

# Making Tax Law

**Daniel M. Berman**

McGLADREY LLP

ADJUNCT PROFESSOR OF LAW

BOSTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

**Victoria J. Haneman**

PROFESSOR OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE COLLEGE OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2014  
Carolina Academic Press  
All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-61163-280-4  
LCCN: 2013947550

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

---

Table of Cases	ix
<b>Unit 1 · The Path of Legislation</b>	<b>3</b>
I. Introduction	3
II. Overview of Our Government	4
A. The Basic Structure of Congress	4
1. The Senate	4
2. The House of Representatives	4
B. Gatherings of Congress	5
C. Congressional Committees	5
1. The Tax-Writing Committees	6
III. The Circuitous Path of Legislation	7
A. The Starting Point	7
B. The House of Representatives	33
1. The Role of Congressional Committees	33
2. Bipartisan Gamesmanship	38
C. The Senate	38
D. The Conference Committee	39
IV. Participants in the Legislative Process	39
A. Governmental Participants	39
1. Joint Committee on Taxation	39
2. The Office of Legislative Counsel	40
3. The Office of Management and Budget	55
4. The Office of Tax Policy	55
5. Tax-Writing Committee Staffs	55
B. Non-Governmental Participants	55
V. A Historical Perspective on the Legislative Process: The Tax Reform Act of 1986	56
A. Treasury I and Treasury II as a Departure Point for Reform	56
B. The House of Representatives and TRA 1986	63
C. The Senate and TRA 1986	80
D. Conference Agreement and Enactment	90
E. Aftermath of TRA 1986	90
F. The Role of Rifle Shot Transition Rules in TRA 1986	90
<b>Unit 2 · Features of the Process</b>	<b>103</b>
I. The Origination of Revenue Measures: The House of Representatives	104
<i>Moore, et al. v. U.S. House of Reps., et al.</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, 1984733 F.2d 946	105
<i>Armstrong v. U.S.</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, 1985759 F.2d 1378	109

II. The Inner Workings of the Legislative Process	111
A. Floor Rules, Filibuster, and Cloture	111
B. Meeting in Conference to Reconcile the House and Senate Versions of a Bill	111
C. The Hand Holding the Pen: Writing Statutory Language	112
III. Important Documents	118
A. Markup Documents	118
B. Revenue Estimates	119
1. The Byrd Rule	119
2. The Confidentiality of Revenue Estimations	120
IV. Expiring Provisions and Studies	125
A. Expiring Provisions	125
B. Studies	127
V. Discussion Drafts: Introduced Legislation Not for Enactment	128
VI. The Use and Misuse of Technical Corrections	134
VII. The Role of the Executive Branch	134
A. The President's Budget Proposals	135
B. Testimony of the Administration at Hearings	135
C. The Role of the Executive Branch in Markup Sessions	136
D. Statements of Administrative Policy (SAP) and the Threat of Veto	138
E. Veto Messages	138
F. The Line Item Veto	138
VIII. Idiosyncratic and Personal Influences on Legislation	140
A. Geography	140
B. Personal Tensions Among Staffers	142
C. Delivering a Comeuppance Through the Line Item Veto	143
IX. The Outside Influence of Lobbying, Special Interest Groups, and Public Comment	146
A. The Purpose of a Members' Day (and the Resulting "Markup from Hell")	146
B. Eliciting Public Comment	146
X. The Influence of Information Not Always Visible in the Code	148
A. Effective Dates	148
1. Normal Effective Date	148
2. Blended Effective Date	149
3. Frozen Effective Date	149
4. Retroactive Effective Date	150
5. Sunset Provisions and Nested Effective Dates	150
B. Transition Rules	151
C. Off-Code Provisions	152
XI. Foreign Influences: A Brief Consideration of Foreign Export Incentives	154
A. Domestic International Sales Corporations and Foreign Sales Corporations	154
B. The Repeal of the FSC Rules	155
C. The Aftermath of the FSC Repeal	157
XII. Judicial Influence Shaping the Development of Tax Law:	
The Influence of Non-Tax Judges	158
A. Murphy I	158
<i>Murphy v. Internal Revenue Service</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, 2006460 F.3d 79	158

B. Murphy II	164
<i>Murphy v. Internal Revenue Service</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, 2007493 F.3d 170	164
C. Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Commissioner	168
<i>Proctor &amp; Gamble Co. v. Commissioner</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, 1992961 F.2d 1255	168
D. Arrowsmith v. Commissioner	172
<b>Unit 3 • Regulations and Other Administrative Guidance</b>	175
I. Institutions	175
A. Overview of the U.S. Department of the Treasury	176
B. The Internal Revenue Service and the Office of Tax Policy	176
C. Delegation Order	176
II. Drafting a Treasury Regulation	178
A. The Process of Drafting a Treasury Regulation	178
B. Priority Guidance Plan	181
C. Public Comment	183
III. The Validity of Regulatory Authority	184
A. Testing the Limits: “Check-the-Box” Regulations	184
<i>Litriello v. United States</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, 2007484 F.3d 372	185
IV. Types of Regulations	189
A. Interpretative Regulations	189
<i>Swallows Holding, Ltd. v. Commissioner</i> , United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, 2008515 F.3d 162	189
B. Legislative Regulations	194
V. The Revenue Effect of Regulations	196
VI. Types of Administrative Tax Guidance	197
A. General Counsel’s Memorandum	197
B. Revenue Ruling	197
C. Revenue Procedure	200
D. An Announcement	200
E. Chief Counsel’s Advice	200
F. Field Service Advice Memorandum	207
G. Private Letter Ruling	207
H. TAM: Technical Advice Memorandum	207
I. AOD: Action on Decision	219
J. Notices	222
<b>Unit 4 • International Tax Treaties</b>	225
I. Introduction	226
II. The Basic Operation of a Tax Treaty	226
III. Negotiation of a Tax Treaty	227
A. Authority to Negotiate Treaties	227
B. Criteria for Negotiation	227
C. The Process of Tax Treaty Negotiation	229
D. The Basis for Negotiation	230
E. The Preference for Bilateral Treaties	231

IV. The Role of Congress	232
A. The Relationship of Tax Legislation to Tax Treaties	232
B. Senate Participation in the Treaty Process	233
V. The Saving Clause	244
VI. The Limitation-on-Benefits Clause	247
VII. Non-Negotiable Issues	248
A. Tax Sparing Credits	248
B. Income from Leased Shipping Containers	249
VIII. Treaty Overrides	251
A. Generally	251
B. Authority for Treaty Overrides	251
<i>Cook v. United States</i> , Supreme Court of the United States, 1933288 U.S. 102	251
C. The Perspective of the Global Community	256
D. Types of Overrides	256
1. Delayed Override: FIRPTA (1980)	256
2. Contingent Override: Internal Revenue Code § 163(j)	257
3. Temporary Override: Expatriation Committee Report	258
4. Legislative Underride: The Branch Profits Tax	258
<b>Unit 5 • Scene of Changes</b>	261
I. The Historic and Modern Role of the Congressional Budget Office	261
II. Staffing of the House Ways and Means Committee and the Senate Finance Committee	262
III. Rifle Shots and Transition Rules	263
IV. The Differing Approach of the House and the Senate to Markup Documents	263
V. Politicization of the Joint Committee on Taxation	264
VI. Partisanship on the Senate Floor	265
VII. The Shifting of Power from Committees to Chamber Leadership	266
VIII. Views of Value-Added Taxation	266
<b>Index</b>	269

# Table of Cases

---

Only principal cases are included in this table. References are to pages.

Armstrong v. U.S., 109

Arrowsmith v. Commissioner, 172

Cook v. United States, 251

Litriello v. United States, 185

Moore, et al. v. U.S. House of Reps., et al., 105

Murphy v. Internal Revenue Service (2006), 158

Murphy v. Internal Revenue Service (2007), 164

Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Commissioner, 168

Swallows Holding, Ltd. v. Commissioner, 189