# LAWYERS AND THE LEGAL PROFESSION: CASES AND MATERIALS

Fourth Edition

# LAWYERS AND THE LEGAL PROFESSION: CASES AND MATERIALS

### Fourth Edition

### Roy D. Simon

Howard Lichtenstein Distinguished Professor of Legal Ethics Hofstra University School of Law

### Carol A. Needham

Professor of Law Saint Louis University School of Law

### **Burnele V. Powell**

Miles and Ann Loadhold Professor of Law University of South Carolina School of Law



### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Simon, Roy D.

Lawyers and the legal profession / Roy D. Simon, Carol A. Needham, Burnele V. Powell. --4th ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8205-6115-8 (hard cover)

 $1.\ Legal\ ethics--United\ States--Cases.\ I.\ Needham,\ Carol\ A.\ II.\ Powell,\ Burnele$ 

V. (Burnele Venable), 1947- III. Title.

KF306.S58 2008

340.023'73-dc22

2008034801

Copyright © 2009 Carolina Academic Press, LLC All Rights Reserved

Carolina Academic Press, LLC 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America 2018 Printing

# **DEDICATION**

This book is dedicated to the memory of my father:

Roy D. Simon

1910-1984

He taught ethics by example throughout his life, —R.D.S.

This book is dedicated to my husband Tom Timmermann and our daughter Genevieve Emily Needham Timmermann, —C.A.N.

This book is dedicated to Professor James E. Jones, Jr., Professor of Law Emeritus and Professor of Industrial Relations Emeritus at the University of Wisconsin, whose ethic of candor has made honesty a necessity and rationality an obligation for all around him, —B.V.P.

# PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

Woody Allen once said that he had very bad interpersonal relationships with machines. Many law students seem to have bad interpersonal relationships with textbooks. We want to change that. We want this book to be easy to get along with, so we have employed several features to make this book user friendly. For example:

We give you the black letter law. Learning the law ought to be the easiest part of law school, so we start many chapters by telling you the law in plain English. For key topics like confidentiality, conflicts of interest, and communicating with adverse parties, we have created outlines that summarize the law as clearly and concisely as possible. For other topics, we have written short introductory essays about the law. This way, you can quickly grasp the basic concepts and be ready to spend class time discussing more sophisticated and more interesting issues. After all, you ought to spend most of your class time debating what the law *ought* to be, not what the law is.

We put you on the cutting edge. This book thrusts you into the middle of the debate over issues that have not been uniformly decided — the ones that lawyers and the legal profession are struggling with right now. Some of the chapters in this fourth edition are entirely new, including those on the unauthorized practice of law and multijurisdictional practice; every chapter has been revised and updated. We include some classic cases to provide historical perspective or to illustrate timeless concepts, but our main effort is to involve you in the debate over the issues that trouble lawyers today, and that will still be engaging lawyers when you begin practicing law in the next year or two.

We read the book with you. We wish we could sit next to you when you read this book so that we could tap you on the shoulder every so often and say, "Wait a second — try to form your own opinion of the issue before you read what the court says," or "Can what the court just said be right?" or "Stay awake — here comes the important part of the case." We can't do that in person, but we do the next best thing — we interrupt cases and articles with "Authors' Comments" that prod you to think out issues for yourself before you read on, or that highlight important parts of a case, or that summarize the relevant law before the court applies it. The key to being a good lawyer isn't just understanding what you read — most lawyers can do that pretty well. Rather, the key is being able to think out issues independently and creatively on your own. Our Authors' Comments will help you do that. Please read them carefully and give them some real thought.

We involve you as a participant, not just an observer. If this book were a video game, you could participate in the reading by clicking a mouse or using the game controller. For this book, your controller is your pen. We often ask you to pause and write down your thoughts about an issue before you read the rest of an opinion, or to circle "yes" or "no" in response to our questions, or to list a few arguments of your own. We give you space to do that right in the book so you can have your answers in front of you during class discussion. You also have a chance to choose options for subjects such as mandatory pro bono. Use your pen as often as you can in this book — we want you to be an active creator, not just a passive sponge.

We put you in a lawyer's role. Thinking about lawyers when you're at home with your feet up on the desk is pretty easy, but acting like a lawyer in the face of a challenging opponent, judge, client, or bar committee is hard. In other words, practicing law is a lot harder than talking about law. There are times in a career in the law in which *The Emperor's New Clothes* may come to mind as you courageously stand your ground, even though others are pushing

### PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

hard to get you to bend your professional judgment. With this book you have a chance to get into a lawyer's role now, particularly in deciding what you'd do as a member of a law firm's conflicts committee or when you encounter a situation like one of the secrecy scenarios. So far in law school, you've been learning to "think like a lawyer" about cases, statutes, and legal problems. In this course, we want you to learn to think like a lawyer about your law practice, your clients, and your profession.

We make cases easy to read. We edit cases tightly so that they focus on the precise issues we are discussing. We often summarize the facts, and we typically edit out discussions about procedure and peripheral issues. We eliminate most citations so that opinions flow more smoothly. We include citations only if a case is integral to the court's opinion, or if the court includes an explanatory parenthetical, or if a cited case is reprinted elsewhere in this book. We delete footnotes unless they say something important or amusing. Finally, we've tried to choose cases that tell interesting stories.

We don't overload you with note cases. Our notes and questions are designed to get you to think about what you've read and to stimulate interesting class discussions, not to give you new information, so we seldom brief cases in the notes. This book is designed to provoke debate, not to serve as a treatise or research source.

We don't take ourselves too seriously. Law school (and law school textbooks) ought to be fun. You're spending three years in law school, so you may as well enjoy it as much as you can. To help you enjoy this book we use a conversational style and we use some humor here and there. Our observations might not make you roll on the floor in hysterics — I mean, we're not exactly Letterman, Stewart, and O'Brien — but you might find yourself smiling once in a while, even when you are studying serious topics.

We've spent a lot of time working on this book so that it is as clear, provocative, and fun as we could make it. We hope you enjoy reading it, and we'd love to hear your reactions. If you want to comment on the book, please e-mail us at Roy.Simon@Hofstra.edu, Needhamc@slu.edu, powellbv@law.sc.edu, or call Carol at (314) 977-7104 or drop Roy a line at Hofstra Law School, California Avenue, Hempstead, NY 11550. We look forward to hearing from you. Thanks!

Roy D. Simon

Carol A. Needham

Burnele V. Powell

November 2008

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Professor Murray L. Schwartz of UCLA School of Law was a pioneer in the field of modern legal ethics. He wrote the First and Second Editions of this book. His excellent materials provided a solid base for this new edition. We are grateful that we have the opportunity to carry on his work for another generation of law students.

Literally hundreds of law students at Hofstra University, Saint Louis University, and the University of South Carolina tested drafts of this textbook in our classes on legal ethics. Our students showed us what worked in the classroom and what did not. We appreciate their patience and their thoughtful comments as this book evolved. In addition, Nancy Rapoport of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Lisa Lerman of Catholic University in Washington, D.C. and Lance Tibbles of Capital University in Columbus, Ohio tested earlier drafts of these materials in their professional responsibility courses and gave us useful feedback. Their insights and suggestions have been most helpful.

For this new edition, much of the substantive and technical editing was coordinated by University of South Carolina Senior Researcher for Faculty Support Greg Flowers, his research assistant Patricia McWilliams and law student Jenna Stephens. Invaluable assistance with research, editing, and diligent proofreading was provided by Stephen Schaeffer, Steve Chapman, Lisa Luetkemeyer, Andrew Schultz, and Jaime Miller, hard-working law students at Saint Louis University and Levi Rosenthal, Evan Kusnitz, Brian Kramer, and Michael Licare, equally hard-working law students at Hofstra. Their enthusiasm for the project and creative suggestions have added substantially to the book you have in your hands. The work of our administrative assistants, Toni Dean, Mary Dougherty, and Joanne Masci is also reflected in every page of this book. Their work at every stage of the publication process was essential.

Our families have our appreciation for their magnificent support and encouragement without which no book is possible. We are delighted to salute them here: Carol's husband Tom Timmermann and daughter Genevieve Emily Needham Timmermann; Roy's wife Karen and children Joshua, Nicole, Daniel, and Rebecca and Rebecca's husband Sam; Burnele's wife and daughter, Brenda and Berkeley, all are owed a great debt for their patience. We thank them from the bottom of our hearts for giving us the time to write this book.

The many copyright holders who gave permission to use their work in this book are generally acknowledged where their work appears. We are delighted to have the opportunity to include their work in this book. We particularly appreciate permission from Lawrence J. Fox, partner at Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP and one of the most creative minds in professional responsibility, to use his stories in this edition of the book. Finally, we thank in advance all of you who will be kind enough to call, write, or e-mail us to comment on this book.

| Chapter | 1 CREATING AND MAINTAINING A PROFESSION                   | _1  |
|---------|---|-----|
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | . 3 |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | . 4 |
| Chapter | THE GATES TO THE PROFESSION — THE KEYS TO THE OFFICE      | 9   |
|         | OFFICE  | _   |
| A.      | BECOMING AND BEING A LAWYER                               | . 9 |
| 1.      | The Gates: Bar Examinations, The Multistate, and The MPRE | 9   |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | 12  |
| 2.      | Practicing Law Professionally                             | 14  |
| B.      | THE RULES GOVERNING COMPETENCE                            | 14  |
| Chapter | 3 ADMISSION TO THE BAR                                    | 19  |
| A.      | LAW SCHOOL GRADUATION AND SPECIFIC CURRICULUM             |     |
|         | REQUIREMENTS  |     |
| B.      | THE BAR EXAMINATION AND THE MPRE                          |     |
| C.      | CHARACTER AND FITNESS: MISCONDUCT BEFORE LAW SCHOOL $$    |     |
| D.      | CHARACTER AND FITNESS: MISCONDUCT DURING LAW SCHOOL $$    | 22  |
|         | In Re Mustafa   |     |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       |     |
| E.      | CHARACTER AND FITNESS: LYING ON THE BAR APPLICATION       |     |
|         | Florida Board of Bar Examiners v. M.B.S                   |     |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | 32  |
|         | Julia E. Vaughan, Addressing Law Student Dishonesty:      |     |
|         | The View of One Bar Admissions Official                   | 33  |
| F.      | SHOULD LAWYERS EDUCATED OUTSIDE THE U.S. BE ADMITTED IN   | 2.4 |
|         | THE UNITED STATES?  | 34  |
|         | Osakwe v. Board of Bar Examiners                          |     |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | 36  |
| Chapter | THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BY NON-                  |     |
|         | LAWYERS   | 39  |
| A.      | THE DEBATE OVER RESTRICTIONS ON THE PRACTICE OF LAW       | 39  |
| B.      | DEFINING "THE PRACTICE OF LAW"                            | 41  |
| 1.      | Judicially Recognized Tests                               | 41  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | 42  |
| 2.      | Tests in Statutes and Rules                               | 43  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                       | 44  |
| C.      | JUDICIAL APPLICATION OF UPL STANDARDS                     | 44  |

| TABLE   | C OF CONTENTS  |          |
|---------|--|----------|
|         | The Florida Bar v. Miravalle  Notes and Questions  Marks v. Estate of Marks  Notes and Questions | 47<br>49 |
| Chapter | THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW BY LAWYERS  | 53       |
| A.      | THE TRADITIONAL APPROACH TO OUT-OF-STATE   |          |
|         | LAWYERS  | 53       |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 54       |
| B.      | THE TRADITIONAL APPROACH IS ENFORCED AND EXPANDED  | 55       |
|         | Birbrower, Montalbano, Condon & Frank, P.C. v. Superior Court                                    | 56       |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 64       |
| C.      | BROADER PERMISSION TO ENGAGE IN  |          |
|         | MULTIJURISDITIONAL PRACTICE  | 65       |
|         | Notes and Questions  |          |
| D.      | THE BIG PICTURE REGARDING MULTIJURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE .   | 68       |
| Chapter | 6 INTRODUCTION TO SECRECY  | 71       |
| A.      | AN OUTLINE ON SECRECY  | 71       |
| 1.      | The Duty of Confidentiality  | 72       |
| 2.      | The Attorney-Client Privilege  | 72       |
| 3.      | Work-Product Protection  | 72       |
| 4.      | Quick Summary  | 73       |
| 5.      | Who is a "Client"?   | 73       |
| B.      | THE DUTY OF CONFIDENTIALITY  | 74       |
| 1.      | ABA Model Rule 1.6   | 75       |
| 2.      | State Variations   | 75       |
| C.      | THE RULES OF EVIDENCE: THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE   | 75       |
| D.      | THE RULES OF PROCEDURE: THE WORK-PRODUCT   |          |
|         | DOCTRINE   | 77       |
| E.      | PHYSICAL EVIDENCE: DOCUMENTS AND THINGS  | 79       |
| F.      | A SECRECY SCENARIO   | 81       |
| Chapter | 7 THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE  | 87       |
| Α.      | A QUICK LOOK AT THE FUNDAMENTALS   | 87       |
| B.      | A TEST ON THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE  | 89       |

| Chapter | 8 CLIENT IDENTITY AND THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT             |      |
|---------|---|------|
|         | PRIVILEGE   | 91   |
| A.      | INTRODUCTION  | . 91 |
| B.      | CLIENT IDENTITY                                       | 91   |
|         | Dean v. Dean  | . 91 |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | . 96 |
|         | D'Alessio v. Gilberg                                  | . 97 |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | . 98 |
|         | Monroe Freedman, When Keeping Secrets Becomes a Crime | . 99 |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 100  |
| Chapter | 9 THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND PHYSICAL EVIDENCE | 101  |
|         |   |      |
| A.      | THE CATEGORIES OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE                   |      |
| В.      | HANDLING PHYSICAL EVIDENCE                            |      |
|         | People v. Meredith                                    | 102  |
| ~       | Notes and Questions                                   | 107  |
| C.      | THE CONSEQUENCES OF DESTROYING EVIDENCE               |      |
| 1.      | The Tort of Spoliation                                |      |
| 2.      | Litigation Sanctions for Destroying Evidence          |      |
|         | Mosaid Technologies Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co    |      |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   |      |
| D.      | STANDARDS FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE                        |      |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   |      |
| E.      | THE GRISLY CASE OF THE BURIED BODIES                  |      |
| Б       | Notes and Questions                                   |      |
| F.      | THREE PROBLEMS TO CONSIDER                            | 121  |
| Chapter |   |      |
|         | CONFIDENTIALITY                                       | 123  |
| A.      | EXCEPTIONS TO THE DUTY OF CONFIDENTIALITY             | 123  |
| B.      | ANOTHER SECRECY SCENARIO: "IS IT IN THE GENES"?       | 130  |
| Chapter | 11 EXCEPTIONS TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE        |      |
|         |   | 135  |
| A.      | COMPARISON WITH EXCEPTIONS TO CONFIDENTIALITY         | 135  |
| B.      | A BRIEF CATALOGUE OF WAIVERS AND EXCEPTIONS TO        |      |
|         | THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE                         | 136  |

| Chapter | DEAD MAN TALKING: THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AFTER A CLIENT DIES | 139        |
|---------|---|------------|
| A.      | ATTORNEYS AS VOLUNTARY WITNESSES                                    | 139        |
|         | State v. Macumber   | 139        |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 141        |
| B.      | IS THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE SUBJECT TO A BALANCING             |            |
|         | TEST?   | 141        |
|         | Swidler & Berlin v. United States                                   | 141        |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 149        |
| C.      | A BALANCING TEST FOR DISCLOSING PRIVILEGED                          |            |
|         | COMMUNICATIONS?   | 149        |
|         | In Re Investigation of the Death of Miller                          | 150        |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 159        |
| Chapter |   |            |
|         | CONFIDENTIALITY   | 161        |
| A.      | THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE FOR                                   |            |
|         | ORGANIZATIONS   | 161        |
| B.      | A SECRECY SCENARIO: LET THERE BE LUX?                               | 162        |
| C.      | THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE FOR CORPORATIONS                      | 1.51       |
|         | IN THE COURTS   | 164        |
| 1.      | The Attorney-Client Privilege in Federal Courts                     |            |
|         | Upjohn Co. v. United States   | 164        |
| 2       | Notes and Questions   |            |
| 2.      | The Attorney-Client Privilege in State Courts                       | 169<br>169 |
|         | Samaritan Foundation v. Goodfarb                                    |            |
| D.      | DISCLOSURE OF A CORPORATION'S CONFIDENTIAL                          | 1/4        |
| υ.      | INFORMATION   | 174        |
| 1.      | Who is Your Client?   |            |
| 2.      | Objecting Within the Organizational Client ("Reporting Up")         | 176        |
| 3.      | Types of Legal Practice   |            |
| 4.      | When Is a Lawyer Permitted to Disclose Outside the Entity           |            |
|         | ("Reporting Out")?  | 178        |
| 5.      | "Noisy Withdrawal"  | 179        |
| E.      | ANOTHER SECRECY SCENARIO: THE COMPUTER                              |            |
|         | GLITCH  | 179        |
| Chapter | PRINCIPLES OF THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM                                  | 183        |
| A.      | DEFENDING THE GUILTY  | 183        |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 186        |
| B.      | THE LAWYER'S PROFESSIONAL ROLE                                      | 187        |

| TABLE   | E OF CONTENTS   |     |
|---------|---|-----|
| 1.      | Serve the Client  | 187 |
| 2.      | Serve the State   | 188 |
| 3.      | Serve All Parties Equally                                       | 188 |
| 4.      | Serve the Public Interest                                       | 188 |
| C.      | THE LAWYER'S CONSCIENCE   | 189 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 190 |
| D.      | SHOULD WE REFORM THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM?                          | 194 |
|         | Marvin E. Frankel, The Search for Truth: An Umpireal View       | 194 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 194 |
|         | Monroe H. Freedman, Judge Frankel's Search for Truth            | 195 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 196 |
| E.      | IS THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM A BATTLE BETWEEN                        |     |
|         | EQUALS?   | 196 |
| 1.      | Resources Available to a Poor Defendant                         | 196 |
| 2.      | Resources Available to a Wealthy Defendant                      | 197 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 197 |
| Chapter | 15 CIVIL MATTERS AND THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM                       | 201 |
| A.      | DISCLOSURE OF ADVERSE EVIDENCE IN LITIGATION                    | 201 |
| A.      | New York County Lawyer's Association Committee on               | 201 |
|         | Professional Ethics   | 202 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 202 |
| В.      | FAIRNESS IN NEGOTIATIONS  | 204 |
| Б.      | Alvin B. Rubin, A Causerie on Lawyers' Ethics in Negotiation    | 205 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 207 |
|         | James J. White, Machiavelli and the Bar: Ethical Limitations on | 207 |
|         | Lying in Negotiations   | 208 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 209 |
| C.      |   |     |
| C.      | Notes and Questions   | 211 |
|         | Association of the Bar of the City of New York,                 |     |
|         | Committee on Professional Ethics                                | 213 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 214 |
|         | ABA Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional               | 211 |
|         | Responsibility, Informal Opinion 86-1518 (Feb. 9, 1986)         | 215 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 216 |
|         | Carrie Menkel-Meadow, Toward Another View of Legal              | 210 |
|         | Negotiation: The Structure of Problem Solving                   | 217 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 218 |

| Chapt |   | 219 |
|-------|---|-----|
| A.    | OUTLINE ON COMMUNICATING WITH REPRESENTED PARTIES             | 219 |
| 1.    | The Key Rule  | 219 |
| 2.    | An Outline on Communicating with Represented Individuals      | 219 |
| 3.    | Communicating with Represented Corporations                   | 220 |
| 4.    | Policies Behind the Rule                                      | 221 |
| B.    | COMMUNICATING WITH A REPRESENTED ADVERSE                      |     |
|       | PARTY   | 222 |
|       | In The Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Brey        | 222 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 224 |
| C.    | THINGS THAT ARE <i>NOT</i> EXCEPTIONS TO RULE 4.2             | 224 |
| D.    | THE "AUTHORIZED BY LAW" EXCEPTION                             | 226 |
|       | United States v. Hammad                                       | 226 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 228 |
| E.    | A SPECIAL PROBLEM: COMMUNICATING WITH CORPORATE               |     |
|       | EMPLOYEES   | 228 |
|       | Niesig v. Team I  | 229 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 233 |
|       | Patriarca v. Center for Living & Working, Inc                 | 233 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 236 |
| Chapt | er 17 THE CLIENT'S ROLE IN THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM               | 239 |
| A.    | ALLOCATING POWER BETWEEN ATTORNEY AND                         |     |
|       | CLIENT  | 239 |
|       | Jones v. Barnes   | 240 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 242 |
| B.    | THE LAWYER'S INFORMAL CONTROL                                 | 245 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 245 |
| Chapt | er 18 THE PERPLEXING PROBLEM OF PERJURY                       | 249 |
| A.    | WHAT IF YOUR CLIENT PLANS TO LIE — OR LIES — AT TRIAL?        | 249 |
| B.    | NIX v. WHITESIDE  | 249 |
|       | Nix v. Whiteside  | 250 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 261 |
| Chapt | er 19 CANDOR AND DECEPTION IN OFFERING                        |     |
|       | TESTIMONY   | 271 |
| A.    | MAY YOU ETHICALLY MAKE THE FALSE LOOK TRUE?                   | 271 |
|       | State Bar of Michigan, Informal Ethics Opinion CI-1164 (1987) | 271 |
|       | Notes and Questions   | 273 |

| TABL   | E OF CONTENTS   |            |
|--------|---|------------|
| B.     | WHEN (AND WHAT) CAN YOU TELL THE COURT?   | 273        |
| C.     | Notes and Questions  PERJURY IN CIVIL CASES  ABA Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Formal Opinion 93-376 — The Lawyer's |            |
|        | Obligation Where a Client Lies in Response to Discovery Requests (1993) .  Notes and Questions  | 279<br>282 |
| Chapte | r 20 INTRODUCTION TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST  | 283        |
| A.     | AN OUTLINE ON CONFLICTS OF INTEREST   | 285        |
| 1.     | Concurrent vs. Successive Conflicts   | 285        |
| 2.     | Direct Adversity Conflicts vs. Materially Limiting Conflicts  | 286        |
| 3.     | Actual vs. Potential Conflicts  | 288        |
| 4.     | Three Levels of Conflicts   | 288        |
| 5.     | Personal vs. Vicarious Conflicts  | 289        |
| 6.     | Eight Events That Can Trigger Conflicts   | 289        |
| 7.     | Negative Consequences of Conflicts  | 290        |
| 8.     | When Are Conflicts of Interest Consentable?   | 291        |
| 9.     | Obtaining Consent to a Conflict   | 293        |
| 10.    | How Courts Decide Motions to Disqualify   | 295        |
| 11.    | Obstacles to Appealing Rulings on Motions To Disqualify   | 295        |
| B.     | A MEETING OF THE LAW FIRM'S CONFLICTS   |            |
|        | COMMITTEE   |            |
| C.     | ANOTHER MEETING OF THE CONFLICTS COMMITTEE  | 301        |
| Chapte | r 21 WHO IS A "CLIENT"?   | 303        |
| A.     | CLIENTS VS. NON-CLIENTS   | 303        |
|        | Westinghouse Electric Corp. v. Kerr-Mcgee Corp  | 304        |
|        | Notes and Questions   | 308        |
| B.     | CURRENT CLIENTS VS. FORMER CLIENTS  | 309        |
|        | SWS Financial Fund A v. Salomon Brothers Inc  | 309        |
|        | Notes and Questions   | 319        |
|        | A Scenario on "Who is a Client?"  | 320        |
| C.     | AMBIGUITY IN ENTITY REPRESENTATION  | 321        |
|        | Perez v. Kirk & Carrigan  | 321        |
|        | Notes and Questions   | 324        |
| Chapte | r 22 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH CURRENT CLIENTS .   | 327        |
| A.     | AN OUTLINE OF CONCURRENT CONFLICTS  | 327        |
| 1.     | Basics of Concurrent Conflicts  | 327        |
| 2      | Who is a "Client"?  | 220        |

| TABL    | E OF CONTENTS   |     |
|---------|---|-----|
| 3.      | Conflicts Between Two Current Clients                         | 331 |
| 4.      | Conflicts Between a Client and a Third Person                 | 337 |
| 5.      | Conflicts with the Lawyer's Own Interests                     | 338 |
|         | Lewis v. National Football League                             | 339 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 341 |
| B.      | THE FIRM'S CONFLICTS COMMITTEE MEETS AGAIN                    | 343 |
| Chapter | conflicts of interest with former clients                     | 349 |
| A.      | AN OUTLINE ON CONFLICTS WITH FORMER CLIENTS                   | 349 |
| 1.      | Concurrent and Successive Conflicts Compared                  | 349 |
| 2.      | Grounds for Personal Disqualification Under Rule 1.9          | 350 |
| 3.      | The "Substantially Related" Test                              | 351 |
| 4.      | Imputed Disqualification and Conflict Checking Under Rule 1.9 | 353 |
| B.      | THE SUBSTANTIAL RELATIONSHIP TEST                             | 355 |
|         | Kaselaan & D'Angelo Associates, Inc. v. D'Angelo              | 355 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 359 |
| C.      | INFORMALLY ACQUIRED CONFIDENTIAL                              |     |
|         | INFORMATION   | 360 |
|         | Lansing-Delaware Water District v. Oak Lane Park, Inc         | 361 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 363 |
| D.      | PERILS OF PRELIMINARY INTERVIEWS                              | 363 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 364 |
| E.      | A DISQUALIFICATION SCHEME                                     | 366 |
|         | Crabb & Sons v. Rogers Plumbing (Draft Opinion #1)            | 366 |
|         | Crabb & Sons v. Rogers Plumbing (Draft Opinion #2)            | 368 |
| F.      | CAN YOU DROP A CLIENT LIKE A "HOT POTATO"?                    | 369 |
|         | Stratagem Development Corp. v. Heron International N.V        | 370 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 373 |
| Chapter | : 24 IMPUTED CONFLICTS AND FIREWALLS                          | 375 |
| A.      | THE ABA'S CURRENT APPROACH TO IMPUTED                         |     |
|         | DISQUALIFICATION  | 375 |
| B.      | OTHER APPROACHES TO IMPUTED CONFLICTS OF                      |     |
|         | INTEREST  | 384 |
| 1.      | The ABA's Pre-2002 Approach to Imputed Conflicts              | 384 |
| 2.      | The Restatement Approach to Imputed Conflicts                 | 385 |
| 3.      | The Pro-Screening Approach Taken by Some States               | 385 |
| C.      | APPLYING VARIOUS APPROACHES TO CONFLICTS WITH FORMER          |     |
|         | CLIENTS   | 386 |
|         | Lawrence J. Fox, My Lawyer Switched Sides; Don't Worry,       |     |
|         | There's a Screen  | 387 |
|         | Notes and Questions   | 389 |
|         | Cromley v. Board of Education                                 | 392 |

| TABLE   | E OF CONTENTS  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
|         | Notes and Questions  | 397 |
| Chapter | 25 BUSINESS TRANSACTION WITH CLIENTS                       | 403 |
| A.      | THE RULES ON BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS WITH                    |     |
|         | CLIENTS  | 403 |
| B.      | WHAT IF YOU DON'T FOLLOW THE RULES?                        | 404 |
|         | In the Matter of Breen                                     | 405 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 408 |
|         | The Florida Bar v. Black                                   | 408 |
| C       | Notes and Questions  | 409 |
| C.      | THE FIRM'S CONFLICTS COMMITTEE MEETS AGAIN                 | 410 |
| Chapter |  |     |
|         | CLIENTS WHO CAN PAY  | 413 |
| A.      | THE FIVE BASIC TYPES OF LEGAL FEES: FLAT, HOURLY, CONTINGE | NT, |
|         | VALUE, AND HYBRID  | 414 |
| B.      | HOW MUCH IS TOO MUCH?                                      | 418 |
| C.      | IMPROPER TERMS IN FEE AGREEMENTS: NON-REFUNDABLE           |     |
|         | FEES   | 422 |
|         | In the Matter of Cooperman                                 |     |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 425 |
| D.      | MAKING LEGAL FEES MORE AFFORDABLE FOR THE                  |     |
|         | MIDDLE CLASS   | 426 |
| Chapter | 27 LEGAL SERVICES FOR CLIENTS WHO CANNOT PAY               | 429 |
| A.      | PROVIDING LEGAL SERVICES FOR THOSE IN NEED                 | 429 |
| B.      | FEE-SHIFTING STATUTES                                      | 431 |
|         | City of Riverside v. Rivera                                | 433 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 438 |
| C.      | PRO BONO WORK  |     |
|         | Amendments to Rules Regulating the Florida Bar             | 442 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 446 |
| Chapter | 28 CONTINGENT FEES: PROMISE AND PROBLEMS                   | 451 |
| A.      | THE PROMISE OF CONTINGENT FEES                             | 451 |
| B.      | SOME PROBLEMS WITH CONTINGENT FEES                         | 453 |
| C.      | SHOULD CONTINGENT FEES BE ALLOWED IN CRIMINAL CASES? .     | 457 |
| D.      | PAYING A CLIENT'S LIVING EXPENSES                          | 458 |
| F       | WHAT IF CONTINGENT FEES WERE PROHIBITED?                   | 459 |

| Chapter | 29 HOW DO LAWYERS GET CLIENTS?                                   | 461 |
|---------|--|-----|
| A.      | A BRIEF HISTORY OF LAWYER ADVERTISING:                           |     |
|         | 1800 TO 1977   | 461 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 462 |
| B.      | THE SUPREME COURT'S ODYSSEY THROUGH LAWYER                       |     |
|         | ADVERTISING  | 463 |
| 1.      | The Revolution of 1977: Lawyers Win the Right to Advertise       |     |
|         | Prices   | 463 |
|         | Bates v. State Bar of Arizona                                    | 465 |
| 2.      | The Dividing Line: In-Person Solicitation Can Be Prohibited      | 469 |
| 3.      | Lawyers for Non-Profit Groups May Solicit Cases by Mail          | 469 |
| 4.      | Petty State Restrictions Are Unanimously Struck Down             | 469 |
| 5.      | Illustrations and Truthful Legal Advice Win Approval             | 470 |
| 6.      | Targeted Mail: Like In-Person Solicitation or Like a Newspaper   |     |
|         | Ad?  | 472 |
| 7.      | Claims of Specialization Get Limited Protection                  | 474 |
| 8.      | Truthful Information Gets Another Endorsement                    | 475 |
| 9.      | Targeted Mail Revisited: Solicitation After an Accident          | 475 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 477 |
| C.      | ARE SOME LAWYERS "SUPER LAWYERS" OR THE "BEST LAWYERS            |     |
|         | IN AMERICA"?   | 483 |
|         | Opinion 39, Advertisements Touting Designation as "Super Lawyer" |     |
|         | or "Best Lawyer in America"                                      | 483 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 485 |
| Chapter | 30 HOW DO LAWYERS GET IN TROUBLE?                                | 487 |
| A.      | MISCONDUCT IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW                                | 487 |
|         | 2006 Annual Report of the ARDC Illinois Supreme Court            | 487 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 490 |
| B.      | SEXUAL MISCONDUCT WITH CLIENTS                                   | 490 |
|         | State of Oklahoma Ex Rel. Oklahoma Bar Association v. Sopher     | 491 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 494 |
| C.      | CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS OF DISHONEST LAWYERS                       | 494 |
|         | United States v. Eisen   | 495 |
|         | Notes and Questions  | 495 |
| D.      | MISCONDUCT OUTSIDE THE PRACTICE OF LAW                           | 496 |
| 1.      | Illegal Conduct  | 496 |
|         | Segretti v. State Bar  | 496 |
|         | Notes and Questions  |     |
| 2.      | Sexual Misconduct Outside of Law Practice                        | 501 |
| -       | The People of the State of Colorado v. Wallace                   |     |
|         | Notes and Questions  |     |
|         | In the Matter of Max K. Walker, Jr.                              |     |

| TABLE   | E OF CONTENTS   |     |  |
|---------|---|-----|--|
|         | Notes and Questions                                   |     |  |
| Chapter | 31 ARE YOU YOUR BROTHER'S KEEPER?                     | 507 |  |
| A.      | THE OBLIGATION TO REPORT ANOTHER LAWYER               | 507 |  |
|         | Wieder v. Skala                                       |     |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 512 |  |
|         | In Re Riehlmann                                       | 512 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   |     |  |
| В.      | DO YOU EVER HAVE TO REPORT YOUR BOSS?                 |     |  |
| C.      | VICARIOUS LIABILITY: RESPONSIBILITY FOR YOUR PARTNERS | 31) |  |
| C.      | AND ASSOCIATES  | 520 |  |
|         | Whelan's Case   | 521 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 522 |  |
| Chapter | 32 LEGAL MALPRACTICE AND OTHER SUITS AGAINST          |     |  |
|         | LAWYERS   | 525 |  |
| A.      | INTRODUCTION  | 525 |  |
| B.      | THE ELEMENTS OF A LEGAL MALPRACTICE CLAIM             | 527 |  |
| C.      | OTHER COMMON CLAIMS AGAINST LAWYERS                   | 530 |  |
| 1.      | Breach of Fiduciary Duty                              | 530 |  |
| 2.      | Breach of Contract                                    | 531 |  |
| 3.      | Liability to Third Parties                            | 531 |  |
| D.      | THE STANDARD OF CARE                                  | 533 |  |
|         | Mirabito v. Liccardo                                  | 534 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 536 |  |
|         | Neel v. Magana  | 538 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 538 |  |
| E.      | MALPRACTICE AND INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE IN             |     |  |
|         | CRIMINAL CASES  | 539 |  |
| 1.      | Claims of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel           | 539 |  |
|         | United States v. Day                                  | 540 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 543 |  |
| 2.      | Traditional Legal Malpractice Claims                  | 544 |  |
|         | Trobaugh v. Sondag                                    | 544 |  |
|         | Notes and Questions                                   | 546 |  |
| Chapter | 33 IMPROVING LEGAL EDUCATION                          | 549 |  |
| A.      | INTRODUCTION  | 549 |  |
| В.      | THE MACCRATE REPORT                                   |     |  |

YET ANOTHER LOOK AT THE LEGAL CONTINUUM .....

551

C.

| TABI   | TABLE OF CONTENTS  |     |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Chapte | er 34 WHO ARE THE LAWYERS?   | 559 |  |  |  |  |
| A.     | THE GROWTH OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION                                 | 559 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 560 |  |  |  |  |
| B.     | LET'S TAKE A SECOND LOOK!  | 560 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Burnele Venable Powell, Somewhere Farther Down the Line:           |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Maccrate on Multiculturalism and the Information Age               | 562 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 563 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Marc Galanter, "News from Nowhere: The Debased Debate on           |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Civil Justice"   | 564 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 570 |  |  |  |  |
| Chapte | er 35 THE DIVERSITY OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION                        | 571 |  |  |  |  |
| A.     | INCREASED DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION                        | 571 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 572 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Paul Freeman, Gaining and Retaining Diversity: How Well Do         |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Law Firms Keep Their Promise of a Diverse Environment?             | 573 |  |  |  |  |
| B.     | WOMEN IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION                                      | 576 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Deborah L. Rhode, Gender and the Profession: The No                |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Problem Problem  | 576 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 579 |  |  |  |  |
| C.     | WHY DO WE DIVERSIFY?   | 579 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 580 |  |  |  |  |
| D.     | ASIAN-AMERICAN LAWYERS   | 581 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Chris Klein, Asian-Americans Find Place in the Profession: Pacific |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | Rim and Tech Booms Create Major Firms' Biggest Minority            | 582 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 586 |  |  |  |  |
| E.     | GAY AND LESBIAN LAWYERS  | 586 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Edward Adams, Firms Report Totals of Gay Attorneys, Lobbying       |     |  |  |  |  |
|        | by Activists Prompts Data Collection                               | 587 |  |  |  |  |
|        | Notes and Questions  | 588 |  |  |  |  |
| F.     | WORK / LIFE BALANCE  | 589 |  |  |  |  |

| INDEX               |   |  | . I-1 |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|-------|--|--|
| TABLE OF CASES TC-1 |   |  |       |  |  |
| Notes and Q         | Questions   |  | 602   |  |  |
| 1                   | arris, A Candid Discussion: Enhancing the Status rtners |  | 597   |  |  |
| TABLE OF CONT       |   |  |       |  |  |