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Editor’s Introduction

This volume sits at the intersection of labor law, employment law, and alternative dispute resolution.

Arbitration has long been central to resolving disputes in the labor law context. However, in non-unionized settings, arbitration represents an alternate path for disputes otherwise destined for court. It is perhaps surprising then, that the National Labor Relations Board represents the last holdout against Supreme Court precedent favoring enforcement of arbitration agreements containing class action waivers.

Supreme Court jurisprudence on class action waivers in arbitration has trended towards enforcement. In the employment context, the Supreme Court’s 1991 decision in *Gilm er v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.*,1 established that employees can be forced to arbitrate statutory employment claims through arbitration agreements. More recently, in *AT& T v. Concepcion*2 and *American Express v. Italian Colors*,3 the Supreme Court authorized the use of class action waivers in arbitration agreements. In other words, parties can agree that all disputes be resolved in arbitration, and that class or collective actions will be unavailable in any forum. When read in combination with *Gilm er, Concepcion* and *Italian Colors* strongly suggest that employees can be bound to arbitration agreements containing class action waivers.

The National Labor Relations Board (“NLRB”) has, however, managed to resuscitate the question through its decisions in *D.R. Horton* and *Murphy Oil*. These decisions declare that class action waivers violate Section 7 of the National Labor Relations Act, which guarantees the right of all employees—unionized or not—to engage in concerted activity.4 Litigation over the NLRB’s position has produced a circuit split, which the Supreme Court will decide in *Lewis v. Epic Systems Corp.*,5 *Murphy Oil*,6 and *Morris v. Ernst & Young, LLP*.7

---

This volume brings together scholars and practitioners from the fields of labor law, employment law, and alternative dispute resolution to share their insights. Acting NLRB Chair, Philip Miscimarra, describes the NLRB’s “complicated” relationship with arbitration. Labor lawyers on both sides of the debate (Willis Goldsmith & Blake Pulliam, Jae Chun, and Kalpana Kotagal) offer their perspectives on the upcoming Supreme Court rulings. Labor lawyers Eugene Eisner and Daniel Engelstein then draw upon their considerable experience with labor arbitration to assess the relative benefits and limitations of arbitration in a non-unionized context. Zev Eigen and David Sherwyn then provide a deep dive on how arbitration compares to administrative remedies and litigation in discrimination cases.

Next, scholars advise on legal issues surrounding arbitration. Christopher Drahozal assesses whether arbitration awards have collateral estoppel effect. ADR commentator Lise Gelernter describes the circumstances in which class action waivers are enforceable. Michael Leroy uses the “deflategate” scandal at the NFL to examine judicial review of arbitration awards. And Brian Farkas examines the interaction between non-compete provisions and arbitration provisions.

Practitioners and scholars also describe dispute resolution systems in other contexts. Terry Meginniss & Paul Salvatore discuss a dispute resolution system developed by the parties to the Pyett litigation. Jill Rosenberg offers an overview of arbitration in the securities industry. Daniel Hund describes how mediation and arbitration interact with the court system in Europe. David Noll examines the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau’s rulemaking regarding arbitration. The volume closes with two articles about dispute resolution in the medical context. Dean Alan Morrison profiles a dispute resolution system used in the medical malpractice context, and David Larson and David Dahl describe the dispute resolution preferences of doctors.

Thank you to the many contributors for bringing their expertise to bear on these important issues. I am also grateful to Oregon law students Kelly Oshiro and Alena Tipnis for their excellent work.
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