

# **American Constitutional Law**

***American Constitutional Law***

by Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger

is available in two formats:

SINGLE-VOLUME HARDCOVER EDITION

**American Constitutional Law**

TWO-VOLUME PAPERBACK EDITION

VOLUME 1

**Constitutional Structures**

***Separated Powers and Federalism***

VOLUME 2

**Constitutional Rights**

***Civil Rights and Civil Liberties***

# American Constitutional Law

Twelfth Edition

---

VOLUME 2

---

## Constitutional Rights

*Civil Rights and Civil Liberties*

Louis Fisher

Katy J. Harriger



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2019  
Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger  
All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-5310-0954-0

The Library of Congress has catalogued Volume 2 as follows:

Names: Fisher, Louis, author. | Harriger, Katy J. (Katy Jean), author.  
Title: American constitutional law / by Louis Fisher, Katy J. Harriger.  
Description: Twelfth edition. | Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, LLC, [2019]- | Includes bibliographical references and index.  
Contents: Volume 1. Constitutional structures, separated powers and federalism  
Identifiers: LCCN 2018042401 | ISBN 9781531009526 (volume one : alk. paper)  
Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law--United States | Civil rights--United States.  
Classification: LCC KF4550 .F568 2019 | DDC 342.73--dc23  
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2018042401>

e-ISBN 978-1-5310-0955-7

Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

TO THE CONSTITUTION PROJECT — LOUIS FISHER

IN MEMORY OF MY FATHER, RUSSELL E. HARRIGER (1923–2010) — KATY J. HARRIGER

# Summary of Contents

INTRODUCTION	xvii
10 ECONOMIC LIBERTIES	497
11 FREE SPEECH IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY	545
12 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS	613
13 RELIGIOUS FREEDOM	675
14 DUE PROCESS OF LAW	753
15 SEARCH AND SEIZURE	847
16 RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	909
17 THE EXPANSION OF EQUAL PROTECTION	985
18 RIGHTS OF PRIVACY	1055
19 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT	1121
APPENDICES	1161
TABLE OF CASES	1189
INDEX	1229

# Contents

ABOUT THE AUTHORS	xii	West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xv	300 U.S. 379 (1937)	538
INTRODUCTION	xvii	Ferguson v. Skrupa	
<b>10 ECONOMIC LIBERTIES</b>	497	372 U.S. 726 (1963)	540
A. The Meaning of Property	497	F. Continuing Issues	541
Readings:		Conclusions	542
Madison’s Essay on Property	498	Notes and Questions	543
B. The Contract Clause	499	Selected Readings	544
Readings:		<b>11 FREE SPEECH IN A DEMOCRATIC</b>	
Calder v. Bull		<b>SOCIETY</b>	545
3 U.S. (3 Dall.) 385 (1798)	503	A. Free Speech and National Security	545
Fletcher v. Peck		Readings:	
10 U.S. (6 Cr.) 87 (1810)	504	Schenck v. United States	
Dartmouth College v. Woodward		249 U.S. 47 (1919)	550
17 U.S. (4 Wheat.) 517 (1819)	505	Abrams v. United States	
Charles River Bridge v. Warren Bridge		250 U.S. 616 (1919)	551
36 U.S. (11 Pet.) 420 (1837)	507	Gitlow v. New York	
Home Bldg. & Loan Assn. v. Blaisdell		268 U.S. 652 (1925)	552
290 U.S. 398 (1934)	509	Whitney v. California	
C. The Takings Clause	511	274 U.S. 357 (1927)	554
Readings:		Dennis v. United States	
Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff		341 U.S. 494 (1951)	556
467 U.S. 229 (1984)	518	Brandenburg v. Ohio	
Kelo v. City of New London		395 U.S. 444 (1969)	558
545 U.S. 469 (2005)	520	Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project	
Congress Responds to <i>Kelo</i>	522	561 U.S. 1 (2010)	560
D. The Police Power	523	B. Associational Rights	563
Readings:		Readings:	
Slaughter-House Cases		Congress Seeks to Remove	
16 Wall. 36 (1873)	525	“Subversives” from FDR’s	
Munn v. Illinois		Administration	566
94 U.S. 113 (1877)	527	C. The Regulation of Speech	567
E. Substantive Due Process	529	Readings:	
Readings:		Walker v. Texas Div., Sons of	
Lochner v. New York		Confederate Veterans, Inc.	
198 U.S. 45 (1905)	533	576 U.S. ____ (2015)	577
Adkins v. Children’s Hospital		Cohen v. California	
261 U.S. 525 (1923)	536	403 U.S. 15 (1971)	580

R.A.V. v. St. Paul 505 U.S. 377 (1992)	583	E. Obscenity	652
Tinker v. Des Moines School Dist. 393 U.S. 503 (1969)	585	Readings:	
Morse v. Frederick 551 U.S. 393 (2007)	587	Roth v. United States 354 U.S. 476 (1957)	661
D. Forms of Speech	589	Miller v. California 413 U.S. 15 (1973)	663
Readings:		New York v. Ferber 458 U.S. 747 (1982)	665
Texas v. Johnson 491 U.S. 397 (1989)	593	Reno v. ACLU 521 U.S. 844 (1997)	667
Snyder v. Phelps 562 U.S. 443 (2011)	595	The House Responds to <i>Stevens</i> (2010)	670
E. Commercial Speech	598	Conclusions	671
F. Campaign Finance	601	Notes and Questions	672
G. Broadcasting Rights	602	Selected Readings	672
Readings:		<b>13 RELIGIOUS FREEDOM</b>	675
FCC v. Pacifica Foundation 438 U.S. 726 (1978)	605	A. The Virginia Statute	676
Packingham v. North Carolina 582 U.S. ____ (2017)	608	Readings:	
Conclusions	610	Virginia Statute for Establishing Religious Freedom (1786)	678
Notes and Questions	611	House Debate on the Religion Clauses (1789)	679
Selected Readings	611	B. Free Exercise Clause	680
<b>12 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS</b>	613	Readings:	
A. The Evolution of Press Freedoms	613	Minersville School District v. Gobitis 310 U.S. 586 (1940)	688
Readings:		West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette 319 U.S. 624 (1943)	690
JOHN MILTON, <i>Areopagitica</i> (1644)	616	Goldman v. Weinberger 475 U.S. 503 (1986)	692
House Debate on the Sedition Act of 1798	617	Congress Reverses <i>Goldman</i> Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. 573 U.S. ____ (2014)	694 695
B. Regulating the Press	618	C. Establishment Clause	698
Readings:		Readings:	
Near v. Minnesota 283 U.S. 697 (1931)	625	Lynch v. Donnelly 465 U.S. 668 (1984)	706
New York Times Co. v. United States 403 U.S. 713 (1971)	627	Allegheny County v. Greater Pittsburgh ACLU 492 U.S. 573 (1989)	708
Branzburg v. Hayes 408 U.S. 665 (1972)	630	Employment Division v. Smith 494 U.S. 872 (1990)	710
C. Free Press vs. Fair Trial	632	Congress Reacts to <i>Smith</i> City of Boerne v. Flores 521 U.S. 507 (1997)	712 713
Readings:		D. Financial Assistance to Sectarian Schools	715
Nebraska Press Assn. v. Stuart 427 U.S. 539 (1976)	635	Readings:	
Gannett Co. v. DePasquale 443 U.S. 368 (1979)	637	Everson v. Board of Education 330 U.S. 1 (1947)	725
Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia 448 U.S. 555 (1980)	639	Lemon v. Kurtzman 403 U.S. 602 (1971)	727
D. Libel Law	641	Zelman v. Simmons-Harris 536 U.S. 639 (2002)	729
Readings:			
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan 376 U.S. 254 (1964)	645		
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. 418 U.S. 323 (1974)	648		
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell 485 U.S. 46 (1988)	650		



E. Religious Instruction and Prayers	731	G. The Eighth Amendment	809
Readings:		Readings:	
Engel v. Vitale		Investigation of the Ferguson	
370 U.S. 421 (1962)	738	Police Department	
Congressional Hearings on School		March 4, 2015	819
Prayer (1964)	739	Furman v. Georgia	
Wallace v. Jaffree		408 U.S. 238 (1972)	821
472 U.S. 38 (1985)	741	Gregg v. Georgia	
Lee v. Weisman		428 U.S. 153 (1976)	824
472 U.S. 38 (1985)	743	Atkins v. Virginia	
Santa Fe Independent Sch. Dist.		536 U.S. 304 (2002)	826
v. Doe		Glossip v. Gross	
530 U.S. 290 (2000)	745	576 U. S. ____ (2015)	829
McCreary County v. ACLU		JUSTICE LEWIS POWELL, JR., The Death	
545 U.S. 844 (2005)	747	Penalty and Public Opinion	832
F. Nine Justices in Search of a model	748	H. Prisoners' Rights	833
Notes and Questions	749	I. The Right to Bear Arms	837
Selected Readings	750	Reading:	
<b>14 DUE PROCESS OF LAW</b>	753	District of Columbia v. Heller	
A. The Concept of Due Process	753	554 U.S. 570 (2008)	839
Reading:		Notes and Questions	844
Powell v. Alabama		Selected Readings	844
287 U.S. 45 (1932)	756		
B. Due Process for Juveniles	758	<b>15 SEARCH AND SEIZURE</b>	847
Reading:		A. Expectations of Privacy	847
In re Gault		B. Arrest and Search Warrants	849
387 U.S. 1 (1967)	760	Readings:	
C. Grand Juries and Jury Trials	762	Congress Responds to <i>Zurcher</i>	854
Readings:		C. Exceptions to the Warrant Requirement	855
Duncan v. Louisiana		Readings:	
391 U.S. 145 (1968)	768	Coolidge v. New Hampshire	
Apodaca v. Oregon		403 U.S. 443 (1971)	872
406 U.S. 404 (1972)	770	California v. Ciraolo	
Ballew v. Georgia		476 U.S. 207 (1986)	875
435 U.S. 223 (1978)	772	United States v. Ross	
Batson v. Kentucky		456 U.S. 798 (1982)	877
476 U.S. 79 (1986)	773	Terry v. Ohio	
Foster v. Chatman		392 U.S. 1 (1968)	879
578 U.S. ____ (2016)	778	Chimel v. California	
D. Fundamentals of a Fair Trial	783	395 U.S. 752 (1969)	881
Reading:		New Jersey v. T.L.O.	
Palko v. Connecticut		469 U.S. 325 (1985)	883
302 U.S. 319 (1937)	789	D. Electronic Eavesdropping	885
E. Self-Incrimination	791	Readings:	
F. Assistance of Counsel	795	Olmstead v. United States	
Readings:		277 U.S. 438 (1928)	891
Gideon v. Wainwright		Katz v. United States	
372 U.S. 335 (1963)	802	389 U.S. 347 (1967)	893
Escobedo v. Illinois		E. The Exclusionary Rule	895
378 U.S. 478 (1964)	803	Readings:	
Miranda v. Arizona		Weeks v. United States	
384 U.S. 436 (1966)	805	232 U.S. 383 (1914)	900
Dickerson v. United States		Mapp v. Ohio	
530 U.S. 428 (2000)	807	367 U.S. 643 (1961)	902

United States v. Leon		Richmond v. Croson Co.	
468 U.S. 897 (1984)	904	488 U.S. 469 (1989)	971
Conclusions	906	Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena	
Notes and Questions	907	515 U.S. 200 (1995)	972
Selected Readings	907	Gratz v. Bollinger	
<b>16 RACIAL DISCRIMINATION</b>	<b>909</b>	539 U.S. 244 (2003)	975
A. Slavery	909	Grutter v. Bollinger	
Reading:		539 U.S. 306 (2003)	976
Dred Scott v. Sandford		Fisher v. University of Texas	
60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 (1857)	913	579 U.S. ____ (2016)	978
B. Civil War Amendments	916	Conclusions	981
Readings:		Notes and Questions	982
Civil Rights Cases		Selected Readings	982
109 U.S. 3 (1883)	920	<b>17 THE EXPANSION OF EQUAL</b>	
Plessy v. Ferguson		<b>PROTECTION</b>	985
163 U.S. 537 (1896)	923	A. The Struggle for Women's Rights	985
C. School Desegregation	925	Readings:	
Readings:		Bradwell v. State	
Government's Brief in <i>Brown</i>	934	83 U.S. 130 (1873)	990
Brown v. Board of Education		Congress Responds to <i>Bradwell</i>	992
347 U.S. 483 (1954)	935	Equal Pay Act of 1963: Congressional	
Bolling v. Sharpe		Debate	993
347 U.S. 497 (1954)	937	Civil Rights Act of 1964:	
Brown v. Board of Education		Congressional Debate	994
349 U.S. 294 (1955)	938	B. Protecting Against Gender Discrimination	996
Cooper v. Aaron		Readings:	
358 U.S. 1 (1958)	939	Frontiero v. Richardson	
Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg		411 U.S. 677 (1973)	1005
Bd. of Ed.		Craig v. Boren	
402 U.S. 1 (1971)	941	429 U.S. 190 (1976)	1007
Milliken v. Bradley		Michael M. v. Sonoma County	
418 U.S. 717 (1974)	943	Superior Court	
Parents Involved in Community		450 U.S. 464 (1981)	1010
Schools v. Seattle School		Personnel Administrator of Mass.	
District No. 1		v. Feeney	
551 U.S. 701 (2007)	944	442 U.S. 256 (1979)	1011
D. Desegregating Other Activities	948	Johnson v. Transportation Agency	
Readings:		480 U.S. 616 (1987)	1013
Shelley v. Kraemer		Automobile Workers v. Johnson	
334 U.S. 1 (1948)	952	Controls	
Congress Interprets the Commerce		499 U.S. 187 (1991)	1015
Clause	954	Rostker v. Goldberg	
Heart of Atlanta Motel v.		453 U.S. 57 (1981)	1017
United States		Senate Debates Women in Combat	1018
379 U.S. 241 (1964)	955	United States v. Virginia	
E. Employment and Affirmative Action	957	518 U.S. 515 (1996)	1019
Readings:		C. Rights of Aliens	1022
Regents of the University of California		Yick Wo v. Hopkins	
v. Bakke		118 U.S. 356 (1886)	1026
438 U.S. 265 (1978)	966	Plyler v. Doe	
Fullilove v. Klutznick		457 U.S. 202 (1982)	1028
448 U.S. 448 (1980)	969	D. Rights of the Poor	1031

Shapiro v. Thompson		Vacco v. Quill	
394 U.S. 618 (1969)	1034	521 U.S. 793 (1997)	1096
San Antonio School Dist. v.		E. Homosexuality and Privacy Rights	1097
Rodriguez		Readings:	
411 U.S. 1 (1973)	1035	Bowers v. Hardwick	
E. Equal Protection for Gays and Lesbians	1038	478 U.S. 186 (1986)	1103
Readings:		Lawrence v. Texas	
Romer v. Evans		539 U.S. 558 (2003)	1105
517 U.S. 620 (1996)	1040	Obergefell v. Hodges	
Attorney General Letter to Congress		576 U.S. ____ (2015)	1107
Regarding DOMA	1043	F. Defining the Limits of Privacy	1111
United States v. Windsor		Readings:	
570 U.S. ____ (2013)	1045	Financial Privacy Act of 1978:	
Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v.		Congressional Debate	1113
Colorado Civil Rights		The Right to Privacy: The Bork	
Commission		Hearings	1115
584 U.S. ____ (2018)	1048	Conclusions	1118
Conclusions	1052	Notes and Questions	1118
Notes and Questions	1052	Selected Readings	1119
Selected Readings	1053	<b>19 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT</b>	1121
<b>18 RIGHTS OF PRIVACY</b>	1055	A. Constitutional Amendments	1122
A. Dimension of Privacy	1055	B. Statutory Reversals	1124
Readings:		Reading:	
Buck v. Bell		Statutory Reversal: Lilly Ledbetter	1127
274 U.S. 200 (1927)	1059	C. Court Packing	1128
Stanley v. Georgia		Reading:	
394 U.S. 557 (1969)	1061	FDR's Court-Packing Plan:	
B. Use of Contraceptives	1062	Senate Report	1132
Reading:		D. Withdrawing Jurisdiction	1133
Griswold v. Connecticut		Readings:	
381 U.S. 479 (1965)	1064	Jurisdiction-Stripping Proposals:	
C. Reproductive Freedom	1066	ABA Report	1138
Readings:		Human Life Bill: Senate Hearings	1139
Roe v. Wade		Ex Parte McCordle	
410 U.S. 113 (1973)	1076	74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1869)	1141
Hyde Amendment of 1976:		E. The Claim of Judicial Finality	1142
Congressional Debate	1079	Readings:	
Harris v. McRae		Sustaining Public Confidence	1144
448 U.S. 297 (1980)	1080	Prayers in Public Schools	1145
Akron v. Akron Center for		F. Constitutional Dialogues	1146
Reproductive Health		Readings:	
462 U.S. 416 (1983)	1082	Is the Supreme Court	
Planned Parenthood v. Casey		the Constitution?	1150
505 U.S. 833 (1992)	1084	The "Finality" of Supreme Court	
Stenberg v. Carhart		Decisions: Senate Hearings	1151
530 U.S. 914 (2000)	1086	G. Correcting Judicial Errors	1154
Gonzales v. Carhart		Conclusions	1158
550 U.S. 124 (2007)	1089	Notes and Questions	1158
D. The Right to Die	1091	Selected Readings	1159
Readings:		<b>APPENDICES</b>	
Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept.		Appendix 1	
of Health		The Constitution of the United States	1161
497 U.S. 261 (1990)	1094		

Appendix 2		Appendix 4	
Justices of the Supreme Court (1789–2016)	1175	How to Research the Law	1183
Appendix 3		TABLE OF CASES	1189
Glossary of Legal Terms	1177	INDEX	1229

# About the Authors

LOUIS FISHER received his B.S. from the College of William and Mary and his Ph.D. from the New School for Social Research. After teaching political science at Queens College, he joined the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress in 1970, where he served as Senior Specialist in Separation of Powers. On March 6, 2006, he joined the Law Library of the Library of Congress as Specialist in Constitutional Law. Upon his retirement from the Library in August 2010, he joined The Constitution Project as scholar in residence. He has testified before congressional committees more than 50 times on such issues as war powers, state secrets, NSA surveillance, Congress and the Constitution, executive lobbying, executive privilege, committee subpoenas, impoundment of funds, legislative vetoes, the item veto, the pocket veto, presidential reorganization authority, recess appointments, executive spending discretion, the congressional budget process, the Balanced Budget Amendment, biennial budgeting, covert spending, and CIA whistleblowing. During 1987 he served as Research Director for the House Iran-Contra Committee.

His twenty-six books, listed on his webpage at <http://loufisher.org>, include *Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President* (6th ed., 2014), *Constitutional Dialogues* (1988), *Presidential War Power* (3d ed. 2013), *The Constitution and 9/11: Recurring Threats to America's Freedoms* (2008), *Defending Congress and the Constitution* (2011), and *The Law of the Executive Branch: Presidential Power* (2014), *Supreme Court Expansion of Presidential Power: Unconstitutional Leanings* (2017), and *President Obama: Constitutional Aspirations and Executive Actions* (2018).

Fisher has been active with CEELI (Central and East European Law Initiative) of the American Bar Association, traveling to Bulgaria, Albania, and Hungary to lend assistance to constitution writers. In addition to these trips abroad, he participated in CEELI conferences in Washington, D.C., involving delegations from Lithuania, Romania, and Russia, and served on CEELI “working groups” on Armenia and Belarus. He traveled to Russia in 1992 as part of a CRS delegation to assist on questions of separation of powers and federalism and to Ukraine in 1993 to participate in an election law conference.

KATY J. HARRIGER received her B.A. in Political Science from Edinboro State College in Pennsylvania and her M.A. and Ph.D. in Political Science from the University of Connecticut. She is a Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Wake Forest University where she teaches courses in American Constitutional Law, American politics, judicial process, and democracy and citizenship. She has testified before Congress and been a frequent media commentator on issues related to the use of independent counsel and political influences on the Department of Justice. Dr. Harriger is the editor of *Separation of Powers: Commentary and Documents*, (Congressional Quarterly Press 2003), the author of *The Special Prosecutor in American Politics*. 2nd ed., revised (University Press of Kansas, 2000), and *Independent Justice: The Federal Special Prosecutor in American Politics* (University Press of Kansas, 1992), as well as a number of articles about constitutional law issues in journals and law reviews. She has also co-authored two studies on teaching college students deliberative dialogue and measuring its long-term impact on their political values and behavior. They were published by the Kettering Foundation Press in 2007 and 2017. At Wake Forest, Harriger has been the recipient of the

Reid Doyle Prize for Excellence in Teaching (1988), the John Reinhardt Distinguished Teaching Award (2002), the Schoonmaker Award for Community Service (2006), and the F. Michael Crowley Distinguished Faculty Fellowship (2018–2023).

# Acknowledgments

This book, in gestation for years, has many contributors and abettors. With the publication of the eighth edition, Katy J. Harriger joined as co-author. She brings to the task a strong background in constitutional law and separation of powers and many years of classroom experience and professional activity on legal issues. David Gray Adler, co-author of the seventh edition, offered extensive analytical contributions and in previous editions provided careful, thoughtful reviews.

Morton Rosenberg of the Congressional Research Service lent a guiding hand, giving encouragement and insightful observations. In reviewing the manuscript and selections for readings, he was the major source of counsel and enlightenment. Other friends and colleagues who offered important advice and comments include Susan Burgess, Phillip J. Cooper, Neal Devins, Murray Dry, Roger Garcia, Jerry Goldman, Nancy Kassop, Jacob Landynski, Leonard W. Levy, Robert Meltz, Wayne Moore, Ronald Moe, Christopher Pyle, Jeremy Rabkin, Harold Relyea, William Ross, Jay Shampansky, Gordon Silverstein, Mitchel Sollenberger, Charles Tiefer, and Stephen Wasby.

It is my pleasure to dedicate the book to The Constitution Project, which I have worked with for over two decades on a number of issues, including war powers and the state secrets privilege. Its expertise, analytical skills, and nonpartisan approach contribute to an informed and professional debate on key questions of constitutional law. Upon my retirement from government in August 2010, I became Scholar in Residence at The Constitution Project and am proud to be among its supporters.

Louis Fisher

After many years of teaching American Constitutional Law using this textbook, it has been a privilege and a pleasure to work with Lou Fisher on recent editions. I have always been drawn to this text because it recognizes that constitutional law is made through a dynamic dialogic political process rather than simply by nine Supreme Court justices. This seems a particularly important lesson to understand, for political science and law students alike, in a time when the popular understandings of constitutional politics and issues are so shallow and often misinformed. I dedicate the book to my late father, Russell E. Harriger, who always encouraged and supported my endeavors, even when he disagreed with me (which in the area of constitutional law was early and often).

I am thankful for the help of student research assistant Jay Sherrill, who has been enormously helpful in keeping me up to date with contemporary developments in the law. Keith Sipe, Susan Trimble, and the rest of the staff at Carolina Academic Press, were amiable, helpful and professional in bringing this project to fruition. We express our thanks and gratitude to them for all of their efforts.

Katy J. Harriger





# Introduction

To accommodate the leading cases on constitutional law, textbooks concentrate on court decisions and overlook the political, historical, and social framework in which these decisions are handed down. According to this approach, constitutional law is reduced to the judicial exercise of divining the meaning of textual provisions. The larger process, taking account of both judicial and nonjudicial actors, is ignored. The consequence, as noted by one law professor, is the absence of a “comprehensive course on constitutional law in any meaningful sense in American law schools.”<sup>1</sup>

The political process must be understood because it establishes the boundaries for judicial activity and influences the substance of specific decisions, if not immediately then within a few years. This book keeps legal issues in a broad political context. Cases should not be torn from their environment. A purely legalistic approach to constitutional law misses the constant, creative interplay between the judiciary and the political branches. The Supreme Court is not the exclusive source of constitutional law. It is not the sole or even dominant agency in deciding constitutional questions. The Constitution is interpreted initially by a private citizen, legislator, or executive official. Someone from the private or public sector decides that an action violates the Constitution; political pressures build in ways to reshape fundamental constitutional doctrines.

Books on constitutional law usually focus exclusively on Supreme Court decisions and stress its doctrines, as though lower courts and elected officials are unimportant. Other studies describe constitutional decision making as lacking in legal principle, based on low-level political haggling by various actors. We see an open and vigorous system struggling to produce principled constitutional law. Principles are important. Constitutional interpretations are not supposed to be idiosyncratic events or the result of a political free-for-all. If they were, our devotion to the rule of law would be either absurd or a matter of whimsy.

It is traditional to focus on constitutional rather than statutory interpretation, and yet the boundaries between these categories substantially overlap. Issues of constitutional dimension usually form a backdrop to “statutory” questions. Preoccupation with the Supreme Court as the principal or final arbiter of constitutional questions fosters a misleading impression. A dominant business of the Court is statutory construction, and through that function it interacts with other branches of government in a process that refines the meaning of the Constitution.

This study treats the Supreme Court and lower courts as one branch of a political system with a difficult but necessary task to perform. They often share with the legislature and the executive the responsibility for defining political values, resolving political conflict, and protecting the political process. Through commentary and reading selections, we try to bridge the artificial gap in the literature that separates law from politics. Lord Radcliffe advised that “we cannot learn law by learning law.” Law must be “a part of history, a part of economics and sociology, a part of ethics and a philosophy of life. It is not strong enough in itself to be a philosophy in itself.”<sup>2</sup>

*A Note on Citations.* The introductory essays to each chapter contain many citations to court

---

1. W. Michael Reisman, “International Incidents: Introduction to a New Genre in the Study of International Law,” 10 *Yale J. Int’l L.* 1, 8 n.13 (1984).

2. Lord Radcliffe, *The Law & Its Compass* 92–93 (1960).

cases, public laws, congressional reports, and floor debates. Reference to these citations may seem confusing and even overwhelming. We include them to encourage readers to consult these documents and develop a richer appreciation of the complex process that shapes constitutional law. Repeated citations to federal statutes help underscore the ongoing role of Congress and the executive branch in constitutional interpretation. To permit deeper exploration of certain issues, either for a term paper or scholarly research, footnotes contain leads to supplementary cases. Bibliographies are provided for each chapter. The appendices include a glossary of legal terms and a primer on researching the law.

If the coverage is too comprehensive, the instructor may always advise students to focus on selected areas. Another option is to ask the student to understand two or three departures from a general doctrine, such as the famous *Miranda* warning developed by the Warren Court but whittled away by the Burger and Rehnquist Courts. Even if a student is initially stunned by the complexity of constitutional law, it is better to be aware of the delicate shadings that exist than to believe that the Court paints with bold, permanent strokes.

At various points in the chapters, we give examples where state courts, refusing to follow the lead of the Supreme Court, conferred greater constitutional rights than available at the federal level. These are examples only. They could have been multiplied many times over. No one should assume that rulings from the Supreme Court represent the last word on constitutional law, even for lower courts.

Compared to other texts, this book offers much more in the way of citations to earlier decisions. We do this for several reasons. The citations allow the reader to research areas in greater depth. They also highlight the process of trial and error used by the Court to clarify constitutional principles. Concentration on contemporary cases would obscure the Court's record of veering down side roads, backtracking, and reversing direction. Focusing on landmark cases prevents the reader from understanding the *development* of constitutional law: the dizzying exceptions to "settled" doctrines, the laborious manner in which the Court struggles to fix the meaning of the Constitution, the twists and turns, the detours and dead ends. Describing major cases without these tangled patterns would presume an orderly and static system that mocks the dynamic, fitful, creative, and consensus-building process that exists. No one branch of government prevails. The process is polyarchal, not hierarchical. The latter, perhaps attractive for architectural structures, is inconsistent with our aspiration for self-government.

In all court cases and other documents included as readings, footnotes have been deleted. For the introductory essays, reference works are abbreviated as follows:

Comp. Gen.	Decisions of the Comptroller General.
Elliot	Jonathan Elliot, ed., <i>The Debates in the Several State Conventions, on the Adoption of the Federal Constitution</i> (5 vols., Washington, D.C., 1836–1845).
Farrand	Max Farrand, ed., <i>The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787</i> (4 vols., New Haven: Yale University Press, 1937).
Fisher	<i>Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President</i> (6th ed. 2014).
Landmark Briefs	<i>Landmark Briefs and Arguments of the Supreme Court of the United States: Constitutional Law</i> . Gerald Gunther and Gerhard Casper, eds. University Publications of America.
O.L.C.	Office of Legal Counsel Opinions, U.S. Department of Justice.
Op. Att'y Gen.	Opinions of the Attorney General.
Richardson	James D. Richardson, ed., <i>A Compilation of the Messages and Papers of the Presidents</i> (20 vols., New York: Bureau of National Literature, 1897–1925).
Wkly Comp. Pres. Doc.	<i>Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents</i> , published each week by the Government Printing Office from 1965 to 2009; currently available online.