

# CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: REGULATION OF POLICE INVESTIGATION

# LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

---

**William Araiza**

*Professor of Law*  
Brooklyn Law School

**Ruth Colker**

*Distinguished University Professor & Heck-Faust Memorial Chair in Constitutional Law*  
Ohio State University Moritz College of Law

**Olympia Duhart**

*Associate Professor of Law*  
Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad Law School

**Samuel Estreicher**

*Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law*  
*Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law*  
NYU School of Law

**David Gamage**

*Assistant Professor of Law*  
UC Berkeley School of Law

**Joan Heminway**

*College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law*  
University of Tennessee College of Law

**Edward Imwinkelried**

*Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law*  
UC Davis School of Law

**Paul Marcus**

*Haynes Professor of Law*  
William and Mary Law School

**Melissa Weresh**

*Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law*  
Drake University Law School

# CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: REGULATION OF POLICE INVESTIGATION

---

LEGAL, HISTORICAL, EMPIRICAL  
AND COMPARATIVE MATERIALS  
Fifth Edition

*Christopher Slobogin, J.D., LL.M.*

Milton Underwood Professor of Law  
Vanderbilt University Law School

Casebook ISBN: 978-0-7698-5964-4

Looseleaf ISBN: 978-0-7698-5963-7

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Slobogin, Christopher, 1951-  
Criminal procedure: regulation of police investigation : legal, historical, empirical, and comparative materials /  
Christopher Slobogin. — 5th ed.  
p. cm. — (Legal text series)  
ISBN 978-0-7698-5964-4 (case bound)  
1. Criminal investigation—United States. 2. Criminal procedure—United States. 3. Police—United States. I.Title.  
KF9625.S54 2012  
345.73'052--dc23  
2001050435

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2012 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.  
1993

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Offices  
121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800  
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200  
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

## PREFACE

This book is about regulation of the police and other front-line government officials engaged in the investigation of illegal activity. It focuses on four types of law enforcement techniques and practices: (1) searches and seizures; (2) interrogation; (3) procedures used to identify suspects; and (4) entrapment, and includes coverage of all significant Supreme Court decisions and many important lower court decisions through 2012. Thus the substantive scope of this book is not very different from other law textbooks that focus on police practices.

In contrast to many of those books, however, this book has several objectives beyond imparting knowledge about the substantive law. First, it seeks to acquaint the student with the world of the police. The law enforcement ethos is complex and occasionally mysterious to those who are not police. Without some understanding of this environment, discussion about regulatory approaches may verge on the irrelevant. Thus, the first forty pages of this book are devoted entirely to historical and sociological materials concerning the police and their practices (updated in this edition), and additional materials on this subject are scattered throughout the book where appropriate.

A second objective of this book is to provide the student with some idea of the various mechanisms that could be used to regulate the police. In this country, we tend to assume that the federal courts should be the source of most rules governing law enforcement. Yet state courts, legislatures, police departments, and even international organizations provide alternative, or at least supplemental, sources of law. Accordingly, Chapter 1 devotes space not only to the incorporation doctrine, but also provides material on the revolution in state constitutional law, the possibility of relying on domestic legislation and international treaties as regulatory sources, and police promulgation of rules. Later chapters occasionally note legislative and police enactments that attempt to implement or compete with court decisions. Included, for instance, are materials on the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, the Patriot Act, and other legislative provisions and executive orders that have come into being since the attacks of September 11, 2001.

The subtitle to the book, referring to historical, empirical and comparative materials, indicates several other objectives. It is a major assumption of this work that, for a number of reasons, students ought to appreciate the historical pedigree of current rules. Most obviously, under our constitutional system, history helps us decide whether doctrines such as the right to remain silent and the exclusionary rule are “fundamental.” History also improves our understanding of why some rules are the way they are, and occasionally provides some interesting alternative methods of regulation. As the sections at the beginning of Chapters 2 through 6 illustrate, many of the issues we debate today have been around for centuries.

Until the 1970s, very little empirical work on the impact and legitimacy of legal rules regulating the police was available. Now, however, there exist several studies testing various judicial assumptions about police and citizen behavior including, for instance, investigations of societal “expectations of privacy,” the impact of the warrant requirement, the effect of the *Miranda* warnings, the accuracy of eyewitness identification, and the efficacy of various sanctions against the police. This book describes much of this work, usually in summary form, occasionally through longer excerpts; new with this edition, for instance, are descriptions of research on the causes of false confessions and the efficacy of different types of identification techniques. The objective here is not only to give students the benefit of information which has played an increasingly important role in both judicial and legislative decisionmaking, but also to provide an opportunity for them to practice, at least in a superficial way, evaluating facts found in the form of “data.”

A fifth objective of this book is to leave students with some idea of how other countries regulate their police. Americans tend to believe that our country leads the way in the civil rights arena. But that is clearly not true with respect to some aspects of police regulation (particularly in the interrogation context). And, whatever its slant, information about practice in other countries reminds us that our way of doing things is not inevitable; for instance, judicial review, localized police forces and warrants issued by a judge are not the norm. This book includes descriptions of various practices in England, Germany, France, Australia, India and other countries; new with this edition is information on other nations’ recent approaches to the exclusionary rule. Additionally, Chapter 1 provides some background on systemic differences between various criminal justice systems so that comparisons will be made with the appropriate grain of salt.

A final objective of this book is to promote the lawyering skills of students. Most important in this regard are the problems, over 120 in number. The vast majority of these problems summarize the facts and results of Supreme Court decisions, although a few come from lower court opinions or are made up. Some of the problems are probably best used as a springboard for discussion by the full class. But most lend themselves well to role playing, in a manner approaching the reality of a suppression hearing. A different type of practical exercise is provided by the materials connected with *State v. Longstaff*, found in the appendix. These materials (from an actual case) not only give students a rich factual context for arguments, but also acquaint them with the typical documents generated during police investigation, challenges to that investigation, and the criminal process generally.

A final optional exercise, materials for which are provided to the teacher in the teacher’s manual, is a Negotiation Problem, which requires students to negotiate over a possible guilty plea, against the backdrop of fourth and fifth amendment issues which, if resolved in favor of the defendant, would probably lead to dismissal of the case. The idea is to engage students in the strategic and predictive

decisionmaking which prosecutors and defense attorneys daily undertake in our plea negotiation system. This problem also introduces ethical issues relevant to the subject matter of this book (ethical issues are also raised in two other places – Chapter 2's discussion of warrants and Chapter 3's discussion of post-charge interrogations).

One last introductory comment should be made about this book. Every other criminal procedure “casebook” lives up to its name, by including significant excerpts from scores of Supreme Court cases, as well as from a smattering of lower court cases. In contrast, this book reproduces a total of 20 judicial decisions (albeit often with less editing than is typical). The assumption is that, by the time students get to this course, they have had plenty of practice deciphering appellate opinions. Furthermore, in a subject area as politically charged and result-oriented as police regulation, what often matters most is what courts do, not what they say. By providing all of the relevant facts, as well as the result in each case, the problems give students access to the most important information in the Court's “secondary” decisions.

Although it thus deemphasizes the language in the Court's opinions, this book tries not to slight theoretical considerations relevant to those opinions. This book lays out an analytical structure that, given the Court's “common law” treatment of the issues, would otherwise be undiscernible to the usual student. The chapter headings and introductions following those headings attempt to give students a clear picture of the legal landscape so they avoid getting mired in tracking down the black letter law and can see immediately what the “fighting issues” are. Furthermore, on many of these issues this book offers excerpts from leading articles suggesting innovative conceptual frameworks that should help students understand the often ambiguous formulations of the Court. It is hoped that this combination of approaches to theory is not only more intellectually stimulating on specific points, but also less boring generally, since it represents a break from the typical case by case analysis.

For their editorial comments on the first edition of this book, I am indebted to the late Professor William Stuntz at the University of Virginia School of Law, Professor Scott Sundby from Washington & Lee Law School, and Professor Andrew Taslitz from Howard Law School. For their suggestions, I would like to thank Professors Frank Allen, Jerold Israel, and Kenneth Nunn of Florida College of Law, and Professor Tracey Maclin of Boston University School of Law.

Christopher Slobogin  
Milton Underwood Professor of Law  
Vanderbilt University Law School

November, 2012





## Summary Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i> .....	v
<i>Table of Contents</i> .....	xi
<hr/>	
CHAPTER 1. PERSPECTIVES ON REGULATING THE POLICE.....	1
I. The Nature of the Problem .....	1
II. Sources of Regulation.....	41
III. Models of Regulation .....	79
CHAPTER 2. SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.....	109
Introduction.....	109
I. The Components of a Valid Warrant .....	188
II. When the Fourth Amendment is Implicated.....	196
III. The Scope of the Warrant and Probable Cause Requirements .....	245
CHAPTER 3. INTERROGATION .....	355
Introduction.....	355
I. <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> and the Fifth Amendment Approach.....	363
II. The Sixth Amendment Approach .....	439
III. The Due Process Approach .....	452
IV. Other Approaches .....	460
CHAPTER 4. IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES .....	469
Introduction: The Nature of the Problem.....	469
I. Due Process Restrictions .....	486
II. The Right to Counsel.....	495
III. Fourth and Fifth Amendment Concerns .....	505
CHAPTER 5. ENTRAPMENT AND UNDERCOVER PRACTICES .....	507
I. Summary of the Law Regulating Undercover Investigation .....	511
II. Application of Entrapment Law .....	515
CHAPTER 6. REMEDIES .....	537
I. The Exclusionary Rule: Its Rationale.....	537
II. Other Remedies .....	557
III. Limitations on the Exclusionary Rule .....	572
<hr/>	
<i>Appendix: State v. Longstaff</i> .....	629
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	735



## Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Preface.....	v
Summary Table of Contents.....	ix
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 1. Perspectives on Regulating The Police.....</b>	<b>1</b>
I. The Nature of the Problem.....	1
A. A History of the Police.....	1
Police and the State: A Comparative Perspective.....	1
Introduction to Law Enforcement.....	2
Note on Police During Colonial Times.....	8
B. Police Demographics.....	8
Occupational Outlook Handbook.....	8
Note on Number and Type of Police Forces.....	9
Police Administration.....	10
Note on Quality of Recruits.....	10
U.S. Report on Civil Rights.....	12
Note on Gender and Race of Police Officers.....	13
C. Training.....	14
Occupational Outlook Handbook.....	14
Report on the Boston Police Department, Management Review Committee.....	15
Police Training About Criminal Procedure.....	17
D. What Do the Police Do?.....	18
Police for the Future.....	18
E. What Are the Police Like?.....	25
1. Basic Attitudes.....	25
Potential Obstacles To Community Policing.....	25
The Police Mystique.....	27
Attitudinal Aspects of Police Compliance With Procedural Due Process.....	28
2. Violence and the Police.....	30
Police, Prisons and the Problem of Violence.....	30
Report of the Independent Commission of the Los Angeles Police Department.....	32
3. Use of Deception.....	33
Fluffing Up the Evidence and Covering Your Ass: Some Conceptual Notes on Police Lying.....	33
4. Discretion and Race.....	36
Unequal Justice: A Question of Color.....	36

	<i>Page</i>
II. Sources of Regulation .....	41
PROBLEM 1: <i>Tennessee v. Garner</i> (1985).....	41
A. The Courts .....	49
1. Federal Courts .....	49
Note on Various Approaches to Incorporation .....	49
Methodology and Criteria in Due Process Adjudication –	
A Survey and Criticism.....	53
Note on Warren Court Decisions.....	56
2. State Courts .....	58
State Adoption of Federal Law: Exploring the	
Limits of Florida’s “Forced Linkage” Amendment.....	58
Note on State Courts as Independent Sources of Rights.....	62
3. The Nature of Judicial Rule-Making .....	63
The Supreme Court and Rights of Suspects in Criminal	
Cases .....	63
Note on “Bright-Line” Rules.....	65
B. Legislation .....	67
1. Domestic Legislation .....	67
Criminal Procedure in the “Land of Oz”: Lessons for	
America.....	67
Note on State Legislation.....	68
Note on Judicial Review in Other Countries .....	69
2. International Legislation .....	69
C. Police Regulations.....	71
Perspectives on the Fourth Amendment.....	71
Controlling the Cops: A Legislative Approach	
to Police Rulemaking.....	74
D. A Fourth Mechanism?: Community Control.....	76
Varieties of Police Behavior .....	76
Note on Community Policing.....	77
III. Models of Regulation .....	79
PROBLEM 2: Interrogation and Warnings.....	79
A. The Crime Control and Due Process Models.....	79
1. In Theory .....	79
Two Models of the Criminal Process .....	79
2. In Practice .....	83
The Constitution and the Police: Individual Rights	
and Law Enforcement.....	83
The Burger Court’s Counter-Revolution in Criminal	
Procedure: The Recent Criminal Decisions of the	
United States Supreme Court .....	85
Note on Modern Supreme Court .....	87

	<i>Page</i>
B. The Non-Adversarial Model .....	87
1. In Theory .....	87
Evidentiary Barriers to Conviction and Two Models of Criminal Procedure .....	87
2. In Practice .....	90
The Myth of Judicial Supervision in Three “Inquisitorial” Systems: France, Italy, and Germany .....	90
Continental Criminal Procedure: “Myth” and Reality .....	92
Comment on Continental Criminal Procedure .....	95
Note on Modern Continental Rules Governing the Police .....	95
C. The Family Model .....	97
1. In Theory .....	97
Ideology in Criminal Procedure, Or a Third “Model” of the Criminal Process .....	97
2. In Practice .....	99
Ironies of Law Enforcement .....	99
Note on Practices of Japanese Police .....	102
<b>Chapter 2. Searches and Seizures</b> .....	<b>103</b>
Introduction .....	103
Historical Considerations .....	103
The Structure of Fourth Amendment Analysis .....	107
I. The Components of a Valid Warrant .....	109
A. The Modern Warrant Process: Is It Worth It? .....	110
The Search Warrant Process: Preconceptions, Perceptions and Practices .....	110
Note on Research Concerning Warrant-based Searches .....	117
Form 1:10. Warrant for Arrest (Justice Court) .....	118
Florida Forms: Search Warrant: General Form .....	119
Note on Magistrates .....	120
Note on Purpose of Ex Ante Review .....	121
Warrants and Fourth Amendment Remedies .....	122
Note on Prosecutorial Ethics .....	125
B. Probable Cause and Other “Certainty Levels” .....	126
1. Quality of Information .....	127
Police and Citizen Informants .....	128
Confidential Informants .....	128
The Two-Prong Test .....	132
<i>Illinois v. Gates</i> (1985) .....	133
PROBLEM 3: <i>Spinelli v. United States</i> (1969) .....	143
PROBLEM 4: <i>Alabama v. White</i> (1990) .....	144
Note on Non-Existent Informants .....	145
Note on Informant’s Privilege .....	146
2. Quantity of Information .....	147
PROBLEM 5: <i>Franks v. Delaware</i> (1978) .....	148

	<i>Page</i>
PROBLEM 6: <i>People v. Quintero</i> (1983) .....	150
PROBLEM 7: <i>State v. Zelinske</i> (1989) .....	150
3. “Generalized” v. “Individualized” Suspicion .....	151
PROBLEM 8: The DEA Airport Surveillance Program: An Analysis of Agent Activities.....	153
PROBLEM 9: Rules Different for Young Blacks .....	153
The World Without a Fourth Amendment .....	154
C. The Particularity Requirement .....	156
PROBLEM 10: <i>Andresen v. Maryland</i> (1976).....	157
PROBLEM 11: <i>Maryland v. Garrison</i> (1987) .....	158
D. Execution of the Warrant .....	158
1. Summary of the Issues .....	158
Note on Execution of Warrants in Other Countries.....	161
2. The Plain View Doctrine and Pretextual Actions .....	162
Note on Pretextual Actions .....	166
PROBLEM 12: <i>U.S. v. Villamonte-Marquez</i> (1983) .....	168
PROBLEM 13: Traffic Stops in Florida and Maryland .....	169
PROBLEM 14: <i>Arizona v. Hicks</i> (1987) .....	170
PROBLEM 15: <i>Ybarra v. Illinois</i> (1979) .....	171
E. When a Warrant is Insufficient .....	171
1. Private Papers.....	171
PROBLEM 16: <i>Zurcher v. Stanford Daily</i> (1978).....	180
2. Bodily Intrusions.....	181
PROBLEM 17: <i>Winston v. Lee</i> (1985) .....	181
3. Electronic Surveillance .....	182
PROBLEM 18: <i>Scott v. United States</i> (1978).....	185
Note on Use of Surveillance Warrants .....	186
PROBLEM 19: <i>United States v. Torres</i> (1984) .....	187
Note on Encryption .....	188
II. When the Fourth Amendment Is Implicated .....	188
A. The Government Action Requirement .....	189
PROBLEM 20: <i>People v. Boettner</i> (1974).....	192
PROBLEM 21: <i>United States v. Lamar</i> (1977).....	193
PROBLEM 22: <i>United States v. Jacobsen</i> (1984) .....	193
B. The Definition of “Search and Seizure” .....	194
1. The Meaning of “Search” .....	194
<i>Katz v. United States</i> (1967) .....	194
Note on Expectations of Privacy.....	198
a. Undercover activity.....	200
PROBLEM 23: <i>Lewis v. United States</i> (1966) .....	200
PROBLEM 24: <i>On Lee v. United States</i> (1952).....	201
PROBLEM 25: <i>United States v. Miller</i> (1976) .....	201
Note on Congressional Reaction to <i>Miller</i> and <i>Smith</i> .....	202
b. Open fields searches .....	203
PROBLEM 26: <i>Oliver v. United States</i> (1984) .....	203
PROBLEM 27: <i>California v. Ciraolo</i> (1986).....	203

	<i>Page</i>
PROBLEM 28: <i>United States v. Dunn</i> (1987).....	204
c. Container searches .....	204
PROBLEM 29: <i>California v. Greenwood</i> (1988).....	204
PROBLEM 30: <i>Illinois v. Andreas</i> (1983) .....	205
d. Use of enhancement devices.....	206
PROBLEM 31: <i>United States v. Jones</i> (2012) .....	206
PROBLEM 32: <i>United States v. Place</i> (1983) .....	207
PROBLEM 33: <i>Dow Chemical Co. v. U.S.</i> (1986).....	208
Note on <i>Kyllo v United States</i> .....	208
2. “Seizures” of Things .....	209
PROBLEM 34: <i>United States v. Karo</i> (1984).....	210
3. “Seizures” of Persons.....	210
PROBLEM 35: <i>United States v. Mendenhall</i> (1980).....	211
Note on Police-Citizen Encounters .....	211
PROBLEM 36: <i>Immigration Service v. Delgado</i> (1984).....	213
PROBLEM 37: <i>United States v. Drayton</i> (2002) .....	214
PROBLEM 38: <i>California v. Hodari</i> (1991) .....	215
4. Empirical Findings on Society’s Views.....	215
Reasonable Expectations of Privacy and Autonomy in Fourth Amendment Cases: An Empirical Look at “Understandings Recognized and Permitted by Society” .....	215
Note on Judicial Use of Empirical Findings .....	220
C. Consent/Waiver .....	222
1. The Voluntariness Requirement .....	222
<i>Schneckloth v. Bustamonte</i> (1973).....	222
Note on Research Concerning Voluntariness .....	230
PROBLEM 39: <i>Davis v. United States</i> (1946) .....	230
PROBLEM 40: <i>United States v. Mendenhall</i> (1980).....	231
PROBLEM 41: <i>United States v. Shaibu</i> (1990).....	232
Note on Consent and Traffic Stops.....	232
Procedures for Requesting a Consent to Search .....	233
PROBLEM 42: <i>Ohio v. Robinette</i> (1997).....	234
2. Scope of the Consent.....	235
PROBLEM 43: <i>Florida v. Jimeno</i> (1991) .....	235
PROBLEM 44: <i>State v. Brochu</i> (1967) .....	236
3. Third Party Consent .....	236
Shared Privacy and the Fourth Amendment, or the Rights of Relationships .....	237
PROBLEM 45: <i>United States v. Matlock</i> (1974).....	238
PROBLEM 46: <i>Illinois v. Rodriguez</i> (1990) .....	239
PROBLEM 47: Assorted Consent Issues.....	240
D. A Review: National Security Wiretaps, Subpoenas and Datamining .....	240
Communications Surveillance.....	240

	<i>Page</i>
Records Access .....	242
Data Mining .....	243
Questions.....	245
III. The Scope of the Warrant and Probable Cause Requirements .....	245
A. Seizures of the Person .....	245
<i>Terry v. Ohio (1968)</i> .....	245
Note on the <i>Camara-Terry</i> Balancing Test.....	252
1. Distinguishing an Arrest from Other Seizures.....	255
PROBLEM 48: <i>Dunaway v. New York (1979)</i> .....	255
PROBLEM 49: <i>Florida v. Royer (1983)</i> .....	256
PROBLEM 50: <i>U. S. v. Montoya de Hernandez (1985)</i> .....	257
PROBLEM 51: <i>Michigan v. Summers (1981)</i> .....	258
Note on Detentions in France.....	259
Note on Material Witness Statutes.....	260
2. Requirements for Seizures Other Than an Arrest .....	261
Working the Street .....	262
Note on Efficacy of “Aggressive Patrols”.....	267
PROBLEM 52: <i>Brown v. Texas (1979)</i> .....	270
Loitering Statutes and the Constitution.....	270
PROBLEM 53: <i>Chicago v. Morales (1995)</i> .....	275
PROBLEM 54: <i>U. S. v. Martinez-Fuerte (1976)</i> .....	276
PROBLEM 55: <i>Michigan v. Sitz (1990)</i> .....	277
3. Requirements for Arrest.....	278
<i>Payton v. New York (1980)</i> .....	279
Note on Arrest in Third-Party Homes.....	287
Note on Exigent Circumstances.....	287
PROBLEM 56: <i>United States v. Santana (1976)</i> .....	289
PROBLEM 57: <i>United States v. Palumbo (1984)</i> .....	289
PROBLEM 58: <i>Welsh v. Wisconsin (1984)</i> .....	290
B. Searches.....	291
1. Search of Premises in Connection with Arrest .....	291
<i>Chimel v. California (1969)</i> .....	293
PROBLEM 59: <i>Maryland v. Buie (1990)</i> .....	299
PROBLEM 60: <i>Vale v. Louisiana (1970)</i> .....	300
PROBLEM 61: <i>Washington v. Chrisman (1982)</i> .....	301
2. Searches of Persons and Effects Incident to Arrest or Stop .....	301
PROBLEM 62: <i>Minnesota v. Dickerson (1993)</i> .....	304
PROBLEM 63: <i>United States v. Robinson (1973)</i> .....	304
Note on Custodial Arrests .....	305
PROBLEM 64: <i>New York v. Belton (1981)</i> .....	306
PROBLEM 65: <i>United States v. Chadwick (1977)</i> .....	307
3. Other Exigent Searches of Effects (the <i>Carroll-Chambers</i> Doctrine).....	308



	<i>Page</i>
Note on an Alternative Rationale for the <i>Carroll</i> Doctrine ..	311
PROBLEM 66: <i>California v. Carney</i> (1985).....	313
PROBLEM 67: <i>United States v. Golembiewski</i> (1971) .....	314
4. Inventory Searches .....	314
PROBLEM 68: <i>Colorado v. Bertine</i> (1987) .....	316
5. Administrative and “Special Needs” Searches .....	317
The <i>Camara-See</i> Warrant Requirement.....	317
The Pervasively Regulated Industry Exception .....	320
Special Needs Cases.....	321
<i>New Jersey v. T.L.O</i> (1985).....	322
Note: Different Approaches to “Administrative” Searches ..	330
Compelling Interest/Least Intrusive Means .....	330
The Exigency and Proportionality Principles .....	333
The Implicit Bargaining and Politics Models .....	336
The Politics Model.....	338
PROBLEM 69: <i>Wyman v. James</i> (1971).....	340
PROBLEM 70: <i>New York v. Burger</i> (1987) .....	341
PROBLEM 71: <i>Skinner v. R.R Labor Exec. Assoc.</i> (1989) ..	342
Note on the Scope of Special Needs: DNA Testing and NSA Surveillance .....	345
PROBLEM 72: The Clinton Administration’s Tenement Sweep Program.....	346
C. Seizures of Property .....	347
PROBLEM 73: <i>United States v. Place</i> (1983).....	349
PROBLEM 74: <i>United States v. Smith</i> (1983).....	349
Note on Forfeitures .....	350
<b>Chapter 3. Interrogation</b> .....	<b>355</b>
Introduction.....	355
A History of Interrogation Regulation .....	356
Early Developments in England .....	356
Extrajudicial Confessions in England.....	358
Developments in the Colonies .....	359
Police Interrogation in the United States.....	360
I. <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> and the Fifth Amendment Approach.....	363
A. The Decision and Its Impact.....	363
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> (1966).....	363
Note on Interrogation after <i>Miranda</i> .....	381
Note on Constitutional Status of <i>Miranda</i> .....	387
Note on <i>Miranda</i> Analysis .....	389
B. Custody .....	389
PROBLEM 75: <i>Bailey v. State</i> (1980) .....	390
PROBLEM 76: <i>Oregon v. Mathiason</i> (1977) .....	391
PROBLEM 77: <i>City of Grand Rapids v. Impens</i> .....	392
C. Interrogation.....	393

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Rhode Island v. Innis</i> (1980) .....	393
PROBLEM 78: <i>Arizona v. Mauro</i> (1987) .....	400
PROBLEM 79: <i>Illinois v. Perkins</i> (1990) .....	402
D. The Warnings Requirement .....	402
1. The Contents of the Warnings .....	402
PROBLEM 80: <i>California v. Prysock</i> (1981) .....	403
PROBLEM 81: <i>Duckworth v. Eagan</i> (1989) .....	404
2. Exceptions to the Warnings Requirement .....	405
<i>New York v. Quarles</i> (1984) .....	405
PROBLEM 82: <i>United States v. Brady</i> (1987) .....	415
PROBLEM 83: <i>Pennsylvania v. Muniz</i> (1990) .....	415
E. Waiver .....	416
1. Waiver in the Absence of Rights Invocation .....	417
PROBLEM 84: <i>North Carolina v. Butler</i> (1979) .....	417
PROBLEM 85: <i>Colorado v. Spring</i> (1987) .....	417
Note on Waiver, “Trickery” and Psychological Tactics .....	418
PROBLEM 86: <i>Miller v. Fenton</i> (1986) .....	427
2. Post-Invocation Waiver .....	434
PROBLEM 87: <i>Smith v. Illinois</i> (1984) .....	435
PROBLEM 88: <i>Oregon v. Bradshaw</i> (1983) .....	437
PROBLEM 89: <i>Arizona v. Roberson</i> (1988) .....	438
PROBLEM 90: <i>Moran v. Burbine</i> (1986) .....	439
II. The Sixth Amendment Approach .....	439
<i>Massiah v. United States</i> (1964) .....	439
Note on Sixth Amendment Analysis Approach .....	443
Note on the Rationale for the Sixth Amendment Approach .....	446
Note on Justice Department Policy .....	449
PROBLEM 91: <i>Maine v. Moulton</i> (1985) .....	450
PROBLEM 92: <i>Kuhlmann v. Wilson</i> (1986) .....	451
PROBLEM 93: <i>Brewer v. Williams</i> (1977) .....	452
III. The Due Process Approach .....	454
PROBLEM 94: <i>Colorado v. Connelly</i> (1986) .....	458
PROBLEM 95: <i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i> (1991) .....	459
IV. Other Approaches .....	460
18 U.S.C. § 3501 .....	460
The <i>McNabb-Mallory</i> Rule .....	461
Recording Requirements .....	462
The Presence of Counsel Approach .....	464
Abolition of Custodial Questioning .....	465
Judicial Questioning .....	466
Confessions in India .....	467
Torture .....	467

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Chapter 4. Identification Procedures</b> .....	469
Introduction: The Nature of the Problem.....	469
Did Your Eyes Deceive You?.....	469
Note on the Accuracy of Eyewitness Identification .....	480
Note on Impact of Eyewitness Identification .....	481
Note on Techniques for Reducing Identification Inaccuracy .....	482
How Fair Is Your Lineup? .....	482
I. Due Process Restrictions .....	486
<i>Manson v. Braithwaite (1977)</i> .....	487
PROBLEM 96: <i>Neil v. Biggers (1972)</i> .....	494
II. The Right to Counsel.....	495
<i>United States v. Ash (1973)</i> .....	499
PROBLEM 97: Videotaped Lineups .....	504
PROBLEM 98: <i>United States v. Bierey (1978)</i> .....	504
Note on Discovery .....	505
III. Fourth and Fifth Amendment Concerns .....	505
PROBLEM 99: <i>United States v. Dionisio (1973)</i> .....	505
PROBLEM 100: <i>Hayes v. Florida (1985)</i> .....	506
<b>Chapter 5. Entrapment and Undercover Practices</b> .....	507
Introduction.....	507
A Brief History of Undercover Work.....	507
Efficacy of Sting Operations .....	509
I. Summary of the Law Regulating Undercover Investigation .....	511
The Entrapment and Due Process Defenses .....	511
Justice Department Regulations .....	514
Judicial Regulation of Undercover Investigation .....	515
Other Constitutional Sources of Regulation .....	515
II. Application of Entrapment Law .....	515
<i>Jacobson v. United States (1992)</i> .....	516
Note on Rationales for the Entrapment Defense .....	527
PROBLEM 101: <i>United States v. Twigg (1978)</i> .....	528
PROBLEM 102: <i>Cruz v. State (1985)</i> .....	530
PROBLEM 103: <i>United States v. Kelly (1983)</i> .....	530
<b>Chapter 6. Remedies</b> .....	537
I. The Exclusionary Rule: Its Rationale.....	537
A. Genesis of the Rule .....	537
<i>Mapp v. Ohio (1961)</i> .....	540
Note on the Constitutional Status of the Rule.....	549
B. The Impact of the Rule.....	551
The “Disincentive” Effect .....	552
“Lost” Convictions.....	553

	<i>Page</i>
Other Possible “Costs” .....	554
C. The Exclusionary Rule in Other Countries .....	554
England .....	554
Canada .....	555
Germany .....	556
PROBLEM 104 .....	557
II. Other Remedies .....	557
PROBLEM 105 .....	557
A. Criminal Actions.....	559
B. Damage Actions .....	560
Note on Impact of Damage Actions .....	564
C. Administrative Remedies .....	567
D. Injunctions.....	570
III. Limitations on the Exclusionary Rule .....	572
A. Proceedings Other Than Criminal Trial .....	572
PROBLEM 106: <i>United States v. Janis</i> (1976).....	573
PROBLEM 107: <i>I.N.S. v. Lopez-Mendoza</i> (1984).....	573
Note on the Fourth Amendment and Aliens.....	574
B. The Reasonable Good Faith Exception.....	574
<i>United States v. Leon</i> (1984) .....	575
Note on Empirical Issues After <i>Leon</i> .....	586
PROBLEM 108: <i>Massachusetts v. Sheppard</i> (1984).....	588
PROBLEM 109: <i>United States v. Giancarli</i> (1985) .....	589
Note on Police Liability for Perjury.....	589
PROBLEM 110: <i>Arizona v. Evans</i> (1995) .....	590
C. Impeachment .....	591
PROBLEM 111: <i>United States v. Havens</i> (1980) .....	592
PROBLEM 112: <i>Michigan v. Harvey</i> (1990) .....	593
PROBLEM 113: <i>U.S. Ex. Rel. Burt v. New Jersey</i> (1973).....	594
D. Standing.....	595
<i>Rakas v. Illinois</i> (1975) .....	597
PROBLEM 114: <i>United States v. Padilla</i> (1993) .....	606
Note on “Automatic Standing”.....	605
PROBLEM 115: <i>Rawlings v. Kentucky</i> (1980).....	606
E. The Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine.....	607
1. Attenuation.....	608
<i>Brown v. Illinois</i> (1975) .....	608
Note on Fruits and Trees.....	612
PROBLEM 116: <i>Taylor v. Alabama</i> (1982) .....	613
PROBLEM 117: <i>Wong Sun v. United States</i> (1963) .....	613
PROBLEM 118: <i>Oregon v. Elstad</i> (1985).....	614
2. Independent Source and Inevitable Discovery.....	616
<i>Nix v. Williams</i> .....	616

---

	<i>Page</i>
PROBLEM 119: <i>Segura v. United States (1984)</i> .....	623
PROBLEM 120: <i>New York v. Harris (1990)</i> .....	624
PROBLEM 121: <i>United States v. Crews (1980)</i> .....	625
PROBLEM 122: <i>United States v. Rullo (1990)</i> .....	626
PROBLEM 123: Inevitable Discovery Cases? .....	626
Appendix: <i>State v. Longstaff</i> .....	629
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	735

