

# Work Law



# Work Law

---

## Cases and Materials

FOURTH EDITION

**Marion G. Crain**

WILEY B. RUTLEDGE PROFESSOR OF LAW  
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

**Pauline T. Kim**

DANIEL NOYES KIRBY PROFESSOR OF LAW  
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

**Michael Selmi**

FOUNDATION PROFESSOR OF LAW  
SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR COLLEGE OF LAW  
ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

**Brishen Rogers**

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LAW  
TEMPLE UNIVERSITY BEASLEY SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2020  
Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

LCCN: 2020933996  
ISBN: 978-1-5310-1326-4  
eISBN: 978-1-5310-1327-1

Carolina Academic Press  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

---

Acknowledgments	xv
Preface	xix
PART ONE	
Introduction: Regulating Work	3
Chapter 1 · Origins	5
A. The Meaning of Work	6
Kenneth L. Karst, <i>The Coming Crisis of Work in Constitutional Perspective</i>	6
Notes	8
B. The Rise and Fall of Freedom of Contract	12
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	13
Notes	20
C. The New Deal Labor Legislation	24
1. The Labor Laws	25
Notes	28
2. The Philosophy of Unionism, Industrial Pluralism and the Practice of Collective Bargaining	32
RICHARD B. FREEMAN & JAMES L. MEDOFF, WHAT DO UNIONS DO?	32
Notes	33
3. The Decline of Unionism, Collective Bargaining, and Labor Law	37
D. The Individual Rights Model	42
Katherine Van Wezel Stone, <i>The Legacy of Industrial Pluralism: The Tension Between Individual Employment Rights and the New Deal Collective Bargaining System</i>	42
Notes	43
Chapter 2 · The Contemporary Era—Shifts in the Demographics and Structure of Work	47
A. Changes in the Structure of Work	48
Matthew Bidwell, Forrest Briscoe, Isabel Fernandez-Mateo, & Adina Sterling, <i>The Employment Relationship and Inequality: How and Why Changes in Employment Practice Are Reshaping Rewards in Organizations</i>	48
Notes	54

B. Defining the Boundaries of the Employment Relationship	57
1. What Is Employment?	57
<i>O'Connor v. Davis</i>	58
Notes	61
2. Employees Versus Independent Contractors	67
<i>Cotter v. Lyft</i>	68
Notes	76
3. Joint Employment	81
C. The Changing Workforce	82
1. Demographic Shifts	82
2. The Immigrant Workforce	85
a. The Impact of Immigrant Labor	86
Frances Lee Ansley, <i>Rethinking Law in Globalizing Labor Markets</i>	86
Notes	88
b. Undocumented Workers	89
<i>Hoffman Plastic Compounds, Inc. v. NLRB</i>	90
Notes	97

## PART TWO

<b>Balancing Employer and Employee Interests: Individual Versus Collective Responses</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Chapter 3 · Contracting for Individual Job Security</b>	<b>107</b>
A. The Presumption of Employment At Will	108
1. Historical Background	108
<i>Savage v. Spur Distributing Co.</i>	111
Notes	113
2. Alternative Models	115
a. The Union Sector	116
Roger I. Abrams & Dennis R. Nolan, <i>Toward a Theory of “Just Cause” in Employee Discipline Cases</i>	116
Cynthia L. Estlund, <i>Free Speech and Due Process in the Workplace</i>	117
Notes	118
b. Public Employment	119
<i>Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth</i>	119
Notes	122
3. The Contemporary Era	126
B. Overcoming the Presumption by Agreement	127
1. Written Contracts	127
Notes	130
2. Oral Contracts	130
Notes	133

3. Implied Agreements	137
<i>Woolley v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.</i>	137
Notes	143
<i>Asmus v. Pacific Bell</i>	149
Notes	154
C. What Is “Cause”?	156
D. Promissory Estoppel as a Limit on Employment at Will	159
<i>Cocchiara v. Lithia Motors, Inc.</i>	159
Notes	163
<b>Chapter 4 · Public Policy Protections for Individual Job Security</b>	<b>169</b>
A. The Public Policy Exception	170
<i>Sheets v. Teddy’s Frosted Foods, Inc.</i>	170
Notes	175
B. What Constitutes Public Policy?	180
<i>Hayes v. Eateries, Inc.</i>	181
Notes	186
<i>Gantt v. Sentry Insurance</i>	190
Notes	194
<i>Kirk v. Mercy Hospital Tri-County</i>	198
Notes	201
C. What Is a “Discharge”?	203
Notes	205
D. The Relationship Between Statutory and Common Law Remedies	207
E. The Special Case of Attorneys	211
Notes	214
F. Revisiting the Presumption of At-Will Employment	216
Richard A. Epstein, <i>In Defense of the Contract at Will</i>	216
Pauline T. Kim, <i>Bargaining with Imperfect Information:     A Study of Worker Perceptions of Legal Protection     in an At-Will World</i>	219
Notes	221
<b>Chapter 5 · Collective Job Security</b>	<b>227</b>
A. Collective Job Security Under the National Labor Relations Act	227
1. Union Organizing Drives: §§ 8(a)(1) and 8(a)(3)	228
<i>Textile Workers Union v. Darlington Manufacturing Co.</i>	228
Notes	233
2. Collective Job Loss Where the Workforce Is Already Unionized: § 8(a)(5)	235
<i>First National Maintenance Corp. v. NLRB</i>	237
Notes	243
Problem for Discussion	246
B. Common Law Contract, Property, and Tort Claims	247

Kent Greenfield, <i>The Unjustified Absence of Federal Fraud Protection in the Labor Market</i>	247
Local 1330, <i>United Steel Workers of America v. United States Steel Corp.</i>	249
Notes	256
C. Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act	265
1. The Statute	265
2. Enforcement and Compliance Issues	266
3. Statutory Interpretation Issues	268
<i>Childress v. Darby Lumber, Inc.</i>	270
Notes	275
D. The Unemployment Insurance System	279
Gillian Lester, <i>The Unemployment Insurance and Wealth Redistribution</i>	280
Notes	281
<b>Chapter 6 · Employee Mobility</b>	<b>287</b>
A. Covenants Not to Compete	289
<i>Hopper v. All Pet Animal Clinic, Inc.</i>	289
Notes	297
B. Nonsolicitation Agreements	304
<i>Bankers Life &amp; Casualty Co. v. American Senior Benefits LLC</i>	304
Notes	307
C. Trade Secrets	308
<i>Saturn Systems, Inc. v. Militare</i>	308
Notes	312
D. The Duty of Loyalty	317
<i>Salas v. Total Air Services, LLC</i>	317
Notes	324
Problem and Thoughts for the Future	330
E. Employee Inventions	330
<i>Wommack v. Durham Pecan Co.</i>	331
Notes	336
<b>Chapter 7 · Dignitary Interests</b>	<b>339</b>
A. Avoiding Emotional Harm	340
<i>Wornick Co. v. Casas</i>	341
Notes	343
<i>Bodewig v. K-Mart, Inc.</i>	345
<i>Hollomon v. Keadle</i>	348
Notes	351
B. Privacy—Sources of Legal Protection	354
1. Constitutional Protection for Public Employees	355
<i>O'Connor v. Ortega</i>	355
Notes	361



2. Common Law Protections for Private Employees	364
a. Intrusion on Seclusion	365
<i>K-Mart Corp. Store No. 7441 v. Trotti</i>	365
Notes	368
b. Publicity to Private Life	374
<i>Borquez v. Robert C. Ozer, P.C.</i>	374
Notes	378
3. Collective Approaches to Protecting Employee Privacy	380
<i>Colgate-Palmolive Co. and Local 15, International Chemical Workers Union</i>	381
Notes	383
C. Privacy and Autonomy—Contemporary Issues	386
1. Privacy of Electronic Communications	387
a. Constitutional Law	388
<i>City of Ontario v. Quon</i>	388
Notes	392
b. Reasonable Expectations of Privacy	393
<i>Stengart v. Loving Care Agency, Inc.</i>	394
Notes	398
c. Statutory Protections	402
Notes	406
d. Social Media	408
2. Electronic Monitoring and Data Analytics	410
3. Medical and Genetic Information	416
a. The Americans with Disabilities Act	417
b. The Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act	418
Note	419
c. Wellness Programs	419
4. Drug Testing	421
Notes	424
5. Off-Duty Conduct	427
<i>McCavitt v. Swiss Reinsurance America Corp.</i>	429
Notes	432
D. Reputation	434
<i>Zinda v. Louisiana Pacific Corp.</i>	434
Notes	439
<i>Chambers v. American Trans Air, Inc.</i>	442
Notes	445
<b>Chapter 8 • Employee Voice</b>	<b>451</b>
A. Employee Interests in Voice	451
Cynthia L. Estlund, <i>Working Together: The Workplace, Civil Society, and the Law</i>	452
Note	454

B. Constitutional Protections	454
<i>Connick v. Myers</i>	456
Notes	462
<i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	467
Notes	473
C. The Common Law	479
<i>Edmondson v. Shearer Lumber Products</i>	480
Notes	483
D. Statutory Protections for Employee Speech	488
1. State Statutory Protection for Speech and Political Activity	489
2. Statutory Protections Against Retaliation	491
3. Whistleblower Protections of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	493
a. Who Is Protected?	497
b. What Is Protected Activity?	499
<i>Wiest v. Lynch</i>	499
Notes	506
4. Whistleblower Provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act	510
E. Collective Voice Protections—The NLRA	514
1. The Voice-Protection Function of NLRA § 7	515
Clyde W. Summers, <i>The Privatization of Personal Freedoms and Enrichment of Democracy: Some Lessons from Labor Law</i>	515
Note	516
2. NLRA § 7 Rights in the Non-Union Workplace	518
<i>NLRB v. Washington Aluminum Co.</i>	519
Notes	522
<i>Timekeeping Systems, Inc.</i>	524
<i>Triple Play Sports Bar and Grille</i>	529
Notes	535
3. Employer Policies Restricting Collective Action	537
Note on Pay Secrecy Policies	539

## PART THREE

<b>Freedom from Discrimination Versus Flexibility</b>	<b>543</b>
<b>Chapter 9 · Employment Discrimination Law</b>	<b>545</b>
A. Introduction	545
B. Claims of Intentional Discrimination: The Disparate Treatment Model	550
1. Individual Claims of Intentional Discrimination	550
<i>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green</i>	551
Notes	554
<i>St. Mary's Honor Center v. Hicks</i>	555
Notes	562
2. The Mixed-Motives Proof Structure	568

<i>Desert Palace, Inc. v. Costa</i>	568
Notes	572
3. Retaliation Claims	575
4. Intentional Discrimination Class Claims: Pattern or Practice and the BFOQ	582
a. Pattern or Practice Claims	582
b. The BFOQ Defense	584
C. Disparate Impact Claims and Their Relation to Other Theories of Discrimination	587
<i>Griggs v. Duke Power Co.</i>	587
Notes	592
D. Sexual Harassment Law	599
1. The Hostile Working Environment Theory	600
<i>Billings v. Town of Grafton</i>	600
Notes	607
2. Employer Liability	612
<i>Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth</i>	612
Notes	621
E. Age Discrimination—The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA)	629
F. Disability Discrimination: The Americans with Disabilities Act	635
1. Defining Disability	636
<i>Weaving v. City of Hillsboro</i>	638
Notes	648
2. “Qualified” Individual and the Duty to Accommodate	650
<i>Hostettler v. College of Wooster</i>	650
Notes	656
G. Contemporary Workplace Issues	662
1. The FMLA	662
2. Diversity in the Workplace	667

## PART FOUR

<b>Government Intervention for the Public Good: Legislating a Safety Net</b>	<b>675</b>
<b>Chapter 10 · The Regulation of Wages and Hours</b>	<b>677</b>
A. Overview of the FLSA: Historical Origins and Current Provisions	679
1. The History of Wage and Hour Regulation	679
<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	680
Notes	685
2. Overview of the FLSA	686
a. Minimum Wage Provisions	686
b. Overtime Pay Provisions	686
c. Child Labor Provisions	687
Notes	688

B. The Policy Debate Around the Minimum Wage	690
Richard B. Freeman, <i>What Will a 10% . . . 50% . . . 100% Increase in the Minimum Wage Do?</i>	692
Brishen Rogers, <i>Justice at Work: Minimum Wage Laws and Social Equality</i>	693
Notes	695
C. Who Is Covered?	698
1. FLSA Coverage of Entities	698
2. The Existence of an Employment Relationship	698
3. Exemptions from Coverage	699
4. Applying FLSA's Test for Employment Status	702
a. Independent Contractors	702
<i>Heath v. Perdue Farms, Inc.</i>	702
Notes	708
b. Trainees and Interns	711
<i>Benjamin v. B &amp; H Educ., Inc.</i>	711
Notes	717
D. What Is Compensable Time?	721
1. "Off-the-Clock" Work	721
<i>Davis v. Food Lion</i>	722
Notes	725
2. "On-Call" Time	729
<i>Dinges v. Sacred Heart St. Mary's Hospitals, Inc.</i>	730
Notes	733
3. Other Time-Keeping Issues	736
E. The "White Collar" Exemptions	741
1. The Policy Debate Around Overtime and the "White-Collar" Exemptions	741
Deborah C. Malamud, <i>Engineering the Middle Classes: Class Line-Drawing in New Deal Hours Legislation</i>	741
Notes	743
2. The Overtime Exemptions: Details	744
a. Executive, Administrative, and Professional Employees	745
i. Executive Employees	746
ii. Administrative Employees	751
iii. Professional Employees	756
b. Outside Sales Employees	763
c. Computer Professionals	766
d. The "Combination" and "Highly Compensated" Exemptions	768
F. Enforcement	769
1. Basics	769
2. Retaliation Complaints	770
3. Defenses and Limitations on Liability	773
4. FLSA Collective Actions and Rule 23 Class Actions	773

<b>Chapter 11 · Health and Pension Plans—ERISA Regulation</b>	<b>777</b>
A. Health Benefits	778
B. Pension Benefits	781
1. Defined Benefit Plans	784
2. Defined Contribution Plans, 401(k)s, and Their Investments	784
<i>LaRue v. DeWolff, Boberg &amp; Associates, Inc.</i>	785
Notes	788
<i>Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer</i>	789
Notes	797
<b>Chapter 12 · Health and Safety</b>	<b>803</b>
A. Workers' Compensation	804
1. The Origins of Workers' Compensation Laws	804
a. The Common Law Approach	805
<i>Farwell v. Boston and Worcester Rail Road Corp.</i>	805
Notes	808
b. The Compensation Acts	812
Arthur Larson, <i>The Nature and Origins of Workmen's Compensation</i>	812
<i>New York Central Railroad Co. v. White</i>	813
Notes	817
2. Basic Benefits and Coverage	818
a. Benefits and Procedures	818
b. Who is an Employee?	821
c. "Arising out of and in the Course of Employment"	823
<i>Prows v. Industrial Commission of Utah</i>	824
Notes	829
<i>Houser v. Bi-Lo, Inc.</i>	830
Notes	833
3. Exclusivity of Remedies	835
a. The Fundamental Bargain	835
<i>Eckis v. Sea World Corp.</i>	835
Notes	838
b. Exception for Intentional Acts	839
<i>Whitaker v. Town of Scotland Neck</i>	840
Notes	844
c. Non-Physical Torts	848
<i>Cole v. Fair Oaks Fire Protection District</i>	848
Notes	852
B. OSHA	855
1. The Structure of the Statute	856
a. Promulgating Standards	856
i. Ergonomics Standards	857
ii. Other Enforcement Means	858
b. Inspection and Enforcement Scheme	859

2. The General Duty Clause	860
<i>SeaWorld v. Perez</i>	860
Notes	868
3. Employee Rights	871
<i>Whirlpool Corp. v. Marshall, Secretary of Labor</i>	871
Notes	877
C. Reflections on Worker Safety	878

## PART FIVE

<b>Systems of Justice: Public Versus Private, Collective Versus Individual</b>	<b>883</b>
<b>Chapter 13 · Arbitration of Workplace Disputes</b>	<b>885</b>
A. Arbitration as a Workplace Dispute Resolution Mechanism: Origins and Evolution	885
RICHARD A. BALES, COMPULSORY ARBITRATION: THE GRAND EXPERIMENT IN EMPLOYMENT	885
Notes	888
B. Arbitration in the Non-Union Workplace	893
<i>Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.</i>	893
Notes	899
C. The Uses and Limits of Mandatory Employment Arbitration Agreements	902
1. Minimum Standards of Fairness	902
Notes	906
2. State Contract Law Principles	909
<i>Roe v. SFBSC Management, LLC</i>	909
Notes	916
3. Challenging Waivers of Class Claims	924
<i>Epic Systems Corporation v. Lewis</i>	927
Notes	937
D. Reconsidering Employer-Promulgated Arbitration Systems	939
Katherine Van Wezel Stone, <i>Mandatory Arbitration of Individual Employment Rights: The Yellow Dog Contract of the 1990s</i>	940
Samuel Estreicher, <i>Saturns for Rickshaws: The Stakes in the Debate Over Pre-dispute Employment Arbitration Agreements</i>	942
Alexander J.S. Colvin & Kelly Pike, <i>Saturns and Rickshaws Revisited: What Kind of Arbitration System Has Developed?</i>	943
Notes	945
<b>Table of Cases</b>	<b>951</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>971</b>

# Acknowledgments

---

The authors would like to thank the authors and publishers for permission to reprint portions of the following copyrighted material:

Abrams, Roger L. & Nolan, Dennis R., *Toward a Theory of “Just Cause” in Employee Discipline Cases*, 1985 DUKE L.J. 594. Copyright © 1985 Duke Law Journal. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Bales, Richard A., *COMPULSORY ARBITRATION: THE GRAND EXPERIMENT IN EMPLOYMENT* 3-10 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by Cornell University. All rights reserved. Used by permission of the publisher, Cornell University Press.

Bales, Richard A., *The Discord Between Collective Bargaining and Individual Employment Rights: The Theoretical Origins and a Proposed Reconciliation*, 77 B.U. L. REV. 687 (1997). Reprinted with permission of the author.

Befort, Stephen F., *Labor and Employment Law at the Millenium: A Historical Review and Critical Assessment*, 43 B.C. L. REV. 351 (2002). Copyright © 2002 Boston College Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Bidwell, Matthew, Briscoe, Forrest, Fernandez-Mateo, Isabel & Sterling, Adina, *The Employment Relationship and Inequality: How and Why Changes in Employment Practices are Reshaping Rewards in Organizations*, THE ACADEMY OF MANAGEMENT ANNALS 4 (2013). Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Casebeer, Kenneth M., *Unemployment Insurance: American Social Wage, Labor Organization, and Legal Ideology*, 35 B.C. L. REV. 259 (1994). Copyright © 1994. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Colvin, Alexander J.S. & Pike, Kelly, *Saturns and Rickshaws Revisited: What Kind of Arbitration System has Developed?*, 29 OH. ST. J. ON DISP. RES. 59 (2014). Copyright © 2014. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Crain, Marion, *Managing Identity: Buying into the Brand at Work*, 95 IOWA L. REV. 1179 (2010). Copyright © 2010 Iowa Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Crain, Marion, *The Transformation of the Professional Workplace*, 79 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 543 (2004). Copyright © 2004 Chicago-Kent Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Epstein, Richard A., *In Defense of the Contract at Will*, 51 U. CHI. L. REV. 947 (1984). Copyright © 1984 University of Chicago Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Estlund, Cynthia L., *Free Speech and Due Process in the Workplace*, 71 *IND. L.J.* 101 (1995). Copyright © 1995 Indiana Law Journal. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Estlund, Cynthia L., *How Wrong Are Employees About Their Rights, and Why Does It Matter?*, 77 *N.Y.U. L. REV.* 6 (2002). Copyright © 2002 N.Y.U. Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Estlund, Cynthia L., *Working Together: The Workplace, Civil Society, and the Law*, 89 *GEO. L.J.* 1 (2000). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown Law Journal © 2000.

Estreicher, Samuel, *Saturdays for Rickshaws: The Stakes in the Dispute over Predispute Employment Arbitration Agreements*, 16 *OH. ST. J. DISP. RES.* 559 (2001). Copyright © 2001 Ohio State Journal of Dispute Resolution. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Estreicher, Samuel & Gold, Laurence, *The Shift from Defined Benefit Plans to Defined Contribution Plans*, 11 *LEWIS & CLARK L. REV.* 331 (2007). Copyright © 2007 Lewis & Clark Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Finkin, Matthew W., *Employee Privacy, American Values, and the Law*, 72 *CHI.-KENT L. REV.* 221 (1996). Copyright © 1996 Chicago-Kent Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Freeman, Richard B., *What Will a 10% . . . 50% . . . 100% Increase in the Minimum Wage Do?*, 48 *IND. & LAB. REL. REV.* 830 (1995). Copyright © 1995 Industrial & Labor Relations Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Freeman, Richard & Medoff, James L., *WHAT DO UNIONS DO?* 7-9 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by Basic Books, Inc. Reprinted with permission of Basic Books, Inc., a member of Perseus Books, L.L.C.

Greenfield, Kent, *The Unjustified Absence of Federal Fraud Protection in the Labor Market*, 107 *YALE L.J.* 715 (1997). Reprinted with permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 107, pages 715-789.

Hyde, Alan, *WORKING IN SILICON VALLEY: ECONOMIC AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF A HIGH-VELOCITY LABOR MARKET* 31 (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe 2003). Copyright © 2003 M.E. Sharpe. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Issacharoff, Samuel, *Contracting for Employment: The Limited Return of the Common Law*, 74 *TEX. L. REV.* 1783 (1996). Copyright © 1996 Texas Law Review Association. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Karst, Kenneth L., *The Coming Crisis of Work in Constitutional Perspective*, 82 *CORNELL L. REV.* 523 (1997). Copyright © 1997 Cornell University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Kim, Pauline T., *Bargaining with Imperfect Information: A Study of Worker Perceptions of Legal Protection in an At-Will World*, 83 *CORNELL L. REV.* 105 (1997). Copyright © 1997 Cornell University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.



Kim, Pauline T., *Collective and Individual Approaches to Protecting Employee Privacy: The Experience with Workplace Drug Testing*, 66 LA. L. REV. 1009 (2006). Copyright © 2006. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Kohler, Thomas C., *The Employment Relation and Its Ordering at Century's End: Reflections on Emerging Trends in the United States*, 41 B.C. L. REV. 103 (1999). Copyright © 1999 Boston College Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Larson, Arthur. *The Nature and Origins of Workmen's Compensation*, 37 CORNELL L.Q. 206, 231–33 (1952). All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Lester, Gillian. *The Unemployment Insurance and Wealth Redistribution*, 49 UCLA L. REV. 335, 341–43 (2001). All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Lobel, Jules, *Losers, Fools & Prophets: Justice as Struggle*, 80 CORNELL L. REV. 1331 (1995). Copyright © 1995 Cornell University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Malamud, Deborah C., *Engineering the Middle Classes: Class Line-Drawing in New Deal Hours Legislation*, 96 MICH. L. REV. 2212 (1998). Copyright © 1998 the Michigan Law Review Association. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Matheny, Ken & Crain, Marion, *Disloyal Workers and the "Un-American" Labor Law*, 82 N.C. L. REV. 1705 (2004). Copyright © 2004 North Carolina Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Miller, Scott D., *Revitalizing the FLSA*, 19 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 1 (2001). Copyright © 2001 Hofstra Labor & Employment Law Journal. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Morris, Charles J., *NLRB Protection in the Nonunion Workplace: A Glimpse at a General Theory of Section 7 Conduct*, 137 U. PA. L. REV. 1673 (1989). Copyright © 1989 University of Pennsylvania Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission of The University of Pennsylvania Law Review and William S. Hein Company.

Ramirez, Steven A., *Diversity and the Boardroom*, 6 STAN. J.L. BUS. & FIN. 85 (2000). Copyright © 2000. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT OF AGENCY. Copyright © 1957 by the American Law Institute. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS. Copyright © 1981 by the American Law Institute. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS. Copyright © 1977 by the American Law Institute. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Rogers, Brishen, *Justice at Work: Minimum Wage Laws and Social Equality*, 92 TEX. L. REV. 1543 (2014). Copyright © 2014. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Schultz, Vicki, *Life's Work*, 100 COLUM. L. REV. 1881, 1882, 1890-93, 1939-40 (2000). Copyright © 2000 Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Schwab, Stewart J., *Wrongful Discharge Law and the Search for Third-Party Effects*, 74 TEX. L. REV. 1943 (1996). Copyright © 1996 Texas Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Schwartz, David S., *Mandatory Arbitration and Fairness*, 84 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1247 (2009). Copyright© 2009. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Singer, Joseph William, *The Reliance Interest in Property*, 40 STAN. L. REV. 611 (1988). Copyright © 1988 The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Slawson, W. David, *Unilateral Contracts of Employment: Does Contract Law Conflict With Public Policy?*, 10 TEX. WESLEYAN L. REV. 9, 30-31 (2003). Copyright © Texas Wesleyan Law Review and W. David Slawson. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Summers, Clyde W., *The Contract of Employment and the Rights of Individual Employees: Fair Representation and Employment at Will*, 52 FORDHAM L. REV. 1082 (1984). Copyright © 1984 Fordham Law Review and Clyde W. Summers. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Summers, Clyde W., *The Privatization of Personal Freedom and Enrichment of Democracy: Some Lessons From Labor Law*, 1996 U. ILL. L. REV. 689. Copyright © 1996 University of Illinois Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

*U.S. Steel Demolition* (photograph). Reprinted from The Vindicator, © 2002 The Vindicator Printing Company.

Van Wezel Stone, Katherine, *Mandatory Arbitration of Individual Employment Rights: The Yellow Dog Contract of the 1990s*; 73 DENV. U. L. REV. 1017 (1996). Copyright © 1996 Denver University Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Van Wezel Stone, Katherine, *The Legacy of Industrial Pluralism: The Tension Between Individual Employment Rights and the New Deal Collective Bargaining System*, 59 U. CHI. L. REV. 575 (1992). Copyright © 1992 University of Chicago Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Verkerke, J. Hoult, *Legal Regulation of Employment References*, 65 U. CHI. L. REV. 115 (1998). Copyright © 1998 University of Chicago Law Review. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Wagner, Robert F., *The Ideal Industrial State-As Wagner Sees It*, N.Y. TIMES MAG., May 9, 1937, at 23.

Witt, John Fabian. *THE ACCIDENTAL REPUBLIC: CRIPPLED WORKINGMEN, DESTITUTE WIDOWS, AND THE REMAKING OF AMERICAN LAW 2* (2004). Copyright © 2004 Harvard University Press and the author. Reprinted with permission.

# Preface

---

The law of work has evolved as a patchwork of legal interventions in the labor market, sometimes by statute, and sometimes through the common law of judicial decisions. Most law school curricula divide the law of work into three topical areas—Labor Law, Employment Law, and Employment Discrimination—and offer separate courses in each area. Labor law in the United States is understood to encompass the study of the National Labor Relations Act, the law governing union organizing and collective bargaining. It is the law of collective rights at work. Employment law refers to the statutes and common law governing individual rights at work. It ranges from minimum standards legislation to judicially created doctrines based in tort and contract law. Employment discrimination law deals with the statutes and interpretative case law advancing the antidiscrimination norm in the workplace. These statutes address the problem of status discrimination at work (e.g., discrimination on the basis of race, sex, national origin, ethnicity, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, or gender identity).

Regulation of the employment relation defies such categorical thinking, however. The law has struggled with the tension between the desire to leave things to private contract and market forces, and the impulse to intervene to redress inequitable results occasioned by the imbalance of power between employees and employers. In some areas freedom of contract principles have prevailed. In other areas the law has considered it essential to extend protection to employees to act collectively in dealing with the employer, as in the labor laws. Another prevalent response has been government legislation to afford minimum standards of protection to all workers, and to prohibit discrimination against those historically disadvantaged in the American labor market, such as people of color, women, and persons with disabilities. These legal regimes overlap and relate to one another in complex ways that are obscured by categorical study.

We believe that acquaintance with historical context and the multiple legal structures governing the workplace is vital for today's lawyers. Historical legal context affords important insights about how the law may evolve in the future. Understanding the story behind the decline of labor unions and labor law provides critical assistance in understanding and evaluating individual employee rights. Minimum standards legislation was established partly because gaps in union representation left many workers unprotected at the workplace. Antidiscrimination law responded to union failures to represent the rights of subordinated groups. Is there any logical stopping point to this progression? As each new right is conceptualized and political

momentum builds for legal protection, will laws continue to proliferate? Similarly, as groups of employees previously excluded from protection against discrimination press for legal agency, will new employment discrimination statutes be enacted? Where is the sunset? Yet at the same time, the emergence of an increasingly global economy militates in favor of more flexibility for U.S. employers attempting to compete in an international market. Will employers block new protective legislation? Will legislative impasse ensue, as it ultimately did in the area of labor law? What, then, might evolve to replace individual statutory rights?

A comprehensive study of the law of work also provides an opportunity to assess critically what form enforcement of rights should take. Should conflicts between employers and employees be channeled into private resolution systems such as collective bargaining or contractual arbitration, or is the public interest sufficient to justify committing administrative and judicial resources to it? What is the significance of casting employee rights as collective—and therefore entrusting their enforcement to an employee representative such as a union—versus conceptualizing them as individual? Doesn't history also warn of the risks of subordinating individual interests to those of the collective, particularly in the context of a diverse workforce with minority groups characterized by race, ethnicity or gender?

Accordingly, we have denominated this text “Work Law” and endeavor here to present basic materials on each system of labor market regulation. We identify core themes of conflict and concern in the workplace, canvass the governing law, and offer a vantage point for assessment. Several themes furnish the organizing structure for the book. We ask how law should mediate the perennial conflict between employer and employee rights; what difference it makes whether employee rights are conceptualized individually or collectively; what significance the increasing racial, ethnic, and gender diversity of the workforce should have for legal policy; and whether workplace rights should be negotiated privately (via collective bargaining or individual contract) or should be determined in public fora (courts and legislatures).

The book will be most useful in Employment Law courses that address the significance of conceptualizing rights at work individually as opposed to collectively. Its strength, we believe, is its refusal to categorize the law of the workplace in doctrinal boxes that may be out-of-date by the time the book reaches maturity. We advert to Labor Law principles at a number of points throughout the book, but at a policy level rather than a doctrinal level, as a way of introducing and evaluating an alternative model of employee representation; we assume no knowledge of Labor Law on the part of teacher or student and make no effort here to provide a satisfactory substitute for a Labor Law text. We offer some detail in the law of Employment Discrimination but do so primarily with an eye toward surveying the field and assessing antidiscrimination regulation as a response to an increasingly diverse workforce, rather than providing an in-depth study of Employment Discrimination principles.

This text surveys the existing legal landscape, but it does not stop there. Work Law is an exciting and intellectually stimulating practice area because it is of necessity in a constant state of flux, responding to labor market innovations.

Flexibility in thinking is vital to this area of practice. We urge students to reject traditional rigid categories and to ask: what new paths might emerge from a holistic conception of Work Law, and the demolition of categorical divides between Labor Law, Employment Law, and Employment Discrimination Law? Toward that end, we offer the following specific questions as a guide for study. We suggest that professors and students consider them in each area covered throughout the course:

1. Which worker desires predominate in this area? Which employer desires predominate? What public interests, if any, are at stake? In short, what is the justification for law to enter the market in this area?

2. What form has the law assumed in this area: e.g., statutory, common law, agency regulation/decisionmaking?

A. What is the substance of the law?

B. Who is covered by it (how are covered employees defined, which employers are covered)?

C. What are the catalysts for change and is the law in this area receptive to change?

3. What remedies does the law offer for the harms/problems it conceptualizes? Are they adequate?

A. How is the law enforced and what are the enforcement mechanisms? Who enforces it (e.g., watchdog system or individuals, agency or union, etc.)? Is the law enforced by federal or state authorities?

B. What is the interaction between systems of law addressing a given area (e.g. collective bargaining and individual statutory rights; federal vs. state regulation)?

C. Is adjudication private or public? What is the significance of this choice?

4. What extralegal alternatives are available? What human resource strategies have employers developed to manage their workforces? What self-help strategies do employees utilize, both individually and collectively? Are they adequate?

We are grateful to the many other authors whose work graces these pages, for permission to use their words to tell the story of Work Law. We also owe thanks to all who have assisted us in our day-to-day work on this project. We offer special thanks to Washington University School of Law, Arizona State College of Law and Temple University Beasley School of Law for providing research support; to our hardworking and enthusiastic student research assistants Mike Birnkrandt, Ayana Bowman, Adam Hall, Jack Hensler, Theanne Liu, Brian Murphy, Drew Schendt, and Joseph Tomchak; and to Beverly Owens, who expertly provided administrative and clerical support.

We have included relevant statutory provisions at appropriate points in the text for convenience; accordingly, use of a Statutory Supplement is not necessary. We chose to edit the cases according to the following conventions: parallel citations

and other distracting material have been removed to make the cases as readable as possible. Extraneous detail was omitted to conserve space and focus attention on the issues in the principal cases we included, using ellipses to indicate all deletions of text other than footnotes or citations to authority. Original footnote numbers for included footnotes are shown in brackets at the outset of the footnote. We conformed to bluebook citation format wherever possible to ensure consistency, but ignored the rules when they were silly or unhelpful.

We hope you enjoy this journey through the law of work!

Marion Crain  
Pauline Kim  
Mike Selmi  
Brishen Rogers