

# Civil Procedure

*Carolina Academic Press Context and Practice Series*

Michael Hunter Schwartz  
*Series Editor*

---

**Administrative Law**

Richard Henry Seamon

**Advanced Torts**

Alex B. Long and Meredith J. Duncan

**Animal Law—New Perspectives on Teaching Traditional Law**  
Kathy Hessler, Joyce Tischler, Pamela Hart, and Sonia S. Waisman

**Antitrust Law**

Steven Semeraro

**Civil Procedure, Second Edition**

Gerald F. Hess, Theresa M. Beiner, and Scott R. Bauries

**Civil Procedure for All States**

Benjamin V. Madison, III

**Complex Litigation**

James M. Underwood

**Constitutional Law, Second Edition**

David Schwartz and Lori Ringhand

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:  
An Intersex Athlete's Constitutional Challenge,  
*Hastings v. USATF, IAAF, and IOC***

Olivia M. Farrar

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:  
*Rossi v. Bryce*, An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute**

Olivia M. Farrar

**A Context and Practice Global Case File:  
*Thorpe v. Lightfoot*, A Mother's International Hague Petition  
for the Return of Her Child**

Olivia M. Farrar

**Contracts, Second Edition**

Michael Hunter Schwartz and Adrian J. Walters

**Criminal Law, Second Edition**

Steven I. Friedland, Catherine L. Carpenter,  
Kami N. Chavis, and Catherine Arcabascio

**Current Issues in Constitutional Litigation, Second Edition**

Sarah E. Ricks, with co-author Evelyn M. Tenenbaum

**Employment Discrimination, Third Edition**

Sandra F. Sperino and Jarod S. Gonzalez

**Energy Law**

Joshua P. Fershee

**Evidence, Second Edition**

Pavel Wonsowicz

**International Business Transactions**

Amy Deen Westbrook

**International Women's Rights, Equality, and Justice**

Christine M. Venter

**The Lawyer's Practice**

Kris Franklin

**Professional Responsibility**

Barbara Glesner Fines

**Property Law**

Alicia Kelly and Nancy Knauer

**Sales, Second Edition**

Edith R. Warkentine

**Secured Transactions**

Edith R. Warkentine and Jerome A. Grossman

**Torts**

Paula J. Manning

**Workers' Compensation Law, Second Edition**

Michael C. Duff

**Your Brain and Law School**

Marybeth Herald



# Civil Procedure

---

## *A Context and Practice Casebook*

---

SECOND EDITION

### **Gerald F. Hess**

PROFESSOR OF LAW EMERITUS  
FOUNDER OF THE INSTITUTE FOR LAW SCHOOL TEACHING  
GONZAGA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

### **Theresa M. Beiner**

DEAN AND THE NADINE BAUM DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS AT LITTLE ROCK  
WILLIAM H. BOWEN SCHOOL OF LAW

### **Scott R. Bauries**

ASSOCIATE DEAN OF FACULTY RESEARCH  
WILLBURT D. HAM PROFESSOR OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY COLLEGE OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  

---

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2019  
Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-0800-0  
eISBN 978-1-5310-0801-7  
LCCN 2019938701

Carolina Academic Press  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, NC 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

For my wife, Dana, and my daughter, Meredith.

—Scott Bauries

To my first teachers, my parents, Marylin and Raymond Beiner.

—Theresa Beiner

To my family of teachers and writers:

Layne Stromwall, Michael Stromwall Hess, and Amanda Stromwall Hess.

—Gerry Hess





# Contents

Table of Principal Cases	xxv
Series Editor's Preface	xxix
<b>Chapter 1 · Introduction and Overview</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Civil Procedure—A Context and Practice Casebook	3
2. The Nature of Civil Procedure	4
Exercise 1-1. The Importance of Civil Procedure	6
3. Sources of Law	6
a. Constitutions	6
b. Statutes	7
c. Rules and Regulations	7
d. Judicial Decisions: Common Law and Equity	8
4. United States Court System	9
a. United States District Courts	9
b. United States Circuit Courts of Appeals	9
c. The United States Supreme Court	10
5. Characteristics of Civil Litigation	10
a. Goals of the Civil Litigation System	10
Exercise 1-2. Articulating and Achieving the Goals of the Civil Litigation System	10
b. Volume and Types of Civil Cases in Federal and State Court	11
c. Adversary System	11
Exercise 1-3. Evaluating the Adversary System	12
6. Alternative Dispute Resolution	12
a. Civil Litigation	12
b. Negotiation	13
c. Mediation	13
d. Arbitration	13
Exercise 1-4. Selecting Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	14
7. Professionalism	14
Spokane County Bar Association Code of Professional Courtesy	14
Exercise 1-5. Professionalism	16
8. Legal Reasoning	16
a. Case Analysis	16
b. Statutory Analysis	16
Exercise 1-6. Statutory Analysis	18

9. Timeline of a Civil Lawsuit	19
a. Considerations before Filing Suit	20
b. Plaintiff Files the Complaint	20
c. Defendant Responds	20
d. Discovery	21
e. Summary Judgment Motion	21
f. Trial	21
g. Judgment	22
h. Appeal	22
i. Preclusion	22
<b>Chapter 2 · Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>23</b>
1. Chapter Problem	23
2. Introduction	23
3. Personal Jurisdiction Foundations	25
Exercise 2-1. <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i> and Case Reading Skills	26
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	27
Notes Regarding <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	33
Exercise 2-2. Understanding In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam	35
Diagramming Lawsuits	36
4. Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory	36
Exercise 2-3. <i>International Shoe</i> and Modern Personal Jurisdiction	37
<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	38
Exercise 2-4. <i>International Shoe</i> Revisited	42
Note on Long Arm Statutes	43
Exercise 2-5. <i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	45
<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	45
Exercise 2-6. <i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i> Revisited	53
Note on Personal Jurisdiction in the Federal Court System	54
Exercise 2-7. Reading Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	55
Exercise 2-8. <i>Burger King Corporation v. Rudzewicz</i>	56
<i>Burger King Corporation v. Rudzewicz</i>	57
Exercise 2-9. <i>Burger King</i> Revisited	66
Note on Consent to Jurisdiction	67
Exercise 2-10. Modern Specific Jurisdiction Cases and International Parties: <i>J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	68
<i>J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	69
Exercise 2-11. <i>Nicastro</i> Revisited	77
Note on <i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court</i> and “Arising Out of or Relating to” the Defendant’s Contact with the Forum	78
5. General Jurisdiction	79
Exercise 2-12. General Jurisdiction in <i>Daimler v. Bauman</i>	80
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	80

<i>BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell</i>	90
Exercise 2-12(a): <i>Daimler</i> Applied to a U.S.-Based Corporation— <i>BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell</i>	90
Exercise 2-13. <i>Daimler</i> and <i>BNSF</i> Revisited	94
6. Personal Jurisdiction and the Internet	96
Exercise 2-14. <i>Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer GMBH</i>	96
<i>Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer GMBH</i>	97
Exercise 2-15. Synthesis Exercise	107
7. Professional Development Reflection	107
<b>Chapter 3 · Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard</b>	109
1. Chapter Problem	109
2. Introduction	110
3. Due Process Limits on Notice	110
Exercise 3-1. <i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank &amp; Trust</i>	110
<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank &amp; Trust Co.</i>	111
Exercise 3-2. <i>Greene v. Lindsey</i>	116
<i>Greene v. Lindsey</i>	117
Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis— <i>Dusenbery v. United States</i>	122
Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis— <i>Jones v. Flowers</i>	123
Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy	123
4. Statutory Limits on Notice	124
Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements Practice Pointer	124
Exercise 3-7. Rule 4(e)—Service on Individuals	128
<i>Kolker v. Hurwitz</i>	128
Exercise 3-8. Rule 4(e)(2)(B) Analysis	131
Exercise 3-9. <i>National Development Corp. v. Triad</i>	132
<i>Nat'l Develop. Corp. v. Triad Holding Corp.</i>	132
Exercise 3-10. Service by Publication	135
Exercise 3-11. Serving a Corporation	136
Exercise 3-12. Waiver of Service	137
5. Opportunity to Be Heard	137
<i>Connecticut v. Doehr</i>	138
Opportunity to Be Heard—Notes and Exercises	147
Exercise 3-13. Opportunity to Be Heard— <i>Mackey v. Montrym</i>	148
Exercise 3-14. Opportunity to Be Heard— <i>Cleveland Bd. of</i> <i>Educ. v. Loudermill</i>	149
Exercise 3-15. Opportunity to Be Heard— <i>City of Los Angeles v. David</i>	149
Exercise 3-16. Constitutionality of Wisconsin Prejudgment Attachment Statutes	149
6. Professional Development Reflection	151
Exercise 3-17. Service Professionalism	151

<b>Chapter 4 · Subject Matter Jurisdiction</b>	153
1. Chapter Problem	153
2. Introduction	153
3. Statutory and Constitutional Bases for Federal Court Subject Matter Jurisdiction	156
4. Diversity Jurisdiction	157
Exercise 4-1. Understanding and Applying the Federal Diversity Statute	158
Exercise 4-2. <i>Mas v. Perry</i>	160
<i>Mas v. Perry</i>	160
Exercise 4-3. <i>Mas v. Perry</i> Revisited	163
Exercise 4-4. <i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i> and the Problem of Corporations	164
<i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i>	165
Exercise 4-5. Applying <i>Hertz</i>	171
Exercise 4-6. Domicile of Other Business Entities	172
Exercise 4-7. <i>Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp. &amp; Carroll v. Stryker Corp.</i>	173
<i>Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp.</i>	174
<i>Carroll v. Stryker Corp.</i>	176
Exercise 4-8. <i>Harshey</i> and <i>Carroll</i> Revisited	178
Note on Minimal Diversity Jurisdiction Statutes	179
Note on Exceptions to Diversity Jurisdiction	180
5. Cases “Arising under the Constitution, Laws, or Treaties of the United States”	180
Exercise 4-9. <i>Louisville &amp; Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley</i>	181
<i>Louisville &amp; Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley</i>	182
Exercise 4-10. <i>Mottley</i> Revisited	183
Note on State Law Claims that Incorporate Federal Standards—	
An Exercise in Reconciling Conflicting Cases	184
Exercise 4-11. Reconciling Seemingly Conflicting Case Law	186
Exercise 4-12. <i>Grable &amp; Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering &amp; Manufacturing</i> and Its Progeny	187
<i>Grable &amp; Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering &amp; Manufacturing</i>	187
<i>Empire HealthChoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh</i>	192
<i>Gunn v. Minton</i>	196
Exercise 4-13. Synthesizing <i>Grable</i> , <i>Empire</i> , and <i>Gunn</i>	202
Note on Other Situations That Implicate (or Do Not Implicate) Arising under Jurisdiction	203
6. Supplemental Jurisdiction	205
a. The History of Supplemental Jurisdiction	205
b. Modern Supplemental Jurisdiction	207
Exercise 4-14. Applying 28 U.S.C. § 1367	208
Exercise 4-15. <i>Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.</i>	209

<i>Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.</i>	209
Note on Tolling under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(d)	216
7. Removal	217
Exercise 4-16. Understanding the Removal Statutes	218
Exercise 4-17. Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction Revisited: Putting It All Together	218
8. Professional Development Reflection	220
<b>Chapter 5 • Venue and Forum Non Conveniens</b>	221
1. Chapter Problem	221
2. Introduction	221
3. State Venue	223
Exercise 5-1. <i>Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison</i>	223
<i>Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison</i>	223
Exercise 5-2. <i>Reasor-Hill</i> Revisited	228
4. Federal Venue Rules	229
Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute	229
Exercise 5-4. <i>Bates v. C &amp; S Adjustors, Inc.</i> and <i>Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer</i>	230
<i>Bates v. C &amp; S Adjustors, Inc.</i>	231
<i>Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer</i>	232
Exercise 5-5. <i>Bates v. C &amp; S Adjustors, Inc.</i> and <i>Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer</i> Revisited	236
Note on Pendent Venue	236
5. Forum Non Conveniens	237
Exercise 5-6. <i>Piper Aircraft v. Reyno</i>	238
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	238
Exercise 5-7. <i>Piper Aircraft</i> Revisited	245
6. Transfer and Dismissal Based on Venue Issues	246
Exercise 5-8. Applying the Transfer Statutes	247
Exercise 5-9. <i>Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas</i>	248
<i>Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Western District of Texas</i>	249
Exercise 5-10. <i>Atlantic Marine</i> Revisited	256
Note on Law that Applies to Transferred Cases	256
Note on Transfer Due to Jurisdictional Defect	256
Exercise 5-11. Transfers in the Interest of Justice for Want of Jurisdiction	257
<i>Hays v. Postmaster General of the United States</i>	257
<i>Nationwide Contractor Audit Service v. National Compliance Management Services, Inc.</i>	259
Exercise 5-12. Transfers in the Interest of Justice for Want of Jurisdiction Revisited	260

Note on Multidistrict Litigation Transfers	260
Exercise 5-13. Multi-District Litigation Transfers	261
Exercise 5-14. Chapter Problem Revisited: Putting It All Together and Applying It	261
7. Professional Development Reflection	262
<b>Chapter 6 · What Law Governs?</b>	263
1. Chapter Problem	263
2. Introduction	264
3. Basic Choice of Law Concepts	264
4. The Rules of Decision Act Problem	266
Exercise 6-1: <i>Swift v. Tyson &amp; Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins</i>	267
<i>Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins</i>	267
Exercise 6-2. Understanding the <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	273
Exercise 6-3. Application	273
Exercise 6-4. Application	273
Note: Cases Applying <i>Erie</i>	274
5. The Rules Enabling Act Problem	277
Exercise 6-5. <i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	278
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	278
Note: Understanding <i>Hanna</i> and Its Progeny	287
Exercise 6-6. Testing Your Understanding	290
Exercise 6-7. Three Current Approaches— <i>Shady Grove v. Allstate</i>	294
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i>	294
Exercise 6-8. Visualizing Vertical Choice of Law	311
6. Reconciling Vertical and Horizontal Choice of Law	311
Exercise 6-9. What Law Applies if the “Law” Is Not Clear?	311
<i>Craig v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.</i>	312
Exercise 6-10. What Law Applies If the “Law” Is Choice-of-Law Rules?	319
<i>Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co.</i>	320
<i>Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the</i> <i>Western District of Texas</i>	323
Exercise 6-11. Choice of Law and Contracts	324
7. Professional Development Reflection: Horizontal Choice of Law	325
Exercise 6-12. Choice of Professionalism Law	325
Exercise 6-13. Chapter Problem and Discussion	326
<b>Chapter 7 · Pleading</b>	327
1. Chapter Problem	327
2. Introduction	327
3. The Complaint	330
Exercise 7-1. <i>Swierkiewicz v. Sorema</i>	330
<i>Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A.</i>	332
Notice Pleading and Heightened Pleading	336

Exercise 7-2. Situating <i>Swierkiewicz</i>	337
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i>	337
Exercise 7-3. Understanding <i>Twombly</i>	347
Exercise 7-4. From <i>Twombly</i> to <i>Iqbal</i>	348
Exercise 7-5. Understanding <i>Iqbal</i>	348
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i>	349
Exercise 7-6. Understanding Rule 8(a) and Rule 12(b)(6)	360
Pleading Your Legal Theory	361
Exercise 7-7. Applying “ <i>Twiqbal</i> ”	362
4. The Answer, Defenses, and Affirmative Defenses	363
Exercise 7-8. Improper Denials	366
<i>Infiniti Group International, Inc. v. Elk Lighting, Inc., d/b/a Sterling Industries, Inc.</i>	367
Exercise 7-9. “Negative Pregnants” and Other Improper Denial Forms	368
Exercise 7-10. Defenses	369
5. Amending and Supplementing Pleadings	370
Exercise 7-11. <i>Beeck v. Aquaslide</i>	370
<i>Beeck v. Aquaslide ‘n’ Dive Corp.</i>	371
Exercise 7-12. <i>Beeck v. Aquaslide: An Appeal</i>	375
Exercise 7-13. <i>Beeck’s</i> Options	375
<i>Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A.</i>	375
Exercise 7-14. Applying Rule 15 and Relation-Back	385
6. Truthfulness, Good Faith, and Professionalism in Pleading	386
<i>Ridder v. City of Springfield</i>	388
Exercise 7-15. Rule 11 versus § 1927	400
Exercise 7-16. Professionalism Exercise	400
Exercise 7-17. Putting the Standards Together	401
<b>Chapter 8 · Aggregating Claims and Parties</b>	403
1. Chapter Problem	403
2. Introduction	405
Exercise 8-1. Interrogating the Purpose of Joinder	408
3. Basic Claim Joinder	408
Exercise 8-2. Compulsory and Permissive Joinder of Claims	410
Related Claims and Joinder	411
<i>Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co.</i>	411
<i>Ginwright v. Exeter Finance Corp.</i>	416
Exercise 8-3. “Same Transaction or Occurrence”	421
4. Basic Party Joinder	422
<i>King v. Pepsi Cola Metropolitan Bottling Co.</i>	423
Exercise 8-4. Misjoinder and Rule 21	425
<i>John S. Clark Co., Inc. v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of Ill.</i>	426
Exercise 8-5. Using Rules 20 and 21	436

<i>Santana Products, Inc., Plaintiff, v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment, Inc., Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, v. Formica Corp., Third-Party Defendant</i>	437
Exercise 8-6. Indemnity or Indemnity?	442
Exercise 8-7. Contribution and Impleader	443
Exercise 8-8. Review of Basic Joinder Practice	443
5. Complex Joinder	445
a. Required Joinder of Parties	445
<i>Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Peabody Western Coal Company; Navajo Nation</i>	447
Exercise 8-9. The Complexity of Required Joinder	461
Exercise 8-10. Alternatives to Required Joinder	462
b. Interpleader	462
<i>Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Price</i>	463
c. Intervention	467
<i>Arakaki v. Cayetano</i>	468
Exercise 8-11. Framing a Motion to Intervene	477
6. Class Actions	477
Exercise 8-12. Statutory Analysis—Rule 23 Certification Requirements	478
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i>	479
Exercise 8-13. Applying <i>Wal-Mart v. Dukes</i>	494
Exercise 8-14. Chapter Problem Revisited	494
7. Professionalism and Joinder	495
Exercise 8-15. Fraudulent Joinder and Professionalism	496
<b>Chapter 9 • Discovery</b>	497
1. Chapter Problem	497
2. Introduction	498
3. General Scope of Discovery and Its Limits	500
a. General Scope	500
Relevance Box—Broad Scope of Discovery—Rule 26(b)(1)	501
Limits on Scope of Discovery	501
Exercise 9-1. <i>Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist.</i>	502
<i>Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist.</i>	502
Exercise 9-2. <i>Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist.</i> Revisited	507
b. Proportionality and Limits on Discovery	508
Exercise 9-3. Reading Rules that Limit Discovery	508
Exercise 9-4. <i>Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons</i>	509
<i>Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons</i>	509
Exercise 9-5. <i>Marrese</i> Revisited	516
c. Special Limits on Discovery	516



(1) Work Product Doctrine	516
Exercise 9-6. <i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	517
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	517
Exercise 9-7. <i>Hickman v. Taylor</i> Revisited	525
(2) Attorney-Client Privilege	527
Exercise 9-8. When Does the Attorney-Client Privilege Apply?	527
Exercise 9-9. Who Is the Client for Purposes of the Privilege?	
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	528
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	528
Exercise 9-10. <i>Upjohn</i> Revisited	534
4. The Tools of Discovery	535
a. Initial Disclosures	535
Exercise 9-11. Initial Disclosures	535
b. Depositions	536
Exercise 9-12. Reading and Applying Rule 27	537
Exercise 9-13. Reading Rule 30	538
Exercise 9-14. Depositions by Remote Means	539
<i>Delgado v. Magical Cruise Co.</i>	540
Note on Objections During Depositions	543
c. Interrogatories to Parties	544
Exercise 9-15. Rule 33 and Interrogatories	545
d. Requests for Documents, Tangible Things, and Entering Land	545
Exercise 9-16. <i>Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC</i>	546
<i>Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC</i>	546
Exercise 9-17. <i>Zubulake</i> Revisited	553
Note on Implications of 2015 Amendments and e-Discovery	554
e. Physical and Mental Examinations	556
Exercise 9-18. <i>Schlagenhauf v. Holder</i>	556
<i>Schlagenhauf v. Holder</i>	556
Exercise 9-19. <i>Schlagenhauf v. Holder</i> Revisited	562
f. Requests for Admission	563
Exercise 9-20. Reading Rule 36	563
Note on Failure to Admit Something That the Requesting Party Later Proves	563
5. Motions to Compel, Sanctions, and the Duty to Supplement Responses	564
a. Motions to Compel and Sanctions for Discovery Non-Compliance	564
<i>Insurance Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee</i>	565
b. Duty to Supplement Discovery Responses	572
Exercise 9-21. The Chapter Problem Revisited	572
6. Professional Development Question	572
<b>Chapter 10 · Disposition without Trial</b>	573
1. Chapter Problem	573
2. Introduction	573

3. Default and Default Judgment	574
Exercise 10-1. Reading Rule 55	575
<i>Stephenson v. El-Batrawi</i>	576
Exercise 10-2. Reading <i>Stephenson v. El-Batrawi</i>	585
Exercise 10-3. “Efficient” Default	585
4. Dismissal and Judgment on the Pleadings	585
a. Motion Practice in General	586
Exercise 10-4. Responding to a Motion (or a Pleading)	587
b. The Motion to Dismiss and the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	587
<i>Wee Care Child Center, Inc. v. Lumpkin</i>	588
Exercise 10-5. Motion to Dismiss vs. Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	595
c. Waiver of Defenses under Rule 12	595
Exercise 10-6. Applying Rule 12(g) & (h)	597
<i>Marrero-Gutierrez v. Molina</i>	599
Exercise 10-7. Waiver, Exceptions, and Litigation Strategy	604
d. Voluntary Dismissal	605
Exercise 10-8. Voluntary Dismissal	606
Exercise 10-9. The Effects of Dismissal	606
Exercise 10-10. Researching Dismissal Without Prejudice	607
Exercise 10-11. Researching Failure to Prosecute	608
5. Summary Judgment	609
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i>	610
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i>	614
Exercise 10-12. Codifying the Summary Judgment Trio and Other Decisions	623
<i>Garner v. City of Ozark</i>	627
<i>Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC</i>	630
Exercise 10-13. Denying Additional Discovery	633
Exercise 10-14. The Summary Judgment Burden Shift	633
6. Professionalism and Summary Adjudication	634
Exercise 10-15. Default and Professionalism	635
<b>Chapter 11 · Trial and Post-Trial</b>	637
1. Chapter Problem	637
2. Overview	638
3. Right to a Jury	639
Practice Pointer	640
Exercise 11-1. Jury Trial Policy and Strategy	641
Exercise 11-2. <i>Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry</i>	641
<i>Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry</i>	642
Exercise 11-3. <i>Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover</i>	651
<i>Beacon Theaters, Inc. v. Westover</i>	651

Exercise 11-4. <i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Health and Safety Review Comm'n</i>	654
<i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Health and Safety Review Comm'n</i>	655
Exercise 11-5. <i>Tull v. United States</i>	659
<i>Tull v. United States</i>	660
Exercise 11-6. Right to a Jury Quiz	663
4. Jury Selection	664
Exercise 11-7. <i>J.E.B. v. Alabama</i>	665
<i>J.E.B. v. Alabama</i>	666
Note on <i>Boston</i> Challenges	676
5. Jury Instructions	677
Jury Instruction Example	677
Exercise 11-8. Jury Instruction Policy	681
6. Jury Verdicts	681
Special Verdict Example	682
Findings of Fact	683
7. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	683
Conclusions of Law	684
8. Motions During and After Trial	685
a. Judgment as a Matter of Law	685
Form for a Judgment in a Case Tried to a Jury	685
Exercise 11-9. <i>Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.</i>	686
<i>Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.</i>	686
JMOL Notes and Questions	693
Exercise 11-10. <i>Lavender v. Kurn</i>	695
b. New Trial	697
Exercise 11-11. <i>Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London</i>	698
Washington Civil Rule 59(a) Grounds for a New Trial	698
<i>Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London</i>	699
New Trial Notes and Questions	704
<i>McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood</i>	708
c. Relief from Judgment	710
(1) Mistake, Inadvertence, Surprise, or Excusable Neglect	711
(2) Newly Discovered Evidence	712
(3) Fraud, Misrepresentation, or Misconduct by an Opposing Party	712
(4) Judgment Is Void	714
(5) Judgment Is Based on an Earlier Judgment That Has Been Reversed, or Applying Judgment Prospectively Is No Longer Equitable	714
(6) Any Other Reason That Justifies Relief	715
9. Professional Development Reflection	716
Karen Dorn Steele, <i>Lawyer says Japanese heritage affected verdict</i>	716
Karen Dorn Steele, <i>Racial Remarks Prompt New Trial</i>	718

<b>Chapter 12 · Appeal</b>	719
1. Chapter Problem	719
2. Introduction and Overview	719
3. The Final Judgment Rule and Its Exceptions	721
Exercise 12-1. Final Judgment Rule Policy	721
Exercise 12-2. <i>Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter</i>	722
<i>Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter</i>	722
Notes on Collateral Order Doctrine and the Final Judgment Rule	728
Exceptions to the Final Judgment Rule	729
a. 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1)—Injunctions	729
b. 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)—Certified Interlocutory Orders	730
<i>In re City of Memphis</i>	730
c. Rule 23(f)—Class Action Certification	731
Exercise 12-3. Compare and Contrast Rule 23(f) and 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)	732
Note on Class Action Certification and the Final Judgment Rule	732
d. Rule 54(b)—Multiple-Claim, Multiple-Party Cases	733
Exercise 12-4. <i>Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co.</i>	733
<i>Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co.</i>	733
e. 28 U.S.C. § 1651—Writs of Mandamus and Prohibition	737
Exercise 12-5. <i>Kerr v. United States District Court</i>	738
<i>Kerr v. United States District Court</i>	738
Exercise 12-6. <i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel</i>	740
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel</i>	740
Note on Appellate Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court	743
Exercise 12-7. Appellate Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court	744
4. Standards of Review and Harmless Error	744
Exercise 12-8. <i>Pierce v. Underwood</i>	745
<i>Pierce v. Underwood</i>	745
Note—Abuse of Discretion Applied to Rule 11 Sanctions Decisions	748
Exercise 12-9. <i>Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C.</i>	750
<i>Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C.</i>	750
Note on the de novo Standard of Review	757
Exercise 12-10. Harmless Error	758
<i>Cochenour v. Cameron Savings &amp; Loan, F.A.</i>	758
5. Professional Development Reflection	760
Exercise 12-11. Frivolous Appeals	760
<b>Chapter 13 · Preclusion</b>	761
1. Chapter Problem	761
2. Introduction	761
3. Claim Preclusion	764
a. Valid Judgment	765
b. Final Judgment	766

c. On the Merits	766
d. Same Claim	766
Practice Pointer	768
Exercise 13-1. <i>Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights</i>	768
<i>Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights</i>	769
Note on <i>Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt</i>	771
Exercise 13-2. <i>Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights</i> Revisited	772
e. Same Parties	774
Note on “Virtual Representation”	774
Note on Potential Exceptions to Claim Preclusion	776
Exercise 13-3. <i>Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie</i>	776
<i>Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie</i>	777
Exercise 13-4. Applying the Basic Concepts of Claim Preclusion	781
4. Issue Preclusion	782
a. A Valid Final Judgment	783
b. Same Issue Was Actually Litigated	784
Practice Pointer	785
Exercise 13-5. <i>O’Neal v. Remington Arms</i>	786
<i>O’Neal v. Remington Arms Company, LLC</i>	786
Exercise 13-6. Was the Same Issue Actually Litigated?	789
c. Necessary to the Court’s Judgment	790
Exercise 13-7. <i>National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Eliadis, Inc.</i>	790
<i>National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Eliadis, Inc.</i>	791
d. Same Parties	797
Exercise 13-8. <i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	798
<i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i>	798
Exercise 13-9. <i>Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore</i> and Applying the Elements of Issue Preclusion	805
Exercise 13-10. Solving the Chapter Problem	807
5. Professional Development Reflection	807
<b>Chapter 14 · Alternatives to Litigation</b>	<b>809</b>
1. Chapter Problem	809
2. Introduction	810
3. Settlement and Offers of Judgment	811
<i>UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Shelter Capital Partners LLC</i>	812
Exercise 14-1. Rule 68 Offers and Safeguards	816
4. Mediation and Other Non-Adjudicatory Procedures	816
Exercise 14-2. Reading Rule 16 for ADR Provisions	818
Exercise 14-3. Local Rules Relating to Mediation and Settlement Disputes over Mediation	820
<i>Turner v. Young</i>	821
Exercise 14-4. <i>Turner v. Young</i>	825
5. Arbitration	825

<i>Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson</i>	827
Exercise 14-5. The “Substantive Federal Law of Arbitration”	833
<i>AT&amp;T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i>	834
Exercise 14-6. Negotiating an Arbitration Clause	843
<i>Oxford Health Plans L.L.C. v. Sutter</i>	843
Exercise 14-7. Reviewing the Agreement and Defensive Drafting	849
Exercise 14-8. Unintended Consequences	849
Exercise 14-9. Contracting for Arbitration’s Effect	850
6. Professionalism and Alternative Dispute Resolution	850
Exercise 14-10. Mediation and Professionalism	851
Exercise 14-11. Ethical Offers of Judgment	851
<b>Chapter 15 · Integration and Review</b>	853
1. Client Interview—Plaintiffs	853
Exercise 15-1. Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	857
Exercise 15-2. Diversity Jurisdiction	857
2. Legal Research—Substantive Law	858
Exercise 15-3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	859
Exercise 15-4. Personal Jurisdiction—Basis	859
Exercise 15-5. Venue	860
Exercise 15-6. Personal Jurisdiction—Service	860
3. Complaint	861
4. Responses to Complaint	863
Exercise 15-7. Default	863
Exercise 15-8. Motion to Dismiss	863
Exercise 15-9. Waiver of Defenses	863
Exercise 15-10. Voluntary Dismissal	863
5. Amended Complaint	863
Exercise 15-11. Amended Pleadings	863
Exercise 15-12. Rule 11	866
Exercise 15-13. Joinder of Claims and Parties	866
6. Fran Bell’s Notes of Initial Interviews with Defendants	867
7. Answer and Reply	869
Exercise 15-14. Joinder by Defendant	870
8. Right to a Jury	872
Exercise 15-15. Right to a Jury	872
9. Discovery	872
Exercise 15-16. Initial Disclosures	872
Exercise 15-17. Requests for Production of Documents	874
Exercise 15-18. Experts	877
10. Motion for Summary Judgment	878
Exercise 15-19. Motion for Summary Judgment	878
11. Trial	879
12. Jury Verdict	880

13. Post-Judgment Motions and Appeal	882
Exercise 15-20. Motions for a New Trial and for Judgment as a Matter of Law	882
Exercise 15-21. Appeal	882
Exercise 15-22. What Law Governs?	882
14. Preclusion	884
Exercise 15-23. Preclusion	884
15. Professionalism	885
Exercise 15-24. Agreeing to Represent Clients; Settlement	885
Index	887





# Table of Principal Cases

- Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C., 750
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 614, 692
- Arakaki v. Cayetano, 468
- Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 348, 349, 360, 361, 362, 364, 388, 401, 575, 588, 593, 627, 628
- AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion, 834
- Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Western District of Texas, 248, 249, 256, 322, 323
- Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Health and Safety Review Comm'n, 654, 655, 663
- Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc., 230, 231, 236
- Beacon Theaters, Inc. v. Westover, 651, 663, 644
- Beeck v. Aquaslide 'n' Dive Corp., 370, 371, 385
- Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 337, 347, 351, 352, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 360, 362, 364, 388, 401, 575, 588, 591, 604, 605, 627
- BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell, 90, 94, 95
- Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court, 78, 79
- Burger King Corporation v. Rudzewicz, 56, 57, 66, 77, 79, 87, 89, 100, 102, 105, 265
- Carroll v. Stryker Corp., 173, 176, 178
- Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 610, 623
- Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry, 641, 642
- City of Los Angeles v. David, 149,
- Cleveland Bd. of Educ. v. Loudermill, 149
- Cochenour v. Cameron Savings & Loan, F.A., 758
- Connecticut v. Doehr, 138, 575
- Craig v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., 312
- Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co., 733
- Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London, 698, 699
- Daimler AG v. Bauman, 80, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 107, 384
- Delgado v. Magical Cruise Co., 539, 540
- Dusenberry v. United States, 122, 123, 124
- Empire Healthchoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh, 192, 202
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Peabody Western Coal Company; Navajo Nation, 447
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 266, 267, 273, 274, 275, 276, 278, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 296, 300, 307, 308, 311, 312, 319
- Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc., 174, 209, 295
- Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie, 776, 777
- Garner v. City of Ozark, 627
- Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist., 501, 502, 507
- Ginwright v. Exeter Finance Corp., 416, 422
- Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufactur-

- ing, 187, 193, 194, 198, 200, 201, 202
- Greene v. Lindsey, 116, 117, 122, 123, 124
- Gunn v. Minton, 187, 196, 202
- Hanna v. Plumer, 276, 278, 287, 288, 289, 290, 292, 293, 300, 302, 305, 308, 309, 311
- Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp.,
- Hays v. Postmaster General of the United States, 257
- Helicopters Nacionales De Colombia, S.A. v. Hall, 58, 59, 71, 80, 83, 84, 85, 92
- Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 164, 165, 171
- Hickman v. Taylor, 17, 517, 525, 533, 534, 558
- In re City of Memphis, 730
- Infiniti Group International, Inc. v. Elk Lighting, Inc., d/b/a Sterling Industries, Inc., 364, 366, 367, 369
- Insurance Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee, 565, 597
- International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 67, 70, 71, 75, 77, 79, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 92, 94, 100, 118, 568
- J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro, 68, 69, 77, 97, 100, 102, 103, 104
- J.E.B. v. Alabama, 665, 666
- Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer, 230, 232, 236
- John S. Clark Co., Inc. v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of Ill., 426, 496
- Jones v. Flowers, 123
- Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co., 411
- Kerr v. United States District Court, 512, 738
- King v. Pepsi Cola Metropolitan Bottling Co., 423, 425, 436
- Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co., 266, 285, 319, 320, 323, 324
- Kolker v. Hurwitz, 128, 132
- Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A., 375, 385, 386, 387
- Lavender v. Kurn, 695
- Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel, 740
- Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights, 768, 769, 772
- Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley, 181, 182, 183, 204, 465
- Mackey v. Montrym, 148, 735, 742
- Marrero-Gutierrez v. Molina, 599, 604
- Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 509, 516, 762, 770
- Mas v. Perry, 160, 163
- McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood, 708
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Price, 463
- Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter, 722,
- Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 110, 111, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 137
- Nat'l Develop. Corp. v. Triad Holding Corp., 132
- National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Elliadis, Inc., 790, 791
- Nationwide Contractor Audit Service v. National Compliance Management Services, Inc., 259
- O'Neal v. Remington Arms Company, LLC, 785, 786, 797
- Oxford Health Plans L.L.C. v. Sutter, 843, 849
- Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore, 798, 805
- Pennoyer v. Neff, 26, 27, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41, 45, 47, 50, 52, 82, 85, 118, 585
- Pierce v. Underwood, 745, 749, 750
- Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno, 23, 36, 42, 56, 107, 136, 137, 153, 218, 221, 238, 245, 256, 263, 326, 497, 508,

- 535, 536, 539, 545, 556, 572, 719,  
761, 807
- Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer  
GMBH, 96, 97,
- Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison, 223, 227,  
228, 229
- Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products,  
Inc., 686, 694, 695
- Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson,  
827, 836
- Ridder v. City of Springfield, 388, 400
- Santana Products, Inc., Plaintiff, v. Bo-  
brick Washroom Equipment, Inc.,  
Defendants and Third-Party Plain-  
tiffs, v. Formica Corp., Third-Party  
Defendant, 437
- Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 556, 562, 738
- Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v.  
Allstate Ins. Co., 289, 293, 294, 311,  
319
- Shaffer v. Heitner, 45, 53, 58, 83, 85,  
116, 118
- Stephenson v. El-Batrawi, 576, 585
- Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC,  
630
- Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A., 330, 331,  
332, 337, 347, 348, 500
- Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins  
(see Erie R. Co. v. Tyson)
- Tull v. United States, 644, 647, 649, 659,  
660, 663
- Turner v. Young, 821, 825
- UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Shelter Capital  
Partners LLC, 812
- Upjohn Co. v. United States, 528, 534
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 479, 494
- Wee Care Child Center, Inc. v. Lumpkin,  
588, 595
- Whole Women's Health v. Hellerstedt,  
771
- Worthington v. Wilson, 386, 387
- Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC, 546,  
553, 554



# Series Editor's Preface

Welcome to a new type of casebook. Designed by leading experts in law school teaching and learning, Context and Practice casebooks assist law professors and their students to work together to learn, minimize stress, and prepare for the rigors and joys of practicing law. **Student learning and preparation for law practice are the guiding ethics of these books.**

Why would we depart from the tried and true? Why have we abandoned the legal education model by which we were trained? Because legal education can and must improve.

In Spring 2007, the Carnegie Foundation published *Educating Lawyers: Preparation for the Practice of Law* and the Clinical Legal Education Association published *Best Practices for Legal Education*. Both works reflect in-depth efforts to assess the effectiveness of modern legal education, and both conclude that legal education, as presently practiced, falls quite short of what it can and should be. Both works criticize law professors' rigid adherence to a single teaching technique, the inadequacies of law school assessment mechanisms, and the dearth of law school instruction aimed at teaching law practice skills and inculcating professional values. Finally, the authors of both books express concern that legal education may be harming law students. Recent studies show that law students, in comparison to all other graduate students, have the highest levels of depression, anxiety and substance abuse.

**The problems with traditional law school instruction begin with the textbooks law teachers use.** Law professors cannot implement *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* using texts designed for the traditional model of legal education. Moreover, even though our understanding of how people learn has grown exponentially in the past 100 years, no law school text to date even purports to have been designed with educational research in mind.

The Context and Practice Series is an effort to offer a genuine alternative. Grounded in learning theory and instructional design and written with *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* in mind, Context and Practice casebooks make it easy for law professors to change.

I welcome reactions, criticisms, and suggestions; my e-mail address is mschwartz@pacific.edu. Knowing the author(s) of these books, I know they, too, would appreciate your input; we share a common commitment to student learning. In fact, students,

if your professor cares enough about your learning to have adopted this book, I bet s/he would welcome your input, too!

Michael Hunter Schwartz, Series Designer and Editor  
Consultant, Institute for Law Teaching and Learning  
Dean and Professor of Law, McGeorge School of Law,  
University of the Pacific