

Legal Analysis

Legal Analysis

The Fundamental Skill

THIRD EDITION

David S. Romantz
Kathleen Elliott Vinson



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2020
David S. Romantz and Kathleen Elliott Vinson
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-1197-0
e-ISBN 978-1-5310-1198-7

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Romantz, David S., 1965- author. | Vinson, Kathleen Elliott, 1969-
author.

Title: Legal analysis : the fundamental skill / by David S. Romantz,
Kathleen Elliott Vinson.

Description: Third edition. | Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic
Press, LLC, [2020] | Includes index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020011468 | ISBN 9781531011970 (paperback) | ISBN
9781531011987 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Legal research--United States. | Law--United
States--Methodology.

Classification: LCC KF240 .R636 2020 | DDC 340.072/073--dc23
LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2020011468>

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To Mike, Emily, and Sean.
KEV

To my students.
DSR

Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
Chapter 1 • The Foundations of Legal Analysis	3
Objectives	3
A. Statutes	4
B. Case Law	5
1. Common Law and Precedent	7
2. The Power of Precedent and <i>Stare Decisis</i>	8
C. Hierarchy of Courts	9
D. Jurisdiction	10
E. Types of Authority	13
Practice Exercises	15
Chapter 2 • Rules	17
Objectives	17
A. Enacted Law	18
B. Case Law	19
1. Expressed Rules	20
2. Implied Rules	21
3. Rule Synthesis	24
C. Tests	26
1. Element Test	26
2. Balancing Test	27
3. Factor Test	27
4. Totality of the Circumstances Test	27
Practice Exercises	28

Chapter 3 • Inductive Analysis and Analogical Reasoning	31
Objectives	31
A. Analogical Reasoning	32
1. The Three Triggers: Jurisdiction — Legal Issue — Facts	33
2. The Analogical Model	34
3. Critical Facts	35
4. Case Synthesis	38
B. Analogical Strategies	41
1. Narrow Analogy	41
a. Step One — State the Point of the Analysis	42
b. Step Two — State the Narrow Fact Comparison	43
c. Step Three — Apply the Court’s Reasoning	44
d. Step Four — Conclude	45
2. Broad Analogy	46
Practice Exercises	50
Chapter 4 • Deductive Analysis & Rule-Based Reasoning	55
Objectives	55
A. Deductive Reasoning — The Syllogism	56
1. The Model	56
2. Deductive Reasoning & Legal Analysis	58
3. Identifying Flaws in a Syllogism	62
B. The Structure of a Rule-Based Reasoning	63
a. Step One — State the Point of the Analysis or Conclusion	64
b. Step Two — State the Rule	64
c. Step Three — Explain the Rule	65
d. Step Four — Apply Law to Facts	66
e. Step Five — Conclude	67
C. Deductive/Inductive Combination	68
Practice Exercises	69
Chapter 5 • Statutory Interpretation	71
Objectives	71
A. Statutory Interpretation	72
1. The Plain Meaning Rule	73
2. Construing Ambiguous Statutes	74
B. Tools of Statutory Construction	74
1. Canons	74
a. Avoid Surplusage	75
b. Constitutional Questions	75

c. <i>Ejusdem Generis</i>	75
d. <i>Expressio Unius est Exclusio Alterius</i>	76
e. <i>In Pari Materia</i>	76
f. Lenity	77
g. <i>Noscitur a Sociis</i>	77
h. Presumption of Internal Consistency	77
i. Remedial Statutes	78
j. Titles Are Not Controlling	78
2. Legislative History	79
3. Cases Construing Statutes	80
C. Statutory Interpretation and Deductive Argument	81
Practice Exercises	82
Chapter 6 • Policy-Based Reasoning and Other Considerations	85
Objectives	85
A. Policy	86
1. Identifying Policy	86
a. Case Law	87
b. Statutes	88
B. Public Policy Arguments	88
1. Judicial Administration Policy Arguments	89
2. Normative Arguments	90
3. Institutional Competence Arguments	90
4. Economic Arguments	90
5. Fairness and Justice Arguments	91
C. How to Use Policy	92
1. To Support a Legal Argument	92
2. To Analyze a Case of First Impression	93
3. To Limit the Scope of the Law	94
4. To Expand the Scope of the Law	94
D. Other Considerations	94
1. Judicial Conservatism	94
2. Credibility	96
Practice Exercises	96
Chapter 7 • Legal Analysis Using a CREAC Paradigm	99
Objectives	99
A. CREAC Explained	101
1. The C in CREAC	101
2. The R in CREAC	102

3. The E in CREAC	103
4. The A in CREAC	104
5. The Final C in CREAC	106
B. The CREAC Paradigm: Inductive Analysis or Analogical Reasoning	106
C. The CREAC Paradigm in a Multi-Issue Problem	107
D. The CREAC Paradigm: Deductive Analysis or Rule-Based Reasoning	108
1. The CREAC Paradigm: Rule-Based Analysis Using an Expressed and Unambiguous Rule	109
2. The CREAC Paradigm: Rule-Based Analysis for an Implied Rule	110
3. The CREAC Paradigm: Rule-Based Analysis Using an Ambiguous or Vague Rule	111
E. Fourteen Tips When Using CREAC	113
1. Analyze One Issue at a Time	113
2. Conclude Only the Single Issue Analyzed	113
3. Offer All Rules Required to Analyze the Issue	114
4. Explain the Law before Applying the Law	114
5. In the “A” Section, Start with the Point of the Analysis	114
6. For Inductive-Type Analysis That Compare Facts	115
a. State the Fact-to-Fact Analogy	115
b. Prove the Significance of the Analogy	115
7. For Deductive-Type Analysis Consider the Syllogism	116
8. Don’t Be Conclusory	116
9. Don’t Fight the Law or Your Client’s Facts	116
10. Avoid the Stretch Argument	116
11. Remember the Alternative Argument	117
12. Anticipate and Analyze the Opponent’s Argument	117
13. Organize the Analysis around a Common Theme	117
14. Be Flexible	117
F. Beyond CREAC	118
1. Alternating or Interspersing E and A Sections	118
2. CREAC Is Not a One Size Fits All	119
Practice Exercises	120
Conclusion	123
Glossary of Terms	125
Index	131

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Clarissa Brady, Johnathan Burnley, and Hayden Cherry for reading various drafts and providing feedback. Thanks also to Linda and Carol at CAP for their support and patience. Thank you to our students and colleagues who have used the book and provided great suggestions. Finally, thanks to Suffolk University Law School and the University of Memphis School of Law for their support through writing stipends.

