

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Fifth Edition

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Charles P. Craver

Freda H. Alverson Professor of Law

The George Washington University Law School

Richard D. Freer

Robert Howell Hall Professor of Law

Emory University School of Law

Craig Joyce

Andrews Kurth Professor of Law &

Co-Director, Institute for Intellectual Property and Information Law

University of Houston Law Center

Ellen S. Podgor

Professor of Law

Stetson University College of Law

Paul F. Rothstein

Professor of Law

Georgetown University Law Center

Robin Wellford Slocum

Professor of Law & Director,

Legal Research and Writing Program

Chapman University School of Law

Charles J. Tabb

Alice Curtis Campbell Professor of Law

University of Illinois College of Law

Judith Welch Wegner

Professor of Law

University of North Carolina School of Law

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FIFTH EDITION

Norman Redlich

*Dean Emeritus and Judge Edward Weinfeld Professor of Law Emeritus
New York University School of Law*

John Attanasio

*Dean and William Hawley Atwell Professor of Constitutional Law
Dedman School of Law at Southern Methodist University*

Joel K. Goldstein

*Vincent C. Immel Professor of Law
Saint Louis University School of Law*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Redlich, Norman.
Constitutional law / Norman Redlich, John Attanasio,
Joel K. Goldstein.—5th ed.
p. cm.
Includes index.
ISBN 978-1-4224-1738-6 (hard cover)
1. Constitutional law—United States—Cases. I. Attanasio, John. II. Goldstein, Joel K. (Joel Kramer), 1953—III. Title.
KF4549.R4 2008
342.73—dc22
2008005854

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc, used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2008 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices
744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A number of people helped us produce this Fifth Edition of this casebook. We would like to thank Kathleen Spartana for her outstanding editing and administrative help and research assistants Lea Ann Carlisle, John J. Greffet, Merideth Helgeson, Laura Kemp, Nancy McCahan, Mark Murray, Elizabeth Stewart Raines, Susan Southerland, and Heather Stobaugh for their significant contributions, and Mary Dougherty, Tina Brosseau and Rachael Short for their help in organizing and typing the book. As always, we appreciate the institutional support of Dedman School of Law at Southern Methodist University; Saint Louis University School of Law; and Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz. Pali Chheda of LexisNexis helped edit this Fifth Edition.

Bernard Schwartz co-authored the first three editions of this book. He died on December 23, 1997 and accordingly did not work on subsequent editions. He was a giant among those who follow the Supreme Court's work and who interpret the Constitution, and he is greatly missed.

Joel Goldstein is primarily responsible for the first six chapters. John Attanasio and Norman Redlich prepared chapters 7 to 16.

Norman Redlich

John Attanasio

Joel K. Goldstein

March 2008

PREFACE

I

This book aims to present Constitutional Law in the grand tradition. More than 200 years after its adoption, the United States Constitution continues to provide the basic framework against which many of our problems are addressed. The enterprise of constitutional interpretation involves government officials in all three branches yet judges play a unique role. The judicial review power distinguishes American judges from those of many other lands. Many other nations have now adopted it.

The power is truly awesome. Six citizens — five members of the Supreme Court and one person challenging a law — can trump the wishes of popular majorities and lead to a rule of constitutional law binding on other branches of government and the nation at large. Constitutional jurisprudence is riveting, too, due to the nature of the questions it routinely addresses. These involve the basic structure of our government and our fundamental values as a society and as a culture. Indeed, we live in an age when the Supreme Court routinely encounters issues that engage deep questions of political morality.

We have tried to provide a book that will acquaint readers with constitutional law primarily as it is practiced in courts. We consciously provide readers with tools to recognize and assess the available types of constitutional arguments made in cases, and those that might have been advanced. The constitutional issues the Court addresses often involve deep questions of ethics or political theory. We have tried to organize this book in a way which would encourage students to explore those questions.

We think, too, that cases are important teaching devices. We have erred on the side of retaining the justices' language rather than editing it out and have included important concurrences and dissents. This approach helps educate students about the Court's thinking by allowing the justices to speak for themselves. It also helps redirect the focus away from narrow holdings and toward the reasoning that drives constitutional adjudication. Retaining more of the case serves several other important functions. We include the logical steps of the Court's analysis so the reader can understand the cases more thoroughly, and more quickly. The cases include more of the reasoning of the justices on issues that grow ever more complex. The inclusion of more dicta from the opinions helps the reader make sense of "the law" when decisions are the product of several opinions. Inclusion of this material also helps to predict future decisions in an era when it is growing more necessary to know the views of individual justices. Inclusion of dissents and concurrences provides a dynamic point of departure for classroom discussion as students have already been exposed to a variety of views in their reading. It also suggests the dynamics of constitutional law as students see that the dissents of one generation command majorities in other days.

The Fifth Edition remains faithful to the scheme of the first four. In order to maintain a manageable length while continuing to give a more complete view of what the justices think, we present many important cases as long notes. These notes quote profusely from the opinions of the justices; they extensively review not only majority opinions but also concurrences and dissents. While the principal cases remain less heavily edited than those of other books, they have been pruned to some extent. We also have added many more references to scholarly works with parentheticals designed not so much to summarize the work as to provoke thoughts

PREFACE

and discussion. The ellipse structure is streamlined; for example, we often omit citations without notice.¹

We have tried to make this book one which a range of readers will find accessible. We hope it allows them to share our passion for, and fascination with, constitutional law. For the reader's convenience, we provide a brief roadmap or overview of where we are going.

II

The book begins by examining the fundamental building block of the course, the power of judicial review. The power of courts to review the constitutionality of decisions of government is fundamental and all but a few of the cases in this book involve exercises of this power. Chapter I reviews the basic organization of the Federal court system and Congress' role in creating Federal courts and in establishing their jurisdiction. Chapter I exposes students to the power of judicial review and the limitations on the judiciary. This includes treatment of the extent to which Congress can limit the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Chapter I also explores justiciability, constitutional or prudential reasons why a Federal court may refuse to hear a constitutional or other challenge. Included are basic doctrines involving advisory opinions, mootness, ripeness, standing, and political questions. As with all chapters, we expose students to the types of arguments courts use to shape the doctrine and try to present sufficient material to allow them to shape their views.

The discussion of the court system and the power of judicial review is a fundamental building block for the entire course. At the same time, it also involves the structure of one branch of American government. The Framers intended to disperse power among various government entities. They viewed dispersing governmental authority as an important means of preventing tyranny. In the *Federalist Papers*, James Madison said:²

“[T]he great security against a gradual concentration of the several powers in the same department consists in giving to those who administer each department, the necessary constitutional means and personal motives to resist encroachments of the others. The provision for defense must in this, as in all other cases, be made commensurate to the danger of attack. Ambition must be made to counteract ambition. The interest of the man must be connected with the constitutional rights of the place. It may be a reflection on human nature that such devices should be necessary to control the abuses of government. But what is government itself but the greatest of all reflections on human nature? If men were angels, no government would be necessary. If angels were to govern men, neither external nor internal controls on government would be necessary. In framing a government which is to be administered by men over men, the great difficulty lies in this: you must first enable the government to control the governed; and in the next place oblige it to control itself. A dependence on the people is, no doubt, the primary control on the government; but experience has taught mankind the necessity of auxiliary precautions.”

Not vesting sovereignty in a single governmental authority, like a king, presents a complex set of problems. Most important, what are the boundary lines between these various

¹ Ellipses frequently depart from bluebook form. When an ellipsis appears, it only signifies that some material is omitted. For example, an ellipsis at the end of a paragraph may mean that the rest of that paragraph is missing, or only that subsequent paragraphs are missing, or that both the remainder of that paragraph and subsequent paragraphs are missing. Moreover, if a paragraph begins with bracketed material, it indicates that some material in the beginning of the paragraph has been omitted.

² *Federalist No. 51* in *THE FEDERALIST* 321-22 (C. Rossiter ed., 1961).

PREFACE

governmental entities? Moreover, who sets these boundary lines between various governmental entities? The next few chapters explore the dispersal of power among the three branches of the federal government (the doctrine of separation of powers) and the division of power between the national government and the states (the doctrine of federalism).

Chapter II analyzes the constitutional authority of the United States Congress. After contrasting enumerated and implied powers, the chapter takes up several of Congress' constitutionally enumerated powers. Congressional powers explored include the Commerce Power, the Taxing Power, the Spending Power and Treaty Power. These topics all have implications for separation of powers theory in that they all involve the division of authority between Congress and the other branches of the national government. Still, the cases treating the powers of Congress generally focus on federalism issues; that is, they focus on the division of regulatory authority between Congress and the states.

Emphasizing federalism concerns, Chapter III treats the extent to which the United States Constitution limits the power of Congress to regulate, thereby leaving regulatory power to the States. To a great extent, Chapter III focuses on the Tenth and Eleventh Amendments and structural arguments that have shaped the pertinent doctrine.

Chapter IV continues to focus on federalism issues but turns to examine the extent to which the United States Constitution limits the regulatory powers of the several states. It begins by discussing the fundamental notion that laws and regulations promulgated by the national government are supreme over competing exercises of regulatory power by the states. The chapter proceeds to examine whether there are any limits on the supremacy of the national government itself in the way of directly regulating the states. It then turns to examine intergovernmental tax and regulatory immunities, briefly explores interstate relationships, and concludes by examining the constitutional limits on the ability of the states to regulate interstate commerce. This so-called "dormant commerce clause" jurisprudence is rather extensive and consequently is treated in some detail.

Chapter V returns to separation of powers issues to examine the powers of the executive branch of the national government. The seminal *Youngstown* case is advanced to the beginning of the chapter and presented in greater length because of its centrality. Principal areas examined are authority over domestic and international affairs, and the role as commander-in-chief of the armed forces. In the domestic realm, the chapter explores the President's legislative powers and administrative powers. In connection with the international arena, we explore the foreign affairs power, the power to make executive agreements, and the commander-in-chief authority. The chapter concludes with some fascinating interbranch collisions focused on the Presidency. These include cases dealing with presidential privileges and immunities and cases raising more general themes regarding separation of powers.

The first five Chapters of the book are concerned with structure of government issues revolving around the twin themes of federalism and separation of powers. Chapters VII to XVI focus on individual rights and liberties issues. Chapter VI is the transition to individual rights issues. Specifically, this chapter treats the congressional powers to enforce individual rights using the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, of Fifteenth Amendments to the Constitution — the post-Civil War amendments. These amendments dramatically shifted regulatory power away from the states in favor of the national government. Consequently, the amendments clearly implicate federalism concerns. For example, congressional enforcement of individual rights and liberties stands in some tension with the Supreme Court's role as arbiter of the Constitution, particularly if Congress uses its enforcement power to define the scope of a

PREFACE

constitutional right. These congressional enforcement powers thus also raise important separation of power issues.

By combining separation of powers, federalism, and individual rights issues, Chapter VI serves as a natural transition between the first five chapters of the book which focus on structure of government questions and the last ten which focus on individual rights and liberties issues. This transition also nicely illuminates several important larger points about constitutional analysis. For example, it illustrates that notwithstanding the Court's role as arbiter of the Constitution, other branches of government have authority to enforce the document as well. More importantly, the chapter illuminates the artificiality of rigidly distinguishing between issues of government structure, and issues of individual rights and liberties. The Framers certainly did not create any such stringent distinction. Their design contemplated the protection of individual rights through a government structure that divided power among many different persons and entities. Along with judicial enforcement of rights, this structure prevented impairments of individual rights through unchecked abuse of government power. Moreover, many individual rights decisions have deep structure of government implications. For example, many raise questions about the proper scope of the power of the courts in our constitutional scheme. Some cases, involving such issues as busing or election redistricting, have even more direct federalism or separation of powers implications.

Chapter VI also introduces the critical concept of state action. With rare exceptions, the Constitution only applies to the activities of government. While involvement by the government is a prerequisite for nearly all causes of action arising under the Constitution, such involvement is necessarily present in virtually all structure of government cases. Consequently, the concept of state action is not introduced until this point in the book. Without state action of some sort, no constitutional cause of action generally exists.

Chapter VII focuses on the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. These Clauses prohibit the government from depriving persons of "life, liberty, or property without due process of law." These Clauses have been interpreted by the Supreme Court to protect various categories or kinds of rights. Some of these rights have been more oriented toward property or economics; others involve more personal liberties, such as the right of the accused explicitly guaranteed by the Bill of Rights, or in such other areas as childbearing and child rearing. Some of the rights that the Court has grounded in the Due Process Clauses have largely procedural content, while others are substantive. In the course of the chapter, several additional provisions of the Constitution relating to liberty or property rights are also discussed.

Chapter VII begins by overviewing many of the changes promulgated by the post-Civil War amendments from a judicial perspective rather than the primarily legislative focus of Chapter V. The scope of the changes brought by these amendments has been so vast that many commentators refer to their promulgation as the second framing period. The chapter proceeds briefly to review how the construction of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment incorporates against the states select provisions of the Bill of Rights. We will primarily focus on the selective incorporation against the states of the procedural guarantees afforded a person accused of committing a crime. Proceeding to focus on economic or property rights that are overtly substantive, the chapter explores the rise and fall of liberty of contract as guaranteed by the Court through the doctrine of substantive due process. In this section, we also explore modern economic or property rights afforded by the Court using the Contracts Clause of Article 1, Section 10, and the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

PREFACE

Turning to the modern Court's revival of substantive due process, the chapter examines cases involving such matters as birth control, abortion, homosexuality and termination of life support systems. The chapter concludes by reviewing the constitutional protections for entitlements granted by the government.

The next four chapters deal with the Equal Protection Clause. With the important exception of the prohibition against titles of nobility, the emphasis on equality is of comparatively recent vintage in the American constitutional landscape. Indeed, the original document explicitly recognized slavery. Despite these ignominious beginnings, a rich jurisprudence of equality has evolved. Chapter VIII examines the developments in the area of racial discrimination. The chapter traces the downfall of segregation and continues by exploring busing and other remedies designed to dismantle segregated schools. It then examines some general themes, in particular, purposeful discrimination and suspect classes. Chapter VIII concludes by examining racial discrimination decisions applying these and other principles to such areas as employment, housing and zoning, voting, and the criminal justice system.

Chapter IX takes up a major, and more recent, theme in the Court's equal protection jurisprudence — gender discrimination. The chapter begins by surveying the different attitudes that the Court has exhibited toward gender discrimination over time. In this section, we also review the Court's struggle to settle on an appropriate standard to deal with these cases. The Court analyzes these cases using a "middle tier" level of scrutiny that is less exacting than the strict scrutiny standard used to review discrimination based on race or ethnicity. After treating some general themes in equal protection jurisprudence relating to gender, the chapter devotes separate sections to gender discrimination cases involving employment, government benefits, and pregnancy. Particularly difficult for the Court have been cases involving the constitutionality of allegedly benign discrimination programs, which are designed to compensate for past discrimination but are often criticized for falling prey to the same stereotypes that they are trying to combat.

Chapter X examines affirmative action. Many of the cases reveal the Court's struggle with the powerful ideal that the law should be color blind and gender neutral, and the harsh reality that strict adherence to this ideal hampers efforts to redress the continuing effects of past discrimination. At times the Court has emphasized what might be called the nondiscrimination principle; at other times, it has allowed affirmative action for the historically disadvantaged. Separate sections of Chapter X deal with affirmative action in education and employment. To achieve a broader understanding of the Court's affirmative action jurisprudence, we have included a few cases decided under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the principal statute dealing with racial, gender, and certain other forms of discrimination in employment. These Title VII cases shed additional light on the Court's affirmative action jurisprudence under the Equal Protection Clause.

Concluding the discussion of equal protection, Chapter XI surveys a number of other theories under which litigants have brought, or the Court has granted, equal protection challenges. These theories generally fall into two categories. One concerns extending some form of suspect class status to other groups. The other tack engages a different strand of equal protection jurisprudence involving fundamental rights. This latter theory maintains that certain fundamental rights, such as the right of access to the appellate process, should be distributed equally — primarily irrespective of wealth. Some decisions incorporate both fundamental rights and suspect class analyses. Chapter XI begins by discussing whether the Court should extend some form of heightened scrutiny to such groups as aliens, illegitimates, the aged, the mentally retarded, homosexuals, and the poor. It proceeds to discuss the right to travel, and

PREFACE

equality in the political process. This latter subject involves such issues as political gerrymandering. The discussion of the Equal Protection Clause concludes by surveying the overwhelming majority of governmental actions involving economic or social policy questions in which the Court exercises virtually no scrutiny and thus affords wide discretion to governmental decisions.

The last five chapters of the book treat the rich jurisprudence of the First Amendment. Some commentators consider this amendment the capstone of American liberties. The first four chapters treat freedom of expression. Various commentators offer different justifications for stringently protecting freedom of speech, but most agree that safeguarding the free flow of information is essential in a democracy to empower the electorate to be able to make informed decisions. Chapter XII traces the development of free speech jurisprudence and the theory underlying it. This development largely evolved from cases pertaining to political speech and association.

Surprisingly, First Amendment jurisprudence did not really develop until the time of the First World War. From this starting point, Chapter XII recounts the celebrated dissents and concurrences of Justices Holmes and Brandeis that laid the groundwork for strong protection of freedom of speech. The chapter also discusses the stringent protection that the modern Court affords freedom of speech and association. Continuing with the theme of political speech and association, the chapter concludes by discussing the free speech rights of government employees. This section treats such problems as patronage dismissals of government employees, restraints on their political activity, and their ability to criticize the government.

Although it has not been construed differently from the Free Speech Clause, the First Amendment has a separate Press Clause. Chapter XIII treats the extensive body of free speech jurisprudence relating to the print and broadcast media. The chapter treats such varied issues as the doctrine against prior restraints, media access to the government, regulation and taxation of the media, confidentiality of reporters' sources, and defamation. Just as some of the doctrines discussed in Chapter XII have applicability beyond political speech and association, many of the doctrines in Chapter XIII have applicability beyond the media. Examples include constitutional protection for defamatory speech, and constitutional proscription of prior restraints against speech.

Even if one has strong rights to say whatever one chooses, these may be meaningless if one does not have ready means through which to express one's ideas. For those who do not own a newspaper, an auditorium, or another medium of communication, expressing certain unpopular ideas — or any ideas at all — may prove difficult. Chapter XIV treats public forum analysis, the primary means by which First Amendment jurisprudence seeks to afford access to the marketplace of ideas. The notion is that persons have a right to speak on certain government property. Classic public forums include parks, streets, and sidewalks. After tracing the development of public forum theory, the chapter first recounts the testing of that theory during the civil rights movement, and then examines the modern approach to public forum analysis which relates free speech rights to the character of the property where the speech takes place. The chapter also reviews cases discussing certain special candidates for public forum analysis, such as company towns, private shopping centers, and public schools.

Chapter XV discusses some special doctrines in the system of free expression. These are expressive conduct (or symbolic speech), campaign expenditures, government funding of speech activity, commercial speech, and pornography. Extending constitutional protection to these various kinds of behavior poses special dangers and problems for First Amendment

PREFACE

jurisprudence. On the other hand, not affording constitutional protection to any of these areas poses dangers of another kind. The Court has sought to reconcile these difficulties by fashioning special doctrines for scrutinizing each of these areas. Generally, these doctrines afford less protection than First Amendment jurisprudence affords other forms of protected expression.

The last chapter of the book explores the other major pillar of the First Amendment, freedom of religion. Treating this topic last in no way reflects its importance in the constitutional scheme. Many people came to this nation seeking to escape religious persecution and secure freedom of conscience. The Constitution enshrined these aspirations in two provisions, the Establishment and the Free Exercise Clauses. The former prohibits the state from establishing religion; the latter prohibits the government from interfering with freedom of conscience and religious worship. As the cases indicate, the Clauses stand in some tension with each other. Specific topics treated in Chapter XVI include aid to religious schools, prayer in the public schools, displays of religious symbols, and Sunday closing laws. The book concludes with cases focusing on the free exercise of religion.

“Human history,” says H. G. Wells, “is in essence a history of ideas.” The great theme in the history of American Constitutional Law is the concept of law as a check upon public power. That idea has been given practical reality in the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States. Those decisions are — to paraphrase Holmes — a virtual magic mirror in which we see reflected our whole constitutional development and all that it has meant to the nation. When one thinks on this majestic theme, the eyes dazzle: that is what Constitutional Law is all about. If only part of our feelings are communicated to those who use the book, we will be amply rewarded for our efforts.

Norman Redlich

John Attanasio

Joel K. Goldstein

March 2008

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	v
Preface	vii

Chapter I	JUDICIAL REVIEW: ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION	1
§ 1.01	ESTABLISHMENT	1
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>		1
Note On Historical Context Of <i>Marbury</i>		8
Notes On <i>Marbury</i>		10
§ 1.02	REVIEW OF STATE LAW	14
<i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i>		14
Notes On <i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i>		18
§ 1.03	SUPREME COURT ORGANIZATION AND JURISDICTION	20
[1]	Supreme Court Organization	20
Notes		20
[2]	Supreme Court Original Jurisdiction	21
Notes		21
[3]	Supreme Court Appellate Jurisdiction	21
<i>Ex Parte McCordle</i>		21
Notes ON THE SUPREME COURT'S APPELLATE JURISDICTION		23
§ 1.04	LOWER FEDERAL COURTS ORGANIZATION AND JURISDICTION	25
Notes		25
§ 1.05	NON-ARTICLE III COURTS	26
<i>Palmore v. United States</i>		26
Notes on Non-Article III Courts		29
§ 1.06	CASES AND CONTROVERSIES; JUSTICIABILITY DOCTRINE	30
[1]	Introduction	30
Notes		30
[2]	Advisory Opinions	31
Notes On Advisory Opinions		31
§ 1.07	STANDING	32
<i>Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>		32
Notes		37
§ 1.08	RIPENESS; MOOTNESS	43
Notes		43
§ 1.09	POLITICAL QUESTIONS	47
<i>Goldwater v. Carter</i>		47
Notes		51
<i>Nixon v. United States</i>		53

Table of Contents

Notes	56
Chapter II	CONGRESSIONAL POWERS 59
§ 2.01	ENUMERATED AND IMPLIED POWERS 59
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	59
Notes	66
§ 2.02	THE COMMERCE POWER 70
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	70
Notes	73
§ 2.03	COMMERCE POWER 1895-1936 74
[1]	Productive Industries 74
<i>United States v. E.C. Knight Co.</i>	74
Notes	77
[2]	Regulation versus Prohibition 79
<i>Champion v. Ames [Lottery Case]</i>	79
Notes	81
<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart [Child Labor Case]</i>	82
Notes	84
[3]	Striking Down New Deal Legislation 85
<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	85
Notes	88
§ 2.04	COMMERCE POWER: MODERN APPROACHES 90
[1]	“The Court Retreats to the Constitution” 90
<i>National Labor Relations Bd. v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	90
Notes	94
[2]	The Commerce Clause from the 1940s: <i>Darby</i> and <i>Wickard</i> 96
<i>United States v. Darby</i>	96
Notes	100
<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	101
Notes	103
[3]	Commerce and Civil Rights 105
<i>Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States</i>	105
Notes	108
§ 2.05	THE COMMERCE CLAUSE: A NEW TURNING POINT? 109
<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	109
Notes	120
<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i>	121
Notes	134
§ 2.06	TAXING POWER 135
Notes	135
§ 2.07	SPENDING POWER 137

<i>Table of Contents</i>		
<i>United States v. Butler</i>		137
Note		140
<i>South Dakota v. Dole</i>		140
Notes		142
§ 2.08	TREATY POWER	143
Notes		143
<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>		144
Notes		146
Chapter III	LIMITS ON NATIONAL POWER OVER THE STATES	149
§ 3.01	RESERVED POWERS	149
<i>U.S. Term Limits Inc. v. Thornton</i>		149
Notes		157
§ 3.02	THE STATES AND GENERALLY APPLICABLE LAWS	158
<i>Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority [SAMTA]</i>		158
Notes		166
§ 3.03	COMMANDEERING THE STATES	168
<i>New York v. United States</i>		168
Notes		178
<i>Printz v. United States</i>		179
Notes		180
§ 3.04	IMMUNITY FROM SUIT	182
<i>Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida</i>		182
Note on Dissents		186
Notes		187
<i>Alden v. Maine</i>		189
Notes		191
Chapter IV	FEDERALISM AND STATE REGULATORY POWER	195
§ 4.01	FEDERAL SUPREMACY	195
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>		195
Notes		198
§ 4.02	FEDERAL REGULATORY IMMUNITY	199
Notes		199
§ 4.03	INTERGOVERNMENTAL TAX IMMUNITIES: FEDERAL IMMUNITY	200
<i>United States v. New Mexico</i>		200
Notes		201
§ 4.04	STATE TAX IMMUNITY	202
<i>South Carolina v. Baker</i>		202

Table of Contents

Notes		203
§ 4.05	COOPERATIVE FEDERALISM	204
<i>United States Steel Corp. v. Multistate Tax Comm'n</i>		204
§ 4.06	STATE REGULATION OF COMMERCE; THE DORMANT COMMERCE CLAUSE	205
[1]	Historical Development	205
<i>Cooley v. Board of Wardens of the Port of Philadelphia</i>		205
Notes		207
[2]	Protectionism	213
<i>Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>		213
Notes		217
[3]	Facially Neutral Statutes	220
<i>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Comm'n</i>		220
<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</i>		222
Notes		225
[4]	Market Participant Exception	227
<i>Reeves, Inc. v. Stake</i>		227
Notes		230
[5]	Congressional Action	232
Notes		232
§ 4.07	PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES	234
<i>Supreme Court of Virginia v. Friedman</i>		234
Notes		237
Chapter V	THE PRESIDENCY AND SEPARATION OF POWERS . . .	239
§ 5.01	INHERENT POWER	239
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i>		239
Notes		249
§ 5.02	LEGISLATIVE POWERS OF THE EXECUTIVE	254
[1]	Legislative Veto	254
<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha</i>		254
Notes		259
[2]	Line Item Veto	260
<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i>		260
Note on Signing Statements		267
§ 5.03	ADMINISTRATIVE CHIEF	268
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>		268
Notes		280
§ 5.04	FOREIGN AFFAIRS	283
[1]	The Scope of Presidential Power	283
<i>United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp.</i>		283

Table of Contents

Notes	286
[2] Executive Agreements	287
<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan</i>	287
Notes	291
§ 5.05 COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF	291
<i>Prize Cases</i>	291
Notes	293
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	295
Notes	309
§ 5.06 PRESIDENTIAL ACCOUNTABILITY	312
[1] Privilege	312
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	312
Notes	315
[2] Immunities	318
<i>Nixon v. Fitzgerald</i>	318
Notes	321
[3] Impeachment	321
Notes	321
[4] Presidential Succession and Inability	323
Notes	323
§ 5.07 SEPARATION OF POWERS	323
<i>Mistretta v. United States</i>	323
Notes	328
 Chapter VI CONGRESSIONAL PROTECTION OF CIVIL RIGHTS	 331
§ 6.01 INTRODUCTION	331
§ 6.02 POST-CIVIL WAR AMENDMENTS	331
§ 6.03 STATE ACTION	332
<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	332
Notes	334
<i>Georgia v. McCollum</i>	336
Notes	339
<i>Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis</i>	340
Notes	342
§ 6.04 POST-BELLUM CIVIL RIGHTS STATUTES	344
§ 6.05 CONGRESSIONAL ENFORCEMENT POWER: FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT	345
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	345
Notes	348
<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	350
Notes	355

Table of Contents

§ 6.06	THIRTEENTH AND FIFTEENTH AMENDMENTS	359
	<i>South Carolina v. Katzenbach</i>	359
	Notes	362
	<i>Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.</i>	363
	Note	366
	<i>Runyon v. McCrary</i>	366
	Notes	369
Chapter VII	LIBERTY AND PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THE DUE PROCESS, TAKING, AND CONTRACT CLAUSES	371
§ 7.01	INTRODUCTION TO THE INCORPORATION CONTROVERSY AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS	371
	<i>Slaughter-House Cases</i>	372
	Notes	380
§ 7.02	THE RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED: THE “INCORPORATION CONTROVERSY”	382
	Notes	382
	<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	383
	Notes	385
§ 7.03	REGULATION OF BUSINESS AND OTHER PROPERTY INTERESTS	386
[1]	Liberty of Contract Under the Due Process Clauses	386
	<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	386
	Note: The Development of Liberty of Contract and the Court-Packing Crisis	389
	<i>Nebbia v. New York</i>	390
	Note: The New Deal, Constitutional Crisis, Economic Regulation, and Property Rights	390
	<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	392
	Notes	393
[2]	Limiting Punitive Damages Under the Due Process Clause	395
	<i>BMW of North America v. Gore</i>	395
	Notes	398
	<i>State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell</i>	401
	Note	403
[3]	Economic Regulation and the Contract Clause of Article I, Section 10	404
	<i>Energy Reserves Group, Inc. v. Kansas Power and Light Co.</i>	404
	Notes	407
[4]	Government Takings of Property Requiring Just Compensation	408
(a)	General Principles	408
	<i>Keystone Bituminous Coal Association v. DeBenedictis</i>	408
	Notes	415

Table of Contents

(b)	Public Use	422
	<i>Kelo v. City of New London</i>	422
	Note	423
(c)	Temporary Takings	424
	<i>Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council</i>	424
	<i>Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency</i>	425
(d)	Court Decisions	427
(e)	Nexus Requirement	428
	<i>Dolan v. City of Tigard</i>	428
	Note	430
	<i>Lingle v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc.</i>	430
	Note	432
	<i>Palazzolo v. Rhode Island</i>	432
§ 7.04	LIBERTY IN PROCREATION AND OTHER PERSONAL MATTERS	433
[1]	The Childbearing Decision: Contraception and Abortion	433
	<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>	433
	Note	434
	<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	434
	Notes	440
	<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	442
	Notes	447
	<i>Harris v. McRae</i>	450
	Notes	451
	<i>Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pa. v. Casey</i>	458
	<i>Stenberg v. Carhart</i>	472
	Notes	474
	<i>Hodgson v. Minnesota</i>	476
	Notes	476
	<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>	477
	Note	493
[2]	The Family Relationship	493
	<i>Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	493
	<i>Troxel v. Granville</i>	494
	<i>Michael H. v. Gerald D.</i>	495
	Notes	497
[3]	Homosexuality	499
	<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	499
[4]	Right to Die	508
	<i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health</i>	508
	<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	515
[5]	Other Autonomy Issues	521

Table of Contents

<i>Whalen v. Roe</i>	521
Notes	523
§ 7.05 PERSONAL PROPERTY RIGHTS: NEW FORMS OF PROTECTION FOR NEW PROPERTY INTERESTS	524
<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	525
<i>Paul v. Davis</i>	526
Notes	527
<i>Cleveland Board of Education v. Loudermill</i>	528
Notes	529
<i>Deshaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services</i>	530
Notes	532
 Chapter VIII RACIAL EQUALITY	533
§ 8.01 OVERVIEW	533
<i>Dred Scott v. Sanford</i>	533
§ 8.02 SEGREGATION IN PUBLIC FACILITIES	537
[1] The Rise and Fall of “Separate but Equal”	537
<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	537
Note	538
<i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka</i>	539
Notes	542
[2] Enforcing <i>Brown</i> : The Fashioning of Judicial Relief	544
<i>Brown v. Board of Education (Brown II)</i>	544
Notes: State Reaction to <i>Brown</i>	545
<i>Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education</i>	547
Notes	548
[3] Limiting the Remedies	551
<i>Milliken v. Bradley</i>	551
Notes	555
<i>Freeman v. Pitts</i>	555
Notes	561
<i>Parents Involved In Community Schools v. Seattle School District</i>	563
<i>United States v. Fordice</i>	580
§ 8.03 OTHER FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	582
[1] General Principles: Purposeful Discrimination and Suspect Classes	582
<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	583
Notes	585
[2] Racial Discrimination in Employment	588
<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	588
Notes	590

Table of Contents

[3]	Housing and Zoning	591
	<i>Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.</i>	591
	Notes	593
[4]	Voting	594
	<i>Rogers v. Lodge</i>	594
	Notes	596
	<i>Shaw v. Reno</i>	597
	Note	602
	<i>Miller v. Johnson</i>	602
	<i>Bush v. Vera</i>	603
	Notes	607
[5]	The Criminal Justice System	610
	<i>Batson v. Kentucky</i>	610
	Notes	611
	<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	616
	Notes	617
Chapter IX	EQUAL RIGHTS FOR THE SEXES	619
§ 9.01	CHANGING ATTITUDES TOWARD GENDER-BASED CLASSIFICATIONS	619
	<i>Goesaert v. Cleary</i>	619
	Notes	620
	<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	621
	<i>Rostker v. Goldberg</i>	622
	Notes	623
	<i>Mississippi University For Women v. Hogan</i>	625
	Notes	629
	<i>J.E.B. v. Alabama</i>	630
	Note	631
	<i>United States v. Virginia</i>	631
	Note	636
§ 9.02	EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON GENDER	637
	<i>Massachusetts v. Feeney</i>	637
	Note	638
§ 9.03	GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN GOVERNMENT BENEFIT PROGRAMS	638
	<i>Weinberger v. Wiesenfeld</i>	638
	Notes	641
§ 9.04	DISCRIMINATION INVOLVING PREGNANCY	642
	<i>Geduldig v. Aiello</i>	642
	Notes	645

Table of Contents

Concluding Note	645
Chapter X	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION 647
§ 10.01	EDUCATION 647
<i>Regents of University of California v. Bakke</i>	647
Note	660
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	660
<i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	678
§ 10.02	EMPLOYMENT 681
Notes	681
<i>City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Company</i>	683
Notes	692
<i>Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena</i>	694
Notes	697
Chapter XI	EQUAL PROTECTION FOR OTHER GROUPS AND INTERESTS 699
§ 11.01	DISCRETE AND INSULAR MINORITIES 700
[1]	Aliens 700
<i>Bernal v. Fainter</i>	700
Notes	703
[2]	Illegitimate Children 706
<i>Trimble v. Gordon</i>	706
<i>Lalli v. Lalli</i>	706
[3]	The Aged 707
<i>Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia</i>	707
[4]	The Mentally Retarded 709
<i>Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	709
[5]	Sexual Orientation 714
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	714
Note	719
§ 11.02	EQUAL PROTECTION FOR THE POOR 719
[1]	Wealth as a Suspect Classification; Fundamental Rights to Necessities 720
<i>San Antonio School District v. Rodriguez</i>	720
Notes	722
[2]	Access to the Justice System 724
<i>Douglas v. California</i>	724
Note	724
<i>United States v. Kras</i>	725

Table of Contents

Notes	726	
§ 11.03	EQUALITY IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS	727
[1]	Distinctions Based on Wealth	727
<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District</i>		728
Note		728
[2]	Other Barriers to Political Participation: Apportionment, Ballot Access for Minority Parties, Gerrymandering	729
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>		729
Notes		730
<i>Bush v. Palm Beach County Canvassing Board (Bush I)</i>		731
<i>Bush v. Gore</i>		732
Notes		746
<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>		746
<i>Vieth v. Jubelirer</i>		749
<i>League of United Latin Am. Citizens v. Perry</i>		750
Note		753
§ 11.04	THE RIGHT TO TRAVEL	753
<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>		753
Notes		755
§ 11.05	“ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL LEGISLATION”	757
<i>Railway Express v. New York</i>		757
Notes		759
<i>Vacco v. Quill</i>		762
Concluding Note		764
Chapter XII	POLITICAL SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION	765
§ 12.01	OVERVIEW	765
§ 12.02	ADVOCACY OF UNLAWFUL OBJECTIVES	766
<i>Schenck v. United States</i>		766
<i>Debs v. United States</i>		768
<i>Abrams v. United States</i>		769
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>		773
Notes		775
<i>Whitney v. California</i>		775
Notes		777
<i>Dennis v. United States</i>		778
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>		782
Notes		784
<i>McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commission</i>		786
Note		788

Table of Contents

§ 12.03	MEMBERSHIP IN POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS AS A BASIS FOR GOVERNMENT SANCTIONS	789
	<i>Keyishian v. Board of Regents</i>	789
	Notes	789
	<i>Illinois ex rel. Madigan v. Telemarketing Ass'n</i>	791
§ 12.04	COMPULSORY DISCLOSURE OF POLITICAL AFFILIATIONS OR MEMBERSHIP	792
	<i>Communist Party of the United States v. Subversive Activities Control Board</i>	792
	Notes	793
	<i>Gibson v. Florida Legislative Investigation Committee</i>	794
	Notes	796
§ 12.05	ASSOCIATIONAL RIGHTS IN OTHER CONTEXTS	797
	<i>EU v. San Francisco County Democratic Central Committee</i>	797
	Notes	799
	<i>N.A.A.C.P. v. Claiborne Hardware Co.</i>	801
	Notes	804
	<i>Boy Scouts of America and Monmouth Council v. Dale</i>	805
	Note	807
§ 12.06	FREE SPEECH PROBLEMS OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES	807
	[1] Patronage Dismissals	807
	<i>Branti v. Finkel</i>	807
	Notes	812
	<i>Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois</i>	812
	<i>O'Hare Truck Service v. City of Northlake</i>	815
	Note	817
	[2] Restraints on Political Activity	817
	<i>Broadrick v. Oklahoma</i>	817
	Notes	821
	[3] Employee's Right to Criticize Government	823
	<i>Connick v. Myers</i>	823
	Notes	827
	<i>Waters v. Churchill</i>	829
	<i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	831
	<i>Board of Comm'rs v. Umbehr</i>	833
	[4] Special Protection for Legislators and other Government Employees	835
	<i>Hutchinson v. Proxmire</i>	835
	Notes	835
	[5] Judicial Electioneering	836
	<i>Republican Party of Minnesota v. White</i>	836

Table of Contents

Chapter XIII	GOVERNMENT AND THE MEDIA: PRINT AND ELECTRONIC	841
§ 13.01	THE DOCTRINE AGAINST PRIOR RESTRAINTS	841
<i>Near v. Minnesota</i>		841
<i>New York Times Co. v. United States</i>		842
Notes		850
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i>		852
§ 13.02	THE RIGHT TO REPORT GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS	853
<i>Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart</i>		853
Notes		855
<i>Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada</i>		857
§ 13.03	ACCESS BY THE MEDIA TO GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY	858
<i>Houchins v. K.Q.E.D., Inc.</i>		858
<i>Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia</i>		862
Notes		864
<i>Press Enterprise Company v. Superior Court of California</i>		865
§ 13.04	PROTECTING THE NEWSGATHERING PROCESS	866
<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>		866
Notes		871
§ 13.05	REGULATION AND TAXATION	874
<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. F.C.C.</i>		874
Notes		877
<i>Columbia Broadcasting System v. Democratic National Committee</i>		878
Notes		879
<i>Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo</i>		880
Notes		881
§ 13.06	TAXATION	881
<i>Minneapolis Star & Tribune v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue</i>		881
Notes		882
§ 13.07	ELECTRONIC MEDIA	883
[1]	Broadcast Media	883
<i>Federal Communications Commission v. League of Women Voters of California</i>		883
Note		885
[2]	Cable Television	886
<i>Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission</i>		886
<i>Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission (Turner II)</i>		895
<i>Denver Area Educational Tele-Communications Consortium, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission</i>		897
Notes		902
[3]	The Internet	902
<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>		902

Table of Contents

Notes		913
§ 13.08	DEFAMATION AND PRIVACY	915
[1]	Public Figures versus Private Individuals	915
<i>New York Times Company v. Sullivan</i>		915
Notes		920
<i>Gertz v. Welch, Inc.</i>		922
Notes		928
[2]	Opinions	930
<i>Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.</i>		930
[3]	Quoted Material	931
<i>Masson v. New Yorker Magazine, Inc.</i>		931
[4]	Jurisdictional and Other Procedural Rules	932
<i>Bose Corporation v. Consumers Union of United States, Inc.</i>		931
Notes		933
[5]	Matters of Public Concern	935
<i>Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>		935
Notes		936
[6]	Invasions of Privacy	937
<i>Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard</i>		937
Notes		938
<i>Florida Star v. B.J.F.</i>		939
<i>Bartnicki v. Vopper</i>		941
Notes		944
Chapter XIV	SPEECH IN PUBLIC PLACES	947
		947
§ 14.01	OFFENSIVE SPEECH IN PUBLIC PLACES	947
[1]	Defamation: General Principles	947
<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>		947
Notes		949
<i>National Socialist Party v. Skokie</i>		950
Notes		952
<i>R.A.V. v. St. Paul</i>		952
<i>Virginia v. Black</i>		955
Notes		957
[2]	Sexually Offensive Speech	958
<i>Young v. American Mini Theatres, Inc.</i>		958
<i>Federal Communications Commission v. Pacifica Foundation</i>		964
Notes		967
<i>Erie v. Pap's A.M.</i>		969

Table of Contents

§ 14.02	SPEECH IN TRADITIONAL PUBLIC FORUMS: STREETS, SIDEWALKS, PARKS	971
	<i>Cox v. New Hampshire</i>	971
	Notes	973
	<i>Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Group of Boston</i>	978
	Notes	979
§ 14.03	THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT, MASS DEMONSTRATIONS, AND NEW RULES FOR NEW PUBLIC FORUMS	981
	<i>Cox v. Louisiana (Cox I)</i>	981
	<i>Cox v. Louisiana (Cox II)</i>	985
	Notes	986
§ 14.04	THE MODERN APPROACH: LIMITING SPEECH ACCORDING TO THE CHARACTER OF THE PROPERTY	987
[1]	Public Property	987
	<i>Greer v. Spock</i>	987
	<i>Perry Education Ass’n v. Perry Local Educators’ Ass’n</i>	989
	Note	993
	<i>Cornelius v. N.A.A.C.P. Legal Defense & Educational Fund</i>	993
	Notes	999
	<i>Ward v. Rock Against Racism</i>	1001
	<i>United States v. Kokinda</i>	1003
	<i>Society for Krishna Consciousness v. Lee</i>	1004
	Note	1005
	<i>City of Ladue v. Gilleo</i>	1005
	Notes	1007
	<i>Arkansas Educational Television Commission v. Forbes</i>	1008
	<i>Madsen v. Women’s Health Center, Inc.</i>	1009
	<i>Schenck v. Pro-Choice Network of Western New York</i>	1019
	<i>Hill v. Colorado</i>	1021
[2]	Private Property as a Public Forum: Shopping Centers and Company Towns	1024
	<i>Hudgens v. N.L.R.B.</i>	1024
	Notes	1027
[3]	Speech in Public Schools	1028
	<i>Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District</i>	1028
	<i>Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier</i>	1029
	Notes	1034
[4]	Religious Speech in Public Places	1035
	<i>Widmar v. Vincent</i>	1035
	Notes	1036
	<i>Capitol Square Review Bd. v. Pinette</i>	1036
	Notes	1039

Table of Contents

<i>Good News Club v. Milford Central School</i>	1040
---	------

Chapter XV	SPECIAL DOCTRINES IN THE SYSTEM OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	1043
-------------------	---	-------------

§ 15.01	EXPRESSIVE CONDUCT	1043
<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>		1043
Notes		1047
<i>Texas v. Johnson</i>		1048
Notes		1054
<i>Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence</i>		1055
§ 15.02	EXPENDITURES OF MONEY IN THE POLITICAL ARENA .	1056
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>		1056
Notes		1068
<i>Federal Elections Comm'n v. Colorado Republican Federal Campaign Comm.</i>		1072
<i>Randall v. Sorrell</i>		1074
Note		1077
<i>First National Bank v. Bellotti</i>		1078
Notes		1079
<i>Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce</i>		1080
Note		1082
<i>McConnell v. Federal Election Commission</i>		1083
<i>Federal Election Commission v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc.</i>		1105
§ 15.03	GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF SPEECH-RELATED ACTIVITIES	1108
<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i>		1108
Note		1114
<i>Rosenberger v. University of Virginia</i>		1114
Notes		1115
<i>National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley</i>		1116
Note		1118
<i>Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez</i>		1119
<i>Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Institutional Rights ("Fair")</i>		1121
§ 15.04	COMMERCIAL SPEECH	1123
[1]	Protection for Commercial Speech: General Principles	1123
<i>Virginia State Bd. of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.</i>		1123
<i>Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp.</i>		1129
Note		1130
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation v. Public Service Commission of New York</i>		1130
Notes		1132
<i>44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island</i>		1135

<i>Table of Contents</i>		
Notes		1138
<i>Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly</i>		1140
Note		1143
[2]	Lawyer and Other Professional Advertising	1144
<i>Bates v. State of Arizona</i>		1144
Notes		1145
§ 15.05	OBSCENITY	1150
[1]	The Constitutional Standard	1150
<i>Miller v. California</i>		1150
<i>Paris Adult Theater I v. Slaton</i>		1154
<i>Arcara v. Cloud Books, Inc.</i>		1155
Notes		1156
<i>New York v. Ferber</i>		1160
Notes		1161
<i>Ashcroft v. The Free Speech Coalition</i>		1162
Note: The Feminist Attack on Pornography		1164
[2]	Procedural Issues in Obscenity Cases — “Prior Restraints” and Seizure of Materials	1165
<i>Southeastern Promotions Ltd. v. Conrad</i>		1165
Notes		1167
<i>Fort Wayne Books, Inc. v. Indiana</i>		1170
<i>Alexander v. United States</i>		1172
Chapter XVI	RELIGIOUS FREEDOM	1175
§ 16.01	COMPETING APPROACHES: WALL OF SEPARATION VERSUS ACCOMMODATION	1176
<i>Everson v. Board of Education</i>		1176
Note		1181
<i>Illinois Ex. Rel. McCollum v. Board of Education</i>		1182
<i>Zorach v. Clauson</i>		1183
Note		1185
§ 16.02	THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE AND AID TO RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS	1186
Note		1186
[1]	Aid to Religious Schools	1187
<i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>		1187
Notes		1189
<i>Mueller v. Allen</i>		1190
Notes		1195
<i>Agostini v. Felton</i>		1196
<i>Mitchell v. Helms</i>		1200

Table of Contents

<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	1210
[2] Governmental Funds to Religious Institutions in Contexts Other Than Parochial Education	1219
<i>Bowen v. Kendrick</i>	1219
Notes	1221
<i>Texas Monthly, Inc. v. Bullock</i>	1222
§ 16.03 GOVERNMENT SUPPORT FOR RELIGIOUS PRACTICES . .	1224
[1] Prayer in Public Schools	1224
<i>Engel v. Vitale</i>	1224
Notes	1227
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	1228
Notes	1230
<i>Good News Club v. Milford Central School</i>	1231
Notes	1233
[2] Religious Displays	1235
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i>	1235
Notes	1243
<i>County of Allegheny v. American Civil Liberties Union, Greater Pittsburgh</i>	1243
Notes	1249
<i>Van Orden v. Perry</i>	1250
<i>McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky</i>	1258
[3] Moment of Silence	1262
<i>Wallace v. Jaffree</i>	1262
[4] Exemptions From Statutory Requirements	1270
<i>Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos</i>	1270
§ 16.04 ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION THROUGH RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS BECOMING INVOLVED IN GOVERNMENTAL DECISIONS	1271
<i>Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.</i>	1271
<i>Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet</i>	1272
Notes	1275
§ 16.05 FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION	1276
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1276
Notes	1279
<i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i>	1280
<i>Thomas v. Review Board of the Indiana Employment Security Division</i>	1281
Note: What Is A Religion?	1285
Notes	1286
<i>Goldman v. Weinberger</i>	1287
<i>Lyng v. Northwest Indian Cemetery Protective Ass'n</i>	1287
<i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith</i>	1289

Table of Contents

Notes 1295

City of Boerne v. Flores 1296

Notes 1298

Cutter v. Wilkinson 1299

Note 1301

Appendix: The Constitution of the United States App-1

Table of Cases TC-1

Index I-1

