

SECURITIES REGULATION

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Paul Caron

Charles Hartsock Professor of Law
University of Cincinnati College of Law

Olympia Duhart

Professor of Law and Director of Lawyering Skills & Values Program
Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

Samuel Estreicher

Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law
Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law
NYU School of Law

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar
Elon University School of Law

Joan Heminway

College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law
University of Tennessee College of Law

Edward Imwinkelried

Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law
UC Davis School of Law

Paul Marcus

Haynes Professor of Law
William and Mary Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law
McGeorge School of Law

Melissa Weresh

Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law
Drake University Law School

SECURITIES REGULATION

Sixth Edition

Marc I. Steinberg
Radford Professor of Law
Southern Methodist University

ISBN: 9780769865157
Looseleaf ISBN: 9780769865164
E-Book ISBN: 9780327189879

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data	
Steinberg, Marc I.	
Securities Regulation/Marc I. Steinberg — 6th ed.	
p. cm	
Includes index	
ISBN 978-0-7698-6515-7 (hardbound)	
1. Securities regulation—law and legislation—United States—Cases. I. Steinberg, Marc I. II. Title.	

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2013 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Note TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices
121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Acknowledgments - First Edition

I owe many thanks to several individuals for helping to make this project a reality. Foremost, I thank Professor Alan Bromberg, an outstanding academician and individual, who generously supported my proposal when it was in a very formative stage. I also extend my gratitude to Richard Adin, Esq., who expertly served as the Matthew Bender editor for this project. In addition, I particularly thank Professor Mark Sargent for his insightful comments which were of tremendous assistance.

The University of Maryland School of Law deserves my special thanks. I express my deep appreciation to Dean Michael Kelly, one of this country's great law school deans, for his continual strong support. My research assistants, many of whom now are practicing attorneys, performed admirably to ease my task in completing this project. I thank Jennifer Crabb, Esq., Robin Goldman, Esq., Jeff Hines, Esq., Joan Karasinski, Esq., Dawn Lettman, Esq., and Greg Neville, Esq. for their invaluable assistance. I also thank Peter Brennan, Esq., Elizabeth Jacobs, Esq., and Bruce Mendelsohn, Esq. for their help.

I also benefitted from receiving excellent typing and other technological assistance. I especially thank Ms. Lu Ann Marshall for her expert typing of the great bulk of the manuscript. My thanks also to Mrs. Ann Garrett, Mrs. Laura Mrozek, Mrs. Helen Ramia, and Mrs. Frieda Whitney for their help. Also, I thank Dean Linda McDonnell for her assistance throughout this project.

I dedicate this book to certain individuals who have been generous to me in their encouragement, support and guidance. In particular, I dedicate this book: To my parents, Gerald and Phyllis Steinberg, my sister and brother-in-law, Nancy and Bob Gossman, my grandmothers, Anne Marblestone and Belle Steinberg, and my cousin, David Steinberg, for their love and constant support; to Judge Stanley N. Barnes and Judge Anthony J. Celebrezze who provided me with the opportunity to serve as one of their law clerks; to Senator Robert P. Griffin who offered me perhaps the most exciting job a young lawyer could have, being legislative counsel to a U.S. Senator; to Judge Stanley Sporkin, who was kind enough to hire me as an enforcement attorney at the Securities and Exchange Commission; to Ralph C. Ferrara, Esq., former SEC General Counsel, with whom I had the pleasure of working at the SEC; to Dean Jerome Barron who offered me my first full-time teaching job; to Deans Murray Schwartz, William Warren and Susan Westerberg Prager and the faculty of the UCLA Law School for their support throughout the years; to Professors Ted Fiflis, Bill Reynolds, Stan Siegel, Lew Solomon, and Greg Young for their wise counsel; and to some very good friends, Howard Bartnick, Peter Brennan, Sam Gruenbaum, Fred Jacobs, Jeff and Judy Karr, John Koneck, Cliff Losh, Barry Quinn, Ben Rosenberg, Jerry Rosen and Liz Hardy, Jim Singer, Richard Starr, Richard Wasserstrom, and Lloyd and Kris Zemmol, for being good friends.

Acknowledgments - Second Edition

I wish to thank the SMU School of Law and its Dean, Paul Rogers, for supporting this project. My gratitude is also extended to Ms. Kathleen Vaughan for her expert assistance as well as to my former research assistants Elizabeth Farrell, Esq. and Daryl Lansdale, Esq. for their help. I also thank Ralph Janvey, Esq. for his input.

It is fitting that I dedicate this Second Edition to one of the individuals for whom the First Edition was dedicated – Judge Stanley N. Barnes (of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit) who passed away in 1990. I had the privilege of clerking for Judge Barnes. He was a great man and I am lucky that our paths crossed. Judge Barnes perhaps was the most successful person I’ve ever known – a fine judge (trial and appellate), an Assistant Attorney General of the United States, a superb trial attorney, a member of the National Collegiate Football Hall of Fame (he was an All-American on the “Cal Wonder Team”), National President of his fraternity, Sigma Chi, an avid traveler with an impressive anthropological mask collection, an active bridge player and stamp collector, a giver of his time to worthwhile causes, including the Los Angeles Orthopaedic Hospital for Children, and a dedicated family man. Judge Barnes taught me that a person can be very successful at his or her career while actively pursuing other objectives and joys. To all this, Judge Barnes would probably say: “My good friend Earl Warren said, ‘compliments to me is like water running down a duck’s back but the duck likes it.’”

Acknowledgments - Third Edition

I thank the SMU School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with Summer Research Grants in connection with this Third Edition.

As with the Second Edition, I extend my appreciation to Ms. Kathleen Vaughan for her expert assistance. I also thank my colleagues and good friends on the SMU Law Faculty who have been instrumental in enabling me to enjoy my relationship with this fine school. In particular, I wish to dedicate this book to a few of my very special friends in Dallas who I am lucky to have in my life: Laurie Beth Dodic, Roy Anderson, Alan Bromberg, Tim Davis, Robert Feiger, Chris Hanna, Linda and Jim Hobbs, Ralph Janvey, George Martinez, Joe Norton, Doug Ramsey, Henry Rosen, Wayne Secore and Bob Wise.

Acknowledgments - Fourth Edition

It has been nearly twenty years since the First Edition of this textbook was published. Much has happened in securities regulation, in the world, and in my life - Thank God, mostly for the better.

I thank Dean John B. Attanasio and the SMU Dedman School of Law. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me. I wish to extend my gratitude for the summer research grant awarded for this project. I also extend my appreciation to Ms. Jan Spann for her excellent secretarial assistance.

I dedicate the Fourth Edition to my terrific dad, Gerry Steinberg, in honor of his 90th birthday on March 3, 2004. I am blessed to have such a wonderful, kind and supportive father. Dad, this is dedicated to you with all my love.

Acknowledgments - Fifth Edition

I thank the SMU Dedman School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with a Summer Research Grant in connection with this Fifth Edition. My gratitude is expressed to Dean John B. Attanasio and my administrative assistant Ms. Jan Spann. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me.

I also thank my good friend Ralph Janvey, a superb securities law practitioner and adjunct professor at the Law School, for his assistance.

I dedicate this Fifth Edition to my terrific Uncle, Irving Steinberg, in honor of his 90th birthday on May 6, 2008. Uncle Irv, the younger brother of my Dad Gerry Steinberg, honorably served our country as a Major during World War II, being awarded a Bronze Star at the Battle of the Bulge. He and my Dad were “best friends” and business partners for nearly fifty years. Dedicating this Fifth Edition to you, Uncle Irv, is a way for me to say thanks for being a wonderful uncle to our family and personally to me.

Acknowledgments – Sixth Edition

I thank the SMU Dedman School of Law for supporting this project. The Law School graciously provided me with a Summer Research Grant (the Michael C. and Jacqueline M. Barrett Endowed Faculty Research Fund) in connection with this Sixth Edition. My gratitude is expressed to Dean John B. Attanasio and my administrative assistant Ms. Jan Spann. The strong support I receive from this superb law school is truly appreciated by me.

I dedicate this Sixth Edition to my cousin David Steinberg. Not having a brother, David comes as close to a brother as I have. He is a wonderful and loyal friend as well as a man of integrity. In addition, David is a superb attorney, has keen judgment, and a good sense of humor. Dedicating this Sixth Edition to you, David, is a way for me to say thanks for being my terrific “younger brother.”

Preface

The developments in securities regulation during the past several years have been multifaceted. A text for a course on this subject should therefore not only present the basic theories and principles but also should: (1) present the material in a manner that reflects the current trends and developments, (2) encompass timely additional material, including law review commentary and SEC releases, and (3) make the material as interesting as possible, given that the class will be comprised of upper-level students who are anxious for creative and practical “lawyering.” With these thoughts in focus, the text is organized and directed toward a number of different perspectives. For example:

(1) The Securities and Exchange Commission, through rulemaking, has integrated the Securities Act of 1933 with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. From the standpoint of applicable disclosure obligations, both in the primary and secondary markets, the integration concept has a tremendous impact. Moreover, grave liability concerns are raised in this context.

(2) The Supreme Court and the lower federal courts have been extremely active in the securities law area. Supreme Court decisions during this era generally reflect a trend toward restricting the scope of the securities laws. The lower federal courts, however, have not necessarily followed the High Court’s restrictive approach.

(3) The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, enacted by Congress in 1995, reflects a trend toward facilitating capital formation and the conducting of business, with private litigation viewed frequently as an undue impediment. The JOBS Act enacted in 2012 similarly reflects a strong federal policy seeking to enhance capital raising by smaller enterprises.

(4) The SEC continues to facilitate capital formation through its rulemaking process in both the private and public offering settings.

(5) The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 federalizes key aspects of corporate governance and sets forth new obligations for corporate insiders as well as professionals, including accountants and legal counsel. The Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 likewise federalizes aspects of corporate governance.

(6) There is the role of counsel as adviser and planner, which is the principal function of the securities lawyer. In connection therewith, the attorney and his/her client may have conflict-of-interest dilemmas, disclosure duties, and the apprehension of SEC enforcement action. This apprehension is magnified in view of the Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank Acts.

(7) During the past several years, there have surfaced a number of intriguing issues, some of which directly impact on traditional securities regulation. For example, we have seen the Supreme Court restricting the scope of primary liability and a renewed interest in state securities regulation.

The coverage of the text is designed for both the basic securities regulation course and advanced seminars. The text covers the traditional issues as well as the developing areas. Subjects that receive extensive treatment include: definition of a security, exemptions from registration, the JOBS Act, the registration process, Sarbanes-Oxley, Dodd-Frank, the policy debate underlying disclosure, resales (including SEC Rule 144), due diligence

(including the integrated disclosure framework), disclosure obligations in a myriad of contexts, international securities developments including global offerings, remedies and liabilities under both federal and state securities law, broker-dealer regulation, corporate control transactions, attorney professional responsibility, SEC enforcement, and “Blue Sky” regulation.

The objective of the text is to treat the above subjects in a comprehensive, understandable, yet intellectually challenging manner, seeking to combine both the theoretical and practical in this complex subject area. While the case method is employed, it is by no means exclusive. In addition to case law, the text includes other relevant material such as SEC releases and scholarly commentary. Moreover, the problem method is used extensively. This method is particularly suitable for a “practical” course where upper-level students are seeking to do some “lawyering.” Thus, it is hoped that the text will stimulate intellectual discussion, and, at the same time, provide students who await either a sophisticated securities or, alternatively, a general business practice with much-needed practical analyses and skills.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	AN INTRODUCTION TO SECURITIES REGULATION . . .	1
§ 1.01	OVERVIEW	1
§ 1.02	A LOOK AT THE SEC	4
	The “Work” of the SEC	4
§ 1.03	REMINISCING THE SEC YEARS	17
	50 Years of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	17
	Note: The SEC Now	22
§ 1.04	THE ROLE OF COUNSEL	23
§ 1.05	INTERNATIONALIZATION OF THE SECURITIES MARKETS	24
§ 1.06	SELECTED SECURITIES LAW RESEARCH SOURCES	25
Chapter 2	DEFINITION OF A “SECURITY”	33
§ 2.01	INTRODUCTION	33
	<i>Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd.</i>	33
	Problem A	35
	Problem B	36
	Problem C	36
§ 2.02	THE MEANING OF “INVESTMENT CONTRACT”	37
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. W.J. Howey Co.</i>	37
	Note	40
	<i>Continental Marketing Corp. v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	41
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Edwards</i>	43
[A]	Profits “Solely” From the Efforts of Others	46
[B]	“Expectation of Profits”	47
[C]	The “Common Enterprise” Requirement	47
[D]	Limited Partnerships	48
[E]	General Partnerships	49
	Note	52
[F]	Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) Interests	53
[G]	Limited Liability Company (LLC) Interests	53
[H]	Franchises	57
[I]	Condominiums — Property Interests Combined with Service Contracts	58
[J]	The Risk Capital Test	60
§ 2.03	THE EMPHASIS ON ECONOMIC REALITY	61
	<i>United Housing Foundation, Inc. v. Forman</i>	62
	Note	67
[A]	Forms of Profit	68
[B]	The Sale of Business Doctrine	68

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Landreth Timber Company v. Landreth</i>	70
	Note	76
[C]	Employee Pension Plans	76
§ 2.04	THE PRESENCE OF OTHER REGULATION AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF <i>WEAVER</i>	77
	<i>Marine Bank v. Weaver</i>	78
	Note	81
§ 2.05	DEBT SECURITIES	83
[A]	Notes	83
	<i>Reves v. Ernst & Young</i>	83
	Note	92
[B]	Certificates of Deposit	92
Chapter 3	PRIMARY ISSUER TRANSACTIONAL EXEMPTIONS FROM REGISTRATION	95
§ 3.01	INTRODUCTION	95
§ 3.02	WHY PERFECT A TRANSACTIONAL EXEMPTION?	95
§ 3.03	TRANSACTIONAL EXEMPTIONS — INTRODUCTORY POINTS	98
§ 3.04	SCENARIOS	99
	Problem A	99
	Problem B	100
	Problem C	100
	Problem D	101
§ 3.05	THE STATUTORY PRIVATE OFFERING EXEMPTION — SECTION 4(a)(2)	101
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralston Purina Co.</i>	102
	Case Law After <i>Ralston Purina</i>	104
§ 3.06	RULE 506 OF REGULATION D	106
[A]	Traditional Rule 506	106
	Regulation D — Revision of Certain Exemptions from Registration Under the Securities Act of 1933 for Transactions Involving Limited Offers and Sales	106
	Note	111
	<i>Brown v. Earthboard Sports USA, Inc.</i>	113
[B]	JOBS Act — Rule 506	116
	Increasing the Vulnerability of Investors	117
§ 3.07	THE LIMITED OFFERING EXEMPTIONS	120
[A]	Rule 504	120
[B]	Rule 505	122
[C]	Rule 701	122
[D]	Regulation A	123

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[E]	The Section 3(b)(2) Exemption	125
[F]	Rule 1001 — The “California” Exemption	127
§ 3.08	SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE STANDARD AND FILING OF FORM D	129
§ 3.09	THE WEB AND GENERAL SOLICITATION	130
	IPONET SEC No-Action Letter	130
§ 3.10	CHART OF SELECTED SECURITIES ACT EXEMPTIONS	135
§ 3.11	THE BURDEN OF PROOF FILE, SEC NO-ACTION LETTERS, AND RESCISSION OFFERS	136
	[A] Burden of Proof File	136
	[B] SEC No-Action Letters	136
	[C] Rescission Offers	138
§ 3.12	THE FEDERAL CROWDFUNDING EXEMPTION	138
§ 3.13	INTRASTATE OFFERINGS — SECTION 3(a)(11) AND RULE 147	140
	Morrissey, <i>Think Globally, Act Locally: It’s Time to Reform the Intrastate Exemption</i>	141
	Note	148
§ 3.14	RECAPITALIZATIONS AND REORGANIZATIONS — THE § 3(a)(9) AND § 3(a)(10) EXEMPTIONS	150
§ 3.15	INTEGRATION OF OFFERINGS	151
§ 3.16	STATE “BLUE SKY” LAW EXEMPTIONS	155
§ 3.17	REGULATION S — OFFSHORE OFFERINGS	157
	Adoption of Rule 144A	164
	Note	164
Chapter 4	THE REGISTRATION PROCESS	189
§ 4.01	OVERVIEW OF THE REGISTRATION PROCESS	189
§ 4.02	FRAMEWORK OF SECTION 5	191
	[A] Issuer Classifications	191
	[B] The Pre-Filing Period	193
	[1] Overview	193
	[2] Conditioning the Market (“Gun-Jumping”)	194
	[3] Rules 163 and 163A and Emerging Growth Companies	196
	[4] Effect of Rule 135	197
	[5] Transactions Between Market Professionals	198
	[6] Rules 137, 138, and 139 and Emerging Growth Companies	199
	[C] The Waiting Period	201
	[1] Overview	201
	[2] Free Writing Prospectus	202
	[3] Confidential SEC Review of IPO Registration Statements Filed by Emerging Growth Companies	204

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[4]	Media	204
[5]	The Rule 134 Public Notice	206
[6]	Roadshows	206
[7]	SEC's Power of Acceleration	207
[D]	The Post-Effective Period	208
[1]	Overview	208
[2]	Access Equals Delivery	209
[E]	Chart of Time Periods	209
§ 4.03	SCENARIOS	211
	Problem A	211
	Problem B	213
§ 4.04	THE MATERIALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING PROSPECTUS	214
§ 4.05	THE DECISION TO "GO PUBLIC" — PROS AND CONS	216
§ 4.06	THE REGISTERED OFFERING — A LOOK AT THE PROCESS	217
	Note — IPOs	219
§ 4.07	STATE BLUE SKY LAW — IS THERE MERIT TO MERIT REGULATION?	220
Chapter 5	DISCLOSURE, MATERIALITY, AND THE SARBANES- OXLEY AND DODD-FRANK ACTS	223
	Problem	223
§ 5.01	THE INTEGRATED DISCLOSURE FRAMEWORK	224
[A]	Overview	224
[B]	Framework and Rationale	225
	Proposed Comprehensive Revision to System for Regulation of Securities Offerings	225
	Amendments to Annual Report Form; Integration of Securities Acts Disclosure Systems	229
[C]	Securities Act Registration Forms	231
[D]	Ways That a Company Becomes Subject to the Exchange Act's Reporting Requirements	232
[E]	Reduced Disclosure Requirements for Certain Issuers	233
[1]	Emerging Growth Companies	233
[2]	Smaller Publicly Held Companies	234
	Note	235
[F]	Shelf Registration	235
§ 5.02	PLAIN ENGLISH DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS	237
§ 5.03	CONCEPTS OF DISCLOSURE	238
[A]	The Mandatory Disclosure Debate	238
[B]	Disclosure of "Forward-Looking" Information	241
[1]	Adoption of SEC Rule 175	241

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[2]	Management Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”)	242
	MD&A Release	243
	<i>Panther Partners Inc. v. Ikanos Communications, Inc.</i>	246
[C]	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	250
[D]	The Concept of Materiality	250
	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	250
[1]	Qualitative Materiality — Disclosure Relating to “Integrity” or “Competency”	261
	<i>Gaines v. Haughton</i>	261
	Note — Qualitative Materiality and the SEC’s Proxy Disclosure Enhancements	263
[2]	Qualitative Economic Materiality	265
	<i>Ganino v. Citizens Utilities Company</i>	266
[E]	Environmental Disclosure	272
	Commission Guidance Regarding Disclosure Related to Climate Change	273
	Note	280
§ 5.04	THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT (“SOX”) AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION	281
[A]	Introduction	281
[B]	Key Provisions	282
[1]	CEO and CFO Certifications	282
[2]	Audit Committee	284
[a]	Audit Committee Independence	285
[b]	Audit Committee Financial Expert	286
[3]	Improper Influence on Audits	286
[4]	Forfeiture of Bonuses and Profits	287
[5]	Officer and Director Bars	287
[6]	Insider Trading During Blackout Periods	287
[7]	Disclosure of Off-Balance Sheet Transactions	288
[8]	Prohibition of Loans to Directors and Executive Officers	288
[9]	Reporting of Insider Transactions	289
[10]	Management Assessment of Internal Controls	289
[11]	Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics	290
[12]	Real-Time Disclosure	290
[13]	Accounting Oversight Board	290
[14]	Auditor Independence	291
[a]	Non-Audit Services	291
[b]	Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Permitted Non-Audit Services	292
[c]	Audit Partner Rotation	292
[d]	Report to the Audit Committee	292
[e]	Cooling-Off Period	293

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[15]	Attorney Professional Responsibility	293
[16]	Financial Analysts’ Conflicts of Interest	293
[17]	Remedies and Criminal Penalties	294
[a]	Statute of Limitations	294
[b]	Whistleblower Civil Remedy	294
[c]	Insider Trading During Blackouts — Disgorgement of Profits	295
[d]	No Discharge of Securities Fraud Debts in Bankruptcy	295
[e]	Court Order Freezing Certain Extraordinary Payments	295
[f]	Fair Funds Provision	295
[g]	SEC Equitable Relief	296
[h]	Criminal Sanctions	296
[C]	CEO and CFO Certifications	297
	Certification of Disclosure in Companies’ Quarterly and Annual Reports	297
§ 5.05	THE DODD-FRANK ACT — CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	299
Chapter 6 REALES AND REORGANIZATIONS		303
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION	303
	Problem A	303
	Problem B	304
	Problem C	304
§ 6.02	THE CONCEPT OF UNDERWRITER	304
[A]	The Presumptive Underwriter Doctrine	306
[B]	Purchasing from an Issuer with a View Toward Distribution	307
	<i>United States v. Sherwood</i>	308
	The Holding Period, Change in Circumstances Defense, and Sales By Pledges	309
[C]	Participating in the Underwriting Effort	311
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association</i>	312
	Notes and Questions	314
§ 6.03	DISTRIBUTIONS BY CONTROLLING PERSONS OR AFFILIATES	315
[A]	The Meaning of “Control”	316
	<i>Pennaluna & Company v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	317
	Note	319
[B]	Contractual Agreements to Sell	320
[1]	Provision of Rule 15c2-11 Information	320
[2]	Letter from Issuer’s Counsel	321
[3]	Additional Pitfalls	321
[C]	PIPES	322
[D]	Effecting a Distribution	322

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[E]	Broker-Dealer Reasonable Inquiry	323
§ 6.04	RULE 144	325
[A]	Background	325
[B]	Provisions of the Rule	327
	Rule 144 Amendments	330
	Commentary on Rule 144	340
§ 6.05	THE § “4(1½)” EXEMPTION	343
	<i>Ackerberg v. Johnson</i>	344
	Note	347
§ 6.06	RULE 144A	348
	Adoption of Rule 144A	348
	Summary of Rule 144A	350
	Note	352
§ 6.07	CORPORATE REORGANIZATIONS	354
[A]	In General	354
[B]	Rule 145 — Ramifications	354
[C]	“Downstream Sales”	355
[D]	“Spin-Offs”	356
[E]	Adoption of Form S-4	357
	Business Combination Transactions — Adoption of Registration Form	357
Chapter 7	DUE DILIGENCE AND SECURITIES ACT LIABILITY	361
§ 7.01	INTRODUCTION	361
§ 7.02	THE REGISTERED OFFERING — FRAMEWORK OF SECTION 11	362
[A]	Persons Subject to § 11 Liability	362
[B]	Elements of the § 11 Right of Action	363
	<i>Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc.</i>	365
	Note	367
[C]	Defenses	368
[D]	Due Diligence Standard	369
[E]	Causation and Reliance	369
[F]	Materiality and Damages	370
	<i>Akerman v. Oryx Communications, Inc.</i>	370
[G]	Contribution and Indemnification	373
	<i>Eichenholtz v. Brennan</i>	375
	Problem A	377
	Problem B	378
	Problem C	378
§ 7.03	DUE DILIGENCE IN THE REGISTERED OFFERING CONTEXT	379

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation</i>	379
§ 7.04	ANALYSIS OF DUE DILIGENCE IN VIEW OF <i>BARCHRIS</i>	400
	<i>In re Software Toolworks Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	407
§ 7.05	IMPACT OF THE INTEGRATED DISCLOSURE SYSTEM	414
	Circumstances Affecting the Determination of What Constitutes Reasonable Investigation and Reasonable Grounds for Belief Under Section 11 of the Securities Act	415
	<i>In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	420
	Note — Appointment of Underwriters’ Counsel & Director Signature on Form 10-K	425
§ 7.06	SECTION 12(a)(1) OF THE SECURITIES ACT	427
§ 7.07	SECTION 12(a)(2) OF THE SECURITIES ACT	427
[A]	The Meaning of “Seller” and the “In Pari Delicto” Defense	430
	<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	431
	Note	441
[B]	Limited to “Public” Offerings	442
	<i>Gustafson v. Alloyd Company</i>	442
	Note	450
[C]	The Reasonable Care Defense	451
[D]	Indemnification and Contribution	452
[E]	Affirmative Defense of Section 12(b)	453
§ 7.08	SECTION 4A(c) — THE “CROWDFUNDING” REMEDY	454
§ 7.09	AVAILABILITY OF STATE SECURITIES LAW REMEDIES	455
Chapter 8	SECTION 10(b) AND RELATED ISSUES	463
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW	463
	Problem	465
§ 8.02	STANDING: THE PURCHASER-SELLER REQUIREMENT	466
	<i>Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores</i>	466
	Notes and Questions	476
	<i>The Wharf (Holdings) Limited v. United International Holdings, Inc.</i>	477
§ 8.03	REQUISITE CULPABILITY LEVEL	481
[A]	Private Damages Actions	481
	<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i>	481
	Note	487
[B]	SEC Actions for Injunctive Relief	487
[C]	“Recklessness”	488
[D]	State Court Reaction	488
§ 8.04	THE “DECEPTION” OR “MANIPULATION” REQUIREMENT	489
[A]	Rejection of a Federal Fiduciary Approach	489
	<i>Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green</i>	489

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Note	493
[B]	Federal Disclosure Requirements Based on State Law Claims	494
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	494
	Note	495
[C]	Manipulation	495
§ 8.05	CAUSATION AND RELATED REQUIREMENTS	496
[A]	Overview	496
[B]	The “In Connection With” Requirement	499
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford</i>	499
[C]	Justifiable Reliance	504
	<i>Myers v. Finkle</i>	505
	<i>Brown v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.</i>	508
	Note	513
[D]	The “Bespeaks Caution” and Related Doctrines	515
	The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act — Safe Harbor for Certain Forward-Looking Statements	517
	<i>Asher v. Baxter International, Inc.</i>	521
	Note	526
[E]	“Fraud on the Market” Theory	527
	<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	527
	Note	535
	<i>Erica P. John Fund, Inc. v. Halliburton Company</i>	536
	<i>Amgen v. Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds</i>	541
[1]	Defining an Efficient Market	553
[2]	Rebutting the Fraud on the Market Presumption of Reliance	557
[3]	The “Fraud to Enter the Market” Theory	557
[F]	Loss Causation	559
	<i>Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo</i>	559
[G]	State Securities Law	564
§ 8.06	MATERIALITY	565
	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	565
	<i>Ganino v. Citizens Utilities Company</i>	565
§ 8.07	CUMULATIVE REMEDIES	565
§ 8.08	DAMAGES	567
§ 8.09	DEFENSES AND STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS	568
[A]	Pleading Fraud with Particularity	568
	<i>Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.</i>	569
	Note — Group Pleading and Collective Scierter	575
[B]	Statute of Limitations	575
	<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds</i>	577
	Note	586

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[C]	Defense of In Pari Delicto	587
	<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	587
	Note	591
§ 8.10	CONTRIBUTION, PROPORTIONATE LIABILITY, AND RELATED ISSUES	592
[A]	<i>Musick, Peeler</i>	592
[B]	Contribution, Proportionate Liability, and Related Issues Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995	592
§ 8.11	CLASS ACTION “REFORM”	594
	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference (1995)	594
	Presidential Veto Message on the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	603
§ 8.12	SPECIAL CONCEPTS OF FRAUD FOR BROKER-DEALERS	605
	<i>Pross v. Baird Patrick & Co., Inc.</i>	606
	Note	607
§ 8.13	EXTRATERRITORIAL REACH OF SECTION 10(b)	608
	<i>Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.</i>	608
	SEC Extraterritorial Reach	620
	Note	620
	<i>Absolute Activist Value Masterfund Limited v. Ficeto</i>	621
	Note	622
Chapter 9	ALTERNATIVE PROVISIONS	625
§ 9.01	INTRODUCTION	625
	Problem	625
§ 9.02	IMPLIED RIGHTS OF ACTION — IN GENERAL	625
§ 9.03	SECTION 17(a)	629
[A]	General Scope	629
	<i>United States v. Naftalin</i>	629
	Note	633
[B]	State of Mind	635
[C]	Implied Right of Action?	636
	<i>Landry v. All American Assurance Co.</i>	636
	Note	642
§ 9.04	SECTION 14(a) (THE PROXY STATUTE)	642
[A]	Overview	642
[B]	“True Purpose” and Causation	645
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	645
	Note	654
[C]	SEC Proxy Rule Amendments	655

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Regulation of Communications Among Shareholders	655
	Note	657
[D]	The Shareholder Proposal Rule	658
	Shareholder Proposals	658
[E]	Shareholder Nomination of Directors — Rule 14a-11	660
	<i>Business Roundtable v. SEC</i>	660
	Note	666
§ 9.05	SECTION 18 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT	666
§ 9.06	SECTION 29(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT	667
	<i>Regional Properties, Inc. v. Financial and Real Estate</i> <i>Consulting Company</i>	668
§ 9.07	RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT (RICO)	673
	The 1995 Federal Legislation (PSLRA)	673
§ 9.08	STATE SECURITIES AND COMMON LAW REMEDIES	674
	The Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998	674
	<i>Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit</i>	675
	Note — Why Bring State Claims	682
	<i>Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. v. Summit Coffee Co.</i>	685
	<i>Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley</i>	687
	<i>Bennett v. Hunter Durham</i>	694
	<i>Malone v. Brincat</i>	698
	<i>Holmes v. Grubman</i>	705
Chapter 10	SECONDARY LIABILITY	711
§ 10.01	INTRODUCTION	711
	Problem	711
§ 10.02	AIDING AND ABETTING LIABILITY	712
	<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	712
	Note	725
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Apuzzo</i>	727
§ 10.03	DISTINGUISHING PRIMARY FROM SECONDARY CONDUCT	730
[A]	Rejection of a Broad “Scheme to Defraud” Theory	730
	<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.</i>	730
[B]	Rule 10b-5(b) — “Makers” of Statements	740
	<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	740
	Note — Impact of <i>Janus Capital</i>	751
§ 10.04	CONTROLLING PERSON LIABILITY	752
	<i>Haynes v. Anderson & Strudwick, Inc.</i>	753
	Note	756
§ 10.05	RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR LIABILITY	759

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Seolas v. Bilzerian</i>	761
	Note	764
§ 10.06	FAILURE TO SUPERVISE	765
	<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss, and Meriwether</i>	765
Chapter 11	ISSUER AFFIRMATIVE DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS	767
§ 11.01	OVERVIEW	767
	Problem A	771
	Problem B	772
§ 11.02	REAL-TIME DISCLOSURE	772
§ 11.03	IMPACT OF THE “MD&A” REQUIREMENTS	777
	MD&A RELEASE	778
	<i>In the Matter of Caterpillar, Inc.</i>	778
	Note	782
§ 11.04	DUTY TO DISCLOSE FORWARD-LOOKING OR “SOFT” INFORMATION	783
[A]	The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act	783
[B]	Safe Harbor for Projections — Rule 175	783
	Safe Harbor for Projections	783
[C]	“Puffing,” “Attribution,” and Statements of “Fact”	786
	<i>Raab v. General Physics Corporation</i>	786
	Note	790
[D]	Mandatory Disclosure of “Soft” Information	791
	<i>Starkman v. Marathon Oil Co.</i>	791
	Note	796
§ 11.05	DUTY TO UPDATE	798
§ 11.06	RESPONDING TO RUMORS	799
	<i>State Teachers Retirement Board v. Fluor Corp.</i>	800
	Note	802
§ 11.07	DUTY TO DISCLOSE NEGOTIATIONS	804
	<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	804
	Note	810
§ 11.08	REGULATION FD	812
	Note	817
Chapter 12	INSIDER TRADING	819
§ 12.01	INTRODUCTION	819
[A]	Overview	819
[B]	The Meaning of “Material” and “Nonpublic” Information	822
§ 12.02	Problems	828

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Problem A	828
	Problem B	828
	Problem C	828
§ 12.03	THE “DUTY” THEORY	829
	<i>Chiarella v. United States</i>	829
§ 12.04	“TIPPER-TIPPEE” LIABILITY	836
	<i>Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	836
	Note	843
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer</i>	844
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo</i>	849
§ 12.05	THE “MISAPPROPRIATION” THEORY	851
	<i>United States v. O’Hagan</i>	851
	Note	859
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban</i>	860
§ 12.06	FAMILY AND OTHER PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS — SEC RULE 10b5-2	865
§ 12.07	“POSSESSION” vs. “USE”	866
§ 12.08	RULE 14e-3	867
	<i>United States v. O’Hagan</i>	868
	Note	873
§ 12.09	DAMAGES AND PENALTIES	874
	[A] Damages — Section 10(b) Actions	874
	[B] Penalties	875
	[C] Contemporaneous and Option Traders	876
§ 12.10	THE STOCK ACT	878
§ 12.11	SECTION 16 — “SHORT-SWING” TRADING	879
	[A] Overview	879
	[B] Pertinent Issues	880
	[1] Beneficial Ownership and the Concept of Attribution	880
	[2] Directors — “Deputization”	881
	[3] Officers	882
	[4] Ten Percent Beneficial Owners	882
	[5] Objective Approach vs. Pragmatic Approach	883
§ 12.12	BLACKOUT PERIODS	884
§ 12.13	REGULATION FD	885
Chapter 13	FINANCIAL INTERMEDIARIES — BROKER-DEALERS AND INVESTMENT ADVISERS	887
§ 13.01	OVERVIEW	887
§ 13.02	SEC STAFF STUDY ON INVESTMENT ADVISERS AND BROKER-DEALERS	889

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Study on Investment Advisers and Broker-Dealers	889
	Note	897
§ 13.03	ARBITRATION	898
[A]	Supreme Court Decisions	898
	<i>Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon</i>	898
	Note	906
[B]	Aftermath of <i>McMahon</i> and <i>Rodriguez</i>	907
[C]	Arbitration Today	908
[D]	Arbitration in the Registered Offering Context	910
§ 13.04	THE SHINGLE AND RELATED THEORIES	911
	<i>Pross v. Baird, Patrick & Co., Inc.</i>	911
	<i>Brown v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.</i>	911
[A]	Distinguishing “Puffery” from “Misrepresentation”	911
	<i>Cohen v. Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc.</i>	911
[B]	State Law	915
	<i>Gochmauer v. A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc.</i>	915
	Note	920
	<i>De Kwiatkowski v. Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc.</i>	920
§ 13.05	CHURNING	927
	<i>Nesbit v. McNeil</i>	927
	Note	931
§ 13.06	THE “DRAMSHOP” CASES	932
	<i>Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc.</i>	932
§ 13.07	DEFENSES	939
	<i>Hecht v. Harris, Upham & Co.</i>	939
	<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	941
§ 13.08	INVESTMENT ADVISER FEES	941
	<i>Jones v. Harris Associates L.P.</i>	941
§ 13.09	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	950
[A]	In General	950
[B]	The Need for Reinforcement Measures	951
[C]	Regulatory Treatment of Chinese Walls	952
[D]	SEC Global Research Analyst Settlements	953
[E]	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Provisions	955
[F]	Impact of the JOBS Act	955
§ 13.10	SECONDARY LIABILITY	957
	Problem	957
[A]	Aiding and Abetting Liability	957
[B]	Controlling Person Liability	957
	<i>Haynes v. Anderson & Strudwick, Inc.</i>	957
	<i>Hollinger v. Titan Capital Corp.</i>	958

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Harrison v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.</i>	958
[C]	Respondent Superior Liability	958
	<i>Hollinger v. Titan Capital Corp.</i>	958
[D]	Failure to Supervise	958
	<i>In the Matter of John H. Gutfreund, Thomas W. Strauss, and John W. Meriwether</i>	960
	Note	968
§ 13.11	CREDIT RATING AGENCIES	969
Chapter 14	CORPORATE CONTROL ACQUISITIONS AND CONTESTS	971
§ 14.01	INTRODUCTION	971
§ 14.02	GOING-PRIVATE TRANSACTIONS	971
	Problem A	972
[A]	State Law	972
	<i>Weinberger v. UOP, Inc.</i>	972
	Note	985
[B]	Federal Regulation	985
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	985
	SEC Rules 13e-3 and 13e-4	986
§ 14.03	PROXY CONTESTS	987
	<i>GAF Corporation v. Heyman</i>	988
	Note	1002
	<i>Virginia Bankshares v. Sandberg</i>	1003
	SEC Proxy Rule Amendments on Shareholder Communications	1003
	Note	1003
§ 14.04	TENDER OFFERS	1004
[A]	What Is a Tender Offer?	1004
	<i>Hanson Trust PLC v. SCM Corporation</i>	1004
	Note	1013
[B]	Disclosure of Beneficial Ownership Interest	1013
[C]	Constitutional Dimensions of State Takeover Statutes	1016
[D]	Stakeholder Statutes	1020
[E]	SEC Tender Offer Rules	1020
[F]	Legitimacy of Defensive Tactics	1022
[1]	In General	1022
	Problem B	1024
[2]	Federal Law	1024
	<i>Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc.</i>	1025
	Note	1030
[3]	State Common Law	1031

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Paramount Communications, Inc. v. Time, Inc.</i>	1031
	“Poison Pills” and Other Defensive Tactics	1045
Chapter 15	SECURITIES LAW ENFORCEMENT	1049
§ 15.01	INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS	1049
[A]	SEC Order Directing a Private Investigation	1049
[B]	SEC Subpoena Order	1052
[C]	A Look at Blue Sky Enforcement	1052
§ 15.02	COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL RAMIFICATIONS	1053
	<i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	1053
	Note	1057
§ 15.03	SUBPOENA ENFORCEMENT	1057
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O’Brien, Inc.</i>	1058
	Note	1064
§ 15.04	INJUNCTIONS	1065
[A]	Standards for Imposition	1065
[B]	Implications of <i>Central Bank of Denver</i>	1066
[C]	Collateral Consequences	1067
[D]	Other Relief	1068
[E]	Modification or Dissolution of SEC Injunctions	1069
§ 15.05	SEC ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT REMEDIES	1070
[A]	Cease and Desist Orders	1071
[B]	Money Penalties	1071
[C]	Refusal and Stop Orders	1072
[D]	Summarily Suspending Trading in a Security	1072
[E]	Broker-Dealer Disciplinary Sanctions	1072
[F]	Section 15(c)(4) Disciplinary Proceedings	1073
[G]	Section 21(a) Reports of Investigation	1073
	<i>In the Matter of Occidental Petroleum Corporation</i>	1074
§ 15.06	OFFICER AND DIRECTOR BARS	1082
§ 15.07	MONEY PENALTIES	1083
	Statement of the Securities and Exchange Commission Concerning Financial Penalties	1084
§ 15.08	SARBANES-OXLEY ACT AND DODD-FRANK ACT ENHANCED ENFORCEMENT	1087
§ 15.09	STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS	1089
	<i>Gabelli v. SEC</i>	1090
§ 15.10	ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE	1095
[A]	In General	1095
	<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	1095

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Note	1102
[B]	“Limited” Waiver Concept	1104
	<i>Permian Corp. v. United States</i>	1104
	Note	1110
§ 15.11	PARALLEL PROCEEDINGS	1111
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i>	1111
	Note	1118
§ 15.12	INTERNATIONAL ENFORCEMENT	1121
	SEC Statement on International Enforcement Assistance (2012)	1121
Chapter 16	THE ROLE OF COUNSEL	1125
§ 16.01	OVERVIEW	1125
[A]	Sarbanes-Oxley and the SEC’s Response	1125
[B]	State Ethical Rules	1127
§ 16.02	ATTORNEY LIABILITY FOR CLIENT FRAUD	1128
	Problem	1128
	SEC Adopts Attorney Conduct Rule Under Sarbanes-Oxley Act	1129
	<i>In the Matter of Carter and Johnson</i>	1131
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Spiegel, Inc.</i>	1137
	Note	1141
[A]	Duty to Disclose Client Fraud	1142
[B]	Attorney Malpractice	1143
[C]	Duty to Supervise	1144
	<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss and Meriwether</i>	1144
[D]	Responding to Auditor Requests	1144
§ 16.03	PREDECESSOR-SUCCESSOR COMMUNICATIONS	1145
	<i>In re OPM Leasing Services, Inc.</i>	1146
	Note	1150
§ 16.04	LEGAL OPINIONS	1151
§ 16.05	ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE	1151
	<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	1151
§ 16.06	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1152
[A]	Conflicts in SEC Proceedings	1152
	<i>In the Matter of Blizzard and Abel</i>	1152
	<i>Matter of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.</i>	1153
[B]	“Screening” Mechanisms	1156
	<i>Armstrong v. McAlpin</i>	1156
	Note	1162
	TABLE OF CASES	TC-1
	INDEX	I-1

