Special Education Advocacy

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

William Araiza

Professor of Law Brooklyn Law School

Lenni B. Benson

Professor of Law & Associate Dean for Professional Development New York Law School

Raj Bhala

Rice Distinguished Professor University of Kansas, School of Law

Ruth Colker

Distinguished University Professor & Heck-Faust Memorial Chair in Constitutional Law Ohio State University, Moritz College of Law

David Gamage

Assistant Professor of Law UC Berkeley School of Law

Joan Heminway

College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law University of Tennessee College of Law

Edward Imwinkelried

Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law UC Davis School of Law

David I. C. Thomson

LP Professor & Director, Lawyering Process Program University of Denver, Sturm College of Law

Melissa Weresh

Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law Drake University Law School

Special Education Advocacy

Ruth Colker

Distinguished University Professor Heck-Faust Memorial Chair in Constitutional Law Michael E. Moritz College of Law The Ohio State University

Julie K. Waterstone

Director of the Children's Rights Clinic Associate Clinical Professor of Law Southwestern Law School



ISBN: 978-1-4224-7958-2

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Special education advocacy / Ruth Colker, Julie K. Waterstone [editors].

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-4224-7958-2 (soft cover)

1. Children with disabilities--Education--Law and legislation--United States. 2. Special education--Law and legislation--United States. I.

Colker, Ruth. II. Waterstone, Julie K.

KF4209.3.S6767 2011

344.73'0791--dc22

2011004193

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks and Michie is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender

Copyright © 2011 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. \$ 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25ϕ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

Dedication

In Memory of Janice Seiner Colker

R.C.

For Michael, who continuously inspires, supports and encourages me and for Ava and Jack, who make everything worthwhile

J.W.

Preface: An Advocacy Approach

This is a highly unusual set of materials both with respect to its content and how it was produced. In early 2010, Professor Colker began approaching clinicians who were teaching students how to be advocates for children in the special education area to see if they might be interested in developing a set of teaching materials. The response was overwhelming. Dozens of people indicated an interest in using such materials in the classroom and nine professors indicated an interest in helping to write such material. This material is a result of that collaboration. Julie Waterstone joined Ruth Colker as an editor on the overall manuscript and eight other individuals joined them as authors of discrete chapters.

Ruth Colker authored the first chapter in which she provides a brief historical overview of the development of special education law in the United States. The Appendix contains the current statute and regulations as well as thirteen of the most important cases in the field. Some of these cases provide additional historical information about the roots of special education law in the United States.

Chapter Two provides an overview of how to initiate a special education case. Yael Zakai Cannon, Practitioner-in-Residence at the Washington College of Law at American University and Laura N. Rinaldi, Clinical Instructor, Juvenile and Special Education Law Clinic, University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law, wrote that chapter together. This chapter reflects their work at the Children's Law Center before working at special education clinics at their respective law schools.

Chapter Three discusses the "child find" and referral obligations under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). It was written by Esther Canty-Barnes who is a Clinical Professor of Law and Director of the Special Education Clinic at Rutgers-Newark School of Law. She represents indigent parents and caregivers of children with disabilities in need of educational services, and teaches in this area, and has done specialized work on behalf of children in foster care.

Chapter Four discusses the definitions of disability used in the IDEA and related statutes as well as how the assessment instruments are used to classify children as disabled. Professor Colker authored this chapter drawing on her empirical work in disabilities studies as well as experience in working with parents of children with disabilities to help their children be found eligible for services under the IDEA or other federal statutes.

Chapter Five discusses the process of developing an "Individualized Educational Plan." Jane R. Wettach, Clinical Professor of Law, Duke Law School, and Brenda Berlin, a Senior Lecturing Fellow and Supervising Attorney in the Children's Law Clinic, Duke Law School, co-authored this chapter. Professor Wettach is the first director of the Law School's Children's Law Clinic and teaches Education Law. She has been working in the special education area since 1994 and brings her vast knowledge to this chapter. Before coming to Duke in 2001, Professor Berlin was Director of the Pro Bono Program and a Staff Attorney at the Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia where she represented low-income individuals in the areas of family law, landlord and tenant law and public benefits.

Chapter Six discusses intervention for young children under Individualized Family Services Plans. This chapter was written by Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde. Professor Valverde is a Clinical Professor of Law at Rutgers-Newark School of Law. Her work with young children reflects her M.S.W. and law degree. She currently supervises law and social work students in the representation of indigent parents of children with disabilities in early intervention and special education matters.

Preface: An Advocacy Approach

Chapter Seven discusses school discipline issues for children with disabilities. It was co-authored by Professors Waterstone and Wettach. Professor Waterstone is the first director of the Children's Rights Clinic at Southwestern Law School where she focuses her work on special education and school discipline. This chapter reflects their collective experience representing children with disabilities who also have discipline issues.

Chapter Eight discusses the foster care and child welfare systems as they relate to special education. Professor Valverde worked with her colleague Randi Mandelbaum to author this chapter. Professor Mandelbaum is a Clinical Professor of Law and Director of the Child Advocacy Clinic at Rutgers-Newark School of Law where she specializes in the needs of low-income children and their families.

Chapter Nine discusses dispute resolution under the IDEA. This chapter was written by Professor Canty-Barnes. Chapter Ten was written by Professor Cannon and discusses the remedies available under the law of special education.

Chapter Eleven, authored by Joseph B. Tulman, focuses on delinquency, the criminal justice system and special education issues. Joseph Tulman is Professor of Law, University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law, and Director of the Took Crowell Institute for At-Risk Youth. Professor Tulman directs the law school's Juvenile and Special Education Law Clinic. He has been widely recognized for his work on behalf of juveniles and brings that experience to his chapter.

In this book, we have collaborated to try to provide students and lawyers with the basic tools they need to be effective advocates in educational cases involving children with disabilities. Each of us has done some practical work in this field and has been disappointed with the materials available for teaching students how to be a child's advocate in this field. This book does not seek to offer a balanced treatment of how to represent school districts. It is designed to enhance the knowledge and skills of special education advocates who work on behalf of children.

Our perspective in developing these materials is that statutory and regulatory material is generally more useful than case law. Hearing officers usually cite few judicial decisions in their opinions and case law discussions are rare at meetings with school district personnel. The everyday tools of special education advocates are statutes, regulations and general material from educational psychology. Hence, we have collected a set of cases at the end of this book but the primary focus is on statutory and regulatory material, as well as practical examples.

This is not a "hide-the-ball" set of materials. By using examples from real cases, we hope to illuminate the major principles that are important to successful advocacy on behalf of children with special needs. We hope you find these materials helpful as well as accurate. We welcome any feedback as we strive to improve these materials over the years.

Acknowledgements

This project could not have been accomplished without the generous contributions of many individuals. The Executive Acquisitions Manager at LexisNexis, Leslie R. Levin, had the confidence in us to support our work as the sole textbook dedicated to Special Education Advocacy. Keith D. Moore, Editor of the Academic Publishing Team at LexisNexis, was a tremendously helpful editor at all stages of this project. We thank you for your support.

Throughout this project, many members of the staffs at the Ohio State University Moritz College of Law and the Southwestern Law School provided outstanding editorial and technical assistance. In Columbus, Ohio, Jenny Pursell, a Faculty Assistant, provided extensive technical assistance to Ruth Colker. Kathleen Patterson and Joseph Brown, Moritz law students, also provided important legal research. In Los Angeles, California, Jahmy Graham, Legal Clinic Assistant, also provided extensive technical assistance to Julie Waterstone. Jenny Rodriguez-Fee read countless drafts, provided invaluable feedback, and drafted the sample due process complaint. Melany Avanessians provided extensive help in organizing, cite checking, and proof reading the materials.

We also would like to thank the following faculty members who were generous enough to write chapters of this book while juggling a heavy client load in their own clinics: Brenda Berlin, Yael Zakai Cannon, Esther Canty-Barnes, Randi Mandelbaum, Laura N. Rinaldi, Joseph B. Tulman, Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde, and Jane R. Wettach.

The views herein, of course, reflect only those of the authors and contributors and not any university or law school.

Ruth Colker Columbus, Ohio

Julie Waterstone Los Angeles, California

November 2010

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	A BRIEF OVERVIEW (Ruth Colker) 1
Chapter 2	INITIATING A SPECIAL EDUCATION CASE (Yael Zakai Cannon & Laura N. Rinaldi)
Chapter 3	CHILD FIND (Esther Canty-Barnes)
Chapter 4	EDUCATIONAL EVALUATIONS AND ASSESSMENTS (Ruth Colker)
Chapter 5	THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM (Jane R. Wettach & Brenda Berlin)
Chapter 6	EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES (Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde)
Chapter 7	SCHOOL DISCIPLINE AND STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS (Julie K. Waterstone & Jane R. Wettach) 239
Chapter 8	CHILD WELFARE AND SPECIAL EDUCATION (Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde & Randi Mandelbaum)
Chapter 9	THE DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (Esther Canty-Barnes) 327
Chapter 10	REMEDIES (Yael Zakai Cannon)
Chapter 11	SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVOCACY FOR YOUTH IN THE DELINQUENCY SYSTEM (Joseph B. Tulman)
Appendix A	INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT 425
Appendix B	IDEA REGULATIONS
Appendix C	APPENDIX OF MAJOR CASES

Table of Contents

Preface

Chapter	A BRIEF OVERVIEW (Ruth Colker) 1
Chapter	2 INITIATING A SPECIAL EDUCATION CASE (Yael Zakai Cannon & Laura N. Rinaldi)
I.	WHO IS THE CLIENT? MODELS OF REPRESENTATION IN SPECIAL EDUCATION MATTERS
A.	Parent as Client
1.	Who Is a "Parent"?
a.	Involving the Student Even When He or She is Not the Client
B.	Parent and Student as Joint Clients
C.	Student as Client
1.	Expressed Interests or Best Interests?
2.	Students Who Have Reached the Age of Majority
D.	Court-Appointed Special Education Attorneys
II.	RETAINERS
III.	INTRODUCTORY LETTER TO A CLIENT AND AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION AND RECORDS
IV.	CLIENT INTERVIEW
A.	Active Listening
B.	Interviewing the Student
C.	Developing a Preparation Plan for the Client Interview
1.	General Overview of Parent's Concerns
2.	Student's Developmental History
3.	Student's Educational History
4.	Information About the Student's Needs and Interests Outside of School 40
5.	Parent's Efforts to Obtain Help from the School or Other Agencies 41
6.	School Discipline
D.	Ascertaining the Client's Goals
V.	INVESTIGATION
A.	Obtaining Documents
1.	Educational Records
2.	Medical Records, Including Mental Health Records 50
3.	Records from Outside Services Providers and Other Sources
B.	Investigative Interviewing
1.	Consultation with Experts or Providers from Other Disciplines
C.	Observing the Student
VI.	DEVELOPING AN EDUCATIONAL CHRONOLOGY

Table (of Contents	
VII.	DEVELOPING A THEORY OF THE CLIENT'S CASE	64
VIII.	CLIENT COUNSELING	65
IX.	CONCLUSION	70
Chapter	3 CHILD FIND (Esther Canty-Barnes)	71
I.	INTRODUCTION	71
II.	ELIGIBLE CHILDREN	72
III.	PROCEDURES FOR IDENTIFICATION AND REFERRALS	72
IV.	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE	74
V.	PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE	74
VI.	INFORMED CONSENT	
VII.	CHARTER SCHOOLS AND IDEA	76
Chapter	4 EDUCATIONAL EVALUATIONS AND ASSESSMENTS	
	(Ruth Colker)	83
I.	IDEA AND ADA OVERVIEW	83
A.	IDEA	83
B.	ADA and Section 504	83
II.	AN EVALUATION PRIMER	84
A.	Standard Deviations and Errors of Measurement	84
B.	Subscores	87
III.	CATEGORIES OF DISABILITIES	92
A.	Statutory Definitions	
1.	Ages Three to Nine	
2.	School-Age Children	
a.	General Considerations	96
B.	Ten Disability Categories for School-Age Children	102
1.	Intellectual Disabilities	102
a.	Basic Definition	102
b.	Further Requirements in Assessing Intellectual Disabilities	104
2.		104
3.		105
4.	Visual Impairments (Including Blindness)	109
5.	Serious Emotional Disturbance	109
6.	r	111
7.		111
8.	3 3	113
9.	1	113
10.		115
11.	Multiple Disabilities	121

Table of Contents

Chapter :	THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM (Jane R. Wet & Brenda Berlin)	
I.	DEFINITIONS	149
II.	FAPE	150
III.	THE IEP PROCESS	152
A.	The IEP Team	153
B.	Parental Involvement in the IEP Process	153
C.	Definition of Parent	154
D.	Surrogate Parents	156
E.	Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority	156
F.	Other IEP Team Members	156
G.	Excusal of IEP Team Members from Meetings	157
H.	The Team Process	158
I.	When the IEP Must be in Effect	158
J.	The IEP Document	159
1.	Present Levels of Performance	171
2.	Annual Goals	172
3.	Measurement of Progress	173
4.	Statement of Special Education and Related Services	173
5.	Amount, Duration, and Frequency	175
6.	Participation with Non-Disabled Students/Least Restrictive Environment	175
7.	Testing Accommodations and Alternate Assessments	179
a.	Accommodations	179
b.	Alternate Assessments	180
8.	Transition Services	181
9.	Extended School Year Services	183
IV.	PLANNING FOR AN IEP MEETING	184
A.	Collecting and Organizing the Student's Records	184
B.	Scheduling the Meeting	187
C.	Providing Advance Notice to the School District	187
D.	Creating the IEP Meeting Agenda	
E.	Inviting Participants to be a Part of The IEP Team	188
F.	Exchanging Information Prior to the Meeting	188
G.	Making a Plan With Your Client	
H.	Deciding Whether to Record IEP Meetings	191
I.	Signing the IEP	191
Chapter (6 EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES (Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde)	195
 I.	CHILD FIND	
	Marie O. v. Edgar	197

Table	of Contents	
II.	REFERRAL	205
III.	EVALUATIONS	210
IV.	ELIGIBILITY	213
V.	INDIVIDUALIZED FAMILY SERVICES PLAN (IFSP)	214
VI.	EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES	226
VII.	PAYMENT FOR EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES	231
VIII.	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION	234
Chapter	7 SCHOOL DISCIPLINE AND STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS (Julie K. Waterstone & Jane R. Wettach)	239
I.	PROTECTIONS FOR DISCIPLINED STUDENTS	240
A.	Manifestation Determination Review	240
1.	Results of the Manifestation Determination Review	248
a.	The Conduct is Found to be a Manifestation	248
b.	Exceptions	249
c.	The Conduct is Found Not to be a Manifestation	249
B.	Functional Behavioral Assessments and Behavior Intervention Plans	251
1.	Functional Behavioral Assessment	251
2.	Behavior Intervention Plan	252
II.	UNIDENTIFIED CHILDREN	253
III.	MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION REVIEW SIMULATION	262
Chapter	8 CHILD WELFARE AND SPECIAL EDUCATION (Jennifer N. Rosen Valverde & Randi Mandelbaum)	283
I.	INTRODUCTION	283
II.	DEFINING THE IDEA PARENT	
A.	Parent Defined	
B.	Surrogate Parents	288
III.	GETTING THROUGH THE SCHOOL DOORS	290
A.	Registration, Enrollment and Attendance	290
B.	School Records	292
C.	Residency	293
IV.	CONFIDENTIALITY AND INFORMATION-SHARING	294
A.	Confidentiality	294
B.	Information-Sharing	297
V.	EVALUATIONS, PROGRAMS/PLACEMENTS AND SERVICES	298
A.	Evaluations	298
B.	Placements	302
C.	Services	303
D.	Transitional Planning and Services	304
VI.	ACHIEVING SCHOOL STABILITY	307

Table	of Contents	
A.	McKinney-Vento	307
B.	Fostering Connections	310
1.	California	311
2.	New Jersey	311
3.	North Carolina	312
4.	Ohio	313
5.	District of Columbia	313
VII.	STANDARDS FOR EDUCATING CHILDREN IN OUT-OF-HOME CARE	324
VIII.	CONCLUSION: CROSS-SYSTEMS ADVOCACY — HOW DOES IT WORK?	325
Chapter	9 THE DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (Esther Canty-Barnes)	327
I.	FILING THE DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT	327
II.	CONTENTS OF THE DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT	327
III.	ANSWERING THE DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT	339
IV.	SUFFICIENCY CHALLENGE	339
V.	RESOLUTION MEETING AND 30 DAY WAITING PERIOD	339
VI.	PROCEDURAL PROTECTIONS IN DUE PROCESS	341
A.	The Hearing Officer's Responsibilities	341
B.	Hearing Rights	341
C.	Discovery	343
D.	Right to "Stay-Put"	344
VII.	BURDEN OF PROOF	344
	NEW JERSEY SENATE, No. 2604	345
VIII.	EXPEDITED DUE PROCESS COMPLAINTS	346
IX.	APPEAL OF DUE PROCESS DECISION	347
X.	TIMELINES FOR COMPLETION OF HEARING AND APPEAL REVIEW	348
XI.	APPEAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION	348
XII.	DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT EXERCISES	349
Chapter	REMEDIES (Yael Zakai Cannon)	353
I.	REMEDIAL AUTHORITY OF DUE PROCESS HEARING OFFICERS	353
A.	Statutory Limitations on Hearing Officer's Remedial Authority	355
1.	Substantive Violations Required, Except Where Procedural Violations Meet Status	tory
	Exceptions	355
2.	Statute of Limitations	357
3.	Lack of Parental Consent to Initial Provision of Services	357
B.	Case Law Discussing the Broad Remedial Authority of Hearing Officers	357
C.	Broad Remedial Authority of Hearing Officer as Discussed by OSEP	358
II.	REMEDIES IN CIVIL ACTIONS	359
III.	STATE COMPLAINTS	361
IV	FLIGIBILITY AND DISABILITY CLASSIFICATION	362

Table	of Contents	
V.	INDEPENDENT EVALUATIONS	362
VI.	CHANGES TO AN IEP AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AN IEP	363
VII.	COMPLIANCE WITH PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS	363
VIII.	SCHOOL PLACEMENT	
A.	Inappropriateness of the Current Placement	364
B.	The Supreme Court's Key Reimbursement Cases	365
C.	Codification of Tuition Reimbursement as a Remedy in the IDEA	367
D.	The Right to "Stay Put"	369
E.	Prospective Placement Order as a Remedy	371
F.	Factors to Consider in Identifying an Appropriate Placement	372
G.	The Process of Identifying an Appropriate Placement to Pursue as a Remedy	374
H.	Visiting a Potential School Placement	375
IX.	REIMBURSEMENT FOR OTHER SERVICES	378
X.	COMPENSATORY EDUCATION	379
A.	Varying Approaches of the Federal Courts of Appeals	380
B.	Crafting a Request for a Compensatory Education Award	382
XI.	ATTORNEYS' FEES	385
A.	Defining "Prevailing Party"	386
B.	Limitations on Attorneys' Fees	387
XII.	EXPERT FEES	388
XIII.	MONETARY DAMAGES	388
A.	Availability of Damages Under the IDEA Itself	388
B.	Availability of Damages in IDEA Cases Through § 1983	389
XIV.	SECURING SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATIONS THROUGH ALTERNATIVE ENTITLEMENTS	389
A.	Vocational Rehabilitation Services	389
B.	§ 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act	390
C.	Medicaid and EPSDT	392
D.	Supplemental Security Income	396
XV.	ASSISTING CLIENTS AFTER THE REMEDY IS ORDERED	398
Chapter	SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVOCACY FOR YOUTH IN THE DELINQUENCY SYSTEM (Joseph B. Tulman)	401
I.	A CRITIQUE OF THE DELINQUENCY SYSTEM	401
II.	CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE DELINQUENCY SYSTEM AND THE SPECIAL EDUCATION SYSTEM	
A.	A Strategy for Obtaining Treatment: Substituting the Individualized Services Available Through the Special Education System for the Limited and Often Counterproductive Sanctions in the Delinquency System	ole
B.	A Strategy for Successfully Challenging Unfair Prosecutions: Identifying Improper Unilateral Exclusion from School of Children with Disabilities and Using Delinquence	

Table o	of Contents	
III.	Intake Procedures to Stop Improper and Unnecessary Delinquency Referrals 4 SPECIAL EDUCATION, DISABILITY RIGHTS, AND THE STAGES OF A DELINQUENCY CASE	110
A.	The Delinquency Intake Stage	
В.		16
C.	Pre-Trial Motions	
D.		19
E.	Disposition (Sentencing)	-20
F.	Post-Disposition Advocacy	
IV.	CONCLUSION	-22
Appendi	x A Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 4	25
Appendi	x B IDEA Regulations	83
Appendi	y C Appandix of Major Cases 5	87
Appendi		87
	•	;99
		516
	Board of Education v. Rowley 6	
	•	38
		42
	Honig v. Doe 6	49
	Daniel R.R. v. State Board of Education	556
	Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garrett F 6	667
	Schaffer v. Weast	72
	Arlington Central School District Board of Education v. Murphy	8(
	Winkelman v. Parma City School District	88
	Forest Grove School District v. T.A	97
Table of	Cases]-1
Table of	Statutes	S- 1
Index .		[-1