

THE TAX LAW OF
CHARITIES AND OTHER
EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS
Third Edition

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Paul Caron

Professor of Law

Pepperdine University School of Law

Herzog Summer Visiting Professor in Taxation

University of San Diego School of Law

Bridgette Carr

Clinical Professor of Law

University of Michigan Law School

Olympia Duhart

Professor of Law and Director of Lawyering Skills & Values Program

Nova Southeastern University, Shepard Broad Law School

Samuel Estreicher

Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law

Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law

NYU School of Law

Steven I. Friedland

Professor of Law and Senior Scholar

Elon University School of Law

Carole Goldberg

Jonathan D. Varat Distinguished Professor of Law

UCLA School of Law

Oliver Goodenough

Professor of Law

Vermont Law School

Paul Marcus

Haynes Professor of Law

William and Mary Law School

John Sprankling

Distinguished Professor of Law

McGeorge School of Law

THE TAX LAW OF CHARITIES AND OTHER EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS

Third Edition

Darryll K. Jones

*Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law
Florida A&M University College of Law*

David Brennen

*Dean and Professor of Law
University of Kentucky College of Law*

Steve Willis

*Professor of Law
University of Florida Levin College of Law*

Beverly Moran

*Professor of Law
Vanderbilt Law School*

ISBN: 9780769888064

Ebook ISBN: 9780769888057

Looseleaf ISBN: 9780769888361

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Jones, Darryll K. (Darryll Keith), 1961- author.

The tax law of charities and other exempt organizations / Darryll K. Jones, Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law, Florida A&M University College of Law; David Brennen, Dean and Professor of Law, University of Kentucky College of Law; Steve Willis, Professor of Law, University of Florida Levin College of Law; Beverly Moran, Professor of Law, Vanderbilt Law School.

pages cm

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7698-8806-4

1. Nonprofit organizations--Taxation--Law and legislation--United States. 2. Charitable uses, trusts, and foundations--Taxation--Law and legislation--United States. 3. Charities--Taxation--Law and legislation--United States. I. Brennen, David A., author. II. Willis, Steven J., author. III. Moran, Beverly I., author. IV. Title.

KF6449.J66 2014

343.7306'68--dc23

2014016955

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2014 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School website for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF TAX EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS	1
[A]	INTRODUCTION	1
[B]	OVERVIEW OF CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTION DEDUCTIONS	2
[C]	OVERVIEW OF PRIVATE FOUNDATION STATUS	2
[D]	AN OVERVIEW OF CHARITIES	4
[E]	AN OVERVIEW OF OTHER EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS	7
Chapter 2	THE EXEMPT PURPOSE REQUIREMENT: PUBLIC POLICY AND ILLEGALITY DOCTRINES	9
[A]	THE PUBLIC POLICY DOCTRINE	9
	<i>Bob Jones University and Goldsboro Christian Schools in Historical and Contemporary Context</i>	10
	<i>Bob Jones University v. United States</i>	14
	Questions	32
[B]	THE ILLEGALITY DOCTRINE	33
	<i>Revenue Ruling 75-384</i>	34
	Authors' Analysis	36
[C]	WRITING AND PLANNING EXERCISE	37
[1]	ABC News Broadcast on Ecoterror	37
	Dean Schabner, <i>New Front on Ecoterror? Some Want to Target High-Profile Activists in Battle on Ecoterror</i>	37
[2]	Wall Street Journal Editorial	37
	<i>Animal House (Editorial)</i>	37
[3]	Letter to PETA from Chairman McInnis	38
	Questions	39
Chapter 3	THE EXEMPT PURPOSE REQUIREMENT: RELIGIOUS	41
[A]	OVERVIEW OF RELIGIOUS EXEMPTION	41
[B]	CONSTITUTIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF RELIGIOUS TAX EXEMPTION	42
	<i>Walz v. Tax Commission of City of New York</i>	43
	Questions	51
[C]	ADMINISTRATION OF THE RELIGIOUS TAX EXEMPTION	52
[1]	What Is a Religion?	52
	<i>Church of the Chosen People v. United States</i>	54
[2]	What Is a Church?	56

Table of Contents

[a]	The Service’s 14-Part Test	57
[b]	Tax Court Rejects the 14-Part Test	57
	<i>Foundation of Human Understanding v. Commissioner</i>	59
[c]	“Church” Status Versus “Religious”	64
	<i>Via v. Commissioner</i>	65
	Question	66
	Writing Exercise	66
[D]	POLICING RELIGIOUS TAX EXEMPTION: THE CHURCH AUDIT PROCEDURES	67
	<i>United States v. C.E. Hobbs Foundation for Religious Training and Education, Inc.</i>	67
	Questions	71
Chapter 4	THE EXEMPT PURPOSE REQUIREMENT: EDUCATIONAL	73
[A]	OVERVIEW OF EDUCATIONAL EXEMPTION	73
[1]	D.C. Circuit Declares Treasury’s “Educational” Regulation Unconstitutional	75
	<i>Big Mama Rag, Inc. v. United States</i>	75
[2]	The Methodology Test Cures Constitutional Concerns	80
	<i>National Alliance v. United States</i>	81
[3]	Service Formally Adopts the Methodology Test	83
	<i>Revenue Procedure 86-43</i>	84
[4]	Application of the Methodology Test	84
	Questions	85
[B]	SCHOOLS	86
Chapter 5	THE EXEMPT PURPOSE REQUIREMENT: SCIENTIFIC	87
[A]	OVERVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC EXEMPTION	87
[B]	GENERAL ISSUES OF EXEMPTION	87
	<i>American Kennel Club v. Hoey</i>	90
	Notes	91
	Question	93
[C]	SPONSORED RESEARCH	93
[1]	Product Testing: Rev. Rul. 68-373	94
[2]	Satisfying the Public Interest through Publication	96
	<i>Revenue Ruling 76-296</i>	96
	Question	99
[D]	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	99
	Questions	100

Table of Contents

Chapter 6	THE EXEMPT PURPOSE REQUIREMENT: CHARITABLE	103
[A]	AN OVERVIEW OF CHARITABLE EXEMPTION	103
[B]	CHARITABLE HEALTH CARE	104
[1]	Indigent Care Required	104
	<i>Revenue Ruling 56-185</i>	105
[2]	Only Emergency Room Indigent Care Required for Exempt Status	106
	<i>Revenue Ruling 69-545</i>	107
[3]	Indigent Care Not Required	109
	<i>Eastern Kentucky Welfare Rights Organization v. Simon</i>	110
[4]	Emergency Indigent Care Not Required if Provided Elsewhere in the Community	114
	<i>Revenue Ruling 83-157</i>	114
	Questions	115
[C]	HEALTH MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATIONS	115
	<i>IHC Health Plans, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	118
	Questions	123
[D]	PUBLIC INTEREST LAW FIRMS	123
[1]	Exemption	124
[2]	Receipt of Fees by Public Interest Law Firms	124
	<i>Revenue Procedure 92-59</i>	125
	Questions	127
[3]	Ideological Issues Involving Public Interest Law Firms	127
	<i>Center on Corporate Responsibility, Inc. v. Shultz</i>	129
Chapter 7	EXCLUSIVITY AND THE COMMERCIALITY DOCTRINE	137
[A]	THE EXCLUSIVELY OPERATED REQUIREMENT	137
[1]	More than 20% non-exempt activity is probably too much	139
[2]	Less than 10% non-exempt activity is probably acceptable	139
[3]	Between 10% and 20% non-exempt activity is highly suspect	139
	<i>Better Business Bureau of Washington, D.C., Inc. v. United States</i>	140
[B]	SUBSTANTIAL NON-EXEMPT PURPOSES: THE COMMERCIALITY DOCTRINE	142
[1]	General Aspects of the Commerciality Doctrine	143
[a]	Presence (or Absence) of Free or Below Market Goods or Services	143
	<i>Federation Pharmacy Services, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	143
	Questions	147
[b]	Success (or Failure) of a Commercial Activity	148
	<i>Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Co. v. Commissioner</i>	148
	<i>Living Faith, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	155

Table of Contents

	Note on Very Successful Commercial Activities	162
	Questions	163
[2]	Feeder Organizations and the Integral Part Doctrine	164
[a]	Feeder Organizations: A Single Entity	164
	<i>Edward Orton, Jr., Ceramic Foundation v. Commissioner</i>	164
	Writing Exercise	175
[b]	The Integral Part Doctrine: Separate Related Entities	176
[i]	General Rule Permitting Separate Entities to be Exempt as Integral Parts of a Charitable Function	176
	<i>University Medical Resident Services, P.C. v. Commissioner</i>	176
	Question	183
	Note On Origin Of The Integral Part Doctrine	183
[ii]	Enhancement of Related Entity’s Exempt Character	184
	<i>Geisinger Health Plan v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service</i>	184
	Question	191
[iii]	Substantially Related to Related Entity’s Exempt Purpose or Function	192
	<i>IHC Health Plans, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	192
	Note On Confusion With Respect To Integral Part Doctrine	195
[iv]	Clarifying the Integral Part Doctrine	195
	Note on Relationship of Integral Part Analysis to Cooperatives	195
[3]	Cooperative Organizations: Separate Unrelated Entities	196
[a]	General Rule Treating the Provision of Goods or Services to Unrelated Cooperatives as a Nonexempt Commercial Activity	196
[b]	Non-501(e) Hospital Cooperative Services	197
	<i>HCSC-Laundry v. United States</i>	197
	Question	205
	Questions	206
[4]	Commercial-Type Insurance: Section 501(M) — An Industry-Specific Codification of the Commerciality Doctrine	207
[a]	The General Rule Denying Exemption to Commercial-Type Insurers: 501(m)	207
	<i>Paratransit Insurance Corporation v. Commissioner</i>	207
[b]	Group Self-Insurers	215
	<i>Nonprofits’ Insurance Alliance of California v. United States</i>	215
	Note on Charitable Risk Pools and 501(N)	223
	Questions	223
[c]	Health Maintenance Organizations as “Insurers” for § 501(m) Purposes	223
	<i>Technical Advice Memorandum 200033046</i>	224

Table of Contents

Chapter 8	ORGANIZATIONAL REQUIREMENT: PROCEDURAL RULES	231
[A]	INTRODUCTION	231
[B]	THE FILING AND NOTICE REQUIREMENT	231
[1]	Application Form	231
[2]	Articles of Creation	232
[3]	Filing Deadline	233
[4]	Exemption from the Filing Requirement	234
[C]	TWO REVENUE RULINGS AND A REVENUE PROCEDURE	235
[1]	Revenue Ruling 90-100	235
[2]	Revenue Ruling 80-259	236
[3]	Revenue Procedure 2008-9	236
	<i>Partners in Charity, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	237
	Questions	242
[D]	JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE RULING PROCESS	243
[1]	Senate Report No. 94-938, 94th Cong. 2d Sess. 585–591 (1976)	243
	<i>Senate Report No. 94-938</i>	243
[2]	Case or Controversy: <i>AHW Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , 79 T.C. 390 (1982)	246
	Questions	247
[E]	FILING AND PUBLIC DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS	248
[1]	Annual Information Returns: § 6033	248
[2]	Public Disclosure of Returns and Other Information: § 6104	249
[a]	<i>Tax Analysts v. CBN</i> , 214 F.3d 179 (D.C. Cir. 2000)	250
[b]	<i>Sklar v. Commissioner</i> , 282 F.3d 610 (9th Cir. 2002)	250
[F]	STATE AND LOCAL FILING REQUIREMENTS	253
	Writing and Research Exercise	254
Chapter 9	PRIVATE INUREMENT PROHIBITION	257
[A]	THE GENERAL RULE PROHIBITING PRIVATE INUREMENT	257
[1]	“Private Shareholder or Individual”	259
	<i>United Cancer Council, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	260
	Questions	263
	Note on <i>United Cancer Council v. Commissioner</i>	264
[2]	Forms of Inurement	264
	<i>Revenue Ruling 69-545</i>	266
	Note on <i>Revenue Ruling 69-545</i>	269
	<i>Easter House v. United States</i>	271
	Note on <i>Easter House</i>	276
	Questions	277
[B]	EXCESS BENEFIT TRANSACTIONS	278

Table of Contents

[1]	Origins of Intermediate Sanctions Statute	278
[2]	Mechanics of § 4958	279
[a]	Lists of Disqualified Persons	279
[b]	Defining and Avoiding Excess Benefit	280
[c]	Computing the Tax	280
[3]	Intermediate Sanctions Regulations	281
	Planning and Writing Exercise	283
	Question	284
	Note on Standards for 501(C)(3) Exemption if Charity Engaged in Excess Benefit Transaction	284
	Note on Applicability of Excess Benefit Transaction Rules to Supporting Organizations and Donor Advised Funds	284
[4]	Valuation for Purposes of Private Inurement Prohibition and Intermediate Sanctions	285
	<i>Caracci v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	286
	Note on Valuation Misstatements	289
	Questions	289
[C]	ADVANCED APPLICATION: REVENUE SHARING AS A FORM OF PRIVATE INUREMENT	290
	<i>General Counsel Memorandum 39674 (July 29, 1992)</i>	291
	<i>World Family Corporation v. Commissioner</i>	296
	Note on World Family Corporation	299
	<i>People of God Community v. Commissioner</i>	300
	Questions	302
Chapter 10 PRIVATE BENEFIT RESTRICTION		305
[A]	THE PRIVATE BENEFIT PROHIBITION IN GENERAL	305
	<i>American Campaign Academy v. Commissioner</i>	306
	Questions	317
	<i>KJ's Fund Raisers, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	317
	Note on <i>KJ's</i>	320
	Aside on <i>Monterey Public Parking</i>	321
	Questions	321
[B]	CLASS SIZE	322
	<i>Notice 95-33</i>	322
	Note on <i>Notice 95-33</i>	324
	Questions	325
[C]	ADVANCED APPLICATION: JOINT VENTURES BETWEEN CHARITIES AND COMMERCIAL ENTITIES	326
[1]	Ancillary Joint Ventures	326
	Aside on <i>Plumstead Theater</i>	327

Table of Contents

[2]	Whole-Entity Joint Ventures	327
	<i>Revenue Ruling 98-15</i>	327
	Questions	334
	Note	334
	<i>Redlands Surgical Services v. Commissioner</i>	336
	Note and Commentary	346
	Questions	347
	<i>St. David's Health Care System, Inc. v. United States</i>	348
	<i>Note on Appeal of Summary Judgment Order in St. David's Health Care System, Inc. v. United States</i>	353
	Aside on District Court Criticism	354
 Chapter 11		
	LOBBYING RESTRICTION	355
[A]	THE PROHIBITION AGAINST "SUBSTANTIAL" LOBBYING — GENERALLY	355
	<i>Anthony Haswell v. United States</i>	357
	<i>Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Washington</i>	364
[B]	SECTION 501(h) SAFE HARBOR FOR LOBBYING	370
	Notes About Lobbying	374
	Questions	375
 Chapter 12		
	POLITICAL ACTIVITY PROHIBITION	377
[A]	PROHIBITION AGAINST CAMPAIGN INTERVENTION	377
	<i>The Association of the Bar of the City of New York v. Commissioner</i>	379
	<i>Revenue Ruling 74-574</i>	384
	<i>Revenue Ruling 78-248</i>	385
	<i>Revenue Ruling 80-282</i>	387
	<i>Revenue Ruling 86-95</i>	389
	<i>Branch Ministries v. Rossotti</i>	392
	Note	396
	Question	397
[B]	POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS	398
	<i>Alaska Public Service Employees Local 71 v. Commissioner</i>	401
	Note On Filing And Disclosure Requirements For Political Organizations	406
	<i>Under the Radar: The Attack of the "Stealth PACs" on Our Nation's Election</i>	407

Table of Contents

Chapter 13	PRIVATE FOUNDATION STATUS	409
[A]	PUBLIC CHARITY STATUS VERSUS PRIVATE FOUNDATION STATUS	409
[B]	TYPES OF PUBLIC CHARITIES	410
[1]	509(a)(1) Organizations	410
	<i>Revenue Procedure 81-7</i>	414
	Note	418
	<i>St. John’s Orphanage, Inc. v. United States</i>	419
	Note on Public Endowments	423
	Questions	423
[2]	509(a)(2) Organizations	424
	Questions	425
[3]	509(a)(3) Organizations	426
	<i>Roe Foundation Charitable Trust v. Commissioner</i>	429
	<i>Cockerline Memorial Fund v. Commissioner</i>	438
	Question	445
Chapter 14	PRIVATE FOUNDATION EXCISE TAXES	447
[A]	DISQUALIFIED PERSONS	447
	Question	449
[B]	THE AUDIT FEE TAX	449
	Question	451
	<i>The “Miss Elizabeth” D. Leckie Scholarship Fund v. Commissioner</i>	452
	Note: “Exempt” Operating Foundation Status and the Reduced Audit Fee Tax	455
	Questions	456
[C]	THE SELF-DEALING EXCISE TAX	456
	<i>John W. Madden, Jr. v. Commissioner</i>	460
	Question	464
	<i>Deluxe Corporation v. United States</i>	464
	<i>Estate Of Bernard J. Reis v. Commissioner</i>	465
	Note on Indirect Self-Dealing	471
	Alvin J. Geske, <i>Indirect Self-Dealing and Foundations’ Transfer for the Use or Benefit of Disqualified Persons</i>	471
	Questions	485
[D]	MANDATORY DISTRIBUTIONS	485
	<i>Revenue Ruling 74-450</i>	487
	Note on Qualifying Distributions Under the “Cash-Distribution Test”	488
	Questions	489
[E]	EXCESS BUSINESS HOLDINGS	490
	<i>Senate Report 91-552</i>	490

Table of Contents

	<i>Revenue Ruling 81-111</i>	494
	David Bank, <i>Foundations Divide Over Need to Diversify: Two High-Profile Progeny of H-P Adopt Different Strategies</i>	496
	Questions	498
	John G. Simon, <i>Private Foundations as a Federally Regulated Industry: Time for a Fresh Look?</i>	499
[F]	JEOPARDIZING INVESTMENTS	504
	Question and Planning Exercise	507
[G]	TAXABLE EXPENDITURES	509
	Student Teaching Exercise	512
	Note: Abatement and Refund of Excise Taxes	512
[H]	THE TERMINATION TAX	513
	Note: Enforcement in a Practical Sense	515
Chapter 15	ALTERNATIVES TO PRIVATE FOUNDATION STATUS	517
[A]	OVERVIEW	517
[B]	POOLED “DONOR-DIRECTED” FUNDS	518
	Question	518
[C]	DONOR-ADVISED FUNDS	519
	Gene Steuerle, <i>Charitable Endowments, Advised Funds and the Mutual Fund Industry — Part Two</i>	520
	Questions	523
[D]	COMMUNITY FOUNDATIONS AND SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS	523
	Christopher R. Hoyt, <i>Pooled Income Funds of Community Foundations; IRS Swiftly Revokes its Revenue Ruling</i>	524
	Rochelle Korman & William F. Gaske, <i>Supporting Organizations to Community Foundations: A Little-Used Alternative to Private Foundations</i>	528
	Comment and Question	539
Chapter 16	SOCIAL WELFARE ORGANIZATIONS	541
[A]	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SOCIAL WELFARE ORGANIZATIONS	541
	Note on IRS Exempt Organization CPE Program Textbook	541
	<i>Social Welfare: What Does it Mean? How Much Private Benefit is Permissible? What is a Community?</i>	542
[B]	THE COMMUNITY BENEFIT REQUIREMENT	545
	<i>Flat Top Lake Association, Inc. v. United States of America</i>	545
	Questions	551

Table of Contents

	Note on Tax Exempt Homeowners' Associations: Section 528	551
	<i>Senate Finance Committee Report on P.L. 96-605 (Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1980)</i>	552
[C]	CREDIT COUNSELING SERVICES	553
	<i>Technical Explanation of "The Pension Protection Act of 2006"</i>	553
[D]	POLITICAL CAMPAIGN AND LOBBYING ACTIVITIES OF IRC 501(C)(4), (C)(5) AND (C)(6) ORGANIZATIONS	558
	<i>Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities of IRC 501(C)(4), (C)(5), and (C)(6) Organizations</i>	558
Chapter 17	LABOR, AGRICULTURAL AND HORTICULTURAL ORGANIZATIONS	561
[A]	LABOR ORGANIZATIONS	561
[1]	General Rule Defining Labor Organizations	561
	<i>Portland Co-Operative Labor Temple Association v. Commissioner</i>	561
	<i>IRC 501(c)(5) Organizations</i>	563
	<i>Stichting Pensioenfonds Voor De Gezondheid, Geestelijke En Maatschappelijke v. United States</i>	571
[B]	AGRICULTURAL AND HORTICULTURAL ORGANIZATIONS	578
[C]	POLITICAL ACTIVITY BY § 501(c)(5) ORGANIZATIONS	578
	<i>Gerald M. Marker et al. v. George P. Shultz, As Secretary of the Treasury of the United States Department of Treasury et al.</i>	578
	Question	580
Chapter 18	BUSINESS LEAGUES	581
	<i>National Muffler Dealers Assn., Inc. v. United States</i>	581
	Note on Definition of "Business League"	589
	<i>The Engineers Club of San Francisco v. United States</i>	590
	Questions	593
Chapter 19	SOCIAL CLUBS	597
[A]	BASIC OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS	597
	<i>Revenue Ruling 58-589</i>	597
	Note	599
	<i>Revenue Procedure 71-17</i>	599
	<i>Senate Report No. 94-1318, 2d Session</i>	602
	Note	605
[B]	ANTI-DISCRIMINATION REQUIREMENT	606
	<i>Senate Report No. 94-1318, 2d Session</i>	606

Table of Contents

Chapter 20 STATES AND STATE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS . . . 609

[A]	INTERGOVERNMENTAL TAX IMMUNITY — STATES, POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS, INTEGRAL PARTS	609
	<i>State of Michigan v. United States</i>	611
	Note on IRS Objections to <i>Michigan Education Trust</i> Case	625
[B]	STATE-RELATED ENTITIES	627
	<i>Revenue Ruling 90-74</i>	627
	<i>City of Bethel, Alaska v. United States</i>	628
	Questions	630
[C]	NATIVE AMERICAN GOVERNING BODIES	630
	<i>Private Letter Ruling 200207013</i>	631
	<i>Revenue Ruling 94-16</i>	633
	<i>Kip R. Ramsey v. United States</i>	634
	Questions	638

Chapter 21 THE UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME TAX — IN GENERAL 641

[A]	GENERAL OVERVIEW	641
	<i>Statement of O. Donaldson Chapoton</i>	642
[B]	THE TRADE OR BUSINESS REQUIREMENT	657
[1]	In General	657
	<i>United States v. American Bar Endowment</i>	657
	Questions	666
	<i>American Academy of Family Physicians v. United States</i>	666
	Questions	669
[2]	The Fragmentation Rule	670
	<i>United States v. American College of Physicians</i>	671
	Questions	674
[3]	The Corporate Sponsorship Exception	674
	Questions	676
	Darryll K. Jones, <i>Advertisements and Sponsorships in Charitable Cyberspace: Virtual Reality Meets Legal Fiction</i>	677
[C]	THE REGULARLY CARRIED ON REQUIREMENT	681
	<i>National Collegiate Athletic Association v. Commissioner</i>	682
	<i>State Police Association of Massachusetts v. Commissioner</i>	687
	Questions	689
[D]	THE “SUBSTANTIALLY RELATED” REQUIREMENT	691
	<i>United States v. American College of Physicians</i>	691
	Note on Income from the Performance of an Exempt Function	696
	Questions	697
	Note on Commercial Exploitation of an Exempt Function	698

Table of Contents

Chapter 22	UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME TAX — MODIFICATIONS AND DEDUCTIONS	701
[A]	THE ROYALTY MODIFICATION	701
	<i>Sierra Club Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	702
	Note on Remand of <i>Sierra Club</i>	709
	Question	710
[B]	DEDUCTIONS	710
[1]	Directly Connected Expenses	711
	<i>Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute v. Commissioner</i>	711
[2]	Expenses from an Exploited Exempt Activity	716
	<i>American Medical Association v. United States</i>	719
Chapter 23	UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME TAX — CONTROLLED ENTITIES	729
	Questions	729
Chapter 24	UNRELATED DEBT FINANCED INCOME	731
[A]	BACKGROUND AND HISTORY	731
	<i>Commissioner v. Brown</i>	731
	Note	736
[B]	THE MECHANICS OF IRC § 514	738
	S. Rep. N. 552, 91st Cong.	738
	<i>Southwest Texas Electrical Cooperative, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	741
	<i>Gundersen Medical Foundation, Ltd. v. United States</i>	745
	Note	752
	Planning Exercise	753
[C]	ADVANCED APPLICATION: LEVERAGED REAL ESTATE PARTNERSHIPS	754
	William B. Holloway, Jr., <i>Structuring Real Estate Investment Partnerships with Tax-Exempt Investors</i>	757
Chapter 25	SPECIAL UBIT RULES FOR MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATIONS	761
[A]	UNRELATED BUSINESS TAXABLE INCOME OF LABOR ORGANIZATIONS	761
	<i>Rev. Proc. 95-21</i>	761
	<i>National League of Postmasters of the United States v. Commissioner</i>	762
	Note on Small Dues	765
	Questions	765
[B]	UNRELATED BUSINESS TAXABLE INCOME OF SOCIAL CLUBS .	768

Table of Contents

	<i>Portland Golf Club v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	768
	<i>Atlanta Athletic Club v. Commissioner</i>	774
	Questions	778
Chapter 26	DEDUCTIBLE CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS	781
[A]	INTRODUCTION	781
[B]	WAS THE RECIPIENT A PROPER ENTITY?	781
[C]	WAS THE TRANSFER A “COMPLETED” “GIFT””?	783
[1]	“Completed” Gifts and Methods of Accounting	783
[2]	What Is a “Gift?”	784
[a]	<i>Ebben v. Commissioner</i> , 783 F.2d 906 (9th Cir. 1986)	785
	<i>Ebben v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	785
	<i>United States v. American Bar Endowment</i>	789
[D]	WAS THE GIFT A PRESENT OR FUTURE INTEREST?	792
[E]	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT CURRENTLY DEDUCTIBLE OR TO BE CARRIED OVER?	792
	Problems	803
Chapter 27	FOREIGN CHARITIES AND CROSS BORDER GIVING	807
[A]	INTRODUCTION	807
[B]	TECHNICAL RULES RELATING TO DEDUCTION OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO AND U.S. TAX EXEMPTION FOR FOREIGN ORGANIZATIONS	808
	Harvey P. Dale, <i>Foreign Charities</i>	808
[C]	POLICY CHALLENGES REGARDING CROSS BORDER GIVING AND MULTINATIONAL CHARITIES	821
	Penina Kessler Lieber, <i>1601–2001: An Anniversary of Note</i>	821
	Note	823
	TABLE OF CASES	TC-1
	TABLE OF STATUTES	TS-1
	TABLE OF AGENCY DECISIONS	TA-1
	INDEX	I-1

