

Expert Evidence

Expert Evidence

Andrew W. Jurs

PROFESSOR OF LAW AND ASSOCIATE DEAN
DRAKE LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2019
Andrew W. Jurs
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-1045-4
eISBN 978-1-5310-1046-1
LCCN 2018967082

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To Katie, Clara, and Milo

Summary of Contents

PART I · FOUNDATIONAL RULES AND PRINCIPLES

Chapter 1 · Science and Law as Oil and Water	3
A. Science and Law — Why Oil and Water?	5
B. The Practical Implication of Oil and Water in Law	15
Chapter 2 · Substantive Rules of Admission	21
A. Will the Factfinder Need Expert Testimony to Evaluate This Issue? The Expert Relevance Question	22
B. Is This Person an Expert? Qualifications Screening under Rule 702	46
C. Does This Expert Have an Adequate Factual Basis for the Opinion? Rule 702 and 703 Standards for Foundational Bases	75
D. Is the Method Used by the Expert Based on Reliable Principles in General, Which Have Been Applied Reliably to the Specific Issue in the Case? <i>Frye</i> , <i>Daubert</i> , and Judicial Gatekeeping of Scientific Merit	87
Chapter 3 · Procedural Rules Regarding Expert Testimony	161
A. Rules of Disclosure in Civil Cases	162
B. Rules of Disclosure in Criminal Cases	201
C. Rules and Strategy for Depositions — Fed. R. Civ. P. 30	225
Chapter 4 · Statistics — The Universal Language of (Many) Experts	237
A. Correlation	238
B. Statistical Significance	251
C. Regression Analysis	262

PART II · SPECIFIC AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Chapter 5 · DNA Analysis	281
A. Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) Analysis in the 1980s and 1990s	285
B. Modern STR/PCR Analysis	298
C. DNA Problems That Persist Today	316
Chapter 6 · Fingerprint Comparison	337
A. An Introduction to the Methodology and Controversies of Fingerprint Comparison Evidence	338
B. Long-Standing Admission of Fingerprint Comparison in Court	346
C. The Impact of <i>Daubert</i> — A Challenge to Fundamental Assumptions	357

Chapter 7 · Other Forensic Identification Methodologies	381
A. Microscopic Hair Comparison	382
B. Handwriting Comparison	395
C. Toolmark Identification	410
D. Why It Matters — The Case of Cameron Todd Willingham	431
Chapter 8 · Medical Standards of Care and Causation Testimony	439
A. Standard of Care Testimony	444
B. Causation Testimony	472
Chapter 9 · Accident Reconstruction	491
A. Expert Qualifications in the Field	504
B. Gatekeeping Reliability and the <i>Ipsse Dixit</i> Problem	513
C. Ultimate Issue Constraints on Testimony	522
Chapter 10 · Economic Damages Valuation	535
A. Personal Valuation	542
B. Business Valuation	578
PART III · LOOKING FORWARD	
Chapter 11 · Changing Our Approach: Can We Do Better?	589
A. Low-Level Change Proposals	590
B. Medium-Level Change Proposals	596
C. A High-Level Change Proposal	608
Appendix A · Statement of Qualifications for Roger Karp	617
Appendix B · <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Martin Espinosa	623
Index	629

Contents

Acknowledgments	xvii
Table of Cases	xix
Table of Secondary Authorities	xxiii

PART I · FOUNDATIONAL RULES AND PRINCIPLES

Chapter 1 · Science and Law as Oil and Water	3
A. Science and Law — Why Oil and Water?	5
Susan Haack, <i>Irreconcilable Differences? The Troubled Marriage of Science and Law</i>	5
Notes and Questions	14
B. The Practical Implication of Oil and Water in Law	15
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceutical, Inc.</i> (“Daubert II”)	15
Notes and Questions	19
Chapter 2 · Substantive Rules of Admission	21
Federal Rule of Evidence 702	21
A. Will the Factfinder Need Expert Testimony to Evaluate This Issue?	
The Expert Relevance Question	22
<i>EEOC v. U-Haul Co. of Texas</i>	24
Notes and Questions	25
<i>Siring v. Oregon State Board of Higher Education</i>	26
Notes and Questions	29
<i>Godfrey v. Iverson</i>	30
<i>People v. Sibrian</i>	33
Notes and Questions	39
Federal Rule of Evidence 704	40
<i>Alvarado v. Oakland County</i>	40
Notes and Questions	44
Tying It Together	45
B. Is This Person an Expert? Qualifications Screening under Rule 702	46
<i>Carter v. State</i>	47
<i>Clena Investments, Inc. v. XL Specialty Insurance Co.</i>	50
Notes and Questions	57
<i>Meridia Products Liability Litigation v. Abbott Laboratories</i>	58
Notes and Questions	60
<i>United States v. Tipton</i>	61

<i>Lord & Taylor LLC v. White Flint, L.P.</i>	67
Notes and Questions	72
Tying It Together	74
C. Does This Expert Have an Adequate Factual Basis for the Opinion?	
Rule 702 and 703 Standards for Foundational Bases	75
Federal Rule of Evidence 703	75
Federal Rule of Evidence 705	77
<i>Bernhardt v. Richardson-Merrell, Inc.</i>	77
<i>State v. Heine</i>	80
Notes and Questions	86
Tying It Together	86
D. Is the Method Used by the Expert Based on Reliable Principles in General, Which Have Been Applied Reliably to the Specific Issue in the Case?	
<i>Frye, Daubert, and Judicial Gatekeeping of Scientific Merit</i>	87
i. The Original <i>Frye</i> Standard	88
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	89
<i>United States v. Lewellyn</i>	90
Notes and Questions	95
<i>United States v. Downing</i>	95
<i>United States v. Smith</i>	97
Notes and Questions	102
<i>People v. Leahy</i>	104
Notes and Questions	113
ii. The <i>Daubert</i> Decision and Aftermath	113
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals., Inc.</i>	114
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	121
Notes and Questions	125
Tying It Together	127
iii. Empirical Data on Gatekeeping Factors and Methodology	129
Andrew W. Jurs, <i>Gatekeeper with a Gavel</i>	129
Notes and Questions	137
iv. Problems with Judicial Gatekeeping	137
Sophia Gatowski et al., <i>Asking the Gatekeepers</i>	138
Andrew W. Jurs, <i>Questions from the Bench and Independent Experts</i>	141
Notes and Questions	143
v. Does it Matter? The Practical Effect of <i>Daubert</i> in the Judicial System	145
Andrew W. Jurs & Scott DeVito, <i>The Stricter Standard</i>	145
Andrew W. Jurs & Scott DeVito, <i>Et Tu, Plaintiffs?</i>	150
Jennifer Groscup et al., <i>The Effects of Daubert on the Admissibility of Expert Testimony in State and Federal Criminal Cases</i>	155
Notes and Questions	157
Tying It Together	159

Chapter 3 • Procedural Rules Regarding Expert Testimony	161
A. Rules of Disclosure in Civil Cases	162
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26	162
i. Fact Witness Disclosure and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)	165
<i>Poitra v. Denver County School District No. 1</i>	165
<i>Morgan v. U.S. Xpress, Inc.</i>	170
Notes and Questions	174
ii. Expert Disclosures: Retained and Non-Retained Experts with Rule 26(a)(2)	175
<i>McFerrin v. Allstate Property & Casualty Co.</i>	175
Andrew W. Jurs, <i>The Expert Heightened Disclosure Requirement and the Physician-Defendant in Medical Negligence Cases</i>	184
Notes and Questions	188
<i>Robinson v. District of Columbia</i>	190
Notes and Questions	193
Tying It Together	194
iii. Sanctions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37	194
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37	194
<i>Pacific Indemnity Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp.</i>	196
Notes and Questions	200
B. Rules of Disclosure in Criminal Cases	201
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16	201
<i>United States v. Pembroke</i>	203
<i>United States v. Douglas</i>	210
Notes and Questions	214
<i>Brady v. Maryland</i>	215
<i>McDowell v. Dixon</i>	217
Notes and Questions	223
Tying It Together	224
C. Rules and Strategy for Depositions — Fed. R. Civ. P. 30	225
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30	226
<i>International Union of Electric, Radio and Machine Workers v. Westinghouse Electric Corp.</i>	227
Ronald M. Sandgrund, <i>Your First Expert Witness — Part II</i>	231
Notes and Questions	235
Tying It Together	236
 Chapter 4 • Statistics — The Universal Language of (Many) Experts	 237
A. Correlation	238
David H. Kaye & David A. Freeman, <i>Reference Guide on Statistics</i>	239
<i>Williams v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	241
Notes and Questions	250
B. Statistical Significance	251
David H. Kaye & David A. Freeman, <i>Reference Guide on Statistics</i>	252

<i>Tagatz v. Marquette University</i>	255
Notes and Questions	260
C. Regression Analysis	262
<i>Vuyanich v. Republic National Bank of Dallas</i>	262
<i>Smith v. Virginia Commonwealth University</i>	270
Notes and Questions	276
Tying It Together	278
PART II • SPECIFIC AREAS OF EXPERTISE	
Chapter 5 • DNA Analysis	281
David H. Kaye & George Sensabaugh, <i>Reference Guide on DNA Identification Evidence</i>	282
A. Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) Analysis in the 1980s and 1990s	285
William C. Thompson & Simon Ford, <i>DNA Typing: Acceptance and Weight of the New Genetic Identification Tests</i>	285
<i>People v. Wesley</i>	291
Notes and Questions	297
B. Modern STR/PCR Analysis	298
David H. Kaye & George Sensabaugh, <i>Modern Scientific Evidence</i>	298
<i>State v. Roman Nose</i>	302
Notes and Questions	306
<i>United States v. Gaines</i>	308
<i>People v. Pike</i>	314
Notes and Questions	315
C. DNA Problems That Persist Today	316
William C. Thompson, <i>Tarnish on the “Gold Standard”: Understanding Recent Problems in Forensic DNA Testing</i>	317
<i>McDaniel v. Brown</i>	326
Notes and Questions	333
Tying It Together	334
Chapter 6 • Fingerprint Comparison	337
A. An Introduction to the Methodology and Controversies of Fingerprint Comparison Evidence	338
Robert Epstein, <i>Fingerprints Meet Daubert</i>	338
Lyn Haber & Ralph Norman Haber, <i>Scientific Validation of Fingerprint Evidence under Daubert</i>	341
Notes and Questions	345
B. Long-Standing Admission of Fingerprint Comparison in Court	346
<i>People v. Jennings</i>	346
<i>Stevenson v. United States</i>	354
Notes and Questions	355

C. The Impact of <i>Daubert</i> — A Challenge to Fundamental Assumptions	357
Robert Epstein, <i>Fingerprints Meet Daubert</i>	358
<i>United States v. Havvard</i>	366
Notes and Questions	371
<i>United States v. Aman</i>	373
Notes and Questions	378
Tying It Together	379
Chapter 7 • Other Forensic Identification Methodologies	381
A. Microscopic Hair Comparison	382
Paul C. Giannelli, Edward J. Imwinkelreid & Joseph Peterson,	
<i>Reference Guide on Forensic Identification Expertise</i>	382
<i>Commonwealth v. McCauley</i>	385
Notes and Questions	387
<i>Williamson v. Reynolds</i>	388
Notes and Questions	394
B. Handwriting Comparison	395
Paul C. Giannelli, Edward J. Imwinkelreid & Joseph Peterson,	
<i>Reference Guide on Forensic Identification Expertise</i>	395
<i>United States v. Buck</i>	400
Notes and Questions	403
<i>United States v. Lewis</i>	403
Notes and Questions	409
C. Toolmark Identification	410
Paul C. Giannelli, Edward J. Imwinkelreid & Joseph Peterson,	
<i>Reference Guide on Forensic Identification Expertise</i>	410
<i>Commonwealth v. Graves</i>	414
Notes and Questions	418
<i>Ramirez v. State</i>	420
Notes and Questions	428
Tying It Together	429
D. Why It Matters — The Case of Cameron Todd Willingham	431
Paul C. Giannelli, <i>Junk Science and the Execution of an Innocent Man</i>	432
Notes and Questions	436
Chapter 8 • Medical Standards of Care and Causation Testimony	439
John D. North, <i>Medical Negligence</i>	440
A. Standard of Care Testimony	444
i. The Locality Rule and Its Demise	444
<i>Wilson v. Sligar</i>	444
<i>Vergara v. Doan</i>	448
Notes and Questions	451

ii. Standard of Care in Modern Litigation	452
<i>Hill v. Medlantic Health Care Group</i>	452
<i>Lundgren v. Eustermann</i>	457
Notes and Questions	461
Tying It Together	462
<i>Sullivan v. United States Department of the Navy</i>	464
Notes and Questions	469
Tying It Together	470
B. Causation Testimony	472
i. Causation and Medical Error	472
<i>Hankla v. Jackson</i>	472
Notes and Questions	477
Tying It Together	478
ii. Cause and Manner of Death — The Forensic Issue	478
<i>Kolb v. State</i>	478
<i>Pirolozzi v. Stanbro</i>	482
Notes and Questions	484
iii. Epidemiology and Mass Exposure Causation	485
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals</i> (“Daubert II”)	485
Notes and Questions	490
Chapter 9 • Accident Reconstruction	491
Paul C. Giannelli, Edward J. Imwinkelried, Andrea Roth & Jane C. Moriarty, <i>Accident Reconstruction</i>	491
A. Expert Qualifications in the Field	504
<i>Wilson v. Woods</i>	504
<i>Stevens Transport, Inc. v. Global Transport, LLC</i>	507
<i>Koenig v. Beekmans</i>	510
Notes and Questions	512
B. Gatekeeping Reliability and the <i>Ipsa Dixit</i> Problem	513
<i>Mitchell v. Barnes</i>	513
<i>Logan v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.</i>	518
Notes and Questions	522
Tying It Together	522
C. Ultimate Issue Constraints on Testimony	522
<i>Johnoff v. Watson</i>	522
<i>State v. Trahan</i>	526
Notes and Questions	531
Tying It Together	532
Chapter 10 • Economic Damages Valuation	535
Mark A. Allen, Robert E. Hall, and Victoria A. Lazear, <i>Reference Guide on Estimation of Economic Damages</i>	535
Frank D. Tinari, <i>An Introduction to the Field of Forensic Economics</i>	540

A. Personal Valuation	542
i. The Lost Wage Component of Economic Damages	543
<i>Johnson v. Walden University, Inc.</i>	543
<i>Vincent v. American Honda Motor Co.</i>	545
Notes and Questions	548
Mark A. Allen, Robert E. Hall, and Victoria A. Lazear, <i>Reference Guide on Estimation of Economic Damages</i>	549
<i>Wiradihardja v. Bermuda Star Line, Inc.</i>	552
Notes and Questions	554
ii. Non-Wage Components of Economic Damages	555
<i>Hedgepeth v. Diamond Offshore Drilling, Inc.</i>	555
<i>Lind v. Slowinski</i>	560
Notes and Questions	564
iii. Components of Noneconomic Damages	565
<i>Mississippi Baptist Health Systems v. Kelly</i>	565
<i>Blake v. Neurological Specialists, P.C.</i>	570
Notes and Questions	576
Tying It Together	577
B. Business Valuation	578
Barry M. Wertheimer, <i>The Shareholders' Appraisal Remedy and How Courts Determine Fair Value</i>	578
<i>Berens v. Ludwig</i>	580
Notes and Questions	585
PART III · LOOKING FORWARD	
Chapter 11 · Changing Our Approach: Can We Do Better?	589
A. Low-Level Change Proposals	590
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	590
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	591
Joe S. Cecil & Thomas E. Willging, <i>Accepting Daubert's Invitation</i>	592
Notes and Questions	595
B. Medium-Level Change Proposals	596
Christopher Tarver Robertson, <i>Blind Expertise</i>	596
Andrew W. Jurs, <i>Balancing Legal Process with Scientific Expertise</i>	602
Notes and Questions	607
C. A High-Level Change Proposal	608
Andrew W. Jurs, <i>Science Court</i>	608
Notes and Questions	614
Tying It Together	615
Appendix A · Statement of Qualifications for Roger Karp	617
Appendix B · <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Martin Espinosa	623
Index	629

Acknowledgments

Creating this text is the consolidation of efforts, thoughts, comments, and support of so many people, and I would like to thank them for their contributions to make this work possible.

I owe my gratitude to a group of research assistants who have, over the years, done any number of projects, both large and small, to assist me in the creation of the law review articles contained herein and the text itself. To those dedicated students, some now lawyers and some still, as of this writing, lawyers-to-be, I say thanks. These students include Aaron Bachmann, Bonnie Yamani, Leonardo Perez, Avery Sander, Krissa LeLacheur Mason, Louis Sloven, Jackson O'Brien, and Spencer Willems.

Over the years, many colleagues have also taken the time to make comments and suggestions on my work and I thank them for their guidance and assistance. At the risk of inadvertently omitting someone, I count among these colleagues: Ronald Wright, Michael D. Green, Sidney Shapiro, Kami Chavis, Scott Shepard, Joseph Sanders, Erica Beecher-Monas, Jagdeep Bhandari, David Caudill, Susan Haack, John Langbein, Benjamin J. Priester, Alan G. Williams, Kevin Saunders, Mark Kende, Miguel Schor, Mack Shelley, Allan Vestal, George Fisher, Anthony Champagne, Jane Campbell Moriarty, Anthony Gaughan, Jerry Anderson, and the faculty of Drake University Law School. Special thanks to my co-author Scott DeVito, for his enthusiasm, dedication, and patience as we engaged in the statistical analysis of legal issues.

I also wish to thank the following authors, publishers, and other copyright holders of works contained herein for permission to reproduce excerpts: Susan Haack, Robert F. Koteles, Jr., Paul Bush, Paul C. Giannelli, Joe S. Cecil, Thomas E. Willging, Frank D. Tinari, Steve Foritano, Robert Epstein, David H. Kaye, William C. Thompson, Ronald M. Sandgrund, Barry M. Wertheimer, Lyn Haber, Ralph Norman Haber, Sophia Gatowski, Jennifer Groscup, George Sensabaugh, David A. Freeman, George Sensabaugh, Simon Ford, Edward J. Imwinkelreid, Joseph Peterson, Andrea Roth, Jane C. Moriarty, John D. North, the Colorado Lawyer, the LexisNexis Group, the National Academies Press, the American Psychological Association,

The staff at Carolina Academic Press has been an invaluable resource for the production of this text, and I thank them for their dedication and efforts.

Finally, I wish to thank Katie, Clara, and Milo, for their support and encouragement during the creation of this work.

Table of Cases

- Agurs, *United States v.* 427 U.S. 97 (1976), 220
- Alvarado v. Oakland County, 809 F. Supp. 2d 680 (E.D. Mich. 2011), 40
- Aman, *United States v.*, 748 F. Supp. 2d 531 (E.D. Va. 2010), 373
- Arizona v. Youngblood, 488 U.S. 51 (1988), 224
- Ashburn, *United States v.*, 88 F. Supp. 3d 239, 249 (E.D.N.Y. 2015), 428
- Astro-Tel v. Verizon Florida, LLC, 979 F. Supp. 2d 1284, 1293 (M.D. Fla. 2013), 74
- Bedonie, *United States v.*, 317 F. Supp. 2d 1285, 1288 (D. Utah 2004), 577, 578
- Berens v. Ludwig, 953 F. Supp. 249 (N.D. Ill. 1997), 580
- Bernhardt v. Richardson-Merrell, Inc., 723 F. Supp. 1188 (N.D. Miss. 1988), 77
- Blake v. Neurological Specialists, P.C., No. (X02)CV940155265S, 2003 WL 21235295 (Conn. Super. Ct. May 9, 2003), 570
- Bowers, *United States v.*, 534 F.2d 186, 193 (9th Cir. 1976), 419
- Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), 201, 213, 215, 218, 220–1, 223, 224
- Brown v. West Corp., No. 8:11CV284, 2014 WL 1689662, at *1 (D. Neb. Apr. 29, 2014), 548
- Buck, *United States v.*, No. 84 Cr. 220-CSH, 1987 WL 19300 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 28, 1987), 400
- Carter, *State v.*, 5 S.W.3d 316 (Tex. App. 1999), 47
- Casteneda v. Partida, 430 U.S. 482 (1977), 261
- Chen v. Mayflower Transit, 224 F.R.D. 415, 419–20 (N.D. Ill. 2004), 74
- Churchill, *State v.*, 231 Kan. 408, 413, 646 P.2d 1049 (1982), 356, 419
- Clena Investments, Inc. v. XL Specialty Insurance Co., 280 F.R.D. 653 (S.D. Fla. 2012), 50
- Crisp, *United States v.*, 324 F.3d 261, 265–66 (4th Cir.2003), 376
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Daubert II”), 43 F.3d 1311 (9th Cir. 1995), 15, 485
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993), 3, 9, 13–20, 25, 54–57, 60, 88, 104–13, 114, 123–26, 128, 137, 141, 145–58, 208, 308, 312, 338, 357, 367, 370–71, 373, 376, 391–94, 403, 405–407, 409, 424, 467, 473, 482, 485, 505–06, 508, 511–12, 519–20, 546–47, 590, 592–93
- Douglas, *United States v.*, 862 F.Supp. 521 (D.D.C. 1994), *aff’d*, 70 F.3d 638 (D.C. Cir. 1995), 210
- Downing, *United States v.*, 753 F.2d 1224 (3d Cir. 1985), 95
- Dunn, *United States v.*, No. 04 Civ. 3035(MDF), 2007 WL 1087204, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 11, 2007), 548
- EEOC v. U-Haul Co. of Texas, No. Civ.A. H-04-3788, 2005 WL 2860987 (S.D. Texas Nov. 1, 2005), 24
- Fletcher v. Lane, 446 F. Supp. 729 (S.D. Ill. 1978), 420

- Frye, *United States v.*, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923), 13, 15, 89–113, 115–17, 123, 133, 136–37, 145–55, 288, 292–97, 302–06, 378, 386, 394, 400, 410, 416–17, 423–28, 486,
- Gaines, *United States v.*, 979 F. Supp. 1429 (S.D. Fla. 1997), 308
- General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136 (1997), 12, 57, 69, 74, 121, 130, 135, 141, 144, 381, 470, 478, 505, 545, 591, 609
- Giglio, *United States v.*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), 224
- Godfrey v. Iverson, 559 F.3d 569 (D.C. Cir. 2009), 30
- Graves, *Commonwealth v.*, 456 A.2d 561 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1983), 414
- Graham, *United States v.*, 796 F.3d 332, 365 (4th Cir. 2015), 74
- Hankla v. Jackson, 699 S.E.2d 610 (Ga. Ct. App. 2010), 472
- Havvard, *United States v.*, 117 F.Supp.2d 848 (S.D. Ind. 2000), 366
- Hawes v. Chua, 769 A.2d 797 (D.C. 2001), 455, 456, 461
- Hedgepeth v. Diamond Offshore Drilling, Inc., No. 01-12-01156-CV, 2013 WL 6097798 (Tex. Ct. App. Nov. 19, 2013), 555
- Heine, *State v.*, 844 N.W.2d 409 (Wis. Ct. App. 2014), 80
- Hill v. Medlantic Health Care Group, 933 A.2d 314 (D.C. 2007), 452
- Hygh v. Jacobs, 961 F.2d 359 (2d Cir. 1992), 42, 44
- International Union of Electric, Radio and Machine Workers v. Westinghouse Electric Corp., 91 F.R.D. 277 (D.D.C. 1981), 227
- Johnoff v. Watson, No. L-03-1245, 2004 WL 2924600 (Ohio Ct. App. Dec. 17, 2004), 522
- Johnson v. Walden University, Inc., No. 3:08CV00045, 2012 WL 2087414 (D. Conn. June 8, 2012), 543
- Jennings, *People v.*, 96 N.E. 1077 (Ill. 1911), 346
- Kansas v. Marsh, 548 U.S. 163, 182 (2006) (Scalia, J., concurring), 432
- Koenig v. Beekmans, No. 5-15-CV-00822-RCL-RBF, 2017 WL 6033404 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 5, 2017), 510
- Kolb, *State v.*, 523 S.W.3d 211 (Tex. App. 2017), 478
- Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999), 3, 60, 126–7, 357, 366–8, 403, 467, 469–70, 478, 482, 508, 511, 519–20, 546
- Leahy, *People v.*, 882 P.2d 321 (Cal. 1994), 104
- Lewellyn, *United States v.*, 723 F.2d 615 (8th Cir. 1983), 90
- Lewis, *United States v.*, 220 F. Supp. 2d 548 (S.D. W. Va. 2002), 403
- Lind v. Slowinski, 450 N.W.2d 353 (Minn. Ct. App. 1990), 560
- Llera Plaza, *United States v.*, 179 F. Supp. 2d 492 (E.D. Pa. 2002), 158, 378
- Logan v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co., No. 10-3-KSF, 2011 WL 3267894 (E.D. Ky. July 30, 2011), 518
- Lord & Taylor LLC v. White Flint, L.P., 849 F.3d 567 (4th Cir. 2017), 67
- Luna, *People v.*, 989 N.E.2d 655, 672 (Ill. App. Ct. 2013), 357, 378
- Lundgren v. Eustermann, 370 N.W.2d 877 (Minn. 1987), 457
- Mayfield, *United States v.*, 599 F.3d 964, 966 (9th Cir. 2010), 372
- McDaniel v. Brown, 558 U.S. 120 (2010), 314, 326
- McDowell v. Brown, 392 F.3d 1283 (11th Cir. 2004), 478
- McDowell v. Dixon, 858 F.2d 945 (4th Cir. 1988), 217

- McFerrin v. Allstate Property & Casualty Co., 29 F.Supp.3d 924 (E.D. Ky. 2014), 175
- McGrew v. State, 682 N.E.2d 1289, 1292 (Ind. 1997), 394
- McQueen v. Goldey, 484 N.E.2d 712 (Ohio Ct. App. 1984), 524, 531
- Meridia Products Liability Litigation v. Abbott Laboratories, 447 F.3d 861 (6th Cir. 2006), 58
- Mississippi Baptist Health Systems v. Kelly, 88 So. 3d 769 (Miss. Ct. App. 2011), 565
- Mitchell v. Barnes, 96 So. 3d 771 (Miss. Ct. App. 2012), 513
- Murdock, State v., 689 P.2d 814 (Kan. 1984), 356
- Montgomery v. Gooding, Huffman, Kelly & Becker, 163 F. Supp. 2d 831, 838 (N.D. Ohio 2001), 74
- Morgan v. U.S. Xpress, Inc., No. 4:03-CV-88-1 (CAR), 2006 WL 278398, at *1 (M.D. Ga. Feb. 3, 2006), 170
- Pacific Indemnity Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp., 203 F.Supp.3d 1092 (D. Nev. 2016), 196
- Pages-Ramirez v. Ramirez-Gonzalez, 605 F.3d 109 (5th Cir. 2009), 61
- Pembrook, United States v., 119 F.Supp.3d 577 (E.D. Mich. 2015), 203
- Pike, People v., 53 N.E.3d 147 (Ill. App. Ct. 2016), 314, 316
- Poitra v. Denver County School District No. 1, 311 F.R.D. 659 (D. Colo. 2015), 165
- Pirolozzi v. Stanbro, No. 5:07-CV-798, 2009 WL 1441070 (N.D. Ohio 2009), 482
- Ralston v. Smith & Nephew Richards, Inc., 275 F.3d 965 (10th Cir. 2001), 61
- Ramirez, State v., 810 So. 2d 836 (Fla. 2001), 420
- Reeves, v. People, 109 Cal. Rptr. 2d 728, 747-48 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001), 307
- Richardson, People v., 65 Cal. Rptr. 487, 491 (1968), 356
- Robinson v. District of Columbia, 75 F.Supp.3d 190 (D.D.C. 2014), 190
- Roman Nose, State v., 649 N.W.2d 815 (Minn. 2002), 302
- Sibrian, People v., 207 Cal. Rptr. 3d 428 (Cal. Ct. App. 2016), 33
- Siring v. Oregon State Board of Higher Education, 927 F. Supp. 2d 1069 (D. Or. 2013), 26
- Smith v. Virginia Commonwealth University, 84 F.3d 672 (4th Cir. 1996), 270
- Smith, United States v., 869 F.2d 348 (7th Cir. 1989), 97
- Snyder v. George Washington University, 890 A.2d 237 (D.C. 2006), 455, 461
- Stevens Transport, Inc. v. Global Transport, LLC, No. 6:15-CV-552-MHS-JDL, 2016 WL 9244669 (E.D. Tex. May 24, 2016), 507
- Stevenson v. United States, 380 F.2d 590 (D.C. Cir. 1967), 354
- Sullivan v. United States Department of the Navy, 365 F.3d 827 (9th Cir. 2004), 464
- Tagatz v. Marquette University, 861 F.2d 1040 (7th Cir. 1988), 255
- Tampa Bay Shipbuilding & Repair Co. v. Cedar Shipping Co., 320 F.3d 1213, 1223 (11th Cir. 2003), 74
- Trahan, State v., 637 So. 2d 694 (La. Ct. App. 1994), 526
- Tipton, United States v., 964 F.2d 650 (7th Cir. 1992), 61
- Vergara v. Doan, 593 N.E.2d 185 (Ind. 1992), 448
- Vincent v. American Honda Motor Co., No. CV 108-067, 2010 WL 11537725 (S.D. Ga. Feb. 4, 2010), 545
- Vuyanich v. Republic National Bank of Dallas, 505 F. Supp. 224 (N.D. Tex. 1980), vacated, 723 F.2d 1195 (5th Cir. 1984), 262

- Wesley, People v., 633 N.E.2d 451 (N.Y. 1994), 113, 291
- Williams v. Ford Motor Co., 187 F.3d 533 (6th Cir. 1999), 241
- Williamson v. Reynolds, 904 F. Supp. 1529 (E.D. Okla. 1995), 388
- Wilson v. Sligar, 516 N.E.2d 1099 (Ind. Ct. App. 1987), 444, 449
- Wilson, State v., 574 So.2d 1324, 1334 (Miss. 1990), 357
- Wilson v. Woods, 163 F.3d 935 (5th Cir. 1999), 504
- Wiradihardja v. Bermuda Star Line, Inc., No. 89 Civ. 3927 (DLD), 1993 WL 385817 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 26, 1993), 552

Table of Secondary Authorities

- AGRESTI, ALAN & CHRISTINE FRANKLIN, *STATISTICS* (2007), 260, 262
- ALLEN, MARK A., ROBERT E. HALL, AND VICTORIA A. LAZEAR, *Reference Guide on Estimation of Economic Damages*, in REFERENCE MANUAL ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE (3d ed. 2011), 535, 549
- Cecil, Joe S. & Thomas E. Willging, *Accepting Daubert's Invitation: Defining a Role for Court-Appointed Experts in Assessing Scientific Validity*, 43 EMORY L.J. 995 (1994), 593
- Chamallas, Martha, *Civil Rights in Ordinary Tort Cases: Race, Gender, and the Calculation of Economic Loss*, 38 LOY. L.A. L. REV. 1435 (2005), 577
- CHIN, MING W., J., ET AL., *FORENSIC DNA EVIDENCE: SCIENCE AND THE LAW* (2017 ed.), 316
- CLARY, BRADLEY, SHARON REICH PAULSEN & MICHAEL J. VANSELOW, *SUCCESSFUL FIRST DEPOSITIONS* (3d. ed. 2011), 236
- Epstein, Robert, *Fingerprints Meet Daubert: The Myth of Fingerprint "Science" Is Revealed*, 75 S. CAL. L. REV. 605 (2002), 338, 358
- FAIGMAN, DAVID L., ET AL., *MODERN SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE: FORENSICS* (2008), 337, 355, 403, 419
- Finley, Lucinda M., *The Hidden Victims of Tort Reform: Women, Children, and the Elderly*, 53 EMORY L.J. 1263 (2004), 576
- Gatkowski, Sophia, et. al, *Asking the Gatekeepers: A National Survey of Judges on Judging Expert Evidence in a Post-Daubert World*, 25 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 433 (2001), 132, 138, 141
- GIANNELLI, PAUL C., EDWARD J. IMWINKELRIED, ANDREA ROTH, & JANE C. MORIARTY, *Accident Reconstruction*, in 2 SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE (5th ed. 2012), 491
- Giannelli, Paul C., *Challenges to Fingerprints*, 42 CRIM. L. BULL. 624 (Sept.–Oct. 2006), 371
- Giannelli, Paul C., *Forensic Science: Daubert's Failure*, 68 CASE W. RES. L. REV. 869 (2018), 378, 395, 429
- Giannelli, Paul C., *Junk Science and the Execution of an Innocent Man*, 7 N.Y.U. J. L. & LIBERTY 221 (2013), 159, 432
- GIANNELLI, PAUL C., EDWARD J. IMWINKELRIED, AND JOSEPH PETERSON, *Reference Guide on Forensic Identification Expertise*, REFERENCE MANUAL ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE (3d ed. 2011), 382, 395, 403, 410
- Grann, David, *Trial by Fire*, THE NEW YORKER (Sept. 7, 2009), 19, 158, 159, 436
- Groscup, Jennifer, et. al., *The Effects of Daubert on the Admissibility of Ex-*

- pert Testimony in State and Federal Criminal Cases*, 8 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'Y & L. 339 (2002), 131, 155
- Haack, Susan, *Irreconcilable Differences? The Troubled Marriage of Science and Law*, 72-WTR LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS. 1 (2009), 5, 14, 15, 589, 602, 607
- Haber, Lyn & Ralph Norman Haber, *Scientific Validation of Fingerprint Evidence Under Daubert*, 7 LAW, PROBABILITY & RISK 87 (2008), 337, 341, 345
- Jurs, Andrew W., *Balancing Legal Process with Scientific Expertise: Expert Witness Methodology in Five Nations and Suggestions for Reform of Post-Daubert U.S. Reliability Determinations*, 95 MARQ. L. REV. 1329 (2012), 602
- Jurs, Andrew W. & Scott DeVito, *The Stricter Standard: An Empirical Assessment of Daubert's Effect on Civil Defendants*, 62 CATH. U. L. REV. 675 (2013), 145
- Jurs, Andrew W., Scott DeVito, *Et Tu, Plaintiffs? An Empirical Analysis of Daubert's Effect on Plaintiffs, and Why Gatekeeping Standards Matter (a Lot)*, 66 ARK. L. REV. 975 (2013), 150
- Jurs, Andrew W., *The Expert Heightened Disclosure Requirement and the Physician-Defendant in Medical Negligence Cases*, 28 J. LEGAL MED. 521 (2007), 184
- Jurs, Andrew W., *Gatekeeper with a Gavel: A Survey Evaluating Judicial Management of Challenges to Expert Reliability and Their Relationship to Summary Judgment*, 83. MISS. L.J. 325 (2014), 129, 133, 595
- Jurs, Andrew W., *Questions from the Bench and Independent Experts: A Study of the Practices of State Court Judges*, 74 U. PITT. L. REV. 47 (2012), 141, 144, 595
- Jurs, Andrew W., *Science Court: Past Proposals, Current Considerations, and a Suggested Structure*, 15 VA. J. L. & TECH. 1 (2010), 608
- KAYE, DAVID. H, *THE DOUBLE HELIX AND THE LAW OF EVIDENCE* (2011), 317, 333
- KAYE, DAVID H., DAVID A. FREEMAN, *Reference Guide on Statistics, in REFERENCE MANUAL ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE* (3d ed. 2011), 238, 315
- Kaye, David H. & George Sensabaugh, *Reference Guide on DNA Identification Evidence, in REFERENCE MANUAL ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE* (3d ed. 2011), 282
- Krafka, Carol, et. al., *Judge and Attorney Experiences, Practices, and Concerns Regarding Expert Testimony in Federal Civil Trials*, 8 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'Y & L. 309 (2002), 131–2, 141
- Ladd, Mason, *Expert Testimony*, 5 VAND. L. REV. 414 (1952), 39
- Morrison, Geoffrey S. & William C. Thompson, *Assessing the Admissibility of a New Generation of Forensic Voice Comparison Testimony*, 18 COLUM. SCI. & TECH. L. REV. 326 (2017), 103
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, *THE EVALUATION OF FORENSIC DNA EVIDENCE* (1996), 307, 314, 315, 333
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL & NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, *STRENGTHENING FORENSIC SCIENCE IN THE UNITED STATES: A PATH FORWARD* (2009), 20, 158, 159, 282, 337, 378, 381, 383, 384, 396, 410, 412, 414, 429, 437, 614

- NORTH, JOHN D., *Medical Negligence, in, BUSINESS & COMMERCIAL LITIGATION IN FEDERAL COURTS* (4th ed. 2017), 440
- Possley, Maurice, *Former Prosecutor Cleared of Misconduct in Texas Death Penalty Case*, WASH. POST, May 11, 2017, 437
- PRESIDENT'S COUNCIL OF ADVISORS ON SCI. AND TECH., *FORENSIC SCIENCE IN CRIMINAL COURTS: ENSURING SCIENTIFIC VALIDITY OF FEATURE-COMPARISON METHODS* (2016), 379, 381, 395, 431
- Risinger, D. Michael, et. al, *Exorcism of Ignorance as a Proxy for Rational Knowledge: The Lessons of Handwriting Identification 'Expertise,'* 137 U. PA. L. REV. 731 (1989), 397, 403
- Robertson, Christopher Tarver, *Blind Expertise*, 85 N.Y.U. L. REV. 174 (2010), 596
- Sandgrund, Ronald M., *Your First Expert Witness—Part II*, 40 COLO. LAW. 93 (2011), 231
- Thompson, William C., *Tarnish on the 'Gold Standard:' Understanding Recent Problems in Forensic DNA Testing*, CHAMPION, Jan./Feb. 2006, 317
- Thompson, William C. & Simon Ford, *DNA Typing: Acceptance and Weight of the New Genetic Identification Tests*, 75 VA. L. REV. 45 (1989), 285
- TINARI, FRANK D., *An Introduction to the Field of Forensic Economics, in FORENSIC ECONOMICS: ASSESSING PERSONAL DAMAGES IN CIVIL LITIGATION* (2016), 540, 542, 549, 555
- Wertheimer, Barry M., *The Shareholders' Appraisal Remedy and How Courts Determine Fair Value*, 47 DUKE L.J. 613 (1998), 578

