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PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION

We are pleased to publish the Fourth Edition of Criminal and Forensic Evidence. The new edition represents a major revision of the casebook. Virtually every chapter has been updated with new and more recent cases and materials. A total of twenty-six new cases have been added to the fourth edition, followed by comprehensive notes and questions. These new cases and materials make Criminal and Forensic Evidence more current and relevant for students seriously considering a career litigating criminal cases. For example, there have been substantial revisions to Chapter 5 — Evidence Based on Research in Social and Behavioral Science, with the inclusion of several new cases on the admissibility of expert testimony on eyewitness identification, rape trauma syndrome, child sex abuse syndrome, and battered woman syndrome. Moreover, in Chapter 8 — Hearsay Where the Availability of the Declarant is Immaterial, three recent U.S. Supreme Court cases have been added which examine whether the admission of hearsay statements, including laboratory reports where the analyst that prepared the report was not required to testify, violate the Sixth Amendment Confrontation Clause. These cases include Bullcoming v. New Mexico, 131 S. Ct. 2705 (2011), Michigan v. Bryant, 131 S. Ct. 1143 (2011), and Williams v. Illinois, 132 S. Ct. 2221 (2012). Additionally, a case recently decided by the California Supreme Court discussing the implications of Williams has been added to the new edition. Further, Chapter 10 on Impeachment has been substantially revised with the addition of several new cases on impeachment by prior conviction, misconduct not resulting in a conviction, and opinion testimony regarding the truthfulness of a government witness, as well as impeachment by contradiction. Finally, Chapter 11 — Photographs, Videotapes, Audio Recordings, and Demonstrations, includes new cases on the admission of graphic autopsy photographs, the foundational requirements for the admission of videotape recordings, and a new section discussing the admission of computer-generated evidence, e.g., computer animations and computer simulations.
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