

TORT LAW AND PRACTICE
FOURTH EDITION

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

William Araiza

Professor of Law
Brooklyn Law School

Lenni B. Benson

Professor of Law & Associate Dean for Professional Development
New York Law School

Raj Bhala

Rice Distinguished Professor
University of Kansas, School of Law

Ruth Colker

Distinguished University Professor & Heck-Faust Memorial Chair in Constitutional Law
Ohio State University, Moritz College of Law

David Gamage

Assistant Professor of Law
UC Berkeley School of Law

Joan Heminway

College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law
University of Tennessee College of Law

Edward Imwinkelried

Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law
UC Davis School of Law

David I. C. Thomson

LP Professor & Director, Lawyering Process Program
University of Denver, Sturm College of Law

Melissa Weresh

Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law
Drake University Law School

TORT LAW AND PRACTICE

FOURTH EDITION

DOMINICK VETRI

Professor of Law

University of Oregon Law School

LAWRENCE C. LEVINE

Professor of Law

University of the Pacific

McGeorge School of Law

JOAN E. VOGEL

Professor of Law

Vermont Law School

IBRAHIM J. GASSAMA

Professor of Law

University of Oregon Law School

ISBN: 978-1-4224-8348-0

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Tort law and practice / Dominick Vetri ... [et al].
p. cm.
Includes index.
ISBN 978-1-4224-8348-0 (casebound)
1. Torts--United States. I. Vetri, Dominick R.
KF1249.V48 2011
346.7303--dc22
2010053854

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks and Michie is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2011 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices
121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

(2011-Pub.1052)

Dedications

Dedicated to the generations of students of torts who make the teaching of this subject such a joy!

Dominick Vetri: To my partner Doug DeWitt.

Lawrence C. Levine: To my friend and colleague Julie Davies; my past, present, and future students; and in loving memory of Jeff Poile and Gerald Levine.

Joan E. Vogel: In loving memory of my parents, Harry and Marion Vogel, and to my good friends, Hugh Scogin and Reed Loder.

Ibrahim J. Gassama: To my mother Humu Awa Fofana, my partner, Marva Donna Solomon, my daughter Fatima Selene Gassama, and in loving memory of Lucille Elvira Solomon.

Introduction

[L]ogic, and history, and custom, and utility, and the accepted standards of right conduct, are the forces which singly or in combination shape the progress of the law.

BENJAMIN N. CARDOZO, CHIEF JUDGE, NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS.

You have embarked on a noble and important journey — the study of law. We will be studying our system of law which is older than our nation’s 230-plus years. Ours is a legal system with roots in the British-American colonies, England, Normandy, Rome, and beyond. To understand law, to think about law, and to learn to use law requires the development of a range of skills. You will be learning, as law teachers are fond of saying, “to think like lawyers!” These important skills that turn political scientists, historians, nurses, engineers, school teachers, musicians, and philosophers into competent lawyers include: careful reading, active listening, comprehending relevancy, critical evaluation, developing understanding and a sense of caring about people, institutions, and the local, national, and world communities. It also involves the ability to be sensitive to ethical concerns. Your college work and real life experience undoubtedly has given you a good start with many of these skills. You will develop them considerably more in your law study.

A strange thing about law study is that in most first-year programs you do not study these important lawyering skills directly. Mostly, these skills are acquired and honed as an implicit part of your study of substantive law subjects like torts, contracts, criminal law, and civil procedure. Importantly, American law schools typically do not teach law by having you read and memorize rules and principles from scholarly legal treatises. Instead, in most first-year programs, students learn the law and gain an understanding of the legal system through the study of the materials that lawyers and judges use in their daily work — cases, statutes, and administrative regulations. Law teachers believe that this method is the most effective way to teach the law.

Studying law is admittedly no easy task. It will be unlike anything you have ever done before. It will require intense critical thinking and extensive time. It is, however, an adventure — a challenging and rewarding new experience that will bring you immense intellectual and personal satisfaction.

Torts is a challenging field of law because it deals with everyday human experience and tragedy. Tort law is all about contemporary society — the accidents we experience, the personal and family relationships we create, the technology we use, and the societal mores we continue to evolve and reformulate. Torts is not only relevant to injured victims and their lawyers, but is also vitally important to society as a whole, the business and corporate community, the health care industry, and professionals of all types. Tort law most definitely is not a stodgy, old compartment of the common law; it is a vital component of our living common law.

The study of torts includes diverse areas of wrongful conduct such as negligence, personal injury law for unintentional harm, intentional torts (e.g., assault and battery), products liability, abnormally dangerous activities liability (e.g., blasting, aerial pesticide spraying), nuisance (e.g., air, water, and noise pollution), defamation (libel and slander), privacy invasion, fraud, misrepresentation, and intentional interference with contracts. Tort law study includes consideration of alternatives to the liability scheme, such as no-fault systems. Our study also includes legislative measures undertaken in recent years by Congress and many state legislatures. These legislative changes are usually referred

Introduction

to as “tort law reform.” Maximum recoveries (“caps”) on pain and suffering damages, shorter statutes of limitation, restrictions on medical malpractice actions, protection against frivolous lawsuits, restrictions on contingency fees, and prevailing party attorney fee awards are the areas receiving much legislative attention.

The casebook begins with an overview of the different culpability standards that can be used in tort cases: intent, recklessness, negligence, and strict liability. The chapter uses hypothetical variations on the now infamous McDonald’s hot coffee spill case to illustrate the spectrum of culpability. These opening materials help you to begin to formulate the goals and objectives of the legal system as they relate to providing compensation for physical and emotional harm from intentional misconduct and unintentional accidents. Some teachers begin with intentional torts, others with negligence law. There are excellent reasons for starting with each subject area; the book is designed to accommodate either approach.

Historically, intentional torts evolved first. An understanding of this subject area allows for the elements of topics such as assault and battery to be readily developed and understood. Negligence has become the predominant means of recovery for unintentional harm in American law today. Virtually all that is learned in our focus on negligence has direct benefit and application in studying the other areas of tort law, particularly products liability. In studying negligence, we investigate the fundamental objectives that our society seeks to achieve through this method of compensation for unintentional harm.

In studying torts, you will learn much about our legal system, and particularly about our common law system. Indeed, one of the reasons torts is considered a building block and required course in the first-year curriculum is that an understanding of the subject carries along with it an understanding of the common law legal system. You will become very familiar with the legal process in civil cases, the use of precedents, and the role of the courts.

In the earliest period of the evolution of the common law legal system in Britain, crime and tort were much the same in scope. The intentional torts of assault and battery and trespass to land were probably the first to develop. The law’s function in both instances was to satisfy a public and private need for vengeance, and to avoid citizens taking the law into their own hands. Deterrence of wrongful conduct also came to be seen as an important objective. Tort liability, in effect, was a legal device to dissuade a victim from seeking retaliation by offering the victim monetary compensation instead. The recognized torts in this evolutionary period were closely related to threats of public disorder, or what came to be known as breaches of the King’s peace.

During this early period (before 1800), life was mostly agrarian in nature and injury resulting from the conduct of strangers was primarily intentional. Life was tough and inordinately short. Concern over unintentional harm was not a primary interest. As industry, urban life, and transportation developed, unintended accidents became much more commonplace, and indirect injury occurred more frequently. The new risks posed by the developing industrial economy confronted the courts with problems that could not be resolved readily by the existing tort law; torts before then were based primarily on notions of causation and whether or not the harm was direct.

The common law courts, on both sides of the Atlantic and in other parts of the world, proceeded to develop a new accident law to cope with the changing society. Finding the “right balance” between the competing concerns of compensating victims and not unduly impeding developing entrepreneurship and industrialization was an important part the development of torts law. In trying to find the right balance between these two concerns, the courts built upon the ancient concept of negligence. Your study will show that negligence law has not remained static since that early period. The negligence law

Introduction

of the twenty-first century is not the same as the negligence law of the nineteenth century. As society has changed, so has negligence law. We will examine whether the right balance has been struck for our time.

We will also study about accidents in American society. Accidents are an ever-present reality in the American scene. Importantly, we have made considerable progress in bringing down the accident rate, but there have been no miracles here. The total number of accidents involving serious injuries and death on U.S. highways, at work, in our homes, and in public venues remain at unacceptably high levels. As part of our study of tort law, we will inquire into the kinds of accidents that occur in America today and their costs, both human and financial. Studying accidents naturally leads to consideration of accident prevention. Logically, accident prevention is a much wiser course of action than merely coping with medical treatment of injuries after the fact. We will consider whether in the scheme of things, accident prevention is generally given a high enough priority to have a significant effect on the number of accidents that occur.

Accidents cause injuries and injuries involve costs. The costs include not only physical injury harm, the resulting medical and rehabilitative expenses, and the loss of employment earnings, but also resulting property damages and economic losses. Furthermore, they include the human costs in terms of pain and suffering, loss of work ability and self-esteem, death, and the emotional distress that arises from accidents. How do accident victims cope? How do they pay those costs? Health and disability insurance are major players in dealing with some of these costs. But for too large a segment of our population have not had access to health or disability insurance. With the recent passage of health care reform, more people will have access to some form of health insurance in the future. We will have to examine whether the extension of health insurance coverage will lower the costs of accidents and injuries. As you will see, tort law interacts in complex ways with liability, health, and disability insurance. Liability insurance has grown alongside negligence law and has become its partner, some would say senior partner, in the modern era.

The administrative costs of the negligence system are excessively high, and include: judicial salaries, courtroom facilities, jury fees, court clerks, secretaries, bailiffs, security guards, clerical personnel, building use costs, furniture, computers, utilities, cleaning expenses, and more when looking at trial and appellate court operations. Then there are the attorneys' fees, both plaintiffs' and defendants', that have to be factored into overall administrative costs. The costs of our current accident scheme require us to also consider the cost of liability insurance, which includes the expenses of selling and administering the insurance system through adjusters and supervisors. In addition, settlement and mediation of accident claims have become increasingly important in recent years.

Tort law alone cannot be the only device to deter accidents in our society. Administrative safety regulations, criminal laws, private standard setting, public interest consumer and worker organization oversight, safety education, and publicity about safety concerns all are part of the effort to reduce the accident level, along with tort law. It will be appropriate to consider the proper mix of these efforts on accident deterrence. Tort liability and liability insurance are not the only means of compensation for injuries; private health and disability insurance, no-fault auto insurance, and public welfare are other important mechanisms for covering accident losses. Here, too, we need to be concerned about the proper blending of these resources.

Your study of torts will teach you much about the legal rules and policies underlying the accident system in the United States. Importantly, it will also teach you about the common law legal system. This knowledge will be helpful in your other studies and in your law career years ahead. Welcome to torts.

Bookguide

The questions one asks oneself begin, at last, to illuminate the world, and become one's key to the experience of others.

JAMES BALDWIN, *NOBODY KNOWS MY NAME* (1961).

Studies of teaching show that engaged students learn better. Because reading materials and listening to lectures involve only a low level of engagement, almost all of your classes in law school involve discussion and interaction with the teacher and other students to raise the level of engagement, and thus, enhance the learning experience. This casebook uses several techniques to increase the level of engagement as well. One of the first things you will realize is that there are lots of cases and a relatively low level of narrative information about the law. We teach primarily from cases, and more recently, from statutes and administrative regulations because they are the raw materials that lawyers and judges use in their daily work. Acquaintance with these materials and how to reason from them are critical to legal training.

Also, there are a number of questions following each case. You may find these questions somewhat difficult at first, but they are worth your patience and effort. Typically, they are designed to increase your understanding of the case, the evolving legal rules, and the attributes or deficiencies in the reasoning. They often do not have definitive answers, and are intended to stimulate your development of analytical skills. Work through as many questions as you can by re-reading the relevant parts of the case and talking over the questions with your colleagues. Sometimes in coming back to a question, you will later find that you have begun to work out an answer. The class discussion may often be patterned on, or relate in some way, to the questions. The questions are designed to engage you at a deeper level with what you have read, to force you to go beyond memorizing basic legal concepts, and to help you think about the materials.

One of the features of the book in the negligence area is its introduction to the five elements of a negligence claim including damages and the concept of defenses in an overview of negligence law at the outset. The basics are set out early in your learning process; you get to see the larger picture and where we will be headed for a good part of the semester. The next several chapters take each element in turn, and focus on the more complex aspects of the element. The questions, materials, and problems following the cases frequently remind you to maintain the overall perspective of the five necessary elements to make out a negligence claim, as well as possible defenses. This book emphasizes sequential learning. Gradually, you will increase your sophistication and understanding of each element as we proceed through the chapters. As you build your skill and understanding, the more challenging portions of the subject will fall into place.

Our study of tort law will give you the basic grounding in understanding our common law system and the use of precedents. The common law, in contrast to authoritative texts such as constitutions and statutes, is that part of the law that is established by courts. Common law courts typically invoke precedents to justify their conclusions. They also often explain why they have followed certain earlier decisions and not others. The common law is knowable only by reading past cases and deducing legal principles from those cases. It is different from statutory and constitutional law in that common law is self-generating, that is, past decisions are used to justify present decisions, and present decisions are references for future cases. At the same time that the common law relies on past decisions to decide many of today's cases, however, it must be open to change in light of an evolving society. A system of law that ties itself only to the past would soon be useless in the modern world. Thus, working with precedents, you will learn, is far more sophisticated and complex than just trying to determine what the rule was in a case decided 50 years ago. Our earliest concerns will be in determining what is precedent, why courts should follow it, logical extensions of precedent, developing analogies from precedent, and the flexibility courts have in dealing with precedents that are out of date, discriminatory, unbalanced, unfair, or simply wrong. Learning to identify the "holdings" of cases is the first step in working with precedents, since holdings are binding as precedent on future courts. A Case Briefing Guide is provided at the end of this Bookguide to get you started in working with cases.

Bookguide

The materials from the outset help you to integrate civil procedure into tort practice. The two are inextricably intertwined. You will also find that our study of torts complements your study of criminal law, contracts, and property in many areas. Our work with statutes will also prepare you well for administrative law and the heavily statutory-based courses, such as environmental law, the Uniform Commercial Code, and tax law. Traditionally, areas like torts, contracts, and property were predominantly common law. Statutes, however, have come to play an increasingly important role in these three subject areas. We will focus on the relationship of courts and legislatures in our system. The roles of judges and lawyers also loom large in the text.

A major feature of this book is its use of problems. Problems are placed strategically throughout the materials to engage you and to reinforce learning. Learning how to problem solve is quite important, because essentially, that is what lawyering is all about. Problem solving takes you beyond learning rules. These problems help you learn application, synthesis, and the integration of legal doctrines and skills into practice. Educators know that this is the most effective way to learn. Problem analysis raises the level of engagement considerably.

The problems typically ask you to assume the role of a lawyer. The materials also challenge the system and legal structures and call upon you to consider public policy choices. Law exams are typically based on problems that are similar to the ones you will encounter throughout the text. Learning how to analyze the problems and write organized, coherent answers will prepare you for not only torts exams, but those in all your courses. You are encouraged to write out your answers to problems and to discuss the problems and your answers with your colleagues.

Ethical concerns and ethics problems are also integrated throughout the materials. Ethical integrity and propriety are an important part of legal education and your law career ahead. Such an important area cannot be left to a single course on Professional Responsibility. Ethics issues are best understood in the contexts and circumstances in which they arise. You will confront ethical decision-making in personal injury cases, such as the problem of the lying client, conflicts of interest, honesty to the court, the zealous advocacy role of the lawyer, and others.

The cases, problems, hypotheticals, and questions in the book also present the opportunity to learn about issues related to people of color, ethnic groups, gender, disabilities, sexual identity, and sexual orientation. As lawyers, you will handle cases for people from a wide variety of backgrounds, and you must be prepared to conscientiously, sensitively, and competently represent clients from the diverse American community. As a small starting point, the names of the parties in the problems throughout the book reflect the multi-cultural nature of American society. The factual settings of the problems also, on occasion, provide information and raise issues that are of concern to diverse communities. In short, the book is intended to reflect contemporary America and to prepare you to practice law in this milieu.

Our study of tort law will not focus on the law of any particular state. Much of tort law, as we shall see, is either the same or quite similar among many states. The differences and variations among states often are opportunities to learn about alternative solutions and about law reform possibilities. We will be learning general principles, alternatives, exceptions, and the role of public policy in court decisions on torts. Since law continues to evolve, comparing alternatives and evaluating exceptions is an important role for lawyers. Many of the cases in the book were decided after 1990, and a number within the last five years. The classic torts cases, however, have been included. A sense of legal history is provided. Tort law is an evolving social phenomenon and the book aims to be contemporary.

The names of the cases usually reflect only the first party on each side of the case, for example, *Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation*, the first case in Chapter 2. There were several parties on each side of this case, but the practice by lawyers in citing cases is to use only the first party's name on each side. Most courts today place the plaintiff's name to the left of the versus line, and the defendant's name to the right. An earlier practice, reflected in older cases, placed the appellant's name (the appealing party whether plaintiff or defendant) to the left of the versus line, and the respondent's name after.

The citation of a case follows the case name, for example, the *Rudolph* case is followed by 182 Ariz. 622, 898 P.2d 1000 (Ct. App. 1995). The first cite is usually to the volumes of official reports, here Arizona Reports, and the second cite is usually to a private commercial reporter system — West Publishing Co., here the Pacific Second series. The numbers 182 and 898 in the preceding cite are to the respective volume numbers of the reports, and

Bookguide

the numbers 622 and 1000 are the page numbers in those reports where the case begins. Thus, you will find the *Rudolph* case in volume 182 of the official Arizona reports at page 623, and the same case also appears in volume 898 of West Publishing's second series of Pacific Reports at page 1000. The year the case was decided is placed in parenthesis after the citation. If the highest court of the state wrote the opinion, only the date is in the parenthesis. If a lower court wrote the opinion, an abbreviation of that court's name appears in the citation. In the *Rudolph* case, the Arizona Court of Appeals, an intermediate court lower in rank than the Arizona Supreme Court decided the case. You will soon become an old hand at working with these citations. It has become common practice to use only the regional citation with an identification of the state by an abbreviation in the parentheses before the year, such as the *Rudolph* case, 898 P.2d 1000 (Ariz. App. 1995).

The names of the attorneys who wrote the briefs and argued the cases on appeal can also be found by looking up the cases in the reports. Customarily in casebooks, to save space, the attorneys' names are omitted. This is a disservice to the hardworking attorneys because their work is usually the basis of the opinions of the courts. Much of the responsibility for the quality of opinions belongs to the attorneys. The judge that is the author of the opinion usually should not get all of the credit if it is a good opinion, or all of the blame, if the opinion leaves much to be desired. The *Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation* case in Chapter 2 includes the names of the attorneys, to remind you of their necessary role in the process. A fundamental dimension of this book is to orient the student towards the lawyers' work in presenting, defending, and appealing personal injury cases.

We have tried to balance the use of pronouns throughout the book. Older cases and articles almost invariably use male references. The note cases that sometimes follow lead cases are primarily in the language of the courts, but occasionally we have rephrased some of the content. In such note cases, the language of the court always appears in quotes. The cartoons are used to lighten up what often is rather tragic material. When you think about it, this is a book that, for the most part, deals with injuries, death, and other kinds of harm. Although keeping a certain emotional distance from the problems confronted is essential to doing competent work, total disengagement is not acceptable either. Finding the right balance is one of the criteria that defines a professional.

The book makes frequent reference to a number of excellent texts and treatises, available in your law library, in a shorthand fashion as follows: DAN B. DOBBS, *THE LAW OF TORTS* (2000) usually cited as "DOBBS" followed by a page or section number; DAN B. DOBBS, *LAW OF REMEDIES*, a multivolume treatise is shortened to DOBBS, *LAW OF REMEDIES*; JOHN L. DIAMOND, LAWRENCE C. LEVINE & ANITA BERNSTEIN, *UNDERSTANDING TORTS* (4th ed. 2010), abbreviated as "UNDERSTANDING TORTS"; and JOSEPH W. GLANNON, *THE LAW OF TORTS: EXAMPLES & EXPLANATIONS* (3d ed. 2006) is abbreviated as "GLANNON."

Class preparation requires that you brief the cases that you read. Briefing is an art that lawyers acquire from experience. Teachers often have special ways they like to have cases briefed, so there is no uniform pattern. The following suggestions on briefing, however, are offered as general guidelines as you begin your classes. We are indebted to Professors Paul J. Mishkin and Clarence Morris and their impressive book, *ON LAW IN COURTS* at 11 (1965), for their considerable insights about briefing cases.

Case Briefing Guidelines

(1). **Facts.** Identify the critical facts of the case, striking off those facts that are not relevant to the decision of the court.

(2). **Procedural Background.** Determine the particular ruling or rulings of the trial judge that became crucial on appeal. Was it the grant or denial of a directed verdict motion, a summary judgment motion, a motion on the pleadings, a motion on the judgment, etc. Another way of looking at this is to ask, who won below and what procedural device did the winner invoke? Isolating the procedural ruling helps to identify the issue on appeal in terms of law and fact questions.

(3). **Issues.** Identify the precise legal issues on appeal. Determine the legal questions that were necessary for the court to resolve. A ruling on an issue that is not necessary to the resolution of the case is referred to as dictum. Rulings on relevant issues are referred to as holdings. Holdings have precedential value for future cases. Dicta has whatever persuasive weight future courts choose to give it.

(4). **Holding.** State the holding of the case as a rule of law. Often, there are several holdings. You will learn that a holding can be stated broadly or narrowly in terms of their effect on future cases. Lawyers, on behalf of

Bookguide

their clients, often use this flexibility in describing holdings when arguing the merit of a precedent in future cases. You should attempt to both frame your holdings broadly and narrowly in each case to help you develop the skill. Consider the rules the plaintiff and defendant were respectively seeking to have adopted by the court. Determine whether the court chose one party's suggestions or developed its own legal rule. We are looking for the guidance the decision provides for future cases. Procedural details and irrelevant facts should be eliminated from your holding statements. Determine if the case expands existing precedents, modifies them, overrules them, or possibly reduces the reach of the precedents.

(5). **Sources of Authority.** Identify the sources of authority relied on by the court. Determine if the court relies on in-state precedents, out-of-state decisions, statutes, administrative regulations, treatises, law review articles, etc. Analyze whether the sources of authority are clearly on point, are based on strong principles, are controlling, and are persuasive. Determine if the court relied on public policy considerations. Policy considerations such as accident prevention, economic concerns, compensation, administrative workability of rules, and fairness and justice are often appropriate factors in the resolution of torts cases.

(6). **Evaluate the Reasoning.** Consider whether the reasoning of the court is sound, effective, and persuasive. Determine if the court overlooked or under valued anything. Consider how you would have decided the case.

(7). **Concurring and Dissenting Opinions.** Determine why the judge believed it necessary to write a separate opinion. These separate opinions may provide insights about what the majority did. Compare the reasoning and the use of precedents of the differing opinions.

We trust that as you work your way through this book and develop competent lawyering skills, you will find your study of tort law as intellectually stimulating and interesting as many generations of law students before you have found it. Good venturing in tort law!

Permissions

We are very grateful to the following authors, artists, agents, and publishers for granting permission to use their copyrighted works.

Articles, Books, and Newspapers

American Law Institute.

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) TORTS: sections 28 (comment excerpts), 45 (comment excerpts), 46 (comment excerpts), 220, 222A (comment excerpts), 288A (comment excerpts), 288C, 298 (comment excerpts), 314, 328D, 339, 402A (comment excerpts), 559, 652C, 652E, 821 B, 821C, 826 & 829A. Copyright © 1965 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (THIRD) UNFAIR COMPETITION: sections 46 & 47. Copyright © 1995 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (THIRD) TORTS: PRODUCTS LIABILITY: sections 1, 2 (comment excerpts), 3, 4, 10. Copyright © 1998 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (THIRD) PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL HARM: sections 1, 3 (comment excerpts), 4, 6 (comment excerpts), 7 (comment excerpts), 14, 17, 25 (comment excerpts), 28 (comment excerpts), 29 (comment excerpts), 45 (comment excerpts). Copyright © 2010 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

Anderson, David A., *Reputation, Compensation, and Proof*, 25 WM. & MARY L. REV. 747, 764-66 (1984).

Berger, Margaret, *Eliminating General Causation: Notes Towards a New Theory of Justice & Toxic Torts*, 97 COLUM. L. REV. 2177 (1997). Copyright © 1997 Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc.; Margaret A. Berger.

Chlapowski, Francis S., *The Constitutional Protection of Informational Privacy*, 71:1 B. U. L. REV. 133-60 (1991). Reprinted with permission. Copyright © 1991 Trustees of Boston University. Forum of original publication.

Grady, Mark F., *Untaken Precautions*, 18 J. LEGAL STUD. 139 (1989). Copyright © The University of Chicago.

Handsley, Elizabeth, *Mental Injury Occasioned by Harm to Another: A Feminist Critique*, 14 LAW & INEQ. 391 (1996).

Harmon, Brenda K., *Parent-Child Tort Immunity: The Supreme Court of Illinois Finally Gives This Doctrine the Attention It's Been Demanding*, 19 S. Ill. U. L.J. 633 (1995). Copyright © 1995 by the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University.

HOLMES, OLIVER WENDELL, THE COMMON LAW (Little, Brown and Co. 1881).

Kelner, Joseph, *The Catastrophic Case*, 27 TRIAL 34 (1995). Reprinted with permission of TRIAL (1995). Copyright © The Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Koskoff, Yale D., *The Nature of Pain and Suffering*, 13 TRIAL 21-24 (1977). Reprinted with permission of TRIAL (1977). Copyright © The Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Lawrence, III, Charles R., *If He Hollers Let Him Go: Regulating Racist Speech on Campus*, WORDS THAT WOUND 53 (1993).

THE LONDON INDEPENDENT, "Spleenless in Seattle", Dec. 6, 1994. Copyright © 1994.

Malone, Wex S., *Ruminations on Cause in Fact*, 9 STAN. L. REV. 60 (1956). Copyright © 1956 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.

McClurg, Andrew J., *Poetry in Commotion: Katko v. Briney and the Bards of First-Year Torts*, 74 OR. L. REV. 823 (1995). Poem "Katko v. Briney" by Gary Austin. Reprinted by Permission. Copyright © 1995 by University of Oregon.

Permissions

Malone, Wex S., *Ruminations on Cause-In-Fact*, 9 STAN. L. REV. 60 (1956). Copyright © 1956 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.

MORRIS, CLARENCE & C. ROBERT, MORRIS ON TORTS 155–58, 163–66 (2d ed. 1980). Reprinted by permission from MORRIS ON TORTS (2d ed. 1980). Copyright © Foundation Press, Westbury, New York.

NEW YORK TIMES, “Bomb Blast Injures 13 in Station Crowd”, Aug. 25, 1924. Copyright © 1924 by The New York Times Co. Reprinted by permission.

SMITH, J.C., LIABILITY IN NEGLIGENCE 29–40 (Sweet & Maxwell Ltd. 1984). Copyright © 1984.

Weinrib, Ernest J., *The Case for a Duty to Rescue*. Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Co. and Fred B. Rothman & Co. from THE YALE LAW JOURNAL, Vol. 90, pp. 247–93.

West Publishing Co., several charts and graphs from LAWRENCE C. LEVINE, SUM & SUBSTANCE QUICK REVIEW: TORTS (3d ed. 2000).

Photographs

Cardozo, Benjamin, Nathan, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, LC-USZ62-75144.

Hand, Learned, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, LC-USZ62-98139.

Holmes, Oliver Wendell, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, copyright CLINEDINST, LC-USZ62-9406.

Cartoons

CALVIN & HOBBS. Copyright © 1990, 1995, 1996, 1997 Watterson. Dist. by Universal Press Syndicate. Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.

Katz, Colette T. Copyright © 2003. All rights reserved.

Ziskin, Linda. Copyright © 1998, 2002. All rights reserved.

Acknowledgments

[A] person does not cease to be a person when she puts on her black robe, any more than a judge who acknowledges her humanity thereby ceases to be a judge. The best judges are those who can be both judge and human at once.

Shirley S. Abrahamson, Chief Justice, Wisconsin Supreme Court

Dominick Vetri

I am deeply indebted to my extremely competent and diligent research assistants for their work and insights on the four editions of the casebook.

Linda Ziskin, a former student and now successful private practice lawyer in Oregon, contributed considerable wit, satire, and artistic talent with her wonderful cartoons. She also was extremely helpful in developing and coordinating the numerous permissions that were essential for the first edition of the book. Sean Mangan also created a wonderful cartoon strip to illustrate the McDonald's hypothetical problems in Chapter 1. Colette T. Katz has produced a creative cartoon for Chapter 3.

Debby Warren, Jennifer Kepka, and Karyn Smith brought their considerable faculty support talents and competence to the work on this fourth edition.

The many torts students I have had the pleasure of teaching over the years and those who used this book in its various incarnations enabled me to develop many of the ideas for the casebook. I heartily thank them for their understanding, and for the numerous ideas and suggestions they made. I am deeply indebted to my extremely competent and diligent research assistants on four editions of this casebook: Laura Sadowski, Christopher Walther, Shannon Green, Ben Tiller, Michael Stephenson, Natalie Duke, Steffanie Foster, Tiffany Keb, John Wilson, Anne Abbott, Heather Cavanaugh, Christy Cox, Arne Cherkoss, Monica Wells, Ky Fullerton, Louis Bubala, Charlotte Waldo, Jeff Mitchell, Robert Muraski, Sam Taylor, Tristyne Edmon, Anthony Wilson, Chad Standifer, Linda Ziskin, Tamara Brickman, M. H. Choo, Lynne Rennick, Kirsten Jepsen, Gene Shapiro, Phil Horne, Kyle Wuepper, Inger Brockman, Tracy Trunnell, Joel Parker, Mark Ditton., Susie Mason, Patrick Aquino, and Merlyn Adams. Their thorough legal research, ideas, and editing make the book a much better work product, and working with each of them made the development of the book a pleasure. I wish each of them well, happiness, and great success in their lives and career years ahead.

Thanks also to my partner Doug DeWitt, for his inordinate patience with me as the book grew and developed and now has become a fourth edition.

Lawrence C. Levine

My thanks to the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law for providing me a sabbatical to work on this project. Also thanks to my colleague, Julie Davies, for her helpful input along the way. Indeed, my dedication to this book recognizes Julie's enormous contributions to me not just on this book, but as a Torts professor in general. I also am extremely grateful to Dominick Vetri for inviting me to participate on the casebook. It took great generosity and confidence.

I have benefited from the work of too many Torts teacher-scholars to name. I do wish to thank Jane Aiken, Jody Armour, and Jean Love specifically for providing thoughts on ways to make this casebook more inclusive.

I am most grateful to my research assistants Shaun Edwards (McGeorge '12), Max Hellman (McGeorge '11), John Marchione (NYLS '11), Jo Mitchell (McGeorge '12), Cheri Reynolds (Lewis

Acknowledgments

& Clark '12), and Therese Vradenburg (McGeorge '12) for their invaluable help with this edition. I remain indebted to my research assistants Poopak Banky (NYLS '07), Kurt Havens (NYLS '07), Matt Hooper (McGeorge '08), Morgan Kunz (NYLS '06), Lara Wallman (McGeorge '06), Margaret Broussard (McGeorge '02), Marianne Water-stradt (McGeorge '03), Amelia Sanders (McGeorge '02), and Michael Grosso (NYLS '03), who with tenacity and good humor contributed mightily to earlier editions. Also, I am beholden to R.K. Van Every for her assistance with this edition and to Denai Burbank and Paul Fuller for their help on prior editions.

Finally, I want to express my gratitude to the many students who make each class a new adventure.

Joan E. Vogel

I wish to acknowledge a special debt of gratitude that I owe to Lucinda Finley who recommended that I join this casebook in its second edition. I also want to thank all my research assistants and faculty secretaries for their invaluable assistance on the second and third editions of this casebook. I am grateful to Edalin Michael and Britteny Jenkins, and my faculty secretary Ginny Burnham, for the wonderful assistance they provided on the fourth edition. I am particularly grateful to Dean of Vermont Law School, Geoffrey Shields, and Vice Dean for Academic Affairs, Gilbert Kujovich, for the support I received for this project. I am also grateful to Lucinda Finely, Richard Delgado, Leslie Bender, Taunya Banks, Jean Love, Phoebe Haddon, Martha Chamallas, and Okianer C. Dark for helping me think about ways to integrate race, gender, sexual orientation, sexual identity, and class into the teaching of tort law. As always, I want to express my thanks to all my torts students for their invaluable feedback on the casebook.

Ibrahim J. Gassama

My deepest gratitude goes to Dominick Vetri, Joan Vogel, and Lawrence Levine for the opportunity to participate in this outstanding labor of love. I am honored to be in their company. To the many students in my torts classes over the years who have never allowed me to leave a class uninspired, I want to say thank you. My thanks also go to Professors Hope Lewis, Leslie Harris, and Keith Aoki, for their persistent encouragement and support over the years. My contribution to this text would have suffered greatly without the insights and commitment of my research assistants, Aaron Crockett, Kyle Ingram, and Courtney Leigh Pickus. I am indebted to my faculty support colleagues Debby Warren and Jennifer Kepka who provided exceptional editorial assistance. The University of Oregon School of Law gave me financial support over the summer that enabled me to devote time to this project.

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION TO TORT LAW	1
§ 1.01	Introduction	2
§ 1.02	Accidents in the United States	4
§ 1.03	A Brief Historical Background of Tort Law	10
§ 1.04	The Functions and Goals of Tort Law	11
§ 1.05	The Culpability Spectrum in Tort Law	13
§ 1.06	The Coffee and Culpability Problems	15
§ 1.07	The Tort Law Litigation Process	26
§ 1.08	Overview of Personal Injury Damages	38
§ 1.09	Vicarious Liability and Employer Responsibility	43
CHAPTER 2	NEGLIGENCE: BREACH OF DUTY	61
§ 2.01	Overview of Negligence Law	62
§ 2.02	Analysis of the Elements of a Negligence Case	66
§ 2.03	The Reasonable Care Standard	78
§ 2.04	The Reasonable Person	78
§ 2.05	Developing the Reasonable Care Standard	99
§ 2.06	Alternatives to the Reasonable Care Standard	124
§ 2.07	Proof of Negligence	148
§ 2.08	The Standard of Care in Professional Malpractice	165
§ 2.09	Putting Breach of Duty Analysis Together	186
CHAPTER 3	DUTY	191
§ 3.01	General Duty of Reasonable Care	193
§ 3.02	Limited Duty Rules	208
§ 3.03	Putting Duty Analysis Together	346
CHAPTER 4	CAUSATION	353
§ 4.01	The Conceptual Basis of Causation	354
§ 4.02	Loss of a Chance of Recovery	389
§ 4.03	Using Scientific and Technical Evidence to Prove Causation	401
§ 4.04	Proving Who Caused the Harm	418
§ 4.05	Putting Causation Together	440

Summary of Contents

CHAPTER 5	SCOPE OF LIABILITY	443
§ 5.01	The Conceptual Basis of Scope of Liability	444
§ 5.02	Applications of the Foresight Rule	447
§ 5.03	Exceptions to the Foresight Rule	479
§ 5.04	Framework for Analyzing Scope of Liability	491
§ 5.05	Putting Scope of Liability Analysis Together	498
CHAPTER 6	DAMAGES	501
§ 6.01	Personal Injury Damages	502
§ 6.02	Pain, Suffering, and Emotional Distress	518
§ 6.03	Loss of Enjoyment of Life Damages	522
§ 6.04	Punitive Damages	529
§ 6.05	Wrongful Death	540
§ 6.06	Minority and Gender Status and Equal Justice	549
CHAPTER 7	DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES	571
§ 7.01	Contributory Negligence	572
§ 7.02	Comparative Fault	573
§ 7.03	Assumption of Risk	586
§ 7.04	Analysis Under Different Comparative Fault Systems	607
§ 7.05	Statutes of Limitation	611
§ 7.06	Charitable Immunity	624
§ 7.07	Spousal Immunity	625
§ 7.08	Parental Immunity	627
§ 7.09	Governmental Immunity	632
CHAPTER 8	INTENTIONAL TORTS	641
§ 8.01	Overview of Intentional Torts	641
§ 8.02	Assault, Battery, and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	642
§ 8.03	The Meaning of Intent	652
§ 8.04	Short Problems on Battery and Assault	664
§ 8.05	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress in Discrimination Cases	668
§ 8.06	Short Practice Problems on Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	685
§ 8.07	False Imprisonment	687
§ 8.08	Trespass to Chattels and Conversion	694
§ 8.09	Defenses and Privileges	702
§ 8.10	Putting Intentional Tort Analysis Together	729

Summary of Contents

CHAPTER 9	TRESPASS TO LAND, NUISANCE, AND ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	737
§ 9.01	Trespass to Land	737
§ 9.02	Nuisance	741
§ 9.03	Abnormally Dangerous Activities	768
CHAPTER 10	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	783
§ 10.01	The Development of Strict Products Liability	785
§ 10.02	The Prima Facie Case of Strict Products Liability	799
§ 10.03	Defenses	885
§ 10.04	Putting Products Liability Analysis Together	890
CHAPTER 11	DEFAMATION LAW	895
§ 11.01	Overview of Common Law Defamation and Defenses	896
§ 11.02	The “Constitutionalization” of Defamation Law: First Amendment Privileges	919
§ 11.03	Constitutional Privileges: Public Officials and Public Figures	922
§ 11.04	Constitutional Privileges: Private Persons	940
§ 11.05	Determining Status	961
§ 11.06	Opinion Revisited	968
§ 11.07	Putting Defamation Analysis Together	970
§ 11.08	Proposals for Reform	975
CHAPTER 12	PRIVACY	977
§ 12.01	Introduction to Privacy	978
§ 12.02	Intrusion	987
§ 12.03	Public Disclosure of Private Facts	1007
§ 12.04	False Light Invasion of Privacy	1021
§ 12.05	Appropriation	1033
§ 12.06	Right of Publicity	1038
§ 12.07	Putting Privacy and Publicity Analysis Together	1047

Table of Contents

<i>Dedications</i>	iii
<i>Introduction</i>	v
<i>Bookguide</i>	ix
<i>Permissions</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>Summary of Contents</i>	xvii

Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION TO TORT LAW 1

PART I

§ 1.01	Introduction	2
§ 1.02	Accidents in the United States	4
	[A] Introduction	4
	[B] Accidental Deaths and Disabling Injuries	5
	[C] Accident Costs	7
	[D] Tort Claims and Damage Awards	8
§ 1.03	A Brief Historical Background of Tort Law	10
§ 1.04	The Functions and Goals of Tort Law	11
	[A] Deterrence and Accident Prevention	11
	[B] Compensation	12
	[C] Avoidance of Undue Burdens on Economic Activities	12
	[D] Effective and Efficient Legal Process	12
	[E] Fairness	13
§ 1.05	The Culpability Spectrum in Tort Law	13
	[A] Intended Harm: Intentional Torts	14
	[B] Unintended Harm	14
	[1] Strict Liability	14
	[2] Negligence	14
	[3] Recklessness	15
§ 1.06	The Coffee and Culpability Problems	15
	[A] The Willful Misconduct Problem	15
	[B] The Actual McDonald’s Negligence (and Recklessness) Case	17
	[C] The No-Fault (Strict Liability) Problem	23
	[1] Strict Liability	23
	[2] Compensation Systems	25

PART II

§ 1.07	The Tort Law Litigation Process	26
	[A] Lawyer Consultation	26
	[1] Interview	27
	[2] Fees	27

Table of Contents

[3] Preliminary Investigation	27
[4] The Defense Lawyer’s Perspective	28
[B] Pleadings	28
[1] Complaint	28
[2] Motions	28
[3] Answer	29
[C] Pre-Trial Procedure	29
[1] Investigation	29
[2] Discovery	30
[3] Motions	31
[4] Settlement Negotiations and Mediation	33
[5] Pre-Trial Conference	33
[D] Trial	34
[1] Trial — Part 1	34
[2] Trial — Part 2	36
[E] Appeal	37
§ 1.08 Overview of Personal Injury Damages	38

PART III

§ 1.09 Vicarious Liability and Employer Responsibility	43
[A] Introduction	43
[B] Application of Vicarious Liability Principles	45
[1] Employee or Independent Contractor	45
<i>Kime v. Hobbs</i>	45
Notes & Questions	48
Note on Vicarious Liability in the Franchise Context	50
[2] Scope of Employment	51
<i>Pyne v. Witmer</i>	51
Notes & Questions	56
[C] Vicarious Liability Problems	58
Accomplishment Note	59

Chapter 2 NEGLIGENCE LAW: BREACH OF DUTY 61

§ 2.01 Overview of Negligence Law	62
[A] Outline of the Elements of a Negligence Case	63
[B] Overview of the Elements of a Negligence Case	63
[1] Duty	63
[2] Breach of Duty	64
[3] Causation (Cause-In-Fact)	64
[4] Scope of Liability (Proximate Cause)	64
[5] Damages	64

Table of Contents

[C]	Defenses to a Negligence Case	65
[D]	Proving the Elements of a Negligence Case	65
§ 2.02	Analysis of the Elements of a Negligence Case	66
[A]	Analysis of a Negligence Case	66
	<i>Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation</i>	67
	Notes & Questions	71
[B]	Putting the Analysis of a Negligence Case All Together	77
§ 2.03	The Reasonable Care Standard	78
§ 2.04	The Reasonable Person	78
[A]	General Characteristics	78
	<i>Vaughan v. Menlove</i>	79
	<i>Reed v. Tacoma Ry. & P. Co.</i>	79
	Oliver Wendell Holmes, <i>The Common Law</i>	80
	Notes & Questions	81
[B]	Reasonable Men and Women	82
	<i>Edwards v. Johnson</i>	82
	Notes & Questions	83
[C]	Emergency	85
	<i>Foster v. Strutz</i>	85
	Notes & Questions	87
[D]	Physically Different Characteristics	89
[E]	Mentally Disabled Individuals	89
	<i>Bashi v. Wodarz</i>	89
	Notes & Questions	92
[F]	Children	94
	<i>Robinson v. Lindsay</i>	94
	Notes & Questions	96
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	98
	Superior Skill Problem	99
§ 2.05	Developing the Reasonable Care Standard	99
[A]	Balancing Risk vs. Untaken Precautions	99
	<i>United States v. Carroll Towing Co.</i>	99
	Notes & Questions	100
	Mark F. Grady, <i>Untaken Precautions</i>	106
	<i>McCarty v. Pheasant Run, Inc.</i>	108
	Notes & Questions	110
	The Missing Rearview Mirror Problem	113
	The Dangerous Bus Stop Problem	113
[B]	Role of Custom	114
	<i>Hagerman Construction, Inc. v. Copeland</i>	115
	Notes & Questions	115

Table of Contents

	<i>Trimarco v. Klein</i>	116
	Notes & Questions	118
	<i>The T. J. Hooper</i>	121
	Notes & Questions	122
	The Careless Driver Problem	123
§ 2.06	Alternatives to the Reasonable Care Standard	124
[A]	Specific Judicial Standards	124
	<i>Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. v. Goodman</i>	124
	<i>Pokora v. Wabash Ry. Co.</i>	125
	Notes & Questions	128
[B]	Safety Statutes and Regulations as Standards	129
	<i>Ferrell v. Baxter</i>	130
	Notes & Questions	133
	<i>Wright v. Brown</i>	136
	Notes & Questions	137
[1]	Relationship of Statutory Standards to the Reasonable Care Standard	139
[2]	The Role of Excuses	139
	The Headlights Problem	143
[3]	Negligence Per Se vs. Child Standard of Care	144
	<i>Bauman v. Crawford</i>	144
	Notes & Questions	147
	Childproof Cap Problem	147
	Keys in Ignition Problem	148
§ 2.07	Proof of Negligence	148
[A]	Circumstantial Proof	148
	<i>Clark v. Kmart Corp.</i>	148
	Notes & Questions	151
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	151
[B]	Res Ipsa Loquitur	152
	<i>Byrne v. Boadle</i>	152
	Notes & Questions	153
	<i>Eaton v. Eaton</i>	153
	Notes & Questions	155
[1]	The Defendant’s Responsibility — The “Control” Element	156
	Notes & Questions	158
	<i>Ybarra v. Spangard</i>	159
	Notes & Questions	162
	The Falling Steer Problem	163
	The Rolling Car Problem	164
§ 2.08	The Standard of Care in Professional Malpractice	165
[A]	Negligent Medical Performance	165

Table of Contents

	<i>Smith v. Finch</i>	165
	Notes & Questions	167
[B]	The Doctrine of Informed Consent	173
	<i>Phillips v. Hull</i>	173
	Notes & Questions	176
	Medical Malpractice Problem	180
[C]	Legal Malpractice and the Liability of Other Professionals	181
	<i>Smith v. Lewis</i>	181
	Notes & Questions	184
§ 2.09	Putting Breach of Duty Analysis Together	186
	The Glass Patio Door Problem	186
	Electroshock Therapy Problem	188
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	188
	Accomplishment Note	189
Chapter 3	DUTY	191
§ 3.01	General Duty of Reasonable Care	193
	<i>Macpherson v. Buick Motor Co.</i>	193
	Notes & Questions	199
	<i>A.W. v. Lancaster County School District</i>	203
	Notes & Questions	205
	Note on the American System of Precedent	206
§ 3.02	Limited Duty Rules	208
[A]	Owners and Occupiers of Land	208
	<i>American Industries Life Insurance Co. v. Ruvalcaba</i>	208
	Notes & Questions	214
	Note on Child Visitors	216
	<i>Rowland v. Christian</i>	217
	Notes & Questions	223
	Duty Note	225
	Note on Varying Culpability as a Tool to Limit Duty	229
	The Seashell Motel Problem	229
	Ice Caves Mountain Problem	230
[B]	Limited Duties to Act Affirmatively to Prevent Harm	231
[1]	To Assist or Rescue	231
	RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS ILLUSTRATION	232
	Failure to Act Results in Death	233
	<i>Yania v. Bigan</i>	234
	<i>Farwell v. Keaton</i>	235
	No Duty To Assist Note	240
	J.C. Smith, <i>Liability in Negligence</i>	240

Table of Contents

Ernest J. Weinrib, <i>The Case for a Duty to Rescue</i>	240
Notes & Questions	242
Duty To Act Reform Statute Drafting Problem	250
[2] To Take Protective Measures Against Risks Posed by Third Persons	250
<i>Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California</i>	251
<i>Dunkle v. Food Service East</i>	256
Notes & Questions	257
Note on Abuse Reporting Statutes as a Source of Duty	261
Note on Implied Rights of Action Under Statutes	261
The Child Abuse Problem	263
[3] To Protect Against Criminal Conduct	263
<i>Delta Tau Delta v. Johnson</i>	264
Notes & Questions	267
Note on Duty of Gun Manufacturers to Protect Against Gun Violence	270
Note on Duty of Alcohol Providers	272
[4] Public Duty Doctrine	273
<i>Cuffy v. City of New York</i>	273
Notes & Questions	276
The Designated Driver Problem	279
Problem: Who Shot Arnold Schuster?	280
The Involuntary Police Decoy Problem	280
Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	281
[C] Limited Duties Regarding the Type of Harm	282
[1] Emotional Distress Injuries	282
[a] Persons Subject to Direct Physical Risk	283
<i>Mitchell v. Rochester Ry. Co.</i>	283
[b] Bystander Emotional Harm — Persons Outside the Zone of Danger	284
<i>Clohessy v. Bachelor</i>	285
Notes & Questions	291
Note On Common Law Authority to Overrule Precedent	297
[c] Independent Duty for Emotional Well-Being	298
<i>Burgess v. Superior Court</i>	300
<i>Huggins v. Longs Drug Stores California, Inc.</i>	304
Notes & Questions	306
<i>Boyles v. Kerr</i>	308
Notes & Questions	309
[d] Duty to Protect Against Fear of Future Disease	312
<i>Majca v. Beekil</i>	312
Notes & Questions	314
Fear Resulting From Misdiagnosis Problem	316
The Second Mother’s Emotional Distress Problem	317

Table of Contents

	Fertility Problem	317
[2]	Pre-Natal Torts	318
	<i>Greco v. United States</i>	318
	Notes & Questions	324
[3]	Consortium Loss	327
	<i>Charron v. Amaral</i>	330
	<i>Reagan v. Vaughn</i>	333
[4]	Pure Economic Loss	333
	<i>People Express Airlines, Inc. v. Consolidated Rail Corp.</i>	333
	Notes & Questions	338
	Note on the Source of Law Making Role of Courts in the Common Law System	342
§ 3.03	Putting Duty Analysis Together	346
[A]	Duty Analysis Framework	346
[B]	Duty Practice Problems	349
	Pumping Gas to Drunks Problem	349
	Physician Liability to Third Parties Problem	350
	Criminal Attack on Delivery Person Problem	350
	The Fierce Doberman Problem	351
	Accomplishment Note	352
Chapter 4	CAUSATION	353
§ 4.01	The Conceptual Basis of Causation	354
[A]	But For Causation	354
	<i>Sowles v. Moore</i>	354
	<i>New York C.R.R. Co. v. Grimstad</i>	355
	Notes & Questions	355
[B]	The Substantial Factor Test	356
	<i>Corey v. Havener</i>	357
	<i>Smith v. J. C. Penney Co.</i>	357
	Notes & Questions	358
[C]	Proof of Causation	359
[1]	Cumulating Proof to Identify the Cause	359
	<i>Ingersoll v. Liberty Bank of Buffalo</i>	360
	Proving Causation Note	363
	Clarence Morris & C. Robert Morris, Jr., <i>Morris on Torts</i>	363
	Notes & Questions	365
[2]	Untaken Precautions: Proving the Counterfactual	367
	<i>Zuchowicz v. United States</i>	367
	<i>Williams v. Utica College of Syracuse Univ.</i>	369
	Notes & Questions	377

Table of Contents

	Wex S. Malone, <i>Ruminations on Cause-In-Fact</i>	380
[3]	Multiple Parties: Apportionment of Damages or Joint Liability	381
	<i>Fugere v. Pierce</i>	382
	Notes & Questions	384
	Note on Joint and Several Liability	385
	Notes & Questions	387
	Bad Brakes Problem	388
	Gallstone Diagnosis Problem	388
	Candlewatt Power Problem	388
	Ethics Note on Lying in Negotiations	389
§ 4.02	Loss of a Chance of Recovery	389
	<i>Matsuyama v. Birnbaum</i>	389
	Notes & Questions	398
§ 4.03	Using Scientific and Technical Evidence to Prove Causation	401
	Note on Scientific Evidence to Prove Causation	401
	Note on Epidemiological Studies	403
	Note on the <i>Daubert</i> Trilogy	405
	<i>Globetti v. Sandoz Pharms., Corp.</i>	406
	<i>Glastetter v. Novartis Pharm. Corp.</i>	411
	Notes & Questions	413
	The Diet Drug Problem	417
§ 4.04	Proving Who Caused the Harm	418
[A]	Alternative Liability	418
	<i>Summers v. Tice</i>	418
	<i>Barron v. Martin-Marietta Corp.</i>	420
	Notes & Questions	423
[B]	Market Share Liability	424
[1]	Market Share Theory	424
	<i>Hymowitz v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i>	425
	Notes & Questions	431
[2]	Application of Market Share Theory to Residential Lead Paint Problem	432
	<i>Brenner v. American Cyanamid Co.</i>	433
	<i>Thomas v. Mallett</i>	434
	Notes & Questions	438
§ 4.05	Putting Causation Together	440
	The Newspaper Wire Problem	440
	Accomplishment Note	441
Chapter 5	SCOPE OF LIABILITY (PROXIMATE CAUSE)	443
§ 5.01	The Conceptual Basis of Scope of Liability	444
[A]	The Direct Consequences Test	445

Table of Contents

[B]	The Foresight Test	446
§ 5.02	Applications of the Foresight Rule	447
[A]	Unforeseeable Plaintiffs	447
	<i>Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R. Co.</i>	447
	Notes & Questions	451
[B]	Unforeseeable Consequences	454
	<i>Juisti v. Hyatt Hotel Corp. of Maryland</i>	454
	Notes & Questions	455
	Note on Lawyer Advocacy on Scope of Liability Issues	458
	Clarence Morris & C. Robert Morris, Jr., <i>Morris on Torts</i>	458
	Notes & Questions	460
	Reconciling Foresight in the Breach and Scope Elements	460
	Peripatetic Rat Problem	461
[C]	Intervening Forces	463
[1]	Criminal Conduct of a Third Person	463
	<i>McClenahan v. Cooley</i>	463
	Notes & Questions	466
	<i>Price v. Blaine Kern Artista, Inc.</i>	466
	Notes & Questions	467
[2]	Shifting Responsibility Issue	469
	<i>McLaughlin v. Mine Safety Appliances Co.</i>	469
	Notes & Questions	474
	<i>Bigbee v. Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co.</i>	476
	Notes & Questions	479
§ 5.03	Exceptions to the Foresight Rule	479
[A]	The Medical Malpractice Complications Rule	479
	<i>Association for Retarded Citizens-Volusia, Inc. v. Fletcher</i>	479
	Notes & Questions	482
[B]	The Eggshell [Thin-Skulled] Plaintiff Rule	483
	<i>Pace v. Ohio Dep't. of Transp.</i>	483
	Notes & Questions	486
[C]	The Rescuer Rule	487
	<i>Sears v. Morrison</i>	487
	Notes & Questions	488
§ 5.04	Framework for Analyzing Scope of Liability	491
[A]	Foresight Analysis	492
[1]	Unforeseeable Plaintiffs and Unforeseeable Consequences	492
[2]	Intervening Forces and Shifting Responsibility	492
[B]	Existing Exceptions to The Foresight Test	493
	Sampling of Cases on Scope of Liability	494
	Legal Advocacy Problem	495

Table of Contents

§ 5.05	Putting Scope of Liability Analysis Together	498
	Oil Spill Tragedy Problem	498
	Cat in the Hat Disaster	498
	Exam Drafting Problem	499
	Accomplishment Note	499
Chapter 6	DAMAGES	501
§ 6.01	Personal Injury Damages	502
	<i>Calva-Cerqueira v. United States</i>	502
	Notes & Questions	510
	Note on Catastrophic Injury Case Preparation	517
§ 6.02	Pain, Suffering, and Emotional Distress	518
§ 6.03	Loss of Enjoyment of Life Damages	522
	<i>Eyoma v. Falco</i>	523
	Notes & Questions	527
§ 6.04	Punitive Damages	529
[A]	Constitutional Considerations in Punitive Damages	530
	<i>State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell</i>	530
	<i>BMW of N. Am. v. Gore</i>	533
	<i>Philip Morris USA v. Williams</i>	533
	<i>Exxon Shipping Co. v. Baker</i>	534
	<i>Mathias v. Accor Econ. Lodging</i>	535
	Notes & Questions	539
§ 6.05	Wrongful Death	540
	<i>Krouse v. Graham</i>	542
	Notes & Questions	544
§ 6.06	Minority and Gender Status and Equal Justice	549
[A]	Racial Status	551
	<i>McMillan v. City of New York</i>	551
	<i>Tarpeh-Doe v. U.S.</i>	557
	Notes & Questions	558
[B]	Gender Status	560
	<i>Reilly v. United States</i>	560
	<i>United States v. Bedonie</i>	561
	Notes & Questions	561
[C]	Lesbian and Gay Family Status	563
	The Vacationing Family Problem	565
[D]	Socio-Economic Status	565
	<i>Williams v. New York</i>	566
	Notes & Questions	566
	Accomplishment Note	569

Table of Contents

Chapter 7	DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES	571
§ 7.01	Contributory Negligence	572
§ 7.02	Comparative Fault	573
[A]	The Basic Policy	573
	<i>Hoffman v. Jones</i>	574
	Notes & Questions	577
[B]	Factors in Assigning Percentages of Fault	578
	<i>Wassell v. Adams</i>	578
	Notes & Questions	582
§ 7.03	Assumption of Risk	586
[A]	Express Assumption of Risk	586
	Notes & Questions	587
	Whitewater Trip Waiver Problem	588
[B]	Implied Assumption of Risk	590
	<i>Bowen v. Cochran</i>	590
	Notes & Questions	593
	<i>Murray v. Ramada Inns, Inc.</i>	594
	Notes & Questions	599
[C]	Primary Assumption of Risk — Limited Duty	601
	<i>Cheong v. Antablin</i>	601
	Notes & Questions	605
[D]	Review Problems	606
	The Ultralight Aircraft Problem	606
	The Flopper Problem	607
§ 7.04	Analysis Under Different Comparative Fault Systems	607
[A]	Comparative Fault Problems — Simple Two-Party Cases	609
[B]	Set-Off	610
[C]	Comparative Fault Problems — Multiple Parties and Multiple Claims	611
§ 7.05	Statutes of Limitation	611
	<i>Gaston v. Parsons</i>	614
	Notes & Questions	621
§ 7.06	Charitable Immunity	624
§ 7.07	Spousal Immunity	625
	The Changing Law Problem	626
§ 7.08	Parental Immunity	627
	Notes & Questions	630
§ 7.09	Governmental Immunity	632
	<i>Harry Stoller & Co., Inc. v. City of Lowell</i>	633
	Notes & Questions	636
	Discretionary Function Problems	638
	Ethics Note — Conflict of Interest	639

Table of Contents

	Accomplishment Note	639
Chapter 8	INTENTIONAL TORTS	641
§ 8.01	Overview of Intentional Torts	641
§ 8.02	Assault, Battery, and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	642
	<i>Dickens v. Puryear</i>	644
	Notes & Questions	650
	<i>Vetter v. Morgan</i>	650
	Notes & Questions	651
§ 8.03	The Meaning of Intent	652
	<i>Villa v. Derouen</i>	652
	<i>White v. Muniz</i>	655
	Notes & Questions	657
	<i>Ailiff v. Mar-Bal, Inc.</i>	658
	Notes & Questions	661
	<i>Leichtman v. WLW Jacor Communications</i>	662
	Notes & Questions	663
	<i>Hall v. McBryde</i>	663
	Notes & Questions	664
§ 8.04	Short Problems on Battery and Assault	664
	Battery Problems	664
	Assault Problems	667
§ 8.05	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress in Discrimination Cases	668
	<i>Brandon v. County of Richardson</i>	669
	Notes & Questions	675
	Hate Speech And Free Speech	678
	<i>Alcorn v. Anbro Engineering, Inc.</i>	680
	<i>Swenson v. Northern Crop Insurance, Inc.</i>	682
	<i>Logan v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i>	684
	Notes & Questions	685
§ 8.06	Short Practice Problems on Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	685
§ 8.07	False Imprisonment	687
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores v. Cockrell</i>	687
	Notes & Questions	690
	False Imprisonment Problems	692
§ 8.08	Trespass to Chattels and Conversion	694
	<i>United States v. Arora</i>	694
	Spleenless in Seattle	697
	Notes & Questions	698
§ 8.09	Defenses and Privileges	702
	[A] Consent	702

Table of Contents

	<i>Hogan v. Tavzel</i>	702
	<i>Hellriegel v. Tholl</i>	703
	<i>Reavis v. Slominski</i>	705
	Notes & Questions	709
	Consent Problems	710
[B]	Self-Defense and Defense of Others	712
	<i>Bradley v. Hunter</i>	712
	<i>Juarez-Martinez v. Deans</i>	714
	Notes & Questions	716
	Self-Defense and Defense of Others Problems	717
[C]	Defense of Property	719
	<i>Katko v. Briney</i>	719
	Notes & Questions	722
[D]	Necessity	723
	<i>Rossi v. Delduca</i>	723
	<i>Vincent v. Lake Erie Transp. Co.</i>	724
	<i>Eilers v. Coy</i>	726
	Notes & Questions	728
§ 8.10	Putting Intentional Tort Analysis Together	729
[A]	Short Review Problems	729
	Problem 1	729
	Problem 2	730
	Problem 3	730
[B]	Sample Exam and Review Problem	730
	University Mascot Problem	730
	Spousal Abuse Problem	732
	Accomplishment Note	736
Chapter 9	TRESPASS TO LAND, NUISANCE, AND ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	737
§ 9.01	Trespass to Land	737
	<i>Creel v. Crim</i>	738
	<i>Stukes v. Bachmeyer</i>	739
	<i>TL Crowther, LLC v. Rocky Mt. Pipeline Sys. LLC</i>	739
	Notes & Questions	740
§ 9.02	Nuisance	741
[A]	Private Nuisance	742
	<i>Prah v. Maretti</i>	742
	<i>Vogel v. Grant-Lafayette Elec. Coop.</i>	746
	<i>Rankin v. FPL Energy</i>	747
	Notes & Questions	748

Table of Contents

	<i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co.</i>	749
	Notes & Questions	754
[B]	Overlap of Trespass and Private Nuisance	755
	<i>Bradley v. Am. Smelting & Ref. Co.</i>	755
	Notes & Questions	759
[C]	Public Nuisance	760
	<i>Pennsylvania Soc. for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals v.</i> <i>Bravo Enterprises, Inc.</i>	760
	Notes & Questions	766
§ 9.03	Abnormally Dangerous Activities	768
	An Overview of Strict Liability	769
	<i>Klein v. Pyrodyne Corp.</i>	771
	Notes & Questions	777
	Transporting Gasoline Problem	782
	Accomplishment Note	782
Chapter 10	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	783
§ 10.01	The Development of Strict Products Liability	785
[A]	Historical Background	785
	<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co.</i>	788
	<i>Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc.</i>	789
	Notes & Questions	790
	<i>Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc.</i>	791
	Notes & Questions	793
[B]	Restatement (Second) on Products Liability § 402A (1964)	794
	Notes & Questions	795
[C]	Types of Product Defects	796
[1]	Manufacturing Defects	797
[2]	Design Defects	797
[3]	Warning and Instruction Defects	798
[D]	Restatement (3d) on Products Liability (1998)	798
	Notes & Questions	799
§ 10.02	The Prima Facie Case of Strict Products Liability	799
[A]	Proper Parties and Transactions Subject to Strict Liability	800
[B]	Defectiveness	801
[1]	Manufacturing Defects	801
[a]	Deviation from Design Specifications	801
	<i>McKenzie v. SK Hand Tool Corp.</i>	801
	Notes & Questions	802
[b]	Circumstantial Proof of Manufacturing Defect	803
	<i>Ducko v. Chrysler Motors Corp.</i>	803

Table of Contents

	Notes & Questions	804
[2]	Design Defects	805
	[a] The Ordinary Consumer Expectations Test	805
	<i>Leichtamer v. American Motors Corp.</i>	806
	Notes & Questions	810
	<i>Campbell v. General Motors Corp.</i>	812
	Notes & Questions	813
	<i>Floyd v. Bic Corp.</i>	813
	Notes & Questions	814
	[b] The Risk-Utility Test	817
	<i>Valk Manufacturing Co. v. Rangaswamy</i>	817
	Notes & Questions	820
	Note on Hindsight vs. Foresight of Risks	824
	Notes & Questions	826
	<i>Vautour v. Body Masters Sports Industries</i>	827
	Notes & Questions	829
	[c] The Two-Pronged Test for Design Defect	831
	<i>Barker v. Lull Engineering Co.</i>	831
	Notes & Questions	833
	Ethics Note — Confidentiality of Settlements	834
	[d] Design Defects from a Proof Perspective	836
	<i>Soule v. General Motors Corp.</i>	837
	Notes & Questions	842
	<i>McCathern v. Toyota Motor Corp.</i>	842
	Notes & Questions	845
[3]	Warning and Product Information Defects	849
	[a] Warning Defect Considerations	849
	<i>Nowak v. Faberge U.S.A., Inc.</i>	849
	<i>Macrie v. SDS Biotech Corp.</i>	853
	Notes & Questions	853
	<i>Ramirez v. Plough, Inc.</i>	857
	Notes & Questions	860
	[b] Post-Sale Warnings	863
	<i>Lovick v. Wil-Rich</i>	863
	Notes & Questions	867
	[c] Prescription Drug Issues	869
	<i>Feldman v. Lederle Laboratories</i>	870
	Notes & Questions	871
	<i>Bryant v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.</i>	874
	Notes & Questions	877
[C]	Causation	879

Table of Contents

[1] Generally	879	
[2] Market Share Liability	879	
[3] Successor Corporation Liability	880	
[D] Scope of Liability	881	
[E] Damages	882	
[1] Recovery of Economic Losses	882	
<i>East River Steamship Corp. v. Transamerica Delaval</i>	882	
Notes & Questions	883	
§ 10.03 Defenses	885	
<i>Whitehead v. Toyota Motor Corporation</i>	885	
Notes & Questions	888	
§ 10.04 Putting Products Liability Analysis Together	890	
Drawstring Sweatshirt Problem	891	
Household Cleaner Injury Problem	891	
Folding Chair Problem	892	
Scented Candle Problem	892	
The Shattered Peanut Jar Problem	892	
Baby Cushion Problem	892	
Accomplishment Note	894	
Chapter 11	DEFAMATION LAW	895
§ 11.01 Overview of Common Law Defamation and Defenses	896	
[A] Defamatory Statements	898	
[1] Defamatory Meaning	898	
[a] Opinion	900	
[2] Defamatory to Whom?	900	
[3] Manner of Communication	901	
[4] Interpretation of the Communication	902	
[a] Meaning and Context	902	
[5] Pleading Issues	902	
[a] Use of Extrinsic Facts — Inducement and Innuendo	902	
[b] Identifying the Plaintiff — Colloquium	903	
[6] Group Libel	903	
[B] Publication (Communicating the Defamation)	904	
[C] Falsity	905	
[D] Causation	905	
[E] Harm (Damages)	905	
<i>Zeran v. Diamond Broad., Inc.</i>	906	
Notes & Questions	909	
[F] Defenses/Privileges	913	
[1] Truth	913	

Table of Contents

[2] Absolute Privileges	913
[3] Qualified Privileges	914
[a] Statements Made to Protect One’s Own Interests	914
[b] Statements Made to Protect the Interests of the Recipient or a Third Party	914
[c] Statements Made to Protect Common Interests	915
[d] Fair Comment Privilege	915
[e] Fair and Accurate Report Privilege (and Privilege of Neutral Reportage)	915
[4] Retractions	917
[5] Statutes of Limitation	917
[6] Mitigation of Damages	917
[G] Courthouse Defamation Overview Problem	918
Questions for Courthouse Defamation Problem	919
§ 11.02 The “Constitutionalization” of Defamation Law: First Amendment Privileges	919
[A] Reputational Values vs. Free Speech Values	920
[1] Reputational Values	920
[2] Free Speech Values	921
§ 11.03 Constitutional Privileges: Public Officials and Public Figures	922
<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i>	922
Notes & Questions	933
Analysis of Public Official and Public Figure Cases	935
§ 11.04 Constitutional Privileges: Private Persons	940
[A] Matters of Public Concern	940
<i>Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.</i>	940
Notes & Questions	947
[B] Matters Not of Public Concern	949
<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>	949
Notes & Questions	956
<i>Snead v. Redland Aggregates</i>	958
Notes & Questions	959
§ 11.05 Determining Status	961
<i>Lohrenz v. Donnelly</i>	961
Notes & Questions	965
§ 11.06 Opinion Revisited	968
Notes & Questions	969
§ 11.07 Putting Defamation Analysis Together	970
The Gossip Columnist Defamation Problem	970
The Kinkajous Defamation Problem	971
The Former Secretary of State Problem	974
Animal Rights Problem	974
Defamation Culpability Problems	974
§ 11.08 Proposals for Reform	975

Table of Contents

Reform Legislation Problem	976	
Chapter 12	PRIVACY	977
§ 12.01	Introduction to Privacy	978
	[A] Statutory Protection	978
	[B] Constitutional Protection	980
	[C] Common Law Protection	983
	[D] Freedom of Speech and Press	986
	Note on Internet Resources on Privacy	986
§ 12.02	Intrusion	987
	<i>Nader v. General Motors Corp.</i>	987
	Notes & Questions	990
	<i>Garrity v. John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co.</i>	992
	Notes & Questions	994
	<i>Hernandez v. Hillside, Inc.</i>	995
	<i>Shulman v. Group W. Productions, Inc.</i>	1001
	Notes & Questions	1002
§ 12.03	Public Disclosure of Private Facts	1007
	<i>Yath v. Fairview Clinics, N.P.</i>	1007
	Notes & Questions	1009
	<i>Haynes v. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.</i>	1012
	Notes & Questions	1018
§ 12.04	False Light Invasion of Privacy	1021
	<i>Crump v. Beckley Newspapers, Inc.</i>	1021
	<i>Spahn v. Julian Messner, Inc.</i>	1026
	<i>Time, Inc. v. Hill</i>	1027
	<i>Cantrell v. Forest City Pub. Co.</i>	1028
	Notes & Questions	1029
§ 12.05	Appropriation	1033
	<i>Joe Dickerson & Associates, LLC v. Dittmar</i>	1033
	Notes & Questions	1036
§ 12.06	Right of Publicity	1038
	<i>Lugosi v. Universal Pictures</i>	1039
	Notes & Questions	1043
§ 12.07	Putting Privacy and Publicity Analysis Together	1047
	Air Crash Interview Problem	1047
	The Sexual Harassment Problem	1047
	Outing the Gay Dad Problem	1048
	The Boastful Mayor Problem	1049
	Fantasy Baseball Problem	1049
	Absolut Marilyn Problem	1049

Table of Contents

Accomplishment Note 1050

TABLE OF CASES TC-1

INDEX I-1

