

Understanding Federal Courts and Jurisdiction

Understanding Federal Courts and Jurisdiction

Second Edition

Linda Mullenix

*Rita and Morris Atlas Chair in Advocacy
University of Texas School of Law*

Martin Redish

*Louis and Harriet Ancel Professor of Law and Public Policy
Northwestern University School of Law*

Georgene Vairo

*Professor of Law
David P. Leonard Chair
Loyola Law School, Los Angeles*



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2016
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-5310-0254-1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Mullenix, Linda S., 1950- author.

Understanding federal courts and jurisdiction / Linda Mullenix, Rita and Morris Atlas Chair in Advocacy, University of Texas School of Law; Martin Redish, Louis and Harriet Ancel Professor of Law and Public Policy, Northwestern University School of Law; Georgene Vairo, Professor of Law, David P. Leonard Chair Loyola Law School, Los Angeles. -- Second edition.

pages cm

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-63044-792-2

1. Courts--United States. 2. Jurisdiction--United States. I. Redish, Martin H., author. II. Vairo, Georgene, author. III. Title.

KF8719.M85 2014

347.73'12--dc23

2014025390

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.caplax.com

Printed in the United States of America

Table of Contents

DIVISION I	FEDERAL COURTS AND JURISDICTION	1
Chapter 1	THE STRUCTURE OF THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM	3
§ 1.01	ARTICLE III AND THE SCOPE OF FEDERAL JUDICIAL POWER	3
§ 1.02	THE SALARY AND TENURE PROTECTIONS OF ARTICLE III JUDGES	3
§ 1.03	LIFE TENURE OF ARTICLE III JUDGES DURING “GOOD BEHAVIOR”	4
§ 1.04	ARTICLE III JUDGES’ PROTECTION AGAINST SALARY REDUCTIONS	6
[1]	Determining the Methodology of Compensation Clause Analysis	6
[2]	Prohibition of Direct Reduction in Salary of an Article III Judge	7
[3]	Indirect Reductions in Judicial Salaries: A State of Doctrinal Uncertainty	8
[4]	Provision of Cost of Living Adjustments Left to the Discretion of Congress	10
§ 1.05	CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO CONTROL LOWER FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION	11
[1]	The Madisonian Compromise: Congressional Discretion Not to Create Lower Federal Courts	11
[2]	Congress’s Broad Power to Control Lower Federal Court Jurisdiction	12
[3]	Congressional Power to Control Lower Federal Court Jurisdiction: A Brief Overview of the Scholarly Theories	13
[a]	<i>Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee</i> : The View That Judicial Power Must Be Vested in Some Federal Court	13
[b]	Theory of Mandatory Federal Judicial Review of State Court Constitutional Determinations	14
[c]	The View That Some, But Not All, Cases Must Be Heard in a Federal Forum	18
[4]	Congress May Impose Procedural Prerequisites to Federal Jurisdiction	19
§ 1.06	DUE PROCESS LIMITS ON CONGRESS’S POWER TO CONTROL LOWER FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION	20
[1]	Must Congress Provide Federal Jurisdiction to Hear Constitutional Claims?	20
[2]	The View That State Courts Provide Sufficient Independent Adjudication to Satisfy Due Process	22
[3]	Limits on Federal Jurisdiction May Violate Due Process if State	

Table of Contents

	Courts Are Unavailable or Inadequate	22
§ 1.07	CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO LIMIT FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION AND SEPARATION OF POWERS	25
[1]	Separation of Powers and Due Process Are Separate and Distinct Limitations on Congressional Power over Federal Jurisdiction	25
[2]	<i>Hayburn’s Case</i> : First Recognition of Separation of Powers Limitation	26
[3]	<i>United States v. Klein</i> : Congress May Not Dictate the Result of a Particular Case	27
[4]	<i>Yakus v. United States</i> and <i>Adamo Wrecking Company</i> : Foreclosing Review of Validity of Regulations	28
[5]	<i>United States v. Sioux Nation</i> : Congress May Waive Defense of Res Judicata in Cases against Federal Government	30
[6]	<i>Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm</i> : Congress May Not Reopen Final Judgments	31
§ 1.08	CONGRESSIONAL POWER TO VEST ARTICLE III COURTS WITH NON-ARTICLE III POWER	32
[1]	Congressional Power to Assign Non-Article III Cases to Article III Courts	32
[2]	Allocation of Non-Article III Functions to Article III Courts and Judges Is Generally Unconstitutional	35
[a]	The Unique Role of the Judiciary Precludes Performance of Nonjudicial Functions	35
[b]	<i>Morrison v. Olsen</i> : Judiciary May Appoint, Administer, and Terminate Special Counsel	37
[c]	<i>Mistretta v. United States</i> : Members of the Judiciary May Be Required to Sit on Sentencing Commission	38
§ 1.09	ARTICLE III COURTS HAVE ULTIMATE POWER TO RESOLVE QUESTIONS OF “CONSTITUTIONAL FACT”	40
§ 1.10	CONGRESS HAS POWER TO MAKE EXCEPTIONS TO THE SUPREME COURT’S APPELLATE JURISDICTION	42
[1]	The Exceptions Clause Allows Congress to Limit the Supreme Court’s Appellate Jurisdiction	42
[2]	<i>Ex parte McCordle</i> : The Supreme Court Gives the Exceptions Clause Broad Scope	42
[3]	Possible Limits on Congress’s Broad Powers Under <i>McCordle</i> and the Exceptions Clause	44
§ 1.11	CONGRESS POSSESSES LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VEST THE JUDICIAL POWER IN NON-ARTICLE III ADJUDICATORS	47
§ 1.12	THE ORIGINS OF LEGISLATIVE COURTS	48
[1]	Territorial Courts	48
[2]	Military Courts	51
[3]	Other Legislative Courts	53

Table of Contents

[4]	Administrative Agencies	54
§ 1.13	<i>PALMORE v. UNITED STATES</i> : CONGRESS MAY CREATE NON-ARTICLE III COURTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	54
§ 1.14	THE EVOLUTION OF MODERN LEGISLATIVE COURT DOCTRINE: THE BANKRUPTCY COURTS AND THE <i>NORTHERN PIPELINE</i> DECISION	57
§ 1.15	THE RISE OF THE BALANCING TEST AS THE LEGISLATIVE COURT STANDARD	61
[1]	<i>Thomas v. Union Carbide</i> : Retreat from the Public-Private Rights Dichotomy	61
[2]	<i>Commodities Futures Trading Commission v. Schor</i> : The All-But- Total Departure	64
§ 1.16	REASSERTION OF THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE RIGHTS DICHOTOMY	65
§ 1.17	THE USE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGES UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 636 DOES NOT VIOLATE ARTICLE III	68
[1]	The Role of Magistrate Judges in Federal Adjudication	68
[2]	Magistrate Judges May Conduct Suppression Hearings in Criminal Cases	69
[3]	Magistrate Judges May Conduct Full Trials with the Consent of All Parties	70
[a]	Consent Required	70
[b]	Consent May Be Inferred From Conduct	70
Chapter 2	ISSUES OF JUSTICIABILITY	73
§ 2.01	NATURE OF JUSTICIABILITY	73
§ 2.02	THE CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENT OF ACTUAL CASE OR CONTROVERSY	74
§ 2.03	HISTORICAL ROOTS OF THE JUSTICIABILITY DOCTRINE: THE PROHIBITION ON ADVISORY OPINIONS	75
§ 2.04	JUSTICIABILITY AS A BLEND OF CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS	76
§ 2.05	STANDING	76
[1]	Nature of Standing Doctrine	76
[2]	The Injury-in-Fact Requirement	79
[3]	Traceability	94
[4]	Redressability	95
[5]	Prudential Standing Limitations	97
[6]	Associational Standing	104
[7]	Taxpayer Standing	105
§ 2.06	RIPENESS	108
§ 2.07	MOOTNESS	113

Table of Contents

§ 2.08 POLITICAL QUESTION DOCTRINE 122
[1] Theoretical Underpinnings of Political Question Doctrine 123

Chapter 3 DIVERSITY JURISDICTION 147

§ 3.01 HISTORICAL BASIS OF DIVERSITY JURISDICTION 147
§ 3.02 THE MODERN VIABILITY OF THE DIVERSITY JURISDICTION 148
§ 3.03 SUGGESTED MODIFICATIONS OF DIVERSITY 149
§ 3.04 PARTIES OVER WHOM DIVERSITY JURISDICTION MAY BE EXERCISED 150
§ 3.05 “STATES” INCLUDES TERRITORIES, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND PUERTO RICO 151
§ 3.06 THE COMPLETE DIVERSITY REQUIREMENT 151
§ 3.07 TIME OF DETERMINATION OF DIVERSITY 154
§ 3.08 28 U.S.C. § 1359 PROHIBITS DISTRICT COURT JURISDICTION IF JOINDER IS COLLUSIVE 155
§ 3.09 DIVERSITY AND REMOVAL 159
§ 3.10 FRAUDULENT JOINDER 161
§ 3.11 JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT COURTS UNDER CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 2005 (CAFA) 162
§ 3.12 DETERMINING CITIZENSHIP OF INDIVIDUALS 167
§ 3.13 DETERMINING CITIZENSHIP OF CORPORATIONS AND OTHER ENTITIES 170
§ 3.14 CITIZENSHIP OF NONCORPORATE ENTITIES IN ALL OTHER ACTIONS 174
§ 3.15 DIVERSITY ACTIONS INVOLVING ALIENS 176
§ 3.16 JUDICIALLY CREATED EXCEPTIONS TO THE DIVERSITY JURISDICTION 178
[1] Domestic Relations Exception 178
[2] The Probate Exception 180
§ 3.17 JURISDICTIONAL AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY 182

Chapter 4 FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION 193

§ 4.01 NATURE AND PURPOSES OF FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION 193
§ 4.02 THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION 195
[1] Constitutional Origins 195
[2] The *Osborn* Decision 195
[3] Post-*Osborn* Developments: *Textile Workers Union v. Lincoln Mills* 199
[4] Current Status of Constitutional Scope of Federal Question Jurisdiction 200

Table of Contents

[5]	Protective Jurisdiction	207
§ 4.03	28 U.S.C. § 1331: THE FEDERAL QUESTION STATUTE	212
[1]	Constitutional and Statutory Federal Question Provisions Compared	212
[2]	Interpretation of the General Federal Question Jurisdiction Statute	213
[a]	Creation Test: Case Arises Under Law That Creates Cause of Action	214
[b]	Substantial Federal Question Test for State Law Claims	216
[c]	Supreme Court Retreats: <i>Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v.</i> <i>Thompson</i>	218
[3]	To Fall Within Federal Question Jurisdiction, the Federal Issue Must Be “Substantial”	225
§ 4.04	THE WELL-PLEADED COMPLAINT RULE	226
[1]	Historical Origins	226
[2]	Rationale for Rule	226
[3]	Application in Removal Actions	229
[4]	Application to Declaratory Judgment Actions	229
[5]	Application of Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule to Defense of Federal Preemption	237
Chapter 5	SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION	243
§ 5.01	THE BACKGROUND OF SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION	243
§ 5.02	NOMENCLATURE	244
[1]	Supplemental Jurisdiction	244
[2]	Pendent Claim Jurisdiction	244
[3]	Pendent Party Jurisdiction	245
[4]	Ancillary Jurisdiction	245
§ 5.03	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION	247
[1]	Development of the Judge-Made Doctrine of Pendent Jurisdiction	248
[2]	Enactment of the Supplemental Jurisdiction Statute	251
§ 5.04	SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION STATUTE: AN OVERVIEW	252
[1]	Background	252
[2]	Subsection (a): Supplemental Jurisdiction over Claims That Are “Part of the Same Case or Controversy,” and that Involve Joinder or Intervention of Additional Parties	253
[3]	Subsection (b): Refusal to Extend Supplemental Jurisdiction to Diversity Claims Brought by Plaintiffs Under Specified Joinder Devices	254
[4]	Subsection (c): Discretionary Decline of Supplemental Jurisdiction . . .	258
[5]	Subsection (d): Statute of Limitations	259

Table of Contents

§ 5.05 SAME CASE OR CONTROVERSY UNDER ARTICLE III 259

§ 5.06 JOINDER OR INTERVENTION OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES 261

§ 5.07 DISCRETIONARY DECLINE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
JURISDICTION 265

 [1] Scope of Statutory Factors 265

 [2] Novel or Complex Issue of State Law 267

 [3] When Supplemental Claim Substantially Predominates 267

 [4] Dismissal of Jurisdiction-Conferring Claim 268

 [5] Reasons to Retain Jurisdiction 268

 [6] Compelling Reasons Under Exceptional Circumstances 269

Chapter 6 REMOVAL JURISDICTION 271

 PART A NATURE AND PURPOSE OF REMOVAL JURISDICTION 271

§ 6.01 OVERVIEW OF REMOVAL JURISDICTION 271

§ 6.02 COMPARING REMOVAL JURISDICTION TO FEDERAL COURT
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION 274

§ 6.03 REMOVAL STATUTES STRICTLY CONSTRUED 275

 PART B BASIS OF REMOVAL JURISDICTION 276

§ 6.04 FOUR BASIC ELEMENTS FOR REMOVAL 276

§ 6.05 DEFENDANTS’ OPTION TO REMOVE 276

 [1] Only Defendants May Remove 276

 [2] Determining Status as Defendant 277

 [a] Federal Law Governs Determination 277

 [b] Whether Additional Counterclaim Defendants, Cross-Claim
Defendants, Third-Party Defendants, or Defendant
Intervenors May Remove 278

 [i] Additional Counterclaim Defendants and Cross-Claim
Defendants Generally May Not Remove 278

 [ii] Third-Party Defendants 279

 [iii] Defendant Intervenors 280

 [c] Generally All Defendants Must Join in Removal 280

 [3] Removing Defendants Have Burden of Proving Removal Is Proper . . . 283

 [4] Defendant’s Waiver of Right to Remove 284

 [a] Forum Selection Clauses 284

 [b] Other Methods of Waiving Right to Remove 285

§ 6.06 CASES ORIGINALLY FILED IN “STATE COURT” MAY BE
REMOVED 287

§ 6.07 CASES MUST BE REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT
FOR DISTRICT AND DIVISION EMBRACING STATE
COURT ACTION 289

Table of Contents

§ 6.08	FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT MUST HAVE ORIGINAL JURISDICTION OVER REMOVED CASE	289
[1]	“Original Jurisdiction” Defined	289
[2]	Diversity of Citizenship Cases	290
[a]	“Diversity Jurisdiction” Defined	290
[b]	Determining Citizenship	291
[c]	Complete Diversity Generally Required	291
[d]	Time for Ascertaining Diversity of Citizenship	294
[e]	Removal Precluded if Any Defendant Is Citizen of State in Which Action Is Filed	295
[f]	Procedures for Determining Diversity of Parties	297
[g]	Satisfaction of Amount in Controversy Requirement	297
[i]	Amount Must Exceed \$75,000 in Cases Outside Class Action Fairness Act of 2005	297
[ii]	Amount Must Exceed \$5,000,000 in Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 Cases	300
[h]	Removal May Be Possible When Later Developments Create Diversity	301
[3]	Federal Question Cases	302
[a]	Federal Question Jurisdiction	302
[b]	“Federal Question” Defined	303
[c]	Plaintiff Generally Is Master of Complaint	304
[4]	Removal of Claims Under Section 1441(a) and (b) That Are Supported by Other Grants of Federal Jurisdiction	306
[5]	Removal When Federal Question Claim Is Joined to Nonremovable Claim	307
[a]	Entire Case Removed; Nonremovable Claims Remanded	307
[b]	Only Defendants to Federal Question Claim Must Consent to Removal	307
[6]	Removal of Class Actions	308
[a]	Class Actions Generally May Be Removed to Federal Court	308
[b]	CAFA Makes Removal of Class Actions More Common	310
[i]	Only Minimal Diversity Required	310
[ii]	Amount in Controversy Is to Be Aggregated	310
[c]	When CAFA Jurisdiction Will Not Be Exercised	311
[d]	“Mass Actions” May Also Be Removed	314
[e]	Actions Brought by State Attorneys General	316
[f]	Special CAFA Removal Rules	317
[i]	Any Single Defendant May Remove	317
[ii]	Defendant’s Local Citizenship Does Not Preclude Removal	317
[iii]	One-Year Limitation on Removal Does Not Apply	317
[g]	Accelerated Appellate Review of Remand Order Is Possible	318

Table of Contents

[i]	Standard for Discretionary Review	318
[ii]	Time for Application for and Resolution of Discretionary Appeal	319
PART C REMOVAL PROCEDURES AND EFFECT OF REMOVAL		320
§ 6.09	PROCEDURES FOR REMOVAL	320
[1]	Notice of Removal	320
[2]	Time for Removal	321
[a]	When Notice of Removal Must Be Filed	321
[i]	Within 30 Days After Defendant Receives Copy or Service of Initial Pleading Showing Basis for Removal	321
[ii]	Within 30 Days After Defendant Receives Paper First Showing Basis for Removal	322
[iii]	No Later Than One Year After Commencement of Action in Diversity Case	323
[iv]	When 30-Day Period for Removal Begins to Run	324
[b]	Initial Pleading Commencing Removal Time	325
[c]	Receipt May Be by Service or “Otherwise”	326
[d]	Effect of Lack of Service on All Defendants	327
[e]	Other Paper	327
[3]	Whether Court Should Evaluate Subject Matter Jurisdiction Before Personal Jurisdiction	330
§ 6.10	EFFECT OF REMOVAL	331
[1]	Federal Court May Issue All Orders and Process Necessary	331
[2]	State Court Divested of Jurisdiction	331
[3]	Effect of Prior State Court Orders	332
[4]	Law to Be Applied in Removed Case	333
[5]	Venue Objections After Removal	333
PART D POST-REMOVAL PROCEDURES		334
§ 6.11	PROCEDURES AFTER REMOVAL	334
[1]	Remand	334
[a]	Who May Seek Remand	334
[b]	Defects in Removal Procedure	335
[c]	Time for Making Motion for Remand	336
[d]	Denial of Remand Based on Futility Exception	337
[e]	Remand of Entire Case or Part of Case	338
[2]	Effect of Post-Removal Changes in Case	339
[a]	Federal Claims Dismissed; State Claims Remaining	339
[b]	Diversity Cases	340
[c]	Addition of Nondiverse Parties	341
[d]	When Plaintiff Dismisses Nondiverse Party	341

Table of Contents

[3]	Costs and Attorney’s Fees	342
§ 6.12	STATE COURT JURISDICTION AFTER REMAND	344
§ 6.13	APPELLATE REVIEW OF REMAND ORDER	345
[1]	Orders Denying Remand	345
[2]	Orders Granting Motion to Remand	346
[3]	Standard of Review	349

Chapter 7 **PERSONAL JURISDICTION IN FEDERAL COURTS 351**

§ 7.01	OVERVIEW OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION	351
[1]	Legislative and Judicial Jurisdiction Distinguished	351
[2]	Basis and Process Requirements for Personal Jurisdiction	351
[a]	Basis Establishes Required Connection with Sovereign	351
[b]	Process Establishes Required Steps to Subject Person or Thing to Court’s Power	352
[3]	Categories of Jurisdiction	352
[a]	In Personam Jurisdiction Defined	352
[b]	Jurisdiction over Property Distinguished	353
[4]	Consequences of Lack of Jurisdiction	354
[a]	Effect of Lack of Jurisdiction	354
[b]	Jurisdictional Error Can Be Grounds for Collateral Attack on Judgment	354
[c]	Defect May Be Waived	354
[5]	Jurisdiction over Persons and Property Distinguished From Related Concepts	355
[a]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction or “Competence”	355
[b]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Federal and State Courts Distinguished	356
[c]	Venue	357
[d]	Jurisdiction and Choice-of-Law	358
§ 7.02	PERSONAL JURISDICTION IN FEDERAL COURTS	358
[1]	Due Process Clause of Fifth Amendment Limits Federal Courts’ Exercise of Jurisdiction	358
[2]	Relation Between Jurisdiction and Rule 4 (Summons)	360
[3]	Procedures for Invoking Jurisdiction (“Process”) Under Rule 4	361
[a]	Defendant May Waive Service of Process	361
[b]	Service of Process May Be Effected by Several Methods	362
§ 7.03	LIMITATIONS ON BASES FOR PERSONAL JURISDICTION UNDER RULE 4	363
[1]	Service of Process Generally Establishes Personal Jurisdiction Only Over Defendants Who Could Be Amenable to Jurisdiction	

Table of Contents

	in State in Which Federal Court Sits	363
[2]	Personal Jurisdiction in Federal District Courts Is Subject to State Long-Arm Statutes	364
[a]	Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Limits Stem From Territorial Scope of State Sovereignty	365
[b]	Due Process Limits Exercise of State Court Jurisdiction	365
[3]	Statutory Exceptions Authorize Broader Personal Jurisdiction	366
[a]	100-Mile Bulge Service for Certain Parties	366
[b]	Nationwide Service of Process for Certain Claims	366
[c]	Rule 4(k)(2) Confers Jurisdiction for Claims Arising Under Federal Law When No Federal Statute Authorizes Nationwide Service and No State Authorizes Jurisdiction	368
[d]	Supplemental Personal Jurisdiction: Service Based on Nationwide Contacts May Be Available to Reach Defendant Sued on Claim Giving Rise to Supplemental Jurisdiction	369
§ 7.04	LIMITATIONS ON JURISDICTION OVER PROPERTY IN CIVIL ACTIONS	371
§ 7.05	RULE 45 (SUBPOENAS): JURISDICTION OVER WITNESS REQUIRES PROPER JURISDICTIONAL BASIS AND PROPER SERVICE OF PROCESS	372
§ 7.06	OBJECTIONS TO JURISDICTION MAY BE FORFEITED BY FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDERS	373
§ 7.07	COURT WILL NOT EXERCISE JURISDICTION OBTAINED BY FORCE OR FRAUD	373
§ 7.08	SOME PARTIES MAY BE IMMUNE FROM JURISDICTION	374
§ 7.09	PROCEDURES FOR CHALLENGING JURISDICTION	375
[1]	Defendant May Challenge Jurisdiction in State Court by Making Special Appearance or by Default and Collateral Attack	375
[2]	Defendant May Challenge Jurisdiction in Federal Court by Filing Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss or Raising Jurisdictional Defense in Answer or by Default and Collateral Attack	375
<hr/>		
DIVISION II	VENUE	377
<hr/>		
Chapter 8	VENUE	379
<hr/>		
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW OF VENUE	379
[1]	<i>Venue</i> Defined as Proper District Court in Which to File Action	379
[2]	Federal Statutes Control Venue of All Civil Actions in Federal Courts	380
[3]	Venue Is Determined by General Venue Statute Unless Special Statute Exists	381
[4]	Plaintiff Generally May Choose Among Proper Venues	382

Table of Contents

[5]	Pleading and Burden of Proof as to Venue	383
§ 8.02	GENERAL VENUE STATUTE GOVERNS MOST CIVIL ACTIONS	386
[1]	Overview of Venue Possibilities	386
[2]	District Where Any Defendant Resides, If All Defendants Reside in Same State	386
[3]	District Where Substantial Part of Events or Omissions Occurred or Where Property Is Situated	387
[4]	If No Other Option Applies, Where Any Defendant Is Subject to Personal Jurisdiction	388
§ 8.03	WHERE PARTY “RESIDES” DEPENDS ON NATURE OF PARTY	389
[1]	Individual Resides in District of Domicile	389
[2]	Residence of Aliens	391
[3]	Public Official Sued in Official Capacity Resides Where Official Performs Official Duties	392
[4]	Residence of Corporation or Other Legal Entity	392
[a]	Defendant That Is Corporation or Other Entity Resides Where It Is Subject to Personal Jurisdiction	392
[b]	Personal Jurisdiction Determined with Respect to Each District in Multidistrict States	393
[c]	Unincorporated Associations Are Treated as Corporations	395
[5]	Personal Jurisdiction for Residence Purposes Must Be Determined with Respect to the Civil Action in Question	396
§ 8.04	DISTRICT WHERE SUBSTANTIAL PART OF EVENTS OR OMISSIONS OCCURRED IS DETERMINED BY FACTS OF CASE	396
§ 8.05	VENUE MUST BE PROPER FOR EACH JOINED CAUSE OF ACTION	398
§ 8.06	COUNTERCLAIMS, CROSS-CLAIMS, AND THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS NOT AFFECTED BY VENUE RULES	399
§ 8.07	CLASS ACTIONS: VENUE DETERMINED AS TO NAMED PARTIES	399
§ 8.08	ACTION IS REMOVED TO DISTRICT IN WHICH STATE COURT ACTION PENDING	399
Chapter 9	CHANGE OF VENUE	401
	PART A SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES IN TRANSFER OR DISMISSAL DEVICES	401
§ 9.01	OVERVIEW OF STATUTORY TRANSFER AND COMMON LAW DISMISSAL DEVICES	401
	PART B TRANSFER WHEN VENUE IS PROPER BUT	

Table of Contents

	INCONVENIENT	403
§ 9.02	PURPOSE OF SECTION 1404(a) CONVENIENCE TRANSFER	403
§ 9.03	TRANSFeree COURT MUST BE ONE IN WHICH ACTION “MIGHT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT” OR TO WHICH ALL PARTIES CONSENT	404
[1]	Requirements for Transferee Court	404
[a]	Transferee Court Must Have Proper Venue, Subject Matter, and Personal Jurisdiction	404
[b]	Transfer to Any District Possible Based on Consent of All Parties	404
[2]	Options When Venue in Proposed Transferee Court Is Proper as to Some Defendants and Not as to Others	405
[3]	Party Moving for Transfer Has Burden of Proving Transferee Court Proper	406
§ 9.04	TRANSFER MUST BE BASED ON “CONVENIENCE OF PARTIES AND WITNESSES, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE”	407
[1]	Courts Apply Flexible and Discretionary Analysis	407
[2]	List of Factors to Be Considered	407
[3]	Convenience Factors	408
[4]	Interest of Justice Factors	412
[5]	Forum Selection Clauses Enforced Under § 1404(a)	415
§ 9.05	STANDING TO BRING MOTION TO TRANSFER ON CONVENIENCE GROUNDS	417
[1]	Plaintiff or Defendant May Bring Motion	417
[2]	Courts Split on Whether Third-Party Defendant Has Standing to Bring Motion	418
[3]	Court May, on Its Own Motion, Transfer on Convenience Grounds . . .	419
§ 9.06	CHOICE OF LAW FOLLOWING SECTION 1404(A) CONVENIENCE TRANSFER	419
[1]	Choice of Law in Diversity Cases	419
[a]	Generally, Transferor State’s Law and Choice of Law Rules Apply	419
[i]	Rule Originated with <i>Van Dusen</i> Case	419
[ii]	<i>Ferens</i> Extended <i>Van Dusen</i> Rule to Cases in Which Plaintiff or Court Moves for Convenience Transfer	421
[b]	Transferor State’s Choice of Law Rules May Dictate Application of Another State’s Law	422
[2]	Choice of Law in Federal Question Cases: Generally, Transferee Court Follows Its Own Circuit’s Interpretation of Law	422
	PART C TRANSFER OR DISMISSAL IF VENUE IS IMPROPER	423
§ 9.07	PURPOSE OF SECTION 1406(a) IMPROPER VENUE TRANSFER STATUTE	423

Table of Contents

§ 9.08	TRANSFEROR COURT’S PREREQUISITES FOR TRANSFER FOR IMPROPER VENUE	424
[1]	Transferor Court Needs Subject Matter Jurisdiction	424
[2]	Transferor Court Need Not Have Personal Jurisdiction	424
[3]	Venue Must Be “Wrong”	424
§ 9.09	PREREQUISITES FOR PROPOSED TRANSFEREE COURT WHEN VENUE IS IMPROPER IN TRANSFEROR COURT: TRANSFEREE COURT MUST BE ONE IN WHICH ACTION “COULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT”	425
§ 9.10	COURT MAY TRANSFER IN INTEREST OF JUSTICE OR DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE WHEN VENUE IS WRONG	425
[1]	Transfer Should Be Usual Remedy for Improper Venue	425
[2]	Court May Transfer If in Interest of Justice	426
§ 9.11	STANDING TO OBJECT TO IMPROPER VENUE	428
§ 9.12	WAIVER OF OBJECTION TO IMPROPER VENUE	428
§ 9.13	CHOICE OF LAW FOLLOWING TRANSFER FOR IMPROPER VENUE	430
	PART D TRANSFER TO COURT WITH EXCLUSIVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION	431
§ 9.14	SECTION 1631 IS BROADLY PHRASED TO ALLOW TRANSFER BETWEEN FEDERAL COURTS	431
§ 9.15	PREREQUISITES FOR TRANSFEREE COURT	432
	PART E APPELLATE REVIEW OF TRANSFER ORDERS	432
§ 9.16	TRANSFER ORDERS USUALLY NOT IMMEDIATELY APPEALABLE	432
§ 9.17	DETERMINATION OF PROPER CIRCUIT IN WHICH TO SEEK APPELLATE REVIEW	435
[1]	Appellate Review If Motion to Transfer Denied	435
[2]	Appellate Review If Motion to Transfer Granted	436
	PART F COMMON LAW DOCTRINE OF FORUM NON CONVENIENS DISMISSAL	436
§ 9.18	PURPOSE OF FORUM NON CONVENIENS DOCTRINE	436
§ 9.19	DOCTRINE APPLIES IN FEDERAL COURTS ONLY WHEN ALTERNATIVE FORUM IS ABROAD	436
§ 9.20	COURT MUST APPLY FEDERAL LAW OF FORUM NON CONVENIENS	437
§ 9.21	COURT WEIGHS MULTIPLE FACTORS IN DECIDING FORUM NON CONVENIENS MOTION	437
[1]	Doctrine Is Flexible	437
[2]	Two Elements Required for Dismissal	438
[a]	First Element: Alternative Forum Must Be Adequate	438
[b]	Second Element: Convenience of Parties and Ends of Justice	

Table of Contents

	Must Be Best Served by Dismissing Action	441
§ 9.22	DEFERENCE TO PLAINTIFF’S CHOICE OF FORUM	442
[1]	Courts Give Deference to American Plaintiff’s Choice of Forum	442
[2]	Foreign Plaintiff’s Choice of Forum Generally Given Less Deference	443
§ 9.23	COURT HAS NO POWER TO DISMISS ACTIONS ARISING UNDER CERTAIN FEDERAL STATUTES	444
§ 9.24	FORUM SELECTION CLAUSE MAY AFFECT ANALYSIS OF MOTION	445
[1]	Mandatory Forum Selection Clause Enforced Through Motion to Dismiss Under Forum Non Conveniens Principles	445
[2]	Normal Forum Non Conveniens Analysis Applies to Permissive Forum Selection Clause	446
§ 9.25	PROCEDURES ON FORUM NON CONVENIENS DISMISSAL MOTION	446
[1]	Timing of Motion	446
[2]	Court May Dismiss on Forum Non Conveniens Grounds Without First Deciding Jurisdictional Issues	447
[3]	Defendant Has Burden of Proving All Elements	447
§ 9.26	APPELLATE REVIEW	448
[1]	Grant of Forum Non Conveniens Motion Is Appealable as Final Order	448
[2]	Denial of Forum Non Conveniens Motion Subject to Limited Review	448
[3]	Court’s Grant or Denial of Motion Subject to Abuse of Discretion Standard	449
Chapter 10	MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	451
§ 10.01	CONDUCT OF MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	451
[1]	Overview of Multidistrict Litigation Statute	451
[a]	Purpose of Multidistrict Litigation Statutory Scheme	451
[b]	Operation of Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation	452
[c]	Jurisdiction of Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation	453
[2]	Multidistrict Litigation Defined	454
§ 10.02	PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE BEFORE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION	455
[1]	Practice Before Panel and Representation in Transferred Actions	455
[2]	Who May Seek Transfer and Consolidation	455
[3]	Conditional Transfer Orders for Tag-Along Actions	456
[4]	Termination and Remand	457
§ 10.03	BASES FOR ORDERING TRANSFER OF AN ACTION	458
[1]	Prerequisites for Transfer	458

Table of Contents

[a]	Balancing Statutory Prerequisites	458
[b]	Actions Involving Common Questions of Fact	459
[c]	Convenience of Parties and Witnesses	460
[d]	Just and Efficient Conduct of Actions	461
[e]	Mass Actions May Not Be Transferred	462
[2]	Selection of Transferee Forum	463
[3]	Selection of Transferee Judge	466
§ 10.04	JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY OF TRANSFEROR COURTS . . .	467
[1]	Orders Issued Prior to Transfer and During Pendency of Action Before Judicial Panel	467
[2]	Motions and Orders Before Court at Time of Transfer	468
[3]	Upon Remand of Action	469
§ 10.05	JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY OF TRANSFEREE COURT	470
[1]	Scope of Authority	470
[a]	Judicial Authority	470
[b]	Conduct of Pretrial Proceedings	470
[2]	Governing Substantive Law	474
[a]	Choice of Law Principles	474
[b]	State Law	474
[c]	Federal Law	475
[3]	Power to Remand, Retain, or Transfer Actions	476
[a]	Authority over Remands	476
[b]	No Power to Retain or Transfer Actions	476
[c]	Power to Retain Actions for Settlement Purposes	478
[4]	Appeal of Decisions of Transferee Court	478
<hr/>		
DIVISION III	INTERRELATIONSHIP OF STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS	481
<hr/>		
Chapter 11	DUAL FEDERAL-STATE JUDICIAL SYSTEMS	483
<hr/>		
§ 11.01	HISTORICAL BASIS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF STATE AND FEDERAL SYSTEMS	483
[1]	Colonial Courts	483
[2]	Admiralty Courts	483
[3]	Federal Courts Under the Articles of Confederation	484
[4]	Constitutional Creation of a Federal Judiciary	485
[5]	State Courts at the Ratification of the Constitution	486
§ 11.02	THE DUAL COURT SYSTEM AND THE JUDICIARY ACTS OF 1789 AND 1875	486
§ 11.03	VARIATIONS AMONG CONTEMPORARY STATE COURT SYSTEMS	487

Table of Contents

§ 11.04	THE CONTEMPORARY FEDERAL COURT SYSTEM	488
[1]	Courts of Limited Jurisdiction	488
[2]	Article III Courts	488
[3]	Article I Courts	489
§ 11.05	CONSEQUENCES OF A DUAL COURT SYSTEM	490
[1]	Parallel State and Federal Proceedings: Repetitive Lawsuits	490
[2]	Parallel State and Federal Proceedings: Reactive Lawsuits	491
[3]	Duplicative Litigation and Preclusion Doctrine	492
[4]	Mechanisms for Coping with Duplicative Litigation	493
Chapter 12	THE ANTI-INJUNCTION ACTS	495
§ 12.01	HISTORY OF THE ANTI-INJUNCTION ACTS	495
[1]	Early Anti-Injunction Legislation	495
[2]	Theory of the Anti-Injunction Statutes	495
[3]	Judicial Interpretation of Federal Injunctive Power Before 1948	496
[4]	The <i>Toucey</i> Decision	496
[5]	Legislative Reaction to <i>Toucey</i> Decision	497
§ 12.02	THE MODERN ANTI-INJUNCTION ACT	497
[1]	The Anti-Injunction Acts	497
[2]	Purpose of Anti-Injunction Acts	498
[3]	Broad Prohibition on Federal Injunctive Power	498
[4]	Application of Anti-Injunction Act	499
[a]	What Constitutes a Court	499
[b]	What Constitutes an “Injunction”	500
[c]	What Constitutes a “Proceeding”	500
[5]	Parties and Proceedings Beyond Scope of Act	502
[a]	United States Government	502
[b]	Federal Agencies	502
[c]	Strangers to Earlier Litigation	502
[d]	Commencement of Proceedings	503
[e]	Arbitration Proceedings	503
[f]	Suits in Foreign Countries	504
[g]	Temporary Restraining Orders	504
§ 12.03	EXCEPTIONS TO THE ANTI-INJUNCTION ACT	505
[1]	First Exception: “Expressly Authorized” by Act of Congress	505
[a]	Function of Exception	505
[b]	Recognized Express Exceptions	505
[i]	Bankruptcy Proceedings	505
[ii]	Removal Actions	506
[iii]	Civil Rights Actions	507
[iv]	Antitrust Actions	508

Table of Contents

[c]	Inconsistent Application of “Expressly Authorized” Exception	509
[2]	Second Exception: “When Necessary in Aid of” Federal Court Jurisdiction	510
[a]	Function of Exception	510
[b]	Application of the Exception	512
[i]	Removal Actions	512
[ii]	Exclusive Federal Jurisdiction	512
[iii]	Simultaneous Duplicative Litigation	513
[iv]	The <i>In Rem</i> Exception	514
[v]	Exception Does Not Apply to <i>In Personam</i> Proceedings	516
[vi]	Mischaracterization of Nature of Jurisdiction	517
[vii]	Class Actions and Complex Multidistrict Litigation	517
[3]	Third Exception: “To Protect or Effectuate Federal Court Judgments” — The Relitigation Exception	519
[a]	Function of Exception	519
[b]	Scope of the Exception	520
[c]	Relationship to Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel	521
[d]	Need for Final Judgment	523
[e]	Relationship to Full Faith and Credit Act	524
[f]	Timing Considerations	525
§ 12.04	EQUITABLE ENTITLEMENT FOR RELIEF	526
§ 12.05	THE ANTI-INJUNCTION ACT AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS	527
[1]	Declaratory Judgments Under Declaratory Judgment Act	527
[2]	Declaratory Relief as an Injunctive Surrogate	528
§ 12.06	THE ANTI-INJUNCTION ACT AND THE ALL WRITS STATUTE	529
§ 12.07	THE TAX ANTI-INJUNCTION ACT	530
[1]	Function of Tax Anti-Injunction Act	530
[2]	Application of the Tax Anti-Injunction Act	530
[a]	Definition of What Constitutes a Tax	530
[b]	Plain, Speedy, and Efficient State Remedy	532
[c]	Relationship to Full Faith and Credit Act	532
[d]	Declaratory Judgments Similar to Injunction	533
[e]	Exceptions to Tax Anti-Injunction Act	533
[i]	United States Government	533
[ii]	Original Supreme Court Jurisdiction	533
[iii]	Statutory Exceptions to Tax Anti-Injunction Act	534

Table of Contents

Chapter 13 THE ABSTENTION DOCTRINE 535

§ 13.01	ABSTENTION IN FEDERAL COURT PROCEEDINGS: INTRODUCTION	535
[1]	Declining the Jurisdiction of Federal Courts	535
[2]	Rationales Underlying the Abstention Doctrines: General Approaches	535
§ 13.02	ABSTENTION TO AVOID FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RULINGS: <i>PULLMAN</i> ABSTENTION	537
[1]	Origin of <i>Pullman</i> Doctrine	537
[2]	Prerequisites for <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	539
[a]	Required Elements	539
[b]	Uncertain Question of State Law	539
[c]	State Construction Limiting Need for Federal Constitutional Ruling	540
[i]	Statute Must Be Susceptible of Construction	540
[ii]	Standards for Construction	541
[iii]	Interpretive Approaches	541
[3]	Balancing Costs of Abstention	542
[a]	Considerations of Federalism Outweigh Concerns over Cost and Delay	542
[b]	Litigation Involving Fundamental Rights	543
[c]	Adequate State Procedure	544
[4]	Criticism of <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	544
§ 13.03	ABSTENTION BECAUSE OF UNCLEAR STATE LAW IN DIVERSITY CASES: <i>THIBODAUX</i> ABSTENTION	546
[1]	Diversity Jurisdiction and the Propriety of Federal Abstention	546
[2]	<i>Thibodaux</i> Case	546
[3]	The <i>Mashuda</i> Case	547
[4]	Reconciling the <i>Thibodaux</i> and <i>Mashuda</i> Decisions	548
[5]	Prerequisites for <i>Thibodaux</i> Abstention	548
[6]	Application of <i>Thibodaux</i> Abstention	549
[7]	<i>Pullman</i> Abstention Distinguished	550
§ 13.04	ABSTENTION IN DEFERENCE TO COMPREHENSIVE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES: <i>BURFORD</i> ABSTENTION	551
[1]	<i>Burford</i> Case	551
[2]	Development of Doctrine Since <i>Burford</i>	552
[a]	<i>Alabama Public Service Commission</i> Case	552
[b]	Criticism of <i>Alabama Public Service Commission</i>	553
[c]	The <i>NOPSI</i> Decision	554
[d]	Post- <i>NOPSI</i> Applications of <i>Burford</i> Abstention	555
[e]	The <i>Quackenbush</i> Decision: Application to Legal Claims	556
[3]	<i>Pullman</i> Abstention Distinguished	558

Table of Contents

§ 13.05	ABSTENTION TO AVOID INTERFERENCE WITH PENDING STATE PROCEEDINGS: “OUR FEDERALISM”	
	— <i>YOUNGER v. HARRIS</i>	558
[1]	Pre- <i>Younger</i> Doctrine	558
[2]	<i>Younger</i> Decision and Rationale	559
[3]	Relationship of <i>Younger</i> Doctrine to Anti-Injunction Act	561
[4]	Criticism of <i>Younger</i> Doctrine	562
[5]	Expansion of <i>Younger</i> Doctrine	563
[a]	Pending State Proceedings: Availability of Declaratory and Monetary Relief	563
[i]	Availability of Declaratory Relief	563
[ii]	Availability of Monetary Relief	564
[b]	Absence of Pending State Proceedings: Availability of Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	565
[i]	Availability of Declaratory Relief	565
[ii]	Nature and Timing of State Proceedings	565
[iii]	Availability of Injunctive Relief	567
[c]	Pending State Civil Proceedings	568
[i]	Civil Enforcement Proceedings	568
[ii]	Proceedings Involving Important State Interests	569
[d]	Application to Pending State Administrative Proceedings	571
[e]	Application to Executive Branches of State and Local Governments	574
[6]	Exceptions to <i>Younger</i> Doctrine	574
[a]	Bad Faith Prosecutions	574
[b]	Patently Unconstitutional Laws	576
[c]	Unavailability of Adequate State Forum	576
[d]	Waiver	577
§ 13.06	ABSTENTION FOR REASONS OF SOUND JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION: <i>COLORADO RIVER</i> ABSTENTION	578
[1]	Parallel, Duplicative Litigation, and Judicial Efficiency	578
[2]	Inroads on the Problem of Duplicative Litigation	579
[3]	The <i>Colorado River</i> Decision: Exceptional Circumstances Defined	579
[4]	The <i>Will</i> Decision: Exceptional Circumstances Revisited	581
[5]	The <i>Moses Cone</i> Decision: Exceptional Circumstances Expanded	582
[a]	Six Factors Considered	583
[6]	Prerequisites for Colorado River Abstention	584
[a]	Parallel State Proceedings	584
[b]	Exceptional Circumstances	585
[7]	Appropriateness in Declaratory Judgment Suits	585
[a]	<i>Wilton</i> Decision: District Court Has Discretion	585
[b]	Appellate Review for Abuse of Discretion	588

Table of Contents

[8]	Unresolved Questions in <i>Colorado River</i> Abstention Stay of Proceedings — Retention of Federal Court Jurisdiction	588
[a]	Defining and Balancing Exceptional Circumstances	588
[b]	Claims Within Exclusive Federal Jurisdiction	589
§ 13.07	PROCEDURAL OPTIONS IN ABSTENTION PROCEEDINGS	590
[1]	Stay of Proceedings, Retention of Federal Court Jurisdiction, or Dismissal	590
[a]	<i>Pullman</i> Abstention	590
[b]	<i>Thibodaux</i> Abstention	591
[c]	<i>Burford</i> Abstention	591
[d]	<i>Younger</i> Abstention	591
[e]	<i>Colorado River</i> Abstention	592
[2]	Dismissal without Prejudice	592
[3]	Certification of Questions to State Court	593
[4]	Appeal of Abstention Orders	594
[5]	Standard of Review	595

Chapter 14 THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT AND STATE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY 597

§ 14.01	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND: SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY IN ENGLAND	597
§ 14.02	THE EARLY AMERICAN EXPERIENCE	600
[1]	Nineteenth Century Views on Sovereign Immunity	600
[2]	The Doctrine of <i>Ex Parte Young</i>	601
§ 14.03	MODERN STATE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY: THE IMPORTANCE OF THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT FOR FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS	601
§ 14.04	BASIS AND RATIFICATION OF ELEVENTH AMENDMENT	604
[1]	<i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i>	604
[2]	Reaction to <i>Chisholm</i> ; Ratification of Eleventh Amendment	605
§ 14.05	SCOPE OF CONSTITUTIONAL IMMUNITY: INTERPRETIVE THEORIES OF ELEVENTH AMENDMENT	605
[1]	Significance of Interpretive Theories	605
[2]	Constitutional Limitation on Subject Matter Jurisdiction	606
[3]	Restoration of Common-Law Immunity From Suits	607
[4]	Restriction of Federal Diversity Jurisdiction	609
[5]	Literal Reading of Eleventh Amendment	610
[6]	<i>Alden v. Maine</i>	611
§ 14.06	APPLICATION OF THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT: ACTIONS BARRED	612
[1]	Suits Against State by Citizens of Another State	612
[2]	Suits Against State by Citizens of Foreign Country	612

Table of Contents

[3]	Suits Against State by Its Own Citizens	612
[4]	Suits Against States in Admiralty	613
[5]	Suits Against States by Foreign Countries	614
[6]	Suits Against States by Native American Tribes	614
[7]	Suits Against Persons and Entities Other Than State Governments	616
[a]	Suit Against State Officer in Official or Representative Capacity	616
[b]	Supplemental (Pendent) State-Law Claim Against State Officer	617
[c]	Suit Against Political Subdivision That Acts as Arm of State	618
[d]	Suits Against State Agencies and Boards Acting as Arm of State	619
[i]	General Rule	619
[ii]	Tests for Determining Whether Agency or Board Is Acting as Arm of State	620
[iii]	Source of Payment and Legal Liability Are Critical Factors	621
[iv]	Federal Law Controls	621
[e]	Administrative Actions	621
§ 14.07	ACTIONS PERMITTED CONSISTENT WITH ELEVENTH AMENDMENT SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY	624
[1]	Suits by United States Government Against State	624
[2]	Suits by One State Against Another	625
[3]	Suits Against States in State Courts	626
[a]	Suits in Courts of Defendant State	626
[b]	Suits in Other States' Courts	626
[c]	Supreme Court Review	626
[4]	Suits Against Political Subdivisions Such as Municipalities and Counties	627
§ 14.08	EXCEPTIONS TO THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT	627
[1]	Suits Against State Officers in Individual Capacity	627
[a]	Distinction Between Official and Individual Capacity	627
[b]	Determining Whether Officer Is Being Sued in Individual Capacity	628
[2]	Suits Against State Officers in Official Capacity	629
[a]	Suits for Monetary Relief Barred	629
[b]	Suits for Injunctive Relief Permitted	629
[c]	Enforcement of Federal Consent Decree Permitted	630
[3]	Suits Against Individual State Officers for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief	631
[a]	<i>Ex parte Young</i> Doctrine	631
[i]	Suits Permitted for Prospective Relief	631
[ii]	<i>Ex parte Young</i> Case	633
[iii]	Ongoing Violation of Federal Law Required	634
[b]	Consequences of <i>Ex Parte Young</i>	635
[4]	Suits Against State Officers in Individual Capacity for Monetary	

Table of Contents

	Relief	636
[a]	Prospective vs. Retroactive Relief	636
[b]	Determining Whether Relief Sought Is Prospective or Retroactive	638
[5]	Ancillary Relief	639
§ 14.09	WAIVER AND CONSENT	640
[1]	Explicit Waivers	640
[2]	Waiver by Removal	642
[3]	Participating in Federal Program Expressly Conditioned on Waiver . . .	643
[4]	Implicit or Constructive Waivers Barred	645
§ 14.10	SUITS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL STATUTES	646
[1]	Statutes Adopted Pursuant to Section 5 of Fourteenth Amendment . . .	646
[a]	Congressional Power to Abrogate State Sovereign Immunity	646
[b]	Determining Congressional Intent to Abrogate Eleventh Amendment Immunity	647
[c]	Enactment Must Fall Within Congress’s Section 5 Enforcement Powers Under Fourteenth Amendment	649
[i]	Abrogation Only Pursuant to Section 5	649
[ii]	Legislation Must Enforce Not Expand Rights	650
[a]	Statutes Abrogating State Sovereign Immunity	652
[b]	Statutes Not Abrogating State Sovereign Immunity	653
[2]	Statutes Adopted Under Other Congressional Powers	654
Chapter 15	APPLICABLE LAW IN FEDERAL COURT: THE <i>ERIE</i> DOCTRINE	657
	PART A DEVELOPMENT OF THE <i>ERIE</i> DOCTRINE	657
§ 15.01	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND TO THE APPLICABLE LAW PROBLEM	657
[1]	Section 34 of Judiciary Act of 1789 and Doctrine of <i>Swift v. Tyson</i>	657
[2]	The <i>Erie</i> Decision	659
[a]	Facts and Holdings	659
[b]	Is <i>Erie</i> A Constitutionally Based Decision?	659
[c]	Purposes of <i>Erie</i> Doctrine: The “Twin Aims” of <i>Erie</i>	660
[d]	Promulgation of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	660
§ 15.02	EARLY EFFORTS TO DISTINGUISH SUBSTANCE FROM PROCEDURE; OUTCOME-DETERMINATION ANALYSIS	661
[1]	The Outcome-Determination Test: The <i>Guaranty Trust</i> Decision	661
[2]	Refinement of the Outcome-Determination Test	662
§ 15.03	BALANCING OF COMPETING STATE AND FEDERAL INTERESTS: THE <i>BYRD</i> TEST	663

Table of Contents

§ 15.04	<i>HANNA v. PLUMER</i> : DETERMINING WHETHER TO APPLY STATE LAW OR FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE	665
[1]	General <i>Hanna</i> Analytical Approach: Federal Rule Applies if Pertinent and Valid Under Rules Enabling Act	665
[2]	Rules Enabling Act, Not Outcome-Determination, Is Test for Federal Rules	665
[3]	Determining Scope (Pertinence) of Federal Rule Under Rules Enabling Act	666
[a]	Federal Rule Must Be Sufficiently Broad to Control Situation	666
[b]	Rule Must Regulate Procedure	668
[c]	Rule May Not Abridge or Enlarge Substantive Rights	669
§ 15.05	ACCOMMODATION OF COMPETING STATE AND FEDERAL INTERESTS; <i>GASPERINI v. CENTER FOR HUMANITIES</i>	671
PART B SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS OF ERIE DOCTRINE		673
§ 15.06	RULE 3 DOES NOT DISPLACE STATE LAW GOVERNING TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	673
§ 15.07	RULE 4 DOES NOT DISPLACE STATE LAW GOVERNING PERSONAL JURISDICTION	674
§ 15.08	RULE 15(c) INCORPORATES STATE RELATION-BACK RULES	675
§ 15.09	RULE 23.1 AND STATE SECURITY REQUIREMENTS IN SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE SUITS	676
§ 15.10	RULE 68 AND STATE LAW ON ATTORNEYS' FEES OR PENALTIES ON LOSING DEFENDANTS	676
§ 15.11	STATE LAWS AFFECTING ACCESS TO STATE COURTS: STATE DOOR-CLOSING STATUTES	677
§ 15.12	SANCTIONS UNDER FEDERAL COURT'S INHERENT POWER	678
§ 15.13	JURISDICTION AND VENUE ISSUES: CONTRACT CLAUSES PURPORTING TO CONFER PERSONAL JURISDICTION	679
§ 15.14	FORUM NON CONVENIENS	679
§ 15.15	FUNCTIONS OF JUDGE AND JURY	680
[1]	Federal Policy Favoring Jury Trial Applies	680
[2]	Federal Law Generally Governs Review of Jury Verdicts	680
§ 15.16	FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE AND STATE EVIDENTIARY PROVISIONS	681
[1]	Federal Rules Generally Apply in Federal Court	681
[2]	Some State Evidentiary Rules Are Substantive and Are Applied in Federal Court	682
PART C DETERMINING THE CONTENT OF STATE LAW		682
§ 15.17	BINDING EFFECT OF STATE COURT DECISIONS	682
[1]	Decisions of State's Highest Court Are Binding on Federal Courts	682
[2]	Decisions of Intermediate State Appellate Courts Usually Must Be Followed	684

Table of Contents

[3]	Trial Court Decisions Usually Are Not Binding	685
§ 15.18	APPELLATE COURTS MUST APPLY CHANGE IN STATE LAW THAT OCCURS WHILE APPEAL IS PENDING	686
§ 15.19	DETERMINING STATE LAW WHEN IT IS UNSETTLED	687
[1]	Difficulty in Determining State Law Does Not Justify Dismissal	687
[2]	Federal Court Must Predict How State’s Highest Court Would Rule	688
[3]	Policy Against Expanding State Law	690
[4]	Interpreting Statutes Never Construed by State Court	690
§ 15.20	COURT OF APPEALS <i>DE NOVO</i> REVIEW OF STATE LAW DETERMINATION	691
	PART D CHOICE OF STATE SUBSTANTIVE LAW	692
§ 15.21	DETERMINING WHICH STATE LAW APPLIES IN DIVERSITY CASES	692
[1]	The <i>Klaxon</i> Rule: Court Generally Must Apply Choice of Law Rules of State in Which It Sits	692
[2]	After Transfer of Venue for Convenience, Transferor State’s Choice of Law Rules Apply: The <i>Van Dusen</i> and <i>Ferens</i> Rules	693
[3]	After Transfer Because of Improper Venue, Transferee Court Applies Choice of Law Rules of State in Which it Sits	695
[4]	Applicable Law When Transferor Court Lacks Personal Jurisdiction	696
	PART E FEDERAL COMMON LAW	697
§ 15.22	AUTHORITY OF FEDERAL COURTS TO CREATE FEDERAL COMMON LAW	697
[1]	General Principles	697
[2]	Areas in Which Federal Courts Create Common Law	698
[a]	Interstitial Federal Common Law	698
[b]	Suits Involving Proprietary Interests of United States	700
[i]	Federal Common Law Is Not Applied to Private Litigation Not Affecting Rights and Duties of United States	700
[ii]	Federal Common Law Is Not Applied to Private Litigation Not Affecting Rights and Duties of United States	701
[iii]	Adopting State Law as Federal Common Law in Interstitial and Proprietary Interest Cases	702
[iv]	Borrowing State Statutes of Limitations	703
[c]	Application of Federal Common Law in Suits Between States	705
[d]	Federal Common Law and International Relations	707
[e]	Federal Common Law in Maritime and Admiralty Cases	708
[f]	Federal Common Law and Native American Relations and Land Rights	709

Table of Contents

PART F APPLICATION OF FEDERAL LAW IN STATE COURTS 710

§ 15.23 STATE COURTS MUST HEAR FEDERAL CLAIMS IF THEY
HAVE APPROPRIATE JURISDICTION UNDER STATE LAW 710

§ 15.24 STATE COURTS MAY REFUSE JURISDICTION OVER
FEDERAL CLAIMS UNDER NEUTRAL STATE
PROCEDURAL RULES 711

§ 15.25 STATE COURTS MAY NOT APPLY STATE LAW IN FEDERAL
CLAIMS TO DEFEAT FEDERAL RIGHTS 712

Appendix **SELECTED PROVISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION, THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL
PROCEDURE, AND TITLE 28, UNITED STATES
CODE 713**

TABLE OF CASES TC-1

TABLE OF STATUTES TS-1

INDEX I-1
