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Electronic Research Resources for International Law

There are an ever-expanding number of sites for conducting international law research electronically. It is fair to say that the availability of international law materials electronically has revolutionized research in international law. The American Society of International Law’s web site has a number of links to various forms of electronic resources: www.asil.org/resources/eresources. Many law schools also maintain useful web sites. Go to the particular law school’s web site and click on Library. The United Nations’ web site, www.un.org, will connect you to a huge amount of international law information including the United Nations’ Treaty Collection, a Research Guide, and information on everything from International Courts to Peace and Security, from Development to Human Rights and Humanitarian Affairs. The web site of the International Committee of the Red Cross: www.icrc.org, will connect you to materials on war and law and their resource center is unparalleled in this area of law. Virtually every international organization, whether governmental or non-governmental, now maintains a web site explaining its work and providing much useful information. Any major search engine will bring you to these web sites by typing in the name of the organization.