

# Privacy and Data Protection in Business: Laws and Practices

## **LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board**

---

**William Araiza**

*Professor of Law*  
Brooklyn Law School

**Ruth Colker**

*Distinguished University Professor & Heck-Faust Memorial Chair in Constitutional Law*  
Ohio State University, Moritz College of Law

**Olympia Duhart**

*Associate Professor of Law*  
Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad Law School

**Samuel Estreicher**

*Dwight D. Opperman Professor of Law*  
*Director, Center for Labor and Employment Law*  
NYU School of Law

**David Gamage**

*Assistant Professor of Law*  
UC Berkeley School of Law

**Joan Heminway**

*College of Law Distinguished Professor of Law*  
University of Tennessee College of Law

**Edward Imwinkelried**

*Edward L. Barrett, Jr. Professor of Law*  
UC Davis School of Law

**Paul Marcus**

*Haynes Professor of Law*  
William and Mary Law School

**Melissa Weresh**

*Director of Legal Writing and Professor of Law*  
Drake University Law School

# Privacy and Data Protection in Business: Laws and Practices

---

Jonathan I. Ezor



ISBN: 9781422490969

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

**Ezor, Jonathan**

**Privacy and data protection in business : laws and practices / Jonathan I. Ezor.**

**p. cm.**

**Includes index.**

**Looseleaf ISBN 978-1-4224-9096-9**

**1. Business records--Law and legislation--United States. 2. Data protection--Law and legislation--United States. 3. Privacy, Right of--United States. 4. Computer networks--Access control--United States. 5. Digital communications--United States. I. Title.**

**KF1357.5.E96 2012**

**346.73'065--dc23**

2012028733

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender and the Matthew Bender Flame Design are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2012 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

**NOTE TO USERS**

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at [www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool](http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool).

Editorial Offices

121 Chanlon Rd., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

## *Acknowledgments*

---

This book would not have been possible without a number of people to whom I express my deep appreciation. First and foremost, I wish to thank my wife Stacy and our children Avi, Eitan and Elisheva, for their support, encouragement, and feedback.

Next, my friends and Touro College Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Center colleagues Gary Shaw and Linda Howard Weissman, who co-founded and remain active in building the Institute for Business, Law and Technology at Touro which I have headed since 2003. Touro Law Dean Emeritus Howard A. Glickstein and Dean Lawrence Rafal have provided valued leadership, both institutional and personal, and the Touro Law faculty has always supported me and the Institute's programs. My Touro students have learned from and with me about privacy and many other business technology law subjects, and teaching law students remains one of my sweetest pleasures. This work in particular would not have been possible without the enthusiastic and capable research assistance of Touro Law students Stephanie Rapp and Scott Richman, for which I am grateful.

I also want to acknowledge the attorneys and other professionals of the IBLT Advisory Board, on whose sage guidance I have relied for many years; Andrew Lustigman and Adam Solomon and their Olshan colleagues; and Andrew Lupu, Jules Polonetsky, and the other business privacy thought leaders and practitioners from whom I have learned so much in my career.

Finally, this book is dedicated to my mother Rita Ezor, "star of stage, screen and language arts." She has always been my best example of how writing and teaching are most effective when done with an appreciation of audience and purpose, and a desire to engage, not just inform, one's students.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION: THE MEANING OF PRIVACY AND THE VALUE OF INFORMATION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I.	STARTING POINT: WHAT IS “PRIVACY”?	1
II.	THE BUSINESS VALUE OF INFORMATION	6
III.	COMPUTERS AND DIGITAL MEDIA: THEIR IMPACT ON PRIVACY	7
IV.	THE ROLE (AND RULE) OF LAW	8
V.	CHALLENGES FOR THE RISK MANAGER	9
	<i>From “A Face is Exposed for AOL Searcher No. 4417749”</i>	10
VI.	THE APPROACH OF THIS BOOK: PRACTICAL UNDERSTANDING AND A PATH TO BEST PRACTICES	11
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>CONSUMER PRIVACY: BALANCING VALUE TO CONSUMERS WITH VALUE OF CONSUMERS</b> .....	<b>13</b>
I.	WHY CONSUMER PRIVACY MATTERS: A LESS THAN CHEERY SCENARIO	13
II.	CONSUMER INFORMATION COLLECTION AND THE RISE OF E-COMMERCE	14
	<i>A Redefinition of the Concept of Personal Privacy</i>	14
III.	CONSUMER PRIVACY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION: THE FTC	18
	<i>Unfair methods of competition unlawful; prevention by Commission</i>	18
	<i>Fair Information Practice Principles Generally</i>	19
IV.	ANATOMY OF A PRIVACY POLICY: THE FAIR INFORMATION PRACTICE PRINCIPLES IN ACTION	26
a.	Notice/Awareness: Spreading the (Accurate) Word	26
b.	Choice/Consent: Getting Informed Permission	29
	<i>May 24, 2001 Letter from FTC Bureau of Consumer Protection to Junkbusters Corp and Electronic Privacy Information Center</i>	29
c.	Integrity/Security: Keeping Data Safe and Unaltered	31
	<i>BJ’s Wholesale Club Settles FTC Charges Agency Says Lax Security Compromised Thousands of Credit and Debit Cards</i>	31
	<i>ValueClick to Pay \$2.9 Million to Settle FTC Charges</i>	33
d.	Enforcement/Redress: Remedies for Wrongs	34
V.	OTHER FEDERAL LAWS INVOLVING CONSUMER PRIVACY	34
VI.	STATE CONSUMER PRIVACY LAWS: LOCAL LEGISLATION, WIDE-RANGING IMPACT	39
	<i>Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code § 22575. Commercial Web site operators; posting of privacy policy; violation of subdivision for failure to post policy; policy requirements</i>	40

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

*Excerpt from Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.83: Personal Information; Disclosure to Direct Marketers* . . . . . 41

VII. CONCLUSION: BEST PRACTICES START WITH ACCURATE DISCLOSURE . . . . . 44

**Chapter 3 SOCIAL MEDIA PRIVACY: NOT (NECESSARILY) AN OXYMORON . . . . . 47**

---

I. THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT: THE KEY FEDERAL STATUTE . . . . . 48

II. SOCIAL MEDIA SHARING: THE FOUR WS . . . . . 49

a. Who: Identity, Anonymity and Pseudonymity Online . . . . . 49

*Excerpt from “A Rape in Cyberspace” by Julian Dibbell* . . . . . 50

*Excerpt from “Afghanistan War Logs: Story Behind Biggest Leak in Intelligence History”* . . . . . 52

*Excerpted From The Cutting Edge: The Helsinki Incident and the Right to Anonymity By Daniel Akst* . . . . . 54

b. What: Content as Disclosure . . . . . 55

*From “A Twitter Code of Conduct” by Douglas MacMillan* . . . . . 57

c. When: Time as a Key Element of Identity . . . . . 57

*From “Faulty IP address data leads to Shaq attack on innocent family”* . . . . . 59

d. Where: Location-Based Information . . . . . 59

*From “Virtual Community Standards”* . . . . . 61

*From “Geo-Location Technologies and Other Means of Placing Borders on the ‘Borderless’ Internet”* . . . . . 61

*From “Please Rob Me: The Risks of Online Oversharing”* . . . . . 63

*From “Facebook ‘Friend’ Suspected in Burglary”* . . . . . 64

III. CONCLUSION: SOCIAL MEDIA PRIVACY: SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES AND BEST PRACTICES . . . . . 64

**Chapter 4 THE SPECIAL CASE OF CHILDREN . . . . . 67**

---

I. LAW AND SELF-REGULATION TO PROTECT CHILDREN’S PRIVACY . . . . . 69

a. Self-Regulation: The CARU Guidelines . . . . . 69

b. The Origins of COPPA . . . . . 74

II. REQUIREMENTS OF COPPA . . . . . 83

*April 22, 2002 News Release: FTC Protecting Children’s Privacy Online* . 85

*Iconix Brand Group Settles Charges Its Apparel Web Sites Violated Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act Company Will Pay \$250,000 Civil Penalty* . 86

III. COPPA, THE FTC, AND THE EVOLUTION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES . 87



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>An Examination of Children’s Privacy: New Technology and the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act</i> . . . . .	88
	<i>July 12, 2010 Comments by Facebook, Inc. on COPPA Review</i> . . . . .	89
	<i>European NGO Alliance for Child Safety Online Submission to the FTC Review of the COPPA Rule</i> . . . . .	90
IV.	<b>CONCLUSION: CHILDREN’S PRIVACY: SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES AND BEST PRACTICES</b> . . . . .	97
	<i>[Consumer Reports] Survey: 7.5 Million Facebook Users are Under the Age of 13, Violating the Site’s Terms</i> . . . . .	97
	<i>Kids Today: How the Class of 2011 Engages with Media</i> . . . . .	98
	<i>A-Gs to probe kids’ privacy on Facebook</i> . . . . .	99
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>HEALTH AND MEDICAL PRIVACY: (UN)CONDITIONAL PROTECTION</b> . . . . .	<b>101</b>
I.	<b>HEALTH CONDITIONS AND GENETIC CODE: PREVENTING DISCRIMINATION AND DISCLOSURE</b> . . . . .	102
	<i>Cases of Genetic Discrimination National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Cases of Genetic Discrimination, <a href="http://www.genome.gov/12513976">http://www.genome.gov/12513976</a> (last visited May 8, 2012).</i> . . . . .	102
	<i>§ 2000ff-1: Employer Practices</i> 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-1. . . . .	103
	<i>Confidentiality of Genetic Information</i> 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-5. . . . .	105
	<i>Doe v. Borough of Barrington</i> . . . . .	107
II.	<b>OBLIGATIONS TO DISCLOSE: CASES AND STATUTES</b> . . . . .	121
	<i>Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California</i> . . . . .	122
	<i>Leonard v. Latrobe Area Hosp.</i> . . . . .	134
	<i>Persons and officials required to report cases of suspected child abuse or maltreatment</i> . . . . .	139
III.	<b>THE CHALLENGES OF DIGITAL PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION</b> . . . . .	140
IV.	<b>FEDERAL STATUTORY DIRECTIVES REGARDING ELECTRONIC MEDICAL RECORDS: HIPAA AND HITECH</b> . . . . .	141
a.	<b>Electronic Storage: The HIPAA Statutory Framework</b> . . . . .	142
	<i>Recommendations With Respect to Privacy of Certain Health Information.</i> . . . . .	142
	<i>Sec. 1173: Standards to Enable Electronic Exchange</i> . . . . .	143
b.	<b>HIPAA Regulations: The Privacy and Security Rules</b> . . . . .	145
	<i>§ 160.103 Definitions.</i> 45 CFR § 160.103. . . . .	146
	<i>Business Associates Business Associates, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/understanding/coveredentities/businessassociates.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/understanding/coveredentities/businessassociates.html</a> (last visited May 8, 2012).</i> . . . . .	153
c.	<b>The HITECH Act and Revisions to HIPAA</b> . . . . .	157

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Notification in the case of breach 42 U.S.C. § 17932.</i> . . . . .	157
d.	HHS Enforcement of the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules . . . . .	161
	<i>How OCR Enforces the HIPAA Privacy Rule</i> <i>How OCR Enforces the HIPAA Privacy Rule, <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/enforcement/process/howocrenforces.html">http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/enforcement/process/howocrenforces.html</a> (last visited May 8, 2012).</i> . . . . .	161
	<i>University of California settles HIPAA Privacy and Security case involving UCLA Health System facilities UCLAHS to improve policies and procedures to better safeguard patient information</i> . . . . .	163
	<i>Rite Aid Agrees to Pay \$1 Million to Settle HIPAA Privacy Case Company agrees to substantial corrective action to safeguard consumer information</i> . . . . .	164
V.	STATE HEALTH PRIVACY LAWS . . . . .	165
VI.	PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF PHI AND RELATED PRIVACY RIGHTS . . . . .	167
	<i>Sorrell v. Ims Health Inc.</i> . . . . .	167
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>FINANCIAL PRIVACY: INFORMATION AND VALUE</b> . . . . .	<b>183</b>
I.	VERIFIABLE IDENTITY FOR FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS . . . . .	183
II.	THE RIGHT TO FINANCIAL PRIVACY ACT . . . . .	184
III.	THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT . . . . .	187
	<i>From “40 Years of Experience with the Fair Credit Reporting Act: An FTC Staff Report with Summary of Interpretations”</i> . . . . .	209
IV.	THE GRAMM-LEACH BLILEY ACT . . . . .	210
	<i>From: The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act: Privacy of Consumer Financial Information the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act: Privacy of Consumer Financial Information, <a href="http://ftc.gov/privacy/glbact/glboutline.htm">http://ftc.gov/privacy/glbact/glboutline.htm</a> (last visited May 8, 2012).</i> . . . . .	211
	<i>Credit Report Resellers Settle FTC Charges; Security Failures Allowed Hackers to Access Consumers’ Personal Information</i> . . . . .	214
V.	OTHER RELEVANT LAWS . . . . .	216
VI.	PURCHASE PRIVACY: SELF-REGULATION AND INDUSTRY GUIDANCE ON LEGISLATION . . . . .	216
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>WORKPLACE PRIVACY: DIFFERENT NEEDS, DIFFERENT EXPECTATIONS, DIFFERENT RULES</b> . . . . .	<b>219</b>
I.	MONITORING WORKERS: PHYSICALLY AND ELECTRONICALLY . . . . .	219
a.	Reasonable Expectations of Workplace Data Privacy . . . . .	219
	<i>§ 31–48d. Employers engaged in electronic monitoring required to give prior notice to employees. Exceptions. Civil penalty</i> . . . . .	223
b.	Electronic Monitoring by Private Versus Public Employers: Case Law	

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Counterpoints . . . . .	224
	<i>Michael A. Smyth v. The Pillsbury Company</i> . . . . .	224
	<i>City of Ontario v. Quon</i> . . . . .	229
c.	An Outside View: Monitoring Employees’ Personal Activities . . . . .	239
	<i>Konop v. Hawaiian Airlines</i> . . . . .	242
	<i>February 8, 2011 NLRB Press Release: Settlement reached in case involving discharge for Facebook comments</i> . . . . .	256
II.	EMPLOYEE PERSONAL INFORMATION: A NON-CONSUMER CONTEXT . . . . .	257
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>DATA BREACH: PREVENTION, DETECTION, AND NOTIFICATION . . . . .</b>	<b>259</b>
<hr/>		
I.	THE CAUSES AND SCOPE OF DATA BREACHES . . . . .	260
	<i>United States v. Ivanov</i> . . . . .	262
II.	LEGAL OBLIGATIONS TO PREVENT DATA BREACH . . . . .	270
a.	Self-Imposed Obligations: Contracts, Disclosure and Internal Policies . . . . .	270
b.	Spotting Potential Data Breach Vulnerability: The FTC’s Red Flags Rule . . . . .	279
III.	POST-BREACH: DISCLOSURE AND LIABILITY . . . . .	282
a.	Breach Notification Laws: State and Potential Federal Requirements . . . . .	283
	§ 1798.81.5. <i>Security procedures and practices with respect to personal information about California residents</i> . . . . .	283
	§ 1798.82. <i>Civil actions; violation of title</i> . . . . .	284
b.	Litigation Arising out of Data Breach . . . . .	288
	<i>Agency Announces Settlement of Separate Actions Against Retailer TJX, and Data Brokers Reed Elsevier and Seisint for Failing to Provide Adequate Security for Consumers’ Data</i> . . . . .	288
	<i>In Re Hannaford Bros. Co. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation</i> 4 A.3d 492 (supreme Judicial Ct. Maine 2010) (notes Omitted). . . . .	291
	<i>In Re: Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation</i> . . . . .	295
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>INTERNATIONAL PRIVACY LAW: A WORLD OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES . . . . .</b>	<b>329</b>
<hr/>		
I.	JURISDICTION: WHAT LAWS APPLY? . . . . .	329
II.	TWO MAJOR RELEVANT REGIMES: THE EU DATA PROTECTION DIRECTIVE AND PIPEDA . . . . .	331
a.	The EU Data Protection Directive and the U.S. Safe Harbor . . . . .	331
i.	The EU Data Protection Directive . . . . .	331
	SECTION IX: NOTIFICATION . . . . .	332
	Chapter IV: <i>Transfer of Personal Data to Third Countries</i> . . . . .	334

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ii.	The U.S. Safe Harbor . . . . .	336
iii.	Safe Harbor Enforcement . . . . .	341
	<i>Court Halts U.S. Internet Seller Deceptively Posing as U.K. Home</i> <i>Electronics Site</i> . . . . .	341
iv.	Proposed Updates to the EU Data Protection Directive . . . . .	342
	<i>Commission proposes a comprehensive reform of data protection rules to</i> <i>increase users' control of their data and to cut costs for businesses</i> . . . . .	342
b.	PIPEDA . . . . .	344
c.	Other International Privacy Regimes: The APEC Framework, Pathfinder and Cross-Border Enforcement Arrangement. . . . .	345
	<i>Acting U.S. Commerce Secretary Rebecca Blank Announces U.S.</i> <i>Participation in APEC's Cross Border Privacy Rules System</i> . . . . .	345
	<i>FTC Becomes First Enforcement Authority in APEC Cross-Border Privacy</i> <i>Rules System</i> . . . . .	346
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>PRIVACY AND CYBERCRIME INVESTIGATIONS: THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AND ECPA . . . . .</b>	<b>349</b>
<hr/>		
I.	THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AND DATA SEARCHES . . . . .	350
	<i>Guest v. Leis</i> . . . . .	352
	<i>United States v. Adjani</i> . . . . .	364
	<i>U.S. v. Horowitz</i> . . . . .	375
II.	THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT AND LAW ENFORCEMENT . . . . .	379
	<i>People v. Harris</i> . . . . .	379
III.	EYES IN THE GROUND AND SKY: THE USE OF GPS TRACKING AND DRONES IN LAW ENFORCEMENT . . . . .	385
	<i>U.S. v. Jones</i> . . . . .	386
	<i>From "Did a Surveillance Drone Help in the Arrest of a North Dakota</i> <i>Farmer?"</i> . . . . .	395
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>ATTORNEYS: CLIENT PRIVACY AND ETHICS . . . . .</b>	<b>397</b>
<hr/>		
I.	APPLICABILITY OF PRIVACY LAWS AND REGULATIONS TO ATTORNEYS . . . . .	397
	<i>American Bar Ass'n v. F.T.C.</i> . . . . .	398
	<i>Lawyer for preeminent firms pleads guilty in \$37 million insider trading</i> <i>scheme using information stolen from employers</i> . . . . .	414
II.	CLIENT CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: UNINTENTIONAL DISCLOSURE BY LAWYERS . . . . .	416

---

*TABLE OF CONTENTS*

**Table of Cases** ..... **TC-1**

**Index** ..... **I-1**

---

