

American Constitutional Law

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Legal History Series
H. Jefferson Powell, Series Editor



THE BIRTH OF AMERICAN LAW
An Italian Philosopher and the American Revolution
John D. Bessler

THE QUOTABLE BRANDEIS
Peter Scott Campbell, editor

LOUIS D. BRANDEIS'S MIT LECTURES ON LAW (1892–1894)
Robert F. Cochran, Jr., editor

FEDERAL JUSTICE IN THE MID-ATLANTIC SOUTH
United States Courts from Maryland to the Carolinas 1836–1861
Peter Graham Fish

LAW IN WAR, WAR AS LAW
*Brigadier General Joseph Holt and the Judge Advocate General's Department
in the Civil War and Early Reconstruction, 1861–1865*
Joshua E. Kastenber

LOST IN TRANSLATIONS
Roman Law Scholarship and Translation in Early Twentieth-Century America
Timothy G. Kearley

GENTLEMEN OF THE GRAND JURY
*The Surviving Grand Jury Charges from Colonial, State,
and Lower Federal Courts Before 1801*
Stanton D. Krauss, editor

NEWSPAPER REPORTS OF DECISIONS IN
COLONIAL, STATE, AND LOWER FEDERAL COURTS BEFORE 1801

Stanton D. Krauss, editor

AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Selected Essays

Henry Paul Monaghan

A VIEW OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Second Edition

William Rawle with Foreword, Introduction,
and Notes by H. Jefferson Powell

OUR CHIEF MAGISTRATE AND HIS POWERS

William Howard Taft with Foreword, Introduction,
and Notes by H. Jefferson Powell

THE FETHA NAGAST

The Law of the Kings

Abba Paulos Tzadua, translator, and Peter L. Strauss

American Constitutional Law

Selected Essays

Henry Paul Monaghan

HARLAN FISKE STONE PROFESSOR OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2018
Henry Paul Monaghan
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Monaghan, Henry Paul, author.

Title: American constitutional law : selected essays / Henry Monaghan.

Description: Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, LLC, [2017] |

Series: Legal history series | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017046267 | ISBN 9781531007805 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law--United States. | United States. Supreme Court. | Judicial review--United States.

Classification: LCC KF4550.A2 M66 2017 | DDC 342.73--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017046267>

eISBN 978-1-53100-781-2

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Foreword	xv
Acknowledgments	xvii

Part I · The Supreme Court and Judicial Review *The Process of Judicial Review*

Chapter 1 · Constitutional Adjudication: The Who and When (1973)	3
I. Two Models of Judicial Competence	5
A. The Private Rights Model	5
B. The Special Function Model	8
II. The Contours of a Special Function Model	11
A. Issues Sharply Defined	11
B. Amenable to Judicial Resolution	13
III. Congress and the Special Function Model	15
IV. The Present Status of the Special Function Model	18
A. Standing	18
B. Mootness	22
C. Sovereign Immunity	24
D. Primary Conduct	27
V. The Special Function Model and Private Rights	29
VI. Conclusion	34
Chapter 2 · Taking Supreme Court Opinions Seriously (1980)	35
I. The Court's View of Its Own Precedents	35
II. Constructing the Opinion of the Court	44
III. Nine Separate Law Firms	47
IV. Conclusion	55

Chapter 3 · On Avoiding Avoidance, Agenda Control, and Related Matters (2012)	57
Introduction	57
I. Of <i>Marbury</i> , <i>Ashwander</i> , and Agenda Control	60
A. <i>Marbury</i>	60
B. <i>Ashwander</i>	66
C. Agenda Control	69
II. The Supreme Court, Final Say, and Agenda Control	73
A. Introduction	73
B. Examples	75
C. Agenda Control and Article III	95
III. Issue Control and the Court's Role in the Constitutional Order	99
A. Professor Bickel	100
B. Current Commentators	105
C. <i>Hart v. Wechsler</i>	108
IV. Agenda Control and Legal Reasoning	110
Conclusion. What Rough Beast . . .	116
 <i>The Judicial Power of Article III</i> 	
Chapter 4 · <i>Marbury</i> and the Administrative State (1983)	121
I. The Problem Elaborated	122
II. Constitutional Adjudication and the Independent Judgment Rule	127
A. The Rule	127
B. Intellectual Origins	132
III. <i>Marbury</i> , Public Administration and Private Rights	135
IV. The Dialogue	141
A. The Structure	141
B. Criticism	143
V. Delegation and <i>Marbury</i>	146
A. Agency Law Making and the Judicial Function	146
B. <i>Hearst Publications</i>	149
C. The Present	152
VI. Judicial Deference and Boundaries	153
A. Limited Government	153
B. Boundary-Setting in Constitutional Adjudication	154
 Chapter 5 · Constitutional Fact Review (1985)	 157
Introduction	157
I. Independent Judgment and the First Amendment	167
A. <i>Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of United States</i>	167
B. Implications of <i>Bose</i>	171
II. The Origins and Vagaries of Constitutional Fact Review	174
A. Judicial Control of the Administrative State	174

B. Independent Record	180
C. Independent Appellate Judgment	185
III. Appellate Duty versus Appellate Discretion	189
A. Appellate Duty	189
B. Appellate Discretion	196
Conclusion	200
Chapter 6 · Supreme Court Review of State-Court Determinations of State Law in Constitutional Cases (2003)	201
Introduction	201
I. Illustrating the Problem: A Brief Look at <i>Bush v. Gore</i>	209
II. The Framework of the Supreme Court’s Appellate Jurisdiction over State Courts	215
A. The Importance of the Threshold Question of Characterization	216
B. A Note on the Adequate Nonfederal Ground Doctrine	227
III. Supreme Court Review of State Law Determinations in Federal Cases	236
A. Introduction and Partial Conclusion	236
B. The Historical Basis of Independent Judgment	246
Conclusion	264
Chapter 7 · Article III and Supranational Judicial Review (2007)	269
I. Supranational Adjudicatory Tribunals and Their Critics	269
II. <i>Marbury</i> and the Domestic Interpretation of International Legal Obligations	278
III. Historical Practice and Supranational Adjudication	285
A. The Jay Treaty	286
B. Subsequent Practice	291
C. Reexamination of Supreme Court Decisions	293
D. The Appointments Clause	296
IV. The Public Rights Doctrine	299
V. Revisiting Constitutional Issues	308
A. NAFTA BNPs	308
B. <i>Sanchez-Llamas</i>	312
Conclusion	314
Part II · The Substance of Judicial Review	
Chapter 8 · First Amendment “Due Process” (1970)	317
I. The Requirement of a Judicial Determination of the Character of Speech	318
A. Obscenity	318
B. Implications for Other Areas of Substantive Law	322
C. The First Amendment and the Jury	324

II. Requirement That the Judicial Determination Precede or Immediately Follow Governmental Intervention	328
A. Ex Parte Seizures and Restraining Orders	329
B. Permits	335
C. The Constitutional Preference for Criminal Proceedings and Anticipatory Relief	338
Chapter 9 · Constitutional Common Law (1974)	347
I. An Example of the Problem	348
II. Constitutional Common Law As a Species of Federal Common Law	354
A. Federal Common Law in Areas of Plenary National Legislative Authority	354
B. A Federal Common Law of Civil Liberties	361
C. The Role of Congress in a Common Law of Civil Liberties	368
III. Some Objections to a Constitutional Common Law of Individual Liberty	371
A. The Problem of Definition	372
B. Separation of Powers and Federalism	375
C. One-Way Common Law	378
IV. New Directions for Constitutional Common Law	380
A. Implementing Specific Guarantees	380
V. Constitutional Common Law, the Non-Specific Guarantees, and Judicial Activism	383
Chapter 10 · Our Perfect Constitution (1981)	385
I. The Perfectionist Culture Theme	385
II. The Justifications for Perfectionism	392
A. The Two-Clause Theory	392
B. The Two Constitutions Theory	398
C. The Social Good Model	399
D. Substantive Entitlements from Process Values	401
III. Perfectionism and the Modes of Constitutional Interpretation	403
A. Original Intent	404
1. In General	404
2. Professor Brest	409
B. Stare Decisis	415
C. The Common Law Mode of Adjudication	418
IV. Our “Imperfect” Constitution	422
Chapter 11 · Overbreadth (1982)	425
I. Introduction	425

II. Facial Challenges in the Conventional Context: The Litigant's Right to Challenge Unconstitutional Rules	428
III. Overbreadth as a Federally Mandated Inseparability Rule	435
IV. The Contours of Overbreadth Analysis	443
A. Content-Based Restrictions	443
B. Overbreadth and Non-Content-Based State Statutes	445
C. Overbreadth and Federal Statutes	448
V. <i>Broadrick v. Oklahoma</i> : The Complexities of Overbreadth Analysis	451
VI. Conclusion	453
Chapter 12 · Third Party Standing (1984)	457
I. Overbreadth As First Party Standing	462
II. <i>Jus Tertii</i> Standing	466
A. Doctrinal Evolution	466
B. Elaboration of the Central Problems	469
III. Theoretical Foundations for <i>Jus Tertii</i> Standing	475
A. State Court Enforcement of Federal Law	475
B. <i>Jus Tertii</i> as First Party Standing: Interactive Liberty	477
IV. <i>Jus Tertii</i> and the Private Attorney General	490
Conclusion	495
Chapter 13 · Stare Decisis and Constitutional Adjudication (1988)	497
I. Originalism and Its Descriptive Inadequacy	498
A. A Brief Exegesis of Originalism	498
B. The Descriptive Inadequacies of Originalism	500
II. Stare Decisis and Constitutional Change	512
A. The Apparent Failure of Stare Decisis	513
B. The Strengths of Stare Decisis	515
III. Notes Toward a Theory of Stare Decisis: Justification	519
A. System Legitimacy	521
B. Legitimizing Judicial Review	523
C. The Uncertain Constitutional Source of Stare Decisis	525
IV. Notes Toward a Theory of Stare Decisis: Content	526
A. Binding Authority	527
B. Precedent	533
V. The Written Constitution of 1789	537
A. Text Over Case Law	537
B. Case Law Over Text	540
VI. Conclusion	542
Chapter 14 · The Protective Power of the Presidency (1993)	545
Introduction	545
I. Sources of the Concept of “The Executive Power”	555

A. Historical Antecedents and Textual Sources of Executive Authority	555
B. The Residuum Argument	563
C. Presidential Power to Violate the Law	566
D. Presidential Emergency Power	573
II. The Modern “Law Enforcement” Executive	579
A. Execution of the Law	579
B. Beyond Mere Execution: <i>Midwest Oil</i>	584
C. Foreign Affairs	587
D. The Chief Administrator	596
III. The Protective Power	600
A. <i>In re Neagle</i> and <i>In re Debs</i>	600
B. A Protective Function	604
C. The Limits of the Protective Power	608
Conclusion	611
Chapter 15 · The Constitution of the United States and American Constitutional Law (1995)	613
<i>Lex Legum</i>	615
History	617
Stare Decisis	618
Interpretive Theory	620
Conclusion	623
Chapter 16 · The Sovereign Immunity “Exception” (1996)	625
I. Introduction	625
II. Sovereign Immunity and the Eleventh Amendment	626
A. The Text of the Eleventh Amendment	627
B. <i>Hans v. Louisiana</i>	627
C. The Scope of Congressional Abrogation of State Immunity	629
III. IGRA	631
IV. The Decision	633
A. Rejecting <i>Union Gas</i>	634
B. The Dissents	637
V. Other Possible Avenues	638
A. Indian Tribes as “Independent Sovereigns”	639
B. The Different Natures of the Indian and Interstate Commerce Clauses	640
C. The Tenth Amendment	641
VI. Rhetoric	643
VII. Reality	644
A. Defensible Justifications for Sovereign Immunity?	644

B. <i>Reich</i> and the Availability of State Courts	647
C. The Continuing Vitality of <i>Ex Parte Young</i>	649
VIII. Conclusion	654
Chapter 17 · Supremacy Clause Textualism (2010)	657
Introduction: The Allure of Supremacy Clause Textualism	657
A. Bradford Clark	659
B. Michael Ramsey	660
C. Other Supremacy Clause Textualists	663
I. Textualism and the Complications of History	667
A. Large States/Small States	667
B. The Supremacy Clause	672
C. Overstating the Senate’s Protective Role	677
D. The Constitutional Text and the Present	678
II. Problems for Supremacy Clause Textualists	679
A. The Problem of Administrative Lawmaking	679
B. The Problem of Federal Common Law	681
C. The Problem of Federal Common Law as Constitutional Interpretation	684
D. Additional Problems for Supremacy Clause Textualists	688
III. “Laws” and the Lost World of the Founders	691
A. “Laws” and the Supremacy Clause	691
B. The Road Not Taken	700
C. A Lost World	701
IV. Originalism/Textualism’s Limits	703
A. Originalism/Textualism’s Interpretive Limits	703
B. Originalism/Textualism and Current Practice	709
Conclusion	717
Part III · Three Tributes	
Chapter 18 · A Legal Giant Is Dead: A Tribute to Herbert Wechsler (2000)	721
I. The Hart & Wechsler Casebook	722
II. Wechsler’s Scholarly Contributions	722
III. <i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	726
IV. A Penetrating Intellect	727
Chapter 19 · Doing Originalism: An Essay in Honor of Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg (2004)	729
I. Taking Originalism Seriously: Two Approaches	730
II. The Predicted Triumph of Justice Ginsburg	732
III. Justice Ginsburg’s Historically Constrained Evolution	733
Chapter 20 · John Ely: The Harvard Years (2004)	737

Afterword	741
Index	743

Foreword

John Marshall long ago observed that a “contrariety of opinion on [a] great constitutional question ought to excite no surprise.” Constitutional law is no simple exercise in lawyer’s logic, at least not in controversial matters: “The judgment is so much influenced by the wishes, the affections, and the general theories of those by whom any political proposition is decided” that disagreement is almost inevitable. Constitutional questions are questions of *law*, but they are (or can be) matters of *political* judgment as well.¹

Chief Justice Marshall was apparently willing to work within the tension between the legal and the political elements in constitutional law, between the judge’s duty to law and the judgment’s inescapable debt to political and philosophical convictions that transcend the law. His current-day successors on the bench and in the academy, on the other hand, regularly deny the inevitability of the tension. Either we ought to purify our legal judgments from the taint of our political convictions, or we ought to discard the methods of the law as outmoded limitations on the achievement of political good. Marshall would have warned us that the former is impossible, and the latter a confession that constitutional law is a failure, but the current in contemporary constitutional thought is running strongly against Marshall.

Henry Monaghan has never shown much interest in swimming with the current. I suspect he regards the fourth-century church father Athanasius as a patron: *Athanasius contra mundum* it was said because of the saint’s defense of truth against widespread error, and for half a century Professor Monaghan has stood against the world of constitutional law thinking. He has insisted, against all forms of constitutional skepticism, that constitutional law can and should be *law*, practiced in disciplined and principled terms that can and should be shared by constitutional lawyers with very different “wishes, affections, and general theories.” At the same time, Monaghan has never countenanced any retreat from engagement with the reality of Supreme Court decisions and American political history despite the conflicted relationship between that reality and his (or anyone else’s) understanding of decision-making according to law.

The current volume presents Professor Monaghan’s own selection of the articles and essays that best present his understanding of constitutional law as a field of study and his practice of constitutional law as the *lex legum*, the law governing the laws, of

1. See 4 Marshall, *Life of George Washington* 243 (Chelsea House 1983) (originally published 1805).

American governance. Many of the entries specifically address questions about justiciability, judicial review, and the specific role and behavior of the Supreme Court of the United States. Federal courts law is an area for specialists, and Monaghan's command of the technical details is superb, but his interest is not only that of a great craftsman: details in the law of Article III, he repeatedly shows, reveal fundamental assumptions about the role of the courts and of constitutional law in the American political order.

Another overarching theme in this book is the relationship between stability and change in constitutional law. Professor Monaghan is minded to view a broad version of originalism as, in principle, the appropriate perspective from which to make decisions applying the federal Constitution. He is *not* willing to ignore, or delegitimize by academic fiat, the great swaths of modern constitutional law that fit uneasily within an originalist model: constitutional scholarship in the Monaghan mode addresses the world as it actually is, although his work also exemplifies that central role of the scholar as critic of our practices in light of what should be our principles. For Monaghan, the authority of the written Constitution, a text that does not change except through formal amendment, is axiomatic, but a responsible judge or lawyer cannot simply dismiss the claims of *stare decisis*, or the effects of historical change on the context in which responsible constitutional decisions must be made.

Many of the articles in this volume belong, beyond any doubt, on the short list of academic studies that every constitutional lawyer ought to read, yet Professor Monaghan's *oeuvre* is far more than a set of creative but discrete contributions to our understanding. Taken as a whole, what he has constructed is a brilliant apologia for American constitutional law, an exposition of its structure and basic features that convincingly refutes the fashionable skepticisms of his contemporaries. And he has done so with the sharp wit and effortless erudition that all of us who know him personally treasure. Henry Monaghan's work is as timeless in its importance as it is individual in its voice: a gift to the Republic of whose law Henry is a matchless expositor.

Jeff Powell

Professor of Law

Duke University

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge the journals in which most of the chapters in this volume originally appeared, and to express his appreciation for the opportunity to republish them: “*Marbury* and the Administrative State,” 83 *Columbia L. Rev.* 1 (1983); “Third Party Standing,” 84 *Columbia L. Rev.* 277 (1984); “Constitutional Fact Review,” 85 *Columbia L. Rev.* 229 (1985); “*Stare Decisis* and Constitutional Adjudication,” 88 *Columbia L. Rev.* 723 (1988); “The Protective Power of the Presidency,” 93 *Columbia L. Rev.* 1 (1993); “A Legal Giant Is Dead,” 100 *Columbia L. Rev.* 1370 (2000); “Supreme Court Review of State Court Determinations of State Law in Constitutional Cases,” 103 *Columbia L. Rev.* 1919 (2003); “Doing Originalism,” 104 *Columbia L. Rev.* 32 (2004); “Article III and Supranational Judicial Review,” 107 *Colum. L. Rev.* 833 (2007); “Supremacy Clause Textualism,” 110 *Colum. L. Rev.* 731 (2010); “On Avoiding Avoidance, Agenda Control and Related Matters,” 112 *Colum. L. Rev.* 665 (2012); “First Amendment ‘Due Process,’” 83 *Harvard L. Rev.* 518 (1973); “Foreword: Constitutional Common Law,” 89 *Harvard L. Rev.* 1 (1974); “The Sovereign Immunity ‘Exception,’” 110 *Harvard L. Rev.* 102 (1996); “John Hart Ely, Jr.: The Harvard Years,” 117 *Harvard L. Rev.* 1748 (2004); “Taking Supreme Court Opinions Seriously,” 39 *Maryland L. Rev.* 1 (1980); “Our Perfect Constitution,” *New York University L. Rev.* 353 (1981); “Overbreadth,” 1981 *Supreme Court Review* 1; “Constitutional Adjudication: The Who and When,” 82 *Yale Law Journal* 1363 (1973). He is also grateful to Kluwers Law International for its permission to include “The Constitution of the United States and American Constitutional Law,” which originally appeared in *Constitutional Justice Under Old Constitutions* (Eivind Smith ed. 1995).

The author greatly appreciates the work of Natalia L. Chavez at Columbia, and Carol Abken and Sarah Holsapple at Duke, that made this book possible.

