/iStockphoto; prescription bottle  
© DNY59/iStockphoto; wood chipper © kryczka/iStockphoto

Printed in the United States of America

# Summary of Contents

---

Part I: The Development of Products Liability Law	
Chapter 1 • An Introduction to Products Liability Law	3
Chapter 2 • The Historical Origins of Products Liability Law	39
Chapter 3 • Warranties	55
Chapter 4 • Misrepresentation	85
Chapter 5 • Negligence	125
Part II: Product Defects	
Chapter 6 • Manufacturing Defects	141
Chapter 7 • Design Defects	175
Chapter 8 • Failures to Warn	223
Part III: Causation	
Chapter 9 • Causation in Fact	261
Chapter 10 • Asbestos-Related Claims	293
Part IV: Affirmative Defenses	
Chapter 11 • Defenses Based on the Victim's Own Conduct	323
Chapter 12 • Lapse of Time	349
Chapter 13 • Contract-Based Defenses	367
Part V: Procedural Issues	
Chapter 14 • Expert Evidence	385
Chapter 15 • Mass Torts Litigation Devices	407
Part VI: Role of Federal Law	
Chapter 16 • Defendants in Bankruptcy Protection	445
Chapter 17 • Pre-Emption by Federal Law	467
Part VII: Damages	
Chapter 18 • Damages	505
Index	553



# Contents

---

List of Figures	xix
Table of Cases	xxi
Table of Statutes and Other Authorities	xxxv
Preface	xxxvii
Acknowledgments	xli

## Part I: The Development of Products Liability Law

<b>Chapter 1 • An Introduction to Products Liability Law</b>	<b>3</b>
Elements and Themes	3
A Product	6
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	6
Notes	7
<i>Way v. Boy Scouts of America</i>	9
Notes	10
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	11
<i>Jimenez v. Superior Court</i>	12
Notes	14
<i>Board of Education of City of Chicago v. A, C And S, Inc.</i>	15
Questions	17
Sale or Other Distribution	18
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	18
Notes	18
Uniform Commercial Code—Article 2—Sales (1952)	19
Note	19
<i>Agurto v. Guhr</i>	19
Notes	22
Questions	22
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastrò</i>	22
Questions	25
Types of Defects	26
Notes	27
Causation of Physical Harm	28
<i>Jimenez v. Superior Court</i>	29
<i>Gunkel v. Renovations, Inc. and J &amp; N Stone, Inc.</i>	31
Questions	33
<i>Frank v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.</i>	33
Note	35

A Consumer or Reasonably Foreseeable Third Party	36
<i>Stegemoller v. A, C And S, Inc.</i>	36
Notes	37
Questions	38
<b>Chapter 2 • The Historical Origins of Products Liability Law</b>	39
Contracts and the Doctrine of Privity	39
<i>Winterbottom v. Wright</i>	39
Notes	42
<i>Mega Life and Health Ins. Co. v. Superior Court</i>	43
Notes	44
Sheldon Gardner & Robert Kuehl, Acquiring an Historical Understanding of Duties to Disclose, Fraud, and Warranties	46
Notes	47
Questions	48
Overcoming Caveat Emptor	48
Andrew Fede, Legal Protection for Slave Buyers in the U.S. South: A Caveat Concerning Caveat Emptor	50
Notes	52
Questions	53
<b>Chapter 3 • Warranties</b>	55
Express Contractual Warranties	55
<i>Hawkins v. Pemberton</i>	55
Notes	56
Uniform Commercial Code— Article 2— Sales (1952)	58
Notes	58
<i>Stang v. Hertz Corp.</i>	59
Questions	60
<i>Torres v. Northwest Engineering Co.</i>	60
Notes	62
Questions	62
Implied Contractual Warranties	63
Jane Stapleton, Product Liability	63
Notes	64
Uniform Commercial Code— Article 2— Sales (1952)	64
Notes	65
Uniform Commercial Code— Article 2— Sales (1952)	65
Notes	66
Question	68
Hypothetical	68
Warranties to Third Parties	68
<i>Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc.</i>	69
Notes	72
Uniform Commercial Code— Article 2— Sales (1966)	75
Notes	75
Questions	77
Exclusions and Limitations	78
<i>Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc.</i>	78
Note	80



Uniform Commercial Cod—Article 2—Sales (1952)	80
Notes	81
Questions	84
Hypothetical	84
<b>Chapter 4 • Misrepresentation</b>	85
Introduction	85
Fraudulent Misrepresentation (Deceit)	87
<i>Derry v. Peek</i>	88
Note	89
Restatement (Second) Torts (1977)	89
Notes	90
Dee Pridgen & Ivan L. Preston, Enhancing the Flow of Information in the Marketplace: From Caveat Emptor to Virginia Pharmacy and Beyond at the Federal Trade Commission	90
Questions	92
Notes	92
<i>Tietzworth v. Harley Davidson</i>	93
Questions	98
Notes	99
Restatement (Second) Torts (1977)	99
Notes	99
Questions	100
Negligent Misrepresentation	100
Restatement (Second) Torts (1977)	101
<i>Hanberry v. Hearst Corporation</i>	101
Question	103
Reliance on Misrepresentation	103
Restatement (Second) Torts (1977)	104
<i>Wennerholm v. Stanford University School of Medicine</i>	105
Notes	106
<i>Williams v. Philip Morris</i>	106
Notes	110
<i>Buckman v. Plaintiffs' Legal Committee</i>	111
Notes	112
<i>Conte v. Wyeth</i>	113
Notes	116
Questions	119
Innocent Misrepresentation	119
Restatement (Second) Torts (1977)	119
<i>Crocker v. Winthrop Laboratories</i>	120
Notes	122
Question	122
Hypothetical	122
<b>Chapter 5 • Negligence</b>	125
Overview	125
Denis W. Boivin, Strict Products Liability Revisited	125
Note	126
The Duty of Care to Consumers	126

Restatement (Second) of Torts (1965)	127
Notes	127
Standard of Care and Breach of Duty	128
Restatement (Second) of Torts (1965)	128
Notes	128
Res ipsa loquitur and the Fault Requirement	130
Restatement (Second) of Torts (1965)	130
Notes	131
<i>Rizzo v. Corning, Inc.</i>	131
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	132
Questions	132
Negligence per se	133
<i>Dougherty v. Santa Fe Marine, Inc.</i>	135
Comparing Three Forms of Action	136
Questions	138
Hypothetical	138
<b>Part II: Product Defects</b>	
<b>Chapter 6 • Manufacturing Defects</b>	141
From Caveat Emptor in Contracts to Strict Liability in Torts	141
Richard C. Ausness, From Caveat Emptor to Strict Liability: A Review of Products Liability in Florida	141
Strict Products Liability for Food	142
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	142
Notes	142
<i>Schafer v. J.L.C. Food Systems, Inc.</i>	142
Notes	146
Strict Products Liability at Large	147
<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co.</i>	147
Notes	148
<i>Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc.</i>	149
Notes	150
Restatement (Second) of Torts (1965)	151
Notes	151
Questions	153
<i>Cronin v. J.B.E. Olson Corp.</i>	153
Questions	156
Strict Products Liability and Breach of Warranty	156
<i>Seely v. White Motor Co.</i>	156
<i>Denny v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	159
Notes	164
Question	165
Manufacturing Defects	165
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	165
Notes	165
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	166
<i>Allenberg v. Bentley Hedges Travel Serv., Inc.</i>	166
Notes	168

<i>Gaumer v. Rossville Truck and Tractor Co., Inc.</i>	169
Notes	171
Questions	171
Economic Loss Rule	172
<i>Jimenez v. Superior Court</i>	172
Questions	174
Hypothetical	174
<b>Chapter 7 • Design Defects</b>	175
Three Categories of Product Defect	175
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	176
Notes	176
Consumer Expectations Test	179
<i>Soule v. General Motors Corp.</i>	180
<i>Green v. Smith &amp; Nephew A.H.P., Inc.</i>	182
Questions	187
Note	187
Consumer Protection Act 1987 (United Kingdom)	187
Notes	188
Questions	188
Risk-Utility and Reasonable Alternative Design	189
<i>Roach v. Kononen</i>	189
Notes	190
<i>Ray v. BIC Corp.</i>	192
<i>Vautour v. Body Masters Sports Industries, Inc.</i>	195
Notes	198
Questions	200
Roles of Judge and Jury	200
<i>Moyer v. United Dominion Industries, Inc.</i>	200
Notes	203
Substantial Modification	204
<i>Matthews v. Remington Arms Co., Inc.</i>	204
Notes	206
Questions	208
Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices	208
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	209
Notes	209
Questions	210
The “State of the Art”	211
<i>Boatland of Houston, Inc. v. Valerie Bailey</i>	211
Notes	214
Questions	215
Subsequent Remedial Measures	215
Questions	219
Ultrahazardous Products	219
Paul R. Bonney, Manufacturers’ Strict Liability for Handgun Injuries: An Economic Analysis	220
Notes	221
Questions	221
Hypothetical	222

<b>Chapter 8 • Failures to Warn</b>	223
Is There a Duty to Warn at All?	223
Restatement (Second) of Torts (1965)	224
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	224
Notes	224
<i>Mills v. Giant of Maryland, LLC</i>	225
Notes	226
<i>O’Neil v. Crane Co.</i>	227
Questions	228
Notes	228
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	228
Notes	229
<i>Greene v. A.P. Products Ltd.</i>	233
Notes	238
Questions	239
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	239
Notes	240
<i>Dietz v. Smithkline Beecham Corp.</i>	241
Notes	242
<i>Hyman &amp; Armstrong, P.S.C. v. Gunderson</i>	243
Questions	245
Is There a Post-Sale Duty to Warn?	245
Question	247
<i>Northstar &amp; Assoc. v. W.R. Grace &amp; Co.</i>	247
<i>Patton v. Hutchinson Wil-Rich Manufacturing Co.</i>	248
Notes	252
<i>Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corporation</i>	253
<i>Cigna Insurance Co. v. OY Saunatec, Ltd.</i>	255
Hypothetical	257
<b>Part III: Causation</b>	
<b>Chapter 9 • Causation in Fact</b>	261
Basic Doctrine	261
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	261
Notes	262
General Causation	263
<i>In Re: Meridia Products Liability Litigation</i>	264
Michael D. Green, D. Michal Freedman & Leon Gordis, Reference Guide on Epidemiology	265
Notes	267
Questions	269
Troyen A. Brennan, Causal Chains and Statistical Links: The Role of Scientific Uncertainty in Hazardous-Substance Litigation	269
Question	273
Specific Causation	273
Vern R. Walker, Restoring the Individual Plaintiff to Tort Law by Rejecting “Junk Logic” about Specific Causation	273
Note	275
Questions	276

Thomas O. McGarity, Proposal for Linking Culpability and Causation to Ensure Corporate Accountability for Toxic Risks	276
Questions	278
Note	278
<i>Crowston v. Goodyear Tire &amp; Rubber Company</i>	278
Question	280
Donald G. Gifford, The Challenge to the Individual Causation Requirement in Mass Products Torts	280
Notes	283
Questions	284
<i>James v. Bessemer Processing Co., Inc.</i>	284
Hypothetical	291
<b>Chapter 10 • Asbestos-Related Claims</b>	293
Signature Diseases	293
<i>Borel v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp.</i>	294
Questions	296
Market Share Liability	296
<i>Black v. Abex Corporation</i>	297
Questions	300
Relaxing Rules of Causation in Other Ways	300
Jane Stapleton, Two Causal Fictions at the Heart of U.S. Asbestos Doctrine	300
Notes	305
Question	306
Contribution to Risk	306
Questions	307
<i>Herber v. Johns-Manville Corp.</i>	308
Notes	311
Questions	312
<i>Pustejovsky v. Rapid-American Corporation</i>	312
Questions	315
Stiffening the Rules of Causation	316
<i>Borg-Warner Corporation v. Flores</i>	316
Questions	319
Hypothetical	319
<b>Part IV: Affirmative Defenses</b>	
<b>Chapter 11 • Defenses Based on the Victim's Own Conduct</b>	323
Affirmative Defenses	323
Defenses Based on the Victim's Own Conduct	324
Assumption of Risk	325
<i>Sheehan v. The North American Marketing Corp.</i>	325
Notes	330
What Is Assumption of Risk?	331
Questions	333
Comparative Fault	333
<i>Boatland of Houston, Inc. v. Valerie Bailey</i>	334

Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	335
Notes	335
Four Types of Comparative Fault	338
<i>Kimco Development Corp. v. Michael D's Carpet Outlets</i>	340
Notes	342
Questions	343
Comparative Fault as a Sword, Not a Shield	343
<i>Philip Morris USA, Inc. v. Arnitz</i>	344
Hypothetical	346
<b>Chapter 12 • Lapse of Time</b>	349
Statutes of Limitations	349
<i>Poosh v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.</i>	350
Notes	353
Questions	353
Statutes of Repose	353
Uniform Commercial Code—Article 2—Sales (1952)	354
Notes	354
Constitutionality	356
<i>Heath v. Sears, Roebuck &amp; Co.</i>	356
<i>Groch v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i>	357
Note	364
Questions	364
Hypothetical	365
<b>Chapter 13 • Contract-Based Defenses</b>	367
Plaintiff Waivers	367
<i>Boles v. Sun Ergoline, Inc.</i>	367
Note	369
Questions	369
Government Contractors	370
United States Code—Title 28: Federal Tort Claims Act (1948)	371
Note	371
<i>Torrington Co. v. Stutzman</i>	371
Note	373
<i>Carley v. Wheeled Coach</i>	373
Notes	378
<i>Tate v. Boeing Helicopters</i>	378
Notes	381
Questions	382
<b>Part V: Procedural Issues</b>	
<b>Chapter 14 • Expert Evidence</b>	385
A Question of Weight or Admissibility?	385
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	386
Note	387
The Current Federal Standard	387
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	387
Notes	391

Questions	392
Standard of Appellate Review	393
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	393
Note	397
Questions	397
<i>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</i>	397
Questions	398
Advisory Committee on Rules, Notes on 2000 Amendment	398
<i>McClain v. Metabolife International, Inc.</i>	401
Much Ado about Nothing?	403
Questions	404
<i>Ortiz-Martinez v. Hyundai Motor Co.</i>	404
Questions	405
<b>Chapter 15 • Mass Torts Litigation Devices</b>	407
Introduction	407
Multidistrict Litigation: Federalism or Uniformity?	408
<i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i>	408
Notes	410
United States Code—Title 28: Judiciary and Judicial Procedure	410
Notes	412
<i>In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) Products Liability Litigation</i>	412
Questions	416
<i>Burton v. Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories</i>	416
Note	420
Class Actions	421
Federal Rules of Procedure	421
Notes	421
Federal Rules of Procedure	422
Notes	422
<i>Matter of Rhone-Poulenc Rorer, Inc.</i>	426
Questions	428
Federal Rules of Procedure	429
Notes	430
<i>Engle v. Liggett Group</i>	431
Notes	434
Questions	435
<i>R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Martin</i>	436
Notes	437
Market Share Liability	437
<i>Sindell v. Abbott Laboratories</i>	438
Notes	440
Questions	442
<b>Part VI: Role of Federal Law</b>	
<b>Chapter 16 • Defendants in Bankruptcy Protection</b>	445
Stays of Proceedings	445
United States Code—Title 11: Bankruptcy	446

Notes	446
Questions	447
<i>Gorran v. Atkins Nutritionals, Inc.</i>	447
Notes	448
Questions	448
Bankruptcy and Liability Insurance	448
Questions	451
Note	451
<i>The Travelers Indemnity Co. v. Pearlie Bailey</i>	452
Questions	455
Discharge from Bankruptcy Protection	455
United States Code—Title 11: Bankruptcy	456
<i>In re Johns-Manville Corporation</i>	456
Notes	458
Susan Power Johnston & Katherine Porter, Extension of Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code to Nondebtor Parents, Affiliates, and Transaction Parties	458
Question	461
Effective Date of Claim	461
<i>Grady v. A.H. Robins Co., Inc.</i>	461
Notes	463
Questions	465
<b>Chapter 17 • Pre-Emption by Federal Law</b>	467
Sphere of Federal Government	467
Constitution of the United States (1788)	467
Notes	467
<i>Bruesewitz v. Wyeth</i>	468
Questions	470
Types of Pre-Emption	471
<i>Geier v. American Honda Motor Co.</i>	471
Notes	474
Questions	476
Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability (1998)	476
Notes	477
<i>Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.</i>	478
Note	483
Questions	483
Field Pre-Emption	483
<i>Kurns v. Railroad Friction Products Corp.</i>	484
Questions	486
Notes	487
The White House: Office of the Press Secretary, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies— Subject: Preemption	488
Note	490
<i>Wyeth v. Levine</i>	490
Questions	496
Note	497



<i>PLIVA, Inc. v. Mensing</i>	497
Questions	501

### Part VII: Damages

<b>Chapter 18 • Damages</b>	505
Compensatory Damages	505
Damages for Pain and Suffering	506
W. Kip Viscusi, Reforming Products Liability	506
Questions	507
Emotional Distress	508
<i>Norfolk &amp; Western Railway Company v. Ayers</i>	508
Notes	512
Question	513
<i>Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corporation</i>	513
Questions	517
Economic Loss	518
<i>East River Steamship Corp. v. Transamerica Delaval, Inc.</i>	518
<i>Lloyd v. General Motors Corp.</i>	519
Questions	522
Punitive Damages	522
<i>Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corporation</i>	523
United States Code—Title 18: The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act (RICO) (1970)	528
Notes	529
The Supreme Court and Due Process	531
<i>BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore</i>	532
<i>BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore</i>	539
Note	541
Questions	541
<i>Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corporation</i>	541
Questions	544
What Conduct Is to Be Punished by Punitive Damages?	544
<i>Philip Morris v. Williams</i>	544
Note	550
Questions	551
Index	553



# List of Figures

---

Figure 1.1	The Five Elements Required for a Prima Facie Case of Products Liability	4
Figure 2.1	The State of the Law on Products Liability Until 1913	49
Figure 3.1	Express Contractual Warranties	59
Figure 3.2	Implied Contractual Warranties	67
Figure 3.3	Third Party Warranties in Contracts	73
Figure 3.4	Vertical and Horizontal Privity	74
Figure 3.5	The Elements of a Prima Facie Case of Breach of Warranty	77
Figure 3.6	The Effectiveness of Exclusion and Limitation Clauses	83
Figure 4.1	Restatement Routes to Misrepresentation Claims about Defective Products	86
Figure 5.1	Proving a Prima Facie Case of Negligence	129
Figure 5.2	Alternative Forms of Action	137
Figure 7.1	A Risk Assessment Matrix	191
Figure 7.2	Tests for Design Defect	199
Figure 8.1	Failure to Warn	232
Figure 8.2	The Different Tests for Product Defects	238
Figure 9.1	The Six Different Types of Claim in Products Liability	262
Figure 10.1	Causation in Asbestos Cases: Law vs. Medical Science	304
Figure 11.1	Comparative Fault	337
Figure 12.1	Lapse of Time	365
Figure 13.1	Contract-Based Defenses	379
Figure 16.1	Layers of Liability Insurance	449
Figure 16.2	The Effect of Bankruptcy Protection on Products Liability Claims	459
Figure 17.1	Express and Implied Pre-Emption	482



# Table of Cases

---

## A

Aas v. Superior Court, 24 Cal.4th 627 (2000), 30  
Aerojet-General Corp. v. Transport Indem. Co., 17 Cal.4th 38 (1997), 449  
Affiliates for Evaluation and Therapy, Inc. v. Viasyn Corp., 500 So.2d 688 (Fla. App. 1987), 76  
Agurto v. Guhr, 381 N.J. Super. 519, 887 A.2d 159 (App. Div. 2005), 19–21  
Ahrens v. Ford Motor Co., 340 F.3d 1142 (10th Cir. 2003), 231  
Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706 (1999), 370  
Allenberg v. Bentley Hedges Travel Serv., Inc., 22 P.3d 223 (Okla. 2001), 166–68  
Altria Group, Inc. v. Good, 555 U.S. 70 (2008), 486–87  
Ambrosini v. Labarraque, 101 F.3d 129 (D.C. Cir. 1996), 399  
Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997), 424, 455  
America Online, Inc. v. St. Paul Mercury Insurance Co., 207 F. Supp. 2D 459 (E.D. Va. 2002), 11  
American Suzuki Motor Corporation v. Superior Court, 37 Cal.App.4th 1291, 44 Cal.Rptr.2d 526 (1995), 34  
Anderson v. Farmers Hybrid Companies, Inc., 87 Ill. App. 3D 493, 408 N.E.2d 1194 (1980), 27  
Angus v. Shiley Inc., 989 F.2d 142 (3d Cir. 1993), 96  
Anthony Pools v. Sheehan, 295 Md. 285, 455 A.2d. 434 (1983), 67  
Arbino v. Johnson & Johnson, 116 Ohio St.3d 468, 880 N.E.2d 420 (2007), 358, 360, 363, 364

Arena v. Owens–Corning Fiberglass Corp., 63 Cal.App.4th 1178 (1998), 15  
Association of Unit Owners of Bridgeview Condominiums v. Dunning, 187 Or.App. 595, 69 P.3d788 (2003), 7  
Auer v. Robbins, 519 U.S. 452 (1997), 499  
Ault v. International Harvester Co., 13 Cal.3d 113 (1974), 216  
Ayers v. Jackson Township, 106 N.J. 557, 525 A.2d 287 (1987), 289  
Ayers v. Jackson Twp., 189 N.J. Super. 561, 461 A.2d 184 (1983), 309, 310, 311  
Azzarello v. Black Bros. Co., 480 Pa. 547, A.2d 1020 (1978), 201–202, 341

## B

Badillo v. Am. Brands, Inc., 16 P.3d 435 (Nev. 2001), 283  
Barker v. Corus (UK) PLC, [2006] UKHL 20, 305–307  
Barker v. Lull Engineering, 20 Cal.3d 413, 143 Cal.Rptr. 225, 573 P.2d 443 (1978), 180, 181  
Barnhart v. Peabody Coal Co., 537 U. S. 149 (2003), 470  
Baxter v. Ford Motor Co. 168 Wash. 456, 12 P.2d 409 (Wash. 1932), 85  
Beale v. Biomet, Inc., 492 F.Supp.2d 1360 (S.D. Fla. 2007), 242  
Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey, 488 U.S. 153, 109 S.Ct. 439, 102 L.Ed.2d 445 (1988), 395  
Bellotte v. Zayre Corp., 116 N.H. 52, 352 A.2d 723 (1976), 196  
Betehia v. Cape Cod Corp., 10 Wis.2d 323, 103 N.W.2d 64 (1960), 144  
Beyer v. Aquarium Supply Co., 94 Misc. 2d 336, 404 N.Y.S.2d 778 (1977), 27

- Bic Pen Corp. v. Carter, 171 S.W.3d 657 (Tex. App. 2005), 477
- Black v. Abex Corporation, 603 N.W.2d 182 (N.D. 1999), 297–99, 441
- Blaha v. Stuard, 2002 SD 19, 640 N.W.2d 85 (Ga. Ct. App. 2002), 27
- Blossman Gas Co. v. Williams, 375 S.E. 2d 117 (1988), 252–53
- Blunt v. Little, 3 F. Cas. 760 (1822), 540
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 116 S.Ct. 1589 (1996), 420, 532–39, 541–46, 549
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 646 So.2d 619 (Ala. 1994), 539
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 701 So.2d 507 (Ala. 1997), 539–41
- Board of Education of City of Chicago v. A, C And S, Inc., 546 N.E.2d 580 (Ill. 1989), 15–17
- Boatland of Houston, Inc. v. Valerie Bailey, 609 S.W.2d 743 (Tex. 1980), 211–14, 323, 334–35
- Boddie v. Litton Unit Handling Systems, 455 N.E.2d 142 (Ill. App. Ct. 1983), 76
- Boles v. Sun Ergoline, Inc., 223 P.3d 724 (Colo. 2010), 367–69
- Boley v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 929 N.E.2d 448 (Ohio 2010), 38
- Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc., 489 U.S. 141, 109 S.Ct. 971, 103 L.Ed.2d 118 (1989), 495
- Bonner v. ISP Techs. Inc., 259 F.3d 924 (8th Cir. 2001), 264
- Borel v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., 493 F.2d 1076 (5th Cir. 1973), 294–96, 300–303
- Borg-Warner Corporation v. Flores, 232 S.W.3d 765 (Tex. 2007), 316–319
- Boulden v. Stillwell, 60 A. 609 (Md. 1905), 87
- Bourgeois v. A.P. Green Indus., Inc., 716 So. 2d 335 (La. 1998), 283
- Bourjaily v. United States, 483 U.S. 171 (1987), 398
- Boyle v. United Technologies Corp., 487 U.S. 500, 108 S.Ct. 2510, 101 L.Ed.2d 442 (1988), 372, 373, 374, 376–80
- Brand v. Hammersmith and City Railway Co., (1867) L.R. 2 Q.B. 223, 44
- Branham v. Ford Motor Co., 701 S.E.2d 5 (S.C. 2010), 198, 218
- Brennaman v. R.M.I. Co., 70 Ohio St.3d 460, 639 N.E.2d 425 (1994), 359, 360, 363, 364
- Briehl v. General Motors Corp., 172 F.3d 623 (8th Cir. 1999), 96
- Brown v. Mary Hitchcock Memorial Hosp., 117 N.H. 739, 378 A.2d 1138, (1977), 357
- Brown v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 576 F. Supp. 2d 1328 (M.D. Fla. 2008), 436
- Bruesewitz v. Wyeth, 131 S.Ct. 1068 (2011), 468–70, 471
- Brumbaugh v. Sandoz Pharm. Corp., 77 F.Supp.2d 1153 (D.Mont. 1999), 265
- Bruse v. Holiday Inn, 16 A.D.3d 785, 790 N.Y.S.2d 765 (N.Y. App. Div. 2005), 226
- Bryant v. Giacomini, 391 F.Supp.2d 495 (Tex. 2005), 246
- Buckman v. Plaintiffs’ Legal Committee, 531 U.S. 341, 121 S.Ct. 1012 (2001), 111–12, 488
- Budgetel Inns, Inc. v. Micros Sys., Inc., 8 F.Supp.2d 1137 (E.D. Wis. 1998), 98
- Bullock v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., 159 Cal.App.4th 655 (2008), 111, 550
- Burgess v. Eli Lilly & Co., 66 Ohio St.3d 59, 609 N.E.2d 140 (1993), 359, 363
- Burton v. Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, 513 F.Supp.2d 708 (N.D. Tex. 2007), 416–20
- Butler v. City of Peru, 733 N.E.2d 912 (Ind. 2000), 37
- Buttram v. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp., 16 Cal.4th 520 (1997), 351
- Butz v. Werner, 438 N.W.2d 509 (N.D. 1989), 279

## C

- Camper v. Minor, 915 S.W.2d 437 (Tenn. 1996), 515–17
- Cannon v. Pulliam Motor Company, 230 S.C. 131, 94 S.E.2d 397 (1956), 78

- Carbone v. Connecticut Light & Power Co., 482 A.2d 722 (Conn. 1984), 7
- Carley v. Wheeled Coach, 991 F.2d 1117 (3rd Cir. 1993), 373–78
- Carlson v. General Motors Corp., 883 F.2d 287 (4th Cir. 1989), 98
- Carney v. Bereault, 348 Mass. 502, 204 N.E.2d 448 (1965), 255
- Carson v. Maurer, 120 N.H. 925, 424 A.2d 825 (1980), 357
- Case v. Fibreboard Corp., 743 P.2d 1062 (Okla. 1987), 298
- Casey v. Overhead Door Corp., 74 Cal.App.4th 112 (1999), 31
- Cassisi v. Maytag Co., 396 So.2d 1140 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981), 345
- Castano v. American Tobacco Co., 84 F.3d 734 (5th Cir. 1996), 423–25
- Castano v. American Tobacco Co., 160 F.R.D. 544 (La. 1995), 423
- Champagne v. Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc., 212 Conn. 509 (1989), 339
- Chrysler v. Batten, 450 S.E. 2D 208, 213 (Ga. 1994), 355
- Cigna Insurance Co. v. Oy Saunatec, Ltd., 241 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2001), 255–56
- City of Greenville v. W.R. Grace & Co., 827 F.2d 975 (4th Cir. 1987), 17
- Claar v. Burlington N.R.R., 29 F.3d 499 (9th Cir. 1994), 399, 401
- Coffman v. Keene Corp., 133 N.J. 581, 628 A.2d 710 (1993), 287–89
- Coll v. Sherry, 29 N.J. 166, 148 A.2d 481, 486 (1959), 309
- Colleton Preparatory Academy, Inc. v. Hoover Universal, Inc., 666 S.E.2d 247 (S.C. 2008), 199–200
- Collins v. Eli Lilly & Co., 342 N.W.2d 37 (Wis. 1984), 440
- Collins v. Harker Heights, 503 U.S. 115 (1992), 549
- Collins v. Hyster Co., 174 Ill.App.3d 972, 124 Ill.Dec. 483, 529 N.E.2d 303 (1988), 250
- Commission v. Estate of Bosch, 387 U.S. 456, 87 S.Ct. 1776, 18 L.Ed.2d 886 (1967), 308
- Comstock v. General Motors Corp., 358 Mich. 163, 99 N.W.2d 627 (1959), 250
- Conley v. Boyle Drug Co., 570 So.2d 275 (Fla. 1990), 441
- Consolidated Rail Corporation v. Gottshall, 512 U.S. 532 (1994), 508, 509
- Conte v. Wyeth, 168 Cal.App.4th 89 (2008), 113–119, 165
- Cook v. Lewis [1952] 1 D.L.R. 1, 301
- Coughlin v. State Bank of Portland, 117 Or. 83, 243 P. 78 (1926), 108
- Council of Co-Owners Atlantis Condominium, Inc. v. Whiting-Turner Contracting Co., 517 A.2d 336 (Md. Ct. App. 1986), 520–22
- Cover v. Cohen, 61 N.Y.2d 261, 473 N.Y.S.2d 378, 461 N.E.2d 864 (1984), 250
- Crocker v. Winthrop Laboratories, 514 S.W.2d 429 (Tex. 1974), 120–22
- Cronin v. J.B.E. Olson Corp., 501 P.2d 1153 (Cal. 1972), 153–56, 166
- Crowston v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, 521 N.W.2d 401 (N.D. 1994), 231, 278–80
- Cuomo v. Clearing House Assn., L.L.C., 129 S.Ct. 2710 (2009), 501

## D

- Dartez v. Fibreboard Corp. 765 F.2d 456 (5th Cir. 1985), 314
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 113 S.Ct. 2786 (1993), 274, 275, 385, 387–394, 396–404, 416, 418, 420
- Davies v. Krasna, 14 Cal.3d 502 (1975), 351
- Del Campo v. Kennedy, 517 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir. 2008), 381
- Denny v. Ford Motor Co., 662 N.E.2d 730 (N.Y. 1995), 159–65
- Densberger v. United Techs. Corp., 297 F.3d 66 (2d Cir. 2002), 246
- Derry v. Peek, (1889) 14 App. Cas. 337, 88–89, 90, 99, 100
- Devlin v. Johns-Manville Corp., 202 N.J.Super. 556, 495 A.2d 495 (1985), 309, 310

- Dewberry v. LaFollette, 598 P.2d 241 (Okla. 1979), 167
- Dewick v. Maytag Corporation, 296 F.Supp.2d 905 (N.D. Ill. 2003), 219
- Diehl v. Blaw-Knox, 360 F.3d 426 (3d Cir. 2004), 216
- Dietz v. Smithkline Beecham Corp., 598 F.3d 812 (11th Cir. 2010), 241–42
- Dillinger v. Caterpillar, Inc., 959 F.2d 430 (3d Cir. 1992), 341
- Dillon v. Legg, 68 Cal.2d 728 (1968), 116
- Dincher v. Marlin Firearms Co., 198 F.2d 821 (2d Cir. 1952), 357
- District of Columbia v. Beretta, U.S.A., Corp., 847 A.2d 1127 (D.C. 2004), 28
- doCanto v. Ametek, Inc., 367 Mass. 776, 328 N.E.2d 873 (1975), 250, 255
- Dougherty v. Santa Fe Marine, Inc., 698 F.2d 232 (5th Cir. 1983), 135–36
- Duchess v. Langston Corp., 769 A.2d 1131 (Pa. 2001), 217–18
- E**
- Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc v. Balbos, 604 A.2d 445 (Md. 1992), 302, 303
- East Penn Mfg. Co. v. Pineda, 578 A.2d 1113 (D.C. 1990), 226
- East River Steamship Corp. v. Transamerica Delaval, Inc., 476 U.S. 858, 106 S.Ct. 2295, 90 L.Ed.2d 865 (1986), 32, 173, 518–19
- Edwards v. Hop Sin, Inc., 140 S.W.3d 13 (Ky. Ct. App. 2003), 226
- Ellis v. C.R. Bard, Inc.], 311 F.3d 1272 (11th Cir. 2002), 242
- Engle v. Liggett Group, Inc., 945 So.2d 1246 (Fla. 2006), 343, 422, 431–37, 530
- Erickson Air Crane v. United Technologies Corp., 735 P.2d 614 (1987), 356
- Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817 (1938), 308, 382, 392, 408–10, 413, 428, 467
- Erkson v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 841 S.W.2d 207 (Or. 1992), 152
- Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co., 24 Cal.2d 453, 150 P.2d 436 (1944), 130, 131, 147–48, 153–54, 155, 179, 343, 440
- Estate of Amos v. Vanderbilt University, 62 S.W.3d 133 (Tenn. 2001), 515
- Evers v. Dollinger, 95 N.J. 399, 471 A.2d 405 (1984), 310
- F**
- Fairchild v. Glenhaven Funeral Services Ltd., [2002] UKHL 22, 305, 307
- Falchetti v. Pennsylvania R. Co., 307 Pa. 203, 160 A. 859 (1932), 410
- Feinstein v. Firestone Tire and Rubber Company, 535 F.Supp. 595 (S.D.N.Y. 1982), 34
- Feres v. U.S., 340 U.S. 135 (1950), 371
- Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corporation, 272 S.W.3d 521 (Tenn. 2008), 253–54, 513–17, 523–28, 541–44
- Flynn v. American Home Products Corp., 627 N.W.2d 342 (Minn. App. 2001), 118
- Ford Motor Co. v. Rice, 726 So.2d 626 (Ala. 1998), 98
- Forecast Homes, Inc. v. Steadfast Ins. Co., 181 Cal.App.4th 1466 (2010), 451
- Forma Scientific, Inc., v. Biosera Inc., 960 P.2d 108 (Colo. 1998), 217
- Foster v. American Home Products Corp., 29 F.3d 165 (4th Cir. 1994), 117, 118, 164
- Fox v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., 35 Cal.4th 797, 27 Cal.Rptr.3d 661, 110 P.3d 914 (2005), 352
- Francioni v. Gibsonia Truck Corp., 372 A.2d 736 (Okla. 1977), 167
- Frank v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 292 A.D.2d 118, 741 N.Y.S.2d 9 (N.Y. App. Div. 2002), 33–35, 96
- Frederick L. v. Thomas, 578 F.2d 513 (3d Cir. 1978), 133
- Freightliner Corp. v. Myrick, 514 U.S. 280, 115 S.Ct. 1483 (1995), 473, 500
- Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923), 386–87, 388, 389, 392, 394, 397
- G**
- Galbreath v. Engineering Construction Corp., 273 N.E.2d 121 (Ind. Ct. App. 1971), 152



- Garcia v. Superior Court, 50 Cal.3d 728 (1990), 115
- Garza v. Asbestos Corp., Ltd., 161 Cal. App. 4th 651 (2008), 15
- Gaumer v. Rossville Truck and Tractor Co., Inc., 292 Kan. 749 (2011), 169–71
- Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., 529 U.S. 861 (2000), 471–76, 477, 487, 495, 496
- General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136, 118 S.Ct. 512, 139 L.Ed.2d 508 (1997), 393–97, 398, 400, 402, 403
- German Auto, Inc. v. Tamburello, 565 So.2d 238 (Ala. 1990), 539
- Getz v. Boeing Co., 690 F.Supp.2d 982 (N.D. Cal. 2010), 380
- Gideon v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp., 761 F.2d 1129 (5th Cir. 1985), 314
- Gilbert v. Burtenshaw, 1 Cowper 230, 98 Eng. Rep. 1059 (1774), 540
- Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A., 563 U.S. \_\_\_ (2011), 485
- Goebel v. Denver & Rio Grande Western Ry. Co., 346 F.3d 987 (10th Cir. 2003), 264–65
- Goldman v. Johns-Mansville, 514 N.E.2d 691 (Ohio 1987), 299
- Gorran v. Atkins Nutritionals, Inc., 464 F.Supp.2d 315 (S.D.N.Y. 2006), 10, 447–48
- Gorton v. J.W. Mashburn, 995 P.2d 1114 (Okla. 1999), 134
- Grady v. A.H. Robins Co., Inc., 839 F.2d 198 (4th Cir. 1988), 461–62
- Green v. Smith & Nephew A.H.P., Inc., 629 N.W.2d 727 (Wis. 2001), 182–187
- Greene v. A.P. Products Ltd., 717 N.W.2d 855 (Mich. 2006), 233–38
- Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc., 377 P.2d 897 (Cal. 1963), 29, 142, 149–51, 153–56, 158, 159, 221, 487
- Griffin v. Unocal Corp., 990 So.2d 291 (Ala. 2008), 349
- Grimshaw v. Ford Motor Co., 119 Cal.App.3d 757 (1981), 177, 178
- Grisham v. Philip Morris U.S.A., Inc., 40 Cal.4th 623, 54 Cal.Rptr.3d 735, 151 P.3d 1151 (2007), 350–53
- Groch v. Gen. Motors Corp., 117 Ohio St.3d 192 (2008), 357–64
- Gryger v. Burke, 334 U.S. 728 (1948), 548
- Gunkel v. Renovations, Inc. and J & N Stone, Inc., 822 N.E.2d 150 (Ind. 2005), 31–32

## H

- Hamilton v. Accu-Tek, 32 F.Supp.2d 47 (E.D.N.Y. 1998), 441
- Hanberry v. Hearst Corporation, 39 A.L.R.3d 173 (Cal. 4th DCA 1969), 57, 101–103
- Hansen v. Mountain Fuel Supply Co., 858 P.2d 970 (Utah 1993), 283
- Harduvel v. General Dynamics Corp., 878 F.2d 1311 (11th Cir. 1989), 372
- Haroco, Inc. v. American National Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago, 747 F.2d 384 (7th Cir. 1984), 529
- Harris v. Ford Motor Co., 110 F.3d 1410 (9th Cir. 1997), 472
- Harris v. Int'l. Harvester, 127 Misc.2d 426, 486 N.Y.S.2d 600 (S. Ct. 1984), 250
- Hawkins v. Pemberton, 6 Sickels 198 (1872), 55–56, 57, 58
- Hayes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 294 F.Supp.2d 1249 (E.D. Okla. 2003), 419
- Heath v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 123 N.H. 512 (1983), 356–57
- Hedlund v. Superior Court, 34 Cal.3d 695 194 Cal.Rptr. 805, 669 P.2d 41 (1983), 116
- Heller v. Shaw Industries, Inc., 167 F.3d 146 (3d Cir. 1999), 399, 400
- Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc., 161 A.2d 69 (N.J. 1960), 69–72, 75, 78–80, 85
- Henry v. Dow Chemical Co., 701 N.W.2d 684 (Mich. 2005), 283
- Herber v. Johns-Manville Corp., 785 F.2d 79 (3d Cir. 1986), 308–11
- Hernandez v. Tokai Corp., 2 S.W.3d 251 (Tex. 1999), 196
- Hodder v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 426 N.W.2d 826 (Minn. 1988), 248, 250

- Hodges v. S.C. Toof & Co., 833 S.W.2d 896 (Tenn. 1992), 514, 524, 543
- Holdampf v. A.C. & S., Inc. (In re New York City Asbestos Litigation), 840 N.E.2d 115, 806 N.Y.S.2d 146 (2005), 37
- Holtby v. Brigham & Cowan (Hull) Ltd., [2000] 3 All E.R. 421, 301, 303
- Hoppe v. Midwest Conveyor Company, Inc., 485 F.2d 1196 (8th Cir. 1973), 190
- Hyman & Armstrong, P.S.C. v. Gunderson, 279 S.W.3d 93 (Ky. 2008), 243–45
- Hymowitz v. Eli Lilly & Co., 539 N.E.2d 1069 (N.Y. 1989), 441

## I

- In re Amatex Corp., 107 B.R. 856 (Pa. 1989), 450
- In re Baycol Products Litigation, 593 F.3d 716 (8th Cir. 2010), 430
- In re Bendectin Litigation, 857 F.2d 290 (6th Cir. 1988), 134
- In re Breast Implant Litig., 11 F.Supp.2d 1217 (D.Colo. 1998), 264
- In re Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Co., 725 F.2d 858 (2d Cir. 1984), 428
- In re Ethyl Corp., 975 S.W.2d 606 (Tex. 1998), 319
- In re Exxon Valdez, 270 F.3d 1215 (9th Cir. 2001), 433
- In re Exxon Valdez, 490 F.3d 1066 (9th Cir. 2007), 544
- In re Fibreboard Corp., 893 F.2d 706 (5th Cir. 1990), 422
- In re General Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Products Liability Litigation, 55 F.3d 768 (1995), 425
- In re Hanford Nuclear Reservation Litig., 292 F.3d 1124 (9th Cir. 2002), 264
- In re Johns-Manville Corporation, 36 B.R. 743 (S.D.N.Y. 1984), 456–58
- In re Joint E. & S. Dist. N.Y. Asbestos Litig., 897 F.2d 626 (2d Cir. 1990), 380
- In re Keck, Mahin & Cate, 241 B.R. 583 (Ill. 1999), 450
- In re Meridia Products Liability Litigation, 328 F.Supp.2d 791 (N.D. Ohio 2004), 264–65
- In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) Products Liability Litigation, 379 F.Supp.2d 348 (S.D.N.Y. 2005), 92, 412–15, 438
- In re Paoli R.R. Yard PCB Litigation, 35 F.3d 717 (3d Cir. 1994), 400, 401
- In re September 11th Liab. Ins. Coverage Cases, 458 F. Supp. 2D 104 (N.Y. 2006), 450
- In re West Virginia Rezulin Litigation, 585 S.E.2d 52 (W. Va. 2003), 423, 430
- Indemnity Ins. Co. of North America v. American Aviation, Inc, 891 So.2d 532 (Fla. 2004), 172
- Ingram v. ACandS, Inc., 977 F.2d 1332 (9th Cir. 1992), 290
- International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 66 S.Ct. 154, 90 L.Ed. 95 (1945), 23, 25
- Inwood Laboratories v. Ives Laboratories, 456 U.S. 844, 102 S.Ct. 2182 (1982), 116
- Ismael v. Goodman Toyota, 106 N.C.App. 421, 417 S.E.2d 290 (1992), 82

## J

- J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro, 131 S.Ct. 2780 (2011), 5, 22–25
- Jack v. Glaxo Wellcome, Inc., 239 F.Supp.2d 1308 (N.D. Ga. 2002), 264
- Jackson v. Anchor Packing Co., 994 F.2d 1295 (8th Cir. 1993), 290
- Jackson v. Harsco Corp., 673 P.2d 363 (Colo. 1983), 368
- Jacobs v. Anderson Bldg. Co., 459 N.W.2d 384 (N.D. 1990), 279
- James v. Bessemer Processing Co., Inc., 714 A.2d 898 (N.J. 1998), 284–91
- Jarman v. United Industries Corp., 98 F.Supp.2d 757 (S.D. Miss. 2000), 96
- Jenkins v. Raymark Industries, Inc., 782 F.2d 468 (5th Cir. 1986), 421

Jimenez v. Superior Court, 29 Cal.4th 473, 58 P.3d 450, 127 Cal.Rptr.2d 614 (2002), 12–14, 29–31, 32, 43, 172–74

Jobe v. W.P. Metz Refining, 445 Pa. Super. 76, 664 A.2d 1015 (1995), 291

Johnson v. American Standard, Inc., 43 Cal.4th 56 (2008), 224

Johnson v. Ford Motor Company, 637 S.E. 2d 202 (Ga. Ct. App. 2006), 246

Johnson v. Railway Express Agency (1975) 421 U.S. 454, 95 S.Ct. 1716, 44 L.Ed.2d 295., 351

Jones v. Dressel, 623 P.2d 370 (Colo. 1981), 368, 369

Jones v. Hittle Service, Inc., 219 Kan. 627, 549 P.2d 1383 (1976), 249–50

## K

Kaczmarek v. Allied Chemical Corp., 836 F.2d 1055 (7th Cir. 1987), 219

Kambury v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 50 P.3d 1163 (Or. 2002), 349

Kawanakoa v. Polyblank, 205 U. S. 349 (1907), 370

Keesecker v. Bird, 200 W.Va. 667, 490 S.E.2d 754 (W. Va. 1997), 430

Kennedy v. City of Sawyer, 228 Kan. 439, 618 P.2d 788 (1980), 170

Kennedy v. Columbia Lumber & Mfg. Co., 384 S.E.2d 730 (S.C. 1989), 198, 199

Kimco Development Corp. v. Michael D's Carpet Outlets, 637 A.2d 603 (Pa. 1993), 340–42

King v. Hilton-Davis, 855 F.2d 1047 (3d Cir. 1988), 32

Kneibel v. RRM Enterprises, 506 N.W.2d 664 (Minn. App. 1993), 143

Kordel v. United States, 335 U.S. 345, 349, 69 S.Ct. 106, 93 L.Ed. 52 (1948), 494

Kozlowski v. John E. Smith's Sons Co., 87 Wis.2d 882, 275 N.W.2d 915 (1979), 251

Kramer v. Piper Aircraft Corp., 520 So.2d 37 (Fla. 1988), 76

Kriegler v. Eichler Homes, Inc., 269 Cal.App.2d 224 (1969), 12, 13

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), 274, 275, 397–99, 401, 402

Kurns v. Railroad Friction Products Corp., 565 U. S. \_\_\_\_ (2012), 484–87

Kwasny v. United States, 823 F.2d 194 (7th Cir. 1987), 303

## L

La Jolla Village Homeowners' Assn. v. Superior Court, 212 Cal.App.3d 1131 (1989), 13

Laidlaw v. Organ, 15 U.S. 178 (1817), 46, 48

Landrigan v. Celotex Corp., 127 N.J. 404, 605 A.2d 1079 (1992), 289

Leith v. Pope, 2 Black. W. 1327, 96 Eng. Rep. 777 (1779), 540

Lewis v. Ariens Co., 751 N.E.2d 862 (Mass. 2001), 246

Lindsey v. Normet, 405 U.S. 56 (1972), 546

Livingston v. Marie Callender's Inc., 72 Cal.App.4th 830, 85 Cal.Rptr.2d 528 (1999), 226

Lloyd v. General Motors Corp., 397 Md. 108, A.2d 257 (Md. Ct. App. 2002), 519–22

Lohrmann v. Pittsburgh Corning Corp., 782 F.2d 1156 (4th Cir. 1986), 290, 319

Lorenc v. Chemirad Corp., 37 N.J. 56, 179 A.2d 401, 411 (1962), 309

Lust v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 89 F.3d 594 (9th Cir. 1996), 400

Lynch v. McStome & Lincoln Plaza Assoc., 378 Pa.Super. 430, 548 A.2d 1276 (1988), 245, 250

## M

Mace v. Van Ru Credit Corp., 109 F.3d 338 (7th Cir. 1997), 424

MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co., 217 N.Y. 382, 111 N.E. 1050 (1916), 126, 127, 141, 150

Majors v. Brodhead Hotel, 416 Pa. 265, 205 A.2d 873 (1965), 134

- Manguno v. Babcock & Wilcox, 961 F.2d 533 (5th Cir. 1992), 302
- Martin v. Abbott Laboratories, 689 P.2d 368 (Wash. 1984), 440
- Matter of Rhone-Poulenc Rorer, Inc., 51 F.3d 1293 (7th Cir. 1995), 426–28
- Matthews v. Remington Arms Co., Inc., 641 F.3d 635 (5th Cir. 2011), 204–208
- Mavroudis v. Pittsburgh-Corning Corp, 935 P.2d 684 (Wash. Ct. App. 1997), 301, 302
- McClain v. Metabolife International, Inc., 401 F.3d 1233 (11th Cir. 2005), 401–403
- McCombs v. Synthes (U.S.A.), 587 S.E.2d 594 (Ga. 2003), 242
- McCown v. International Harvester Co., 463 Pa. 13, 342 A.2d 381 (1975), 341
- McDonald v. Federal Laboratories Inc., 724 F. 2d 243 (1st Cir. 1984), 339
- McGhee v. National Coal Board, [1973] 1 W.L.R. 1, 306
- McNally v. Nicholson Mfg. Co., 313 A.2d 913 (Me. 1973), 75–76
- Medtronic, Inc. v. Lohr, 518 U.S. 470, 116 S.Ct. 2240 (1996), 472, 478, 481, 482
- Mega Life and Health Ins. Co. v. Superior Court, 92 Cal. Rptr. 3D 399 (2009), 43–44
- Mensing v. Wyeth, 588 F.3d 603 (8th Cir. 2009), 119
- Mergenthaler v. Asbestos Corp., 480 A.2d 647 (Del. 1984), 283
- Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc. v. Havner, 953 S.W.2d 706, 715 (Tex. 1997), 317–18
- Metro-North Commuter R. Co. v. Buckley, 521 U.S. 424 (1997), 508, 509, 511
- Milliken v. Meyer, 311 U.S. 457, 61 S.Ct. 339, 85 L.Ed. 278 (1940), 23
- Mills v. Giant of Maryland, LLC, 441 F.Supp.2d 104 (D.D.C. 2006), 225–26
- Mills v. Maxwell Motor Sales Corporation, 105 Neb. 465, 181 N.W. 152, 22 A.L.R. 130 (Sup. Ct. 1920), 78
- Mink v. University of Chicago, 460 F.Supp. 713 (N.D. Ill. 1978), 35
- Modelski v. Navistar International Transportation Corp., 707 N.E. 2D 239 (Ill. App. Ct. 1999), 253
- Moisan v. Loftus, 178 F.2d 148 (2d Cir. 1950), 178
- Montgomery Ward & Company, Inc. v. Imperial Cas. & Indem. Co., 181 Cal.App. 4th 1466 (2010), 451
- Moore v. Ashland Chemical, Inc., 151 F.3d 269 (5th Cir. 1998), 399
- Moore v. East Cleveland, 431 U.S. 494 (1977), 549
- Morse v. Minneapolis & St. Louis Ry. Co., 16 N.W. 358 (Minn. 1883), 215–16
- Moss v. Polyco, 522 P.2d 622 (Okla. 1974), 167
- Moyer v. United Dominion Industries, Inc., 473 F.3d 532 (3rd Cir. 2007), 200–202
- Mulcahy v. Eli Lilly & Co., 386 N.W.2d 67, 75 (Iowa 1986), 441
- Murray v. Fairbanks Morse, 610 F. 2d 149 (3d Cir. 1979), 336

## N

- Nabisco, Inc. v. Transport Indemnity Co., 143 Cal.App.3d 831 (1983), 449
- Napier v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co., 272 U. S. 605 (1926), 484–87
- National Surety Co. v. Mabry, 139 Ala. 217, 35 So. 698 (1903), 540
- Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. v. Cosenza, 258 F.3d 197 (3d Cir. 2001), 202
- New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann, 285 U.S. 262, 52 S.Ct. 371 (1932), xxxvii
- New Texas Auto Auction Services, L.P. v. Gomez De Hernandez, 249 S.W.3d 400 (Tex. 2008), 22
- Nielsen v. George Diamond Vogel Paint Co., 892 F.2d 1450 (9th Cir. 1990), 377
- Noble Systems Corp. v. Alorica Central, LLC, 543 F.3d 978 (8th Cir. 2008), 118
- Norfolk & Western Railway Co. v. Ayers, 538 U.S. 135, 123 S.Ct. 1210 (2003), 303, 508–12
- Norgart v. Upjohn Co., 21 Cal.4th 383 (1999), 351

Northstar & Assoc. v. W.R. Grace & Co.,  
66 F.3d 173 (8th Cir. 1995), 247–48

### O

O’Connell v. Biomet, Inc., 250 P.3d 1278  
(Colo. Ct. App. 2010), 242

Old Chief v. United States, 519 U.S. 172,  
117 S.Ct. 644, 136 L.Ed.2d 574  
(1997), 394

Olivo v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., 186 N.J.  
394, 895 A.2d 1143 (2006), 37

Ollerman v. O’Rourke Co., Inc., 288  
N.W.2d 95 (Wis. 1980), 94, 95

Oneida Manufacturing Society v.  
Lawrence, 4 Cowen, 440 (1825), 55

O’Neil v. Crane Co., 266 P.3d 987 (Cal.  
2012), 227–28

Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp., 527 U.S. 815  
(1999), 455, 511

Ortiz-Martinez v. Hyundai Motor Co.,  
602 F.Supp.2d 311 (P.R. 2009),  
404–405

Ostendorf v. Clark Equipment Co., 122  
S.W.3d 530 (Kan. 2003), 253

Otte v. Dayton Power & Light Co., 37  
Ohio St.3d 33, 523 N.E.2d 835 (1988),  
7

Owens-Illinois v. Zenobia, 325 Md. 420,  
601 A.2d 633 (Ct. App. 1992), 250

### P

Pacific Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Haslip, 499  
U.S. 1, 111 S.Ct. 1032 (1991), 536,  
537, 542

Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Co., 248  
N.Y. 339, 162 N.E. 99 (1928), 37, 116,  
127, 271

Pan-Alaska Fisheries, Inc. v. Marine  
Construction & Design Co., 565 F.2d  
1129 (9th Cir. 1977), 336, 339

Pasley v. Freeman, 100 Eng. Rep. 450  
(K.B. 1789), 87

Patton v. Hutchinson Wil-Rich Manufac-  
turing Co., 861 P.2d 1299 (Kan.  
1993), 248–52

Pennebaker v. Kimble et al., 126 Or. 317,  
269 P. 981 (1928), 108

Perez-Trujillo v. Volvo Car Corp. (Swe-  
den), 137 F.3d 50 (1st Cir. 1998), 405

Philip Morris USA, Inc. v. Arnitz, 933  
So.2d 693 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006),  
344–46, 435

Philip Morris v. Williams, 127 S.Ct. 1057  
(2007), 544–50

Phillips v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours &  
Co. (In re Hanford Nuclear Reserva-  
tion Litigation), 534 F.3d 986 (9th Cir.  
2008), 381

PLIVA, Inc. v. Mensing, 131 S.Ct. 2567  
(2011), 118, 497–501

Poe v. Ullman, 367 U.S. 497 (1961), 549

Poosh v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., 250  
P.3d 181 (Cal. 2011), 350–53, 354

Poosh v. Phillip Morris USA, Inc., 561  
F.3d 964 (9th Cir. 2009), 350

Port Authority of New York & New Jersey  
Arcadian Corp., 189 F.3d 305 (3d Cir.  
1999), 152

Porter v. Delaware L. & W.R.R. Co., 73  
N.J.L. 405, 63 A. 860 (1906), 311

Preston v. Goldman (1986) 42 Cal.3d  
108, 13

Price v. BIC Corp., 142 N.H. 386, 702  
A.2d 330 (1997), 196

Pustejovsky v. Rapid-American Corpora-  
tion, 35 S.W.3d 643 (Tex. 2000),  
312–15

### R

Ramsey v. Beavers, 931 S.W.2d 527  
(Tenn. 1996), 515, 516

Ransome v. Wisconsin Elec. Power Co.,  
87 Wis.2d 605, 275 N.W.2d 641  
(1979), 7

Ray v. BIC Corp., 925 S.W.2d 527 (Tenn.  
1996), 192–95

Raymond v. Eli Lilly & Co., 117 N.H.  
164, 371 A.2d 170 (1977), 357

Retail Systems, Inc. v. CNA Insurance  
Companies, 469 N.W.2d 735 (Minn.  
App. 1991), 11

Rice v. Santa Fe Elevator Corp., 331 U.S.  
218, 67 S.Ct. 1146 (1947), 484

Richardson v. GAB Business Services,  
Inc., 161 Cal.App.3d 519 (1984), 449

Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc., 128 S.Ct. 999  
(2008), 210, 478–83, 487, 490, 494

- Rizzo v. Corning, Inc., 105 F.3d 338 (7th Cir. 1997), 131–32
- R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Martin, 53 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 1st DCA 2010), 344, 436–37
- Roach v. Kononen, 525 P.2d 125 (Or. 1974), 189–90
- Robertson v. Allied Signal, Inc., 914 F.2d 360 (3d Cir. 1990), 290
- Robinson v. Reed-Prentice Division of Package Machinery Co., 403 N.E.2d 440 (N.Y. 1980), 206–207
- Rocky River v. State Emp. Relations Bd., 43 Ohio St.3d 1, 539 N.E.2d 103 (1989), 358
- Rodriguez v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 627 F.3d 1259 (9th Cir. 2010), 381
- Ross v. Johns-Manville Corp., 766 F.2d 823 (3d Cir. 1985), 310
- Rothwell v. Chemical & Insulating Co. Ltd., [2007] UKHL 39, 311–12
- Rubanick v. Witco Chem. Corp., 125 N.J. 421, 593 A.2d 733 (1991), 289
- Russek v. Unisys Corp., 921 F.Supp. 1277 (D.N.J. 1999), 378
- Rutherford v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., 16 Cal.4th 953, 67 Cal.Rptr.2d 16, 941 P.2d 1203 (1997), 302, 318
- Rylands v. Fletcher, (1868) L.R. 3 H.L. 330, 219
- S
- Salmonsens v. CGD, Inc., 377 S.C. 442 (2008), 424
- Saloomey v. Jeppesen & Co., 707 F.2d 671 (Conn. 2d DCA 1983), 8, 9
- Salvador v. Atlantic Steel Boiler Co., 457 Pa. 24, 319 A.2d 903 (1974), 76, 341
- Sandford v. Chevrolet Division of General Motors, 642 P.2d 624 (Or. 1982), 336, 338
- Sapp v. Ford Motor Co., 687 S.E.2d 47 (S.C. 2009), 198
- Saratoga Fishing Co. v. J.M. Martinac & Co., 520 U.S. 875 (1997), 173
- Satterfield v. Breeding Insulation Co., 266 S.W.3d 347 (Tenn. 2008), 37
- Schafer v. J.L.C. Food Systems, Inc., 695 N.W.2d 570 (Minn. 2005), 142–46
- Sedar v. Knowlton Constr. Co., 49 Ohio St.3d 193, 551 N.E.2d 938 (1990), 359, 360, 363
- Sedima v. Imre Co., Inc., 473 U.S. 479 (1985), 529
- Seely v. White Motor Co., 63 Cal.2d 9 (1965), 29, 30, 156–59, 164, 165, 173
- Sell v. Bertsch & Co. Inc., 577 F.Supp. 1393 (D. Kan. 1984), 169
- Shalala v. Whitecotton, 514 U. S. 268 (1995), 468
- Sheehan v. Daily Racing Form, Inc., 104 F.3d 940 (7th Cir. 1997), 399
- Sheehan v. The North American Marketing Corp., 610 F.3d 144 (1st Cir. 2010), 325–31
- Sherlock v. Quality Control Equipment Co., 79 F. 3d 731 (8th Cir. 1996), 246
- Shetterly v. Raymark Indus., Inc., 117 F.3d 776 (4th Cir. 1997), 290
- Sholtis v. American Cyanamid Co., 238 N.J. Super. 8, 568 A.2d 1196 (1989), 285, 290, 291
- Silverstein v. Northrop Grumman Corp., A.2d 881 (N.J. Super. Ct. 2004), 378
- Simmons v. Pacor, Inc., 674 A.2d 232 (Pa. 1996), 311, 312
- Simpkins v. CSX Corp., 929 N.E.2d 1257 (Ill. 5th DCA 2010), 37, 38
- Sindell v. Abbott Laboratories, 26 Cal.3d 588, 163 Cal.Rptr. 132, 607 P.2d 924 (1980), 281, 283, 297–99, 303, 438–40
- Slaughter v. Southern Talc Co., 949 F.2d 167 (5th Cir. 1991), 290
- Smith ex rel. Smith v. Clement, 983 So.2d 285 (Miss. 2008), 391
- Smith v. 2328 University Ave. Corp., 52 A.D.3d 216 (N.Y. App. Div. 2008), 214
- Smith v. Behr Process Corp., 113 Wash.App. 306, 54 P.3d 665 (2002), 423
- Smith v. Brutger Cos., 569 N.W.2d 408 (Minn. 1997), 120
- Smith v. FMC Corp., 754 F.2d 873 (10th Cir. 1985), 250
- Smith v. Home Light & Power Co., 734 P.2d 1051 (Colo. 1987), 368

- Smith v. Linn, 563 A.2d 123 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1989), 10
- Smith v. Stewart, 233 Kan. 904, 667 P.2d 358 (1983), 65, 66
- Smith v. Yamaha Motor Corp., U.S.A., 5 A.3d 314 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2010), 175
- Soldo v. Sandoz Pharm. Corp., 244 F.Supp.2d 434 (W.D. Pa. 2003), 265
- Soule v. General Motors Corp., 882 P.2d 298 (1994), 180–82
- Spring Co. v. Edgar, 99 U.S. 645, 25 L.Ed. 487 (1879), 394
- St. Luke's Midland Reg'l Med. Ctr. v. Kennedy, 653 N.W.2d 880 (S.D. 2002), 226
- Stang v. Hertz Corp., 490 P.2d 475 (N.M. Ct. App. 1971), 59–60
- Stanley v. Wyeth, Inc., 991 So.2d 31 (La. 2008), 104, 115
- Stanton by Brooks v. Astra Pharmaceutical Products, Inc., 718 F.2d 553 (3d Cir. 1983), 133
- State ex rel. Ohio Academy of Trial Lawyers v. Sheward, 86 Ohio St.3d 451, 715 N.E.2d 1062 (1999), 363
- State Farm Mut. Automobile Ins. Co. v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408, 123 S.Ct. 1513 (2003), 420, 541–45, 550
- State v. American TV, 146 Wis.2d 292, 430 N.W.2d 709 (1988), 97
- State v. Saunders, 66 N.H. 39, 25 A. 588 (1889), 356
- Stearman v. Centex Homes, 78 Cal.App.4th 611 (2000), 31
- Steel Co. v. Citizens for Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83 (1998), 548
- Stegemoller v. ACandS, Inc., 767 N.E.2d 974 (Ind. 2002), 36–37, 146
- Sterling v. Velsicol Chem. Corp., 855 F.2d 1188 (6th Cir. 1988), 264, 399
- Stillie v. AM Intern., Inc., 850 F.Supp. 960 (D. Kan. 1994), 169
- Stimpson v. Ford Motor Co., 988 So.2d 1119 (Fla. 2008), 355
- Straley v. Kimberly, 687 N.E.2d 360 (Ind. App. 1997), 152
- Summers v. Tice, 33 Cal.2d 80, 199 P.2d 1 (1948), 304, 439, 440
- Sumnicht v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., 121 Wis.2d 338, 360 N.W.2d 2 (1984), 184–86
- Sunnyslope Grading, Inc. v. Miller, Bradford & Risberg, Inc., 148 Wis.2d 910, 437 N.W.2d 213 (1989), 97
- Surace v. Caterpillar, Inc., 111 F.3d 1039 (3d Cir. 1997), 201
- Sutowski v. Eli Lilly & Co., 696 N.E.2d 187 (Ohio 1998), 441
- Swift v. Tyson, 16 Pet. 1, 18, 10 L.Ed. 865 (1842), 409, 410, 428

## T

- Tate v. Boeing Helicopters, 55 F.3d 1150 (6th Cir. 1995), 372, 378–81
- Technical Chemical Co. v. Jacobs, 480 S.W.2d 602 (Tex. 1972), 280
- Temple-Inland Forest Prods. Corp. v. Carter, 993 S.W.2d 88 (Tex. 1999), 319
- The Travelers Indemnity Co. v. Pearlie Bailey, 129 S.Ct. 2195 (2009), 452–55
- Thomas v. Mallet, 701 N.W.2d 523 (Wis. 2005), 441–42
- Thompson v. Smith Shiprepairers (North Shields) Ltd., [1984] Q.B. 405, 301
- Tietsworth v. Harley Davidson, 677 N.W.2d 233 (Wis. 2004), 93–98
- Tillman v. Vance Equipment Co., 596 P.2d 1299 (Or. 1979), 168
- Torres v. Northwest Engineering Co., 949 P.2d 1004 (Haw. Ct. App. 1997), 60–62
- Torrington Co. v. Stutzman, 46 S.W. 829 (Tex. 2000), 371–73
- Tragarz v. Keene Corp., 980 F.2d 411 (7th Cir. 1992), 290
- Turner v. General Motors Corp., 584 S.W.2d 844 (Tex. 1979), 213
- Tweedy v. Wright Ford Sales, Inc., 357 N.E.2d 449 (Ill. 1976), 132
- TXO Production Corp. v. Alliance Resources Corp., 509 U.S. 443, 113 S.Ct. 2711, 125 L.Ed.2d 366 (1993), 532, 535, 536, 538

## U

- Ultramares Corporation v. Touche, 255 N.Y. 170 (1931), 43
- Union Pump Co. v. Allbritton, 898 S.W.2d 773 (Tex. 1995), 318, 319
- United States ex rel. Ali v. Daniel, Mann, Johnson & Mendenhall, 355 F.3d 1140 (9th Cir. 2004), 381
- United States v. 14.38 Acres of Land Situated in Leflore County, Mississippi, 80 F.3d 1074 (5th Cir. 1996), 399
- United States v. Abel, 469 U.S. 45, 105 S.Ct. 465, 83 L.Ed.2d 450 (1984), 394–95
- United States v. Carroll Towing Co., 159 F.2d 169 (2d Cir. 1947), 178, 408
- United States v. Downing, 753 F.2d 1224 (3d Cir. 1985), 390
- United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., 449 F. Supp. 2D 1 (D.D.C. 2006), 531
- United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., 566 F.3d 1095 (D.C. Cir. 2009), 530
- United States v. Sullivan, 332 U.S. 689, 696, 68 S.Ct. 331, 92 L.Ed. 297 (1948), 494
- USA v. Stevens, 994 So.2d 1062 (Fla. 2008), 221

## V

- Vandermark v. Ford Motor Co., 61 Cal.2d 256, 391 P.2d 168, 37 Cal.Rptr. 896 (1964), 13, 150, 158
- Vautour v. Body Masters Sports Industries, Inc., 784 A.2d 1178 (N.H. 2001), 195–98
- Vincer v. Esther Williams All-Aluminum Swimming Pool Co., 69 Wis.2d 326, 230 N.W.2d 794 (1975), 184–86
- Viterbo v. Dow Chemical Co., 826 F.2d 420 (5th Cir. 1987), 385
- Vons Companies, Inc. v. United States Fire Ins. Co., 78 Cal.App.4th 52 (2000), 451
- Voss v. Black & Decker Mfg. Co., 59 N.Y.2d 102, 463 N.Y.S.2d 398, 450 N.E.2d 204 (1983), 162
- Vulcan Metals Co. v. Simmons Mfg. Co., 248 F. 853 (2d Cir. 1918), 92

## W

- Walton v. Avco Corp. (Walton I), 383 Pa.Super. 518, 557 A.2d 372 (1989), 250–51
- Walton v. Avco Corp. (Walton II), 530 Pa. 568, 610 A.2d 454 (1992), 250
- Way v. Boy Scouts of America, 856 S.W.2d 230 (Tex. Ct. App. 1993), 9–10, 448
- Weaver v. Chrysler Corp., 172 F.R.D. 96 (S.D.N.Y. 1997), 96
- Webb v. Navistar Intern. Transp. Corp., 692 A.2d 343 (Vt. 1996), 342
- Webb v. Zern, 422 Pa. 424, 220 A.2d 853 (1966), 341
- Welge v. Planters Lifesavers Co., 17 F.3d 209 (7th Cir. 1994), 132
- Wennerholm v. Stanford University School of Medicine, 128 P.2d 522 (1942), 105–106
- Wheat v. Sofamor, S.N.C., 46 F.Supp.2d 1351 (N.D. Ga. 1999), 241
- Wheeler v. Raybestos-Manhattan, 8 Cal.App.4th 1152, 11 Cal.Rptr.2d 109 (1992), 298, 299
- Whipple v. Cumberland Mfg. Co., 29 F. Cas. 934 (C.C. Me. 1843), 540
- Whitehead v. Toyota Motor Corp., 897 S.W.2d 684 (Tenn. 1995), 338–39, 343
- Whitney v. California, 274 U.S. 357 (1927), 549
- Williams v. Monarch Machine Tool Co., 26 F.3d 228 (1st Cir. 1994), 255
- Williams v. New York, 337 U.S. 241 (1949), 537
- Williams v. Philip Morris, 48 P.3d 824 (Or. Ct. App. 2002), 106–10
- Williamson v. Mazda Motor of America, Inc., 131 S.Ct. 1131 (2011), 474–76
- Wilson v. Iseminger, 185 U.S. 55, 22 S.Ct. 573, 46 L.Ed. 804 (1902), 356
- Wilson v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp., 684 F.2d 111 (D.C. Cir. 1982), 314
- Wilson v. United States Elevator Corp., 972 P.2d 235 (Ariz. 1998), 245
- Winter v. G.P. Putnam's Sons, 938 F.2d 1033 (9th Cir. 1991), 9, 10



Winterbottom v. Wright, 10 M & W 109,  
152 E.R. 402 (1842), 39–42, 43, 45,  
48, 125, 141, 150  
Witte v. United States, 515 U.S. 389  
(1995), 548  
Worrell v. Sachs, 41 Conn. Supp. 179,  
563 A.2d 1387 (1989), 27  
Wright v. Carter Products, Inc., 244 F.2d  
53 (2d Cir. 1957), 295  
Wyeth v. Levine, 129 S.Ct. 1187 (2009),  
476, 490–94, 496–502

## Y

Yamaha Motor Co., U.S.A. v. Arnoult,  
955 P.2d 661 (Nev. 1998), 392  
Yearsley v. W.A. Ross Construction Co.,  
309 U.S. 18, 60 S.Ct. 413, 84 L.Ed.  
554 (1940), 374  
Yeroshefsky v. Unisys, 962 F.Supp. 710  
(D. Md. 1997), 378

Yost v. General Motors Corp., 651  
F.Supp. 656 (D.N.J. 1986), 96  
Yu v. Int'l Bus. Mach. Corp., 732 N.E.2d  
1173 (Ill. 2000), 96

## Z

Zabner v. Howard Johnson's Inc, 201  
So.2d 824 (Fla. 4th DCA 1967), 142  
Ziegelmann v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.,  
649 N.W.2d 556 (N.D. 2002), 96



# Table of Statutes and Other Authorities

---

---

## UNITED STATES

### Constitution

Amend. X, 408, 410  
Amend. XIV, 537  
Art. I, 229, 462, 467  
Art. VI, 229, 408, 467, 500

### Statutes

Bankruptcy Act (11 U.S.C.)  
§ 101(4)(A), 462  
§ 362, 446, 447, 461, 462  
§ 524, 455, 456, 458–61, 463  
§ 547, 447  
§ 1109, 457  
Boiler Inspection Act of 1911, 485  
Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act of 1965  
§ 5, 487  
Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (28 U.S.C.), 430  
Consumer Product Safety Act of 1972 (15 U.S.C.), 477  
Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, 116  
Federal Employers' Liability Act (45 U.S.C.), 508–12  
Federal Judiciary Act (28 U.S.C.), 409  
§ 636, 404  
§ 1407, 410–12  
§ 1712, 425  
United States Code—Title 28: Judiciary and Judicial Procedure  
Federal Tort Claims Act (28 U.S.C.), 370  
§ 1346, 374  
§ 2674, 371  
§ 2680, 371, 372  
Federal Trade Commission Act of 1914 (15 U.S.C.), 90

Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.), 134, 478, 487, 488, 498  
§ 352, 500  
§ 355, 116  
Locomotive Inspection Act (49 U.S.C.), 484–86  
§ 20701, 485  
Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act of 1975 (15 U.S.C.), 82  
Medical Device Act (21 U.S.C.)  
§ 301, 111  
§ 360, 210, 478, 479–82  
§ 510, 210, 479, 481  
National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, 468  
National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 (15 U.S.C.), 471  
§ 1392, 472  
§ 1397, 472, 473  
Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act (RICO), 432, 522, 528–31  
§ 1961, 528  
§ 1962, 528–29  
§ 1964, 529  
Resource Conservation Recovery Act (42 U.S.C.A.), 286  
Tucker Act of 1887 (28 U.S.C.) 370  
Uniform Sales Act of 1906, 57, 58, 64, 68, 354  
§ 12, 57, 58, 60  
§ 15, 64  
§ 69, 64  
  
**Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**  
Rule 8, 413, 414  
Rule 9, 90, 414  
Rule 12, 412, 413, 414, 448

Rule 23, 421, 422, 424, 425, 433

Rule 50, 390

Rule 56, 390

Rule 59, 161

#### **Federal Rules of Evidence**

Rule 72, 404

Rule 104, 389

Rule 201, 376

Rule 402, 388

Rule 403, 311, 385, 390

Rule 407, 215, 216, 218, 219

Rule 701, 389

Rule 702, 389–91, 397–98, 400–402, 416

Rule 703, 389, 390

#### **Restatement (Second) of Torts**

§ 285, 133

§ 291, 128

§ 310, 85, 87, 99–100, 115

§ 311, 85, 101, 102, 115

§ 328D, 130–31

§ 388, 224, 228, 230, 231, 250

§ 395, 127

§ 402A, 7–8, 14, 76, 151, 154–56, 165, 167, 170, 171, 175, 176, 179, 180, 183–186, 193, 201, 213, 217, 226, 231, 239, 279, 300, 303, 340, 341, 342, 369, 377, 378

§ 402B, 85, 119

§ 431, 329

§ 456, 509, 510

§ 526, 89

§ 531, 93

§ 538, 87

§ 539, 92

§ 540, 104

§ 542, 92, 104

§ 543, 103

§ 545A, 104, 105

§ 557A, 89, 99, 100

#### **Restatement (Third) of Torts: Apportionment of Liability, 304**

**Restatement (Third) of Torts: Liability for Physical and Emotional Harm (2010), 129**

§ 14, 133

**Restatement (Third) of Torts: Liability for Physical Harm (Basic Principles),**

**Proposed Final Draft No.1 (2005), 302, 303–304**

#### **Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability**

§ 1, 7, 18, 21, 87, 175, 229, 368

§ 2, 142, 164, 165, 166, 175, 176, 179, 196, 197, 209, 224, 226, 228, 230, 231

§ 3, 132, 142

§ 4, 142, 228, 229, 473, 476, 483

§ 5, 11–12

§ 6, 165, 209, 210, 239–40, 242

§ 7, 142, 165

§ 8, 166, 369

§ 9, 85, 87, 93, 100

§ 10, 246

§ 15, 261, 340

§ 16, 261

§ 17, 261, 335

§ 19, 7–8, 28

§ 20, 18, 19

§ 21, 29, 173, 175

#### **Uniform Commercial Code**

§ 1–103, 163

§ 2–103, 47, 65

§ 2–104, 19, 65

§ 2–105, 6

§ 2–106, 18

§ 2–302, 81

§ 2–313, 58–62, 73, 85

§ 2–314, 34, 65, 66, 163

§ 2–315, 64, 65, 66

§ 2–316, 65, 66, 80–82, 367

§ 2–318, 75, 76, 159

§ 2–718, 81

§ 2–719, 81, 82, 367

§ 2–725, 354

#### **EUROPEAN UNION**

Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Directive 93/13/EEC (1993), 83

#### **UNITED KINGDOM**

Compensation Act 2006 § 3, 307

Consumer Protection Act 1987 § 3, 187–88

# Preface

---

In a dissenting opinion in *New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann*, 285 U.S. 262, 311; 52 S.Ct. 371, 386–87 (1932), Justice Louis D. Brandeis famously observed that: “It is one of the happy incidents of the federal system that a single courageous state may, if its citizens choose, serve as a laboratory; and try novel social and economic experiments without risk to the rest of the country.” In no area of the law is this more true than in products liability.

Indeed, the very notion of a new, discrete body of products liability law essentially came about from an “experiment” in California. But “experimentation” in cases of defective products has not ended there. Some states have tried strict liability as the yardstick for all products liability claims, albeit with different explanations as to what that notion entails. Other states have asserted (or re-asserted) various different notions of fault as essential to (some types of) products liability claims; and they have then differed as to whether this should be measured by a “consumer expectations” or “risk-utility” standard, or whether both these tests should be available as alternatives within the same jurisdiction. One state requires that both tests be satisfied, treating the latter as a question of law for the court, and the former as a question of fact for the jury.

Similarly, different states have tried different approaches regarding the circumstances in which substantial remedial measures to a product may be produced as evidence to sustain the claim of a product defect. Even the admissibility or otherwise of expert evidence is judged against different criteria in different states. And scientific uncertainty as to whether, and how, allegedly toxic agents can cause harm has led to a whole series of different approaches to proof of causation of harm, including tests applicable solely to asbestos cases that are not applied elsewhere.

Overlaid on this patchwork of judicial experimentation among the states is a federal blanket of rulings on multi-district litigation, pre-emption, bankruptcy, punitive damages, and the rules of evidence. This blanket shows the extent to which the Supreme Court has been prepared to adopt the rest of Brandeis’s view, which has often been overlooked. For he was not, in fact, waxing eloquent in support of unconfined experiments with the law by the judiciary of the various states. On the contrary, the sentences that immediately follow the above quotation from Brandeis’s judgment read as follows:

This Court has the power to prevent an experiment. We may strike down the statute which embodies it on the ground that, in our opinion, the measure is arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable. We have power to do this, because the due process clause has been held by the Court applicable to matters of substantive law as well as to matters of procedure. But, in the exercise of this high power, we must be ever on our guard, lest we erect our prejudices into legal principles. If we would guide by the light of reason, we must let our minds be bold. (285 U.S. at 311, 52 S.Ct. at 387.)

Above everything else, then, products liability law is really about a struggle for power—and not just about a struggle for power between the parties to specific litigation, but also about struggles for power between one state and another, between the states and the federal government, between judge and jury, and among the judiciary itself. These struggles are often, moreover, less about what kinds of legal submissions may be successful, and more about who gets to decide.

One of the ramifications of this combination of a patchwork of state experimentation, subject to periodic federal intervention, is that the body of law it produces is best conceived not as fixed, black-letter rules that can be learned by rote, but as a body of policy-driven, fact-specific decision-making around a consistent set of themes. Many of these themes are lucidly highlighted by the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability, to which regular reference is made throughout this book. Yet it frequently remains open to question whether that Restatement's black-letter sections really do represent the law.

Another important consideration to be borne in mind is that, even if the decision-making around these themes varies from one state to another, there are (and have been) discernible trends (often nationwide) as to the direction in which the case law is headed. For this reason, recent cases predominate among those extracted here, while the older cases that are discussed have been chosen to highlight the ways in which the law has changed since they were decided.

One of the consequences of this emphasis on thematic trends is that many of the questions that are posed throughout the book are designed to encourage the reader to identify those trends in the particular area of the law then under discussion. Another is the inclusion of discussions of areas of the law that are not typically covered in books on products liability law, but which have nevertheless become matters of significant importance in products liability litigation. Chapter 16, in particular, is devoted to considering what happens when a manufacturer of defective products enters bankruptcy protection. In these recessionary times, omitting this important topic—and its consequences for the availability of compensation, whether through liability- or self-insurance—would surely amount to a refusal to recognize one of the most important, albeit also most unfortunate, trends of all.

An area of products liability law that could certainly benefit from a new trend and more “experiments” is its rhetoric. Comprehension of the area is currently impeded both by pompous Latinisms that have little connection to the Ancient Romans (though perhaps the worst of all, *syllabi*—which embodies so many linguistic, historical, and etymological errors that it is hard to know where to begin in identifying them all—seems sadly endemic throughout American law) and by wholly misleading terminology expressed in English (such as “implied assumption of risk”). The bizarre tendency to call every legal doctrine a “rule” when it is really no such thing (as in, for example, the “economic loss rule”) is another such impediment. Perhaps worst of all is the lazy usage of the phrase “strict liability,” which has now become so pervasive that it is impossible to know, without added verbiage, whether the speaker or writer is referring to fault-based or no-fault liability. I would happily laud any bench who resolved to eradicate these linguistic nightmares, especially one who ordered that the terminology of strict liability be once again restricted solely to cases of no-fault liability.

In the continued absence of that particular experiment, products liability lawyers are compelled to work with a lexicon that is neither as sharp nor as granular as it should be. One of the ways in which I have tried to overcome this problem is by supplementing the text with frequent flowcharts and other graphics, which are designed to be particularly

useful to “visual” learners. Sometimes I wish that judgments were set out in such a manner. A picture may paint a thousand words, although it is admittedly unlikely that any diagrams will be as eloquent as Justice Brandeis. They do, however, seldom strive to be pompous.

**Tim Kaye**  
Tampa, FL  
April 2012





# Acknowledgments

---

The contents of this book have been tried, amended, and thoroughly shaped by discussions in my Products Liability classes at Stetson University College of Law. I should like to thank all the students in those classes for engaging positively with the material, for asking penetrating questions, and for offering different perspectives and important insights. Eric Briley, Chrissy Carpenter, Patrick Causey, Stephen Farkas, Dawn Hunter, Patrick Plamondon, Michael Schuette, Daniel Strader, Elizabeth Stringer, and Douglas Wieland deserve particular mention as first among equals in this regard, while my research assistants, Michelle Mos (née Searce) and Margaret “Peggy” Peters, went well beyond the call of duty in locating materials and providing critical assessments of them.

I have been fortunate indeed to find so supportive an environment as Stetson University College of Law in which to write this book. A scholarship grant facilitated the preparation of the manuscript. Terri Radwan improved my understanding of bankruptcy law. In their very different ways, Marco Jimenez, Candace Zierdt, and Jamie Fox have kept me thinking about, and re-evaluating, contract law. Ann Piccard, Jim and Millie Brown—and, from further afield, Tadas Klimas—have been a constant source of support and encouragement. So too has Bob Bickel, who is a fountain of knowledge and ideas about tort law, and with whom I have had countless enjoyable discussions about Life, the Universe, and Everything. Above all, my wife, Jan, continues to put up with me—albeit often from the safety of a tennis court! I like to think it has something to do with love.

Working with Carolina Academic Press has been as smooth as any author can wish for. I must particularly thank Linda Lacy for her wise counsel and suggestions; Tim Colton for dealing with technical matters so helpfully, and for re-creating the flowcharts in a publishable format; and Karen Clayton (despite the demands of her menagerie of cats, dogs, and fish) for typesetting the manuscript with such efficiency and good humor.

I should also like to thank the following authors and publishers for granting permission to enable me to include excerpts from the following publications:

American Law Institute, Restatement, Second, Torts, § 285(b), § 291, § 310 and Illustration 2, § 311, § 328D, § 388, § 395, § 402A and Caveat and Comments *h* and *i*, § 402B and Caveat, § 526, § 531, § 539, § 540, § 542, § 545A, § 557A and Illustration 1. Copyright © 1965, 1977 by The American Law Institute. Reprinted by permission. All rights reserved.

American Law Institute, Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability, § 1 and Comment *a*, § 2 and Comment *i*, § 3, § 4 and Comment *e*, § 5, § 6 and Comments *b* and *d*, § 7, § 8, § 9, § 16, § 17, § 19, § 20. Copyright © 1998 by The American Law Institute. Reprinted by permission. All rights reserved.

Ausness, Richard C., From Caveat Emptor to Strict Liability: A Review of Products Liability in Florida, 24 U.L. Rev. 410, 410, 411–13. Copyright © 1972 by the Florida Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

Boivin, Denis, *Strict Products Liability Revisited*, 33 *Osgoode Hall L.J.* 487, 491–92. Copyright © 1995 by Denis Boivin. Reprinted by permission.

Bonney, Paul R., *Manufacturers' Strict Liability for Handgun Injuries: An Economic Analysis*, 73 *Geo. L.J.* 1437, 1456–57 and note 167. Copyright © 1985 by Paul R. Bonney. Reprinted by permission.

Brennan, Troyen A., *Causal Chains and Statistical Links: The Role of Scientific Uncertainty in Hazardous-Substance Litigation*, 73 *Cornell L. Rev.* 469, 479–83, 483, 484–85; 486; 489–91; 500–501. Copyright © 1988 by the Cornell Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

Fede, Andrew, *Legal Protection for Slave Buyers in the U.S. South: A Caveat Concerning Caveat Emptor*, 31 *Am. J. Legal Hist.* 322, 322–23, 327, 328–29, 331–33, 334–35, 336. Copyright © 1987 by the American Journal of Legal History. Reprinted by permission.

Gardner, Sheldon, & Robert Kuehl, *Acquiring an Historical Understanding of Duties to Disclose, Fraud, and Warranties*, 104 *Com. L.J.* 168, 173–76. Copyright © 1999 by the Commercial Law League of America. Reprinted by permission.

Gifford, Donald G., *The Challenge to the Individual Causation Requirement in Mass Products Torts*, 62 *Wash. & Lee L. Rev.* 873, 875–81. Copyright © 2005 Donald G. Gifford. Reprinted by permission of Donald G. Gifford and the Washington and Lee Law Review.

Green, Michael D., D. Michal Freedman, & Leon Gordis, *Reference Guide on Epidemiology*, in *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence*, 3d ed., pp. 551–54. Copyright © 2011 by the National Academy of Sciences. Reprinted with permission from the National Academy of Sciences, Courtesy of the National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.

Johnston, Susan Power, & Katherine Porter, *Extension of Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code to Nondebtor Parents, Affiliates, and Transaction Parties*, 59 *Bus. Lawyer* 503, 510–11, 511, 512, 513–14, 514–15, 515–16. Copyright © 2004 by Susan Power Johnston & Katherine Porter. Reprinted by permission.

McGarity, Thomas O., *Proposal for Linking Culpability and Causation to Ensure Corporate Accountability for Toxic Risks*, 26 *Wm. & Mary Envtl. L. & Policy Rev.* 1, 1–3, 4–6. Copyright © 2001 by Thomas O. McGarity. Reprinted by permission.

Pridgen, Dee, & Ivan L. Preston, *Enhancing the Flow of Information in the Marketplace: From Caveat Emptor to Virginia Pharmacy and Beyond at the Federal Trade Commission*, 14 *Ga. L. Rev.* 635, 641, 642–45, 646. Copyright © 1980 by the Georgia Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

Stapleton, Jane, *Product Liability*, p. 11, London, UK: Butterworths. Copyright © 1994 by Jane Stapleton. Reprinted by permission.

Stapleton, Jane, *Two Causal Fictions at the Heart of U.S. Asbestos Doctrine*, 122 *L.Q. Rev.* 189, 189–95. Copyright © 2006 by Jane Stapleton. Reprinted by permission.

*Uniform Commercial Code*, § 2-103, § 2-104, § 2-105(1), § 2-302, § 2-313, § 2-314, § 2-315, § 2-316, § 2-318, § 2-718, § 2-719, § 2-725. Copyright © 2011 by The American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. Reproduced by permission of the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code. All rights reserved.

Viscusi, W. Kip, *Reforming Products Liability*, pp. 114–16, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press. Copyright © 1991 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted by permission.

Walker, Vern R., Restoring the Individual Plaintiff to Tort Law by Rejecting the Junk Logic about Specific Causation, 56 Ala. L. Rev. 381, 381–86. Copyright © 2004 by the Alabama Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

In order to make these extracts easier to follow, internal citations have been omitted without indication. I have adopted the same approach to the case extracts.