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Preface: How to Use This Book

The law of torts deals with a broad range of human conduct. From punches in the nose to automobile accidents; from affronts to dignity to environmental pollution; from defective products to infliction of emotional distress. Not only must rules of tort law regulate vastly different types of potentially harmful behavior, but they must also be flexible enough to account for the almost limitless variety of fact patterns within each type. No two punches in the nose are exactly alike. At the same time, the rules ought to make the law at least reasonably predictable and the results of the cases both fair to individuals and conducive to social prosperity.

With these far-reaching goals in mind, modern rules of tort law are written in broad strokes. They seldom seek to prescribe or proscribe specific behavior in specific circumstances (always stop, look, and listen before crossing a railroad track). Rather, they set forth general principles to guide behavior in a variety of circumstances (always exercise reasonable care). Instead of purporting to tell us exactly what to do, they provide us with standards against which our conduct may be measured.

The generality of tort rules is what makes the study of tort law difficult. But tort is not unstructured. Every claim for relief and every affirmative defense has a set of required elements. These elements tell lawyers what they must allege and prove in order to demonstrate their clients’ entitlement to relief or why their clients should not be held responsible. It is your task to learn what these elements are and how to apply them to real and hypothetical fact patterns.

How can Questions and Answers: Torts help you in this task? Recognizing that torts coverage varies somewhat from school to school, instructor to instructor, and even day to day in the same course, this book follows a comparably varied path to give you what you need.

You will find a range of difficulty. Some questions are tough while others are pretty easy. In the subject-specific chapters, most of the time the questions start relatively easy and get harder. The difficulty order on the Practice Final Exam is (purposely) random.

Multiple-choice questions, which predominate in this book, always offer four alternatives and only rarely resort to something like “none of the above.” They might ask you to pick the best of a list, the worst of a list, the correct one of two contrary outcomes accompanied by the best rationale, or the story that illustrates a point of doctrine most effectively, as well as other routes to mastery of the material. Short-answer questions ask you to analyze scenarios or communicate discrete points. A little (simple) arithmetic comes up now and then — just as it does for practicing lawyers. The Practice Final Exam simulates tort litigation in the real world by not announcing up front, the way the chapter headings do, which topics are at issue. Whether you’re in your beginning or ending stage of pulling torts material together, then, you’ll find coverage at a level suited to that need.
This edition adds two new features to the book. First, “Zoom Out” is designed for when you’ve examined more than one topic in depth and want to confirm your understanding about how the two (or more) relate to each other. You’ll need that skill on your final exam, because exam questions do not point you to a particular chapter or passage in your syllabus. Second, multiple-choice questions that unite around the same fact pattern are identified and characterized. You’ll know what to expect from these joined questions.

Like most torts classes, the book emphasizes black-letter law but also goes beyond it. While most of its questions use the familiar issue-spotter approach to doctrine — an approach you can probably expect to see on your final — a number of questions will help you review social policy, economic theory, fairness, and the insights of famed common law judges. Most torts teachers expect you to think about torts at this more conceptual level while also mastering rules and elements. Questions that fall in this “policy” category are identified at the end of the Index.

Despite its wide swath, this book is neither a casebook nor a treatise. Its purpose is to help you test your comprehension of the elements of the most important tort claims and defenses. It does not purport to teach you the law in the first instance: that is the purpose of your torts class. Therefore, you should not attempt to answer the questions in any particular part of this book until you have studied the applicable law.

Once you have completed an area of study, you can work your way through the problems in that part of the book to test your understanding of the subject matter. Take your time. Try to answer each question before reviewing the answers and the explanations they provide. If the question calls for a response in your words, write something before reviewing the answer — at least an outline. Don’t just suppose what you would say. It’s too easy to think you have the perfect response in your mind. Your instructor will grade what you produce, not what you intend.

When you reach the end of the semester, consider taking the Practice Final Exam. Give yourself 90 minutes to answer it and do so under conditions of the kind your instructor imposes — for example, closed book or open book depending on what you’ll face. If the question tests on something you didn’t cover, you’ll know and can skip it. Try to avoid the temptation to peek at the answers until after you’ve finished. This exercise can give you a better idea of how to allocate your study time before your actual final.

Many people helped me and my much-missed co-author of the first and second editions, David P. Leonard, to reach the point where we could put these questions before you with confidence that you will benefit from them. First to be thanked are the Torts students who over many years challenged me, and David too, to dig deeper into the whys and wherefores of this fascinating subject. Some multiple-choice questions in this book originated in their ideas. Robin Deis, Maria Raneri, and Joanne Tapia worked on the manuscript. Jennifer Fried, Jack Berry, and Veronica Mishkind provided research assistance. Keith Rowley and Heather Dean got the book started. Carolina Academic Press, publisher of the Q&A series since 2016, offered a welcoming and supportive new environment.
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Brooklyn, New York
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Zoom Out

When you’ve finished studying a unit and want to relate it to something else you’ve reviewed, consider the multiple-choice questions below, which cover intersections between two or more topics.

Q 1: Battery without assault
Q 2: Intentional torts and defenses to intentional torts
Q 58: Breach: Negligence per se or res ipsa loquitur?
Q 65: Breach: Choose res ipsa loquitur, the locality rule, the Hand formula, or custom.
Q 71: Breach: What circumstantial evidence means
Q 98: Which plaintiff’s conduct defense applies?
Q 104: Which fits better, strict liability or negligence?
Q 110: Choose nuisance, negligence, strict liability, or trespass to land.
Q 117 and 118: Contrast Rylands v. Fletcher with the Second Restatement treatment of strict liability
Q 122: Choose negligence, express warranty, strict products liability, or absolute liability.
Q 162: Connect assault and battery with intentional infliction of emotional distress.
Q 170: Which negligent infliction of emotional distress topic is not like the others?
Q 172: Does this scenario raise intentional misrepresentation or negligent misrepresentation?
Q 186: Which issue related to discounting to present value is not like the others?
Q 194: Which of the following is not vicarious liability?
Q 212: A medical operation goes badly. Which claim fits best?
Q 216: Another medical operation goes badly. Which claim fits best?
Q 223: Which fits better, battery or negligence?
Q 224: Which “advanced torts” rubric fits best?
Q 228: Distinguish intentional from negligent defamation.
Q 234: Is the problem with this claim duty, breach, causation, or a plaintiff’s conduct defense?
## Multiple-Choice Problems That Use the Same Fact Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duty to rescue</td>
<td>41 and 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty of an architect</td>
<td>46 and 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office visit to a doctor gone wrong</td>
<td>65 and 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligently contaminated oysters: two breach questions</td>
<td>68 and 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Res ipsa loquitur</em>, with variations</td>
<td>72, 73, and 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combine <em>comparative negligence</em> with assumption of risk</td>
<td>89 and 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combine <em>comparative negligence</em> with assumption of risk</td>
<td>91 and 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in a very different setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast <em>Rylands v. Fletcher</em> with the Second Restatement* treatment</td>
<td>117 and 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of strict liability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products liability, two issues</td>
<td>125 and 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products liability that tests engagement with a factual variation</td>
<td>132 and 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factual variations in <em>bystander negligent infliction of emotional distress</em></td>
<td>167, 168, and 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers compensation and <em>respondeat superior</em></td>
<td>204 and 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSAT-style reasoning by analogy; asks you to ascertain relevance</td>
<td>208 and 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast outcomes when a jurisdiction observes the categories of trespasser, licensee, and invitee, and when it merges these categories</td>
<td>213 and 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario that starts with duty, then moves to breach, then onto assumption of risk</td>
<td>218, 219, and 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault followed by self-defense</td>
<td>221 and 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land visitor duty along with custom as a breach topic</td>
<td>229 and 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty, breach, and two tests for <em>proximate cause: directness and the risk rule</em></td>
<td>234, 235, and 236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variations on informed consent</td>
<td>240, 241, and 242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>