

Standards of Decision in Law

Psychological and Logical Bases for the
Standard of Proof, Here and Abroad

Kevin M. Clermont

ZIFF PROFESSOR OF LAW, CORNELL UNIVERSITY



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2013
Kevin M. Clermont
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Clermont, Kevin M.

Standards of decision in law : psychological and logical bases for the standard of proof, here and abroad / Kevin M. Clermont.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-61163-373-3 (alk. paper)

1. Burden of proof. 2. Burden of proof--Psychological aspects. I. Title.

K2263.C54 2013

347'.06019--dc23

2013007280

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

*For Jian,
my beloved little daughter about to enter her age of decisions*

*And for Adrienne,
my mirific adult daughter who has made great decisions*

Contents

Table of Methodological Notes	xii
Chapter I • Role of Standards of Decision in Law	3
Chapter II • Varieties of Standards of Decision	11
A. Patterned Taxonomy	11
1. Standards of Original Decision	11
a. Standard of Proof	11
b. Harmless Error	31
c. Police Actions	38
2. Standards of Review	42
a. Review of Trial Judges by Appellate Courts	42
b. Review of Juries by Trial Judges	49
c. Review of Administrators by Judges	53
3. Standards for Renewed Review	55
a. Review by Supreme Courts	55
b. Review of Magistrate Judges	56
c. Review of Trial Motions	57
B. Cognitive Explanation	61
1. Cognitive Limitations	61
a. Absolute Judgment	62
b. Short-Term Memory	66
c. More General Limitations	68
2. Psychology's Illumination of Standards	73
a. Using Nonprecise Standards	75
b. Using Customary Standards	78
c. Reflecting Back on Psychology	80
C. Doctrinal Application	82
1. Pleading	84
2. Jurisdictional Fact	89
3. Jurisdictional Amount	99

Chapter III • Empirical Data on How People Handle Different Standards of Proof	103
A. Range of Studies	103
1. Topics	103
2. Methodologies	104
B. Findings of Studies	105
1. Articulation and Communication	105
2. Comprehension and Application	106
3. Conclusions	113
a. Factfinders Have Difficulty in Comprehending Standards	113
b. Factfinders Have Difficulty in Handling Numbers	113
c. Factfinders Nevertheless Can and Do Handle Likelihood	114
d. Factfinders Can and Do Distinguish Between Standards	114
C. Evaluation of Studies	115
Chapter IV • Competing Visions of How People Handle Different Standards of Proof	117
A. Traditional View: Probability Theories	119
B. Psychologists' Attacks: Confidence Theories	123
1. Processing the Evidence	124
2. Applying the Standard	126
3. Psychological Mechanism of Confidence	129
C. Legal Academics' Attacks: Other Theories	138
1. Theoretical Potpourri	138
2. Relative Plausibility	139
Chapter V • Data and Visions as to Standards of Proof Reconciled	145
A. Expressing Assessments	152
1. Zadeh's Fuzzy Logic	154
a. Basics of Theory	154
b. Fuzziness Versus Probability	159
c. The Law's Choice	164
2. Legal Application: Gradated Likelihood	166
B. Conjoining Assessments	168
1. Fuzzy Operators	169
a. Minimum and Maximum	169
b. Product Rule Contrasted	173
c. Negation Operator	187
2. Legal Application: Conjunction and Aggregation Paradoxes	188

C. Analyzing Beliefs	201
1. Shafer's Belief Functions	201
a. Basics of Theory	201
b. Negation Operator	203
c. Lack of Proof	204
2. Legal Application: Burden of Production	204
D. Applying Standards	208
1. Comparison of Beliefs	208
2. Legal Application: Burden of Persuasion	209
a. Traditional View	209
b. Reformulated View	210
c. Implications of Reformulation	216
Chapter VI • Comparative (and Historical) Puzzle as to Standards of Proof	221
A. Variations	226
1. English Approach	226
2. French Approach	228
3. Japanese Approach	237
B. Histories	240
1. Civil Law	240
2. Common Law	242
3. Historical Causation of Divergence	243
C. Explanations of Difference's Persistence	245
1. Inattention to the Problem	248
a. Disdain	248
b. Misunderstanding	251
2. Avoidance of the Problem	251
a. Nonfacts	252
b. Inquisition	253
3. Insignificance of the Problem	254
a. Lip-Service	254
b. Convergence	255
4. Purposiveness Behind Standards	261
a. Substantive Purposes	262
b. Procedural Purposes	264
5. Legitimacy	268
a. Elaboration	268
b. Evaluation	271

Chapter VII • Normative Conclusions	273
A. Drawing Comparative Lessons on the Standard of Proof	273
B. Conveying the Standard of Proof	275
C. Stating the Standard of Decision	278
D. Summary of Standards of Decision in Law	280
Index	283

Table of Methodological Notes

<i>Standards As a Step Function</i>	15
<i>Equipoise As a Range</i>	18
<i>Standard As a Policy Judgment</i>	25
<i>Effect of the Burden Allocation</i>	36
<i>Magical Numbers Three and Seven</i>	41
<i>De Novo Review</i>	47
<i>Multi-Step Decisionmaking</i>	52
<i>Standards of Decision for Issues of Law</i>	95
<i>Standards of Proof As Being Unique Among Standards of Decision</i>	141
<i>Overview of Fuzzy Logic</i>	153
<i>Maintaining a Cross-Cultural Vision Without Stereotyping</i>	249