

WHAT *IS* IN A NAME?

WHAT IS IN A NAME?

*Taxation and Regulation
across Constitutional Domains*

Mark G. Kelman

JAMES C. GAITHER PROFESSOR OF LAW AND VICE DEAN
STANFORD LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2019
Mark G. Kelman
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-1472-8
e-ISBN 978-1-5310-1473-5

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Kelman, Mark G., 1951, author.

Title: What is in a name? : taxation and regulation across constitutional domains / by Mark G. Kelman.

Description: Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, LLC, 2019. |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019010028 | ISBN 9781531014728 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Taxation--Law and legislation--Political aspects--United States. | Fiscal policy--United States. | Constitutional law--United States.

Classification: LCC KF6289 .K456 2019 | DDC 343.7304/3--dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2019010028>

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

*To my lifelong friend John Roberts
and the memory of our dear mutual friend Fred Dietz*

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	x
TABLE OF CASES	xiii
1 INTRODUCTION	3
2 FREE EXERCISE CONCERNS	27
I. The Conceptual Schema and the Treatment of Taxes	27
A. The General Legal Background and Analytical Framework	27
B. <i>Lee</i> and Taxpaying Duties	40
II. Objective v. Subjective Views of Substantial Burden	52
A. Subjectivism, Qualified Subjectivism, and Objectivism	52
B. The Routine Rejection of Subjectivism in Evaluating Claims of Injury	58
C. Why Might Subjectivism Be Appropriate in Free Exercise Cases Even If It Is Generally Inappropriate?	88
III. How to Think About the Tax/Regulation Distinction in the Free Exercise Context	98
A. Requirements to Contribute Fungible Resources	98
B. Prohibited and Mandated Conduct and Special Issues That Arise When Taxation and Regulation Are Poor Substitutes (“Pure Regulations”)	104

3	TAKINGS AND EQUAL PROTECTION IN TAXATION	115
	I. Introduction	115
	II. Protecting Against the Unfair Distribution of Burdens: Takings Clause and Equal Protection Approaches	121
	A. The Basic Horizontal Equity Issue	121
	B. Taxes, “Proper Regulations,” and “Taxation in Regulation Clothing”	131
	C. When Are “Proper Regulations” Nonetheless Compensable Takings?	143
	1. Supplementing Concerns with Horizontal Equity with a Categorical Scheme	143
	2. Per Se Takings (I): <i>Loretto</i> and Title Seizure or Physical Dispossession	146
	3. Per Se Takings (II): <i>Lucas</i> and the Deprivation of All Economically Viable Use	151
	4. Ad Hoc Balancing Tests of Ordinary Regulations Under <i>Penn Central</i>	155
	a. Integrating the Standard Understanding of the <i>Penn Central</i> Test with the <i>Pennell</i> Dissent	156
	b. Excess Regulatory Burden: Integrating <i>Dolan</i> , the <i>Pennell</i> Dissent, and the <i>Penn Central</i> Balancing Test	160
	i. <i>Nollan</i> is to <i>Dolan</i> as the <i>Pennell</i> Dissent is to...?	161
	ii. Investment Backed Expectations	166
	iii. Morally Innocent Co-Authors of Social Costs	171
	D. When Are “Taxes in Regulation Clothing” Permissible Without Compensation?	174
	1. Equal Protection in Taxation: Existing Doctrine	175
	2. How <i>Should</i> We Adjudicate Equal Protection Challenges to Taxes?	181
	3. Should “Taxes in Regulation Clothing” Be Reviewed Less Deferentially than Explicit Taxes?	192
4	CONGRESSIONAL POWER	199
	I. Introduction: What Is the Problem We Are Trying to Solve?	199

II. Chief Justice Roberts's Controlling Opinion in <i>NFIB</i> and Its Discontents: Administering a Conduct-Controlling Regulation through the Mechanisms Associated with Tax Administration	213
III. Should the Capacity to Tax Implicitly Be More Circumscribed than the Power to Tax Explicitly?	221
5 CONCLUSION	235
TABLE OF AUTHORS	249
INDEX	251

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Far and away my greatest debt is owed to Ashley Robertson. Ashley was my research assistant during her third year here at Stanford Law School and did a terrific job doing all the usual research assistant tasks I needed her to do as I dug into this project. But the truth is, she was far more involved in the substance of the work than an RA would typically be. In fact, left to my own devices, I would have listed her as a co-author, but she ultimately decided that she had not done enough for that to be appropriate. At any rate, she made many substantial substantive contributions to the book. Her contributions were particularly significant to the analysis of the Chief Justice's controlling opinion in *NFIB* (Chapter Four) and to the analysis of *Winn* in the same chapter; to the analysis of "moralism" in Takings law and the *Armour* case on Equal Protection in taxation (Chapter Three); and both to the relationship of speech and free exercise cases and concepts and efforts to distinguish penalizing from benefit-conferring statutes that arguably interfere with a religious observer's desires to practice his or her faith (Chapter Two). She had less control over the content of the book of course, than a full-blown co-author would have had so that the usual disclaimers—she is *not* responsible for errors or lapses in judgment—are especially fitting here. I am also grateful to Ryan Daniels for more conventional (and quite excellent) research assistance.

A number of my colleagues, at Stanford and elsewhere, gave me very helpful feedback while I was working on the book. I am especially grateful to Pam Karlan, Bernie Meyler, Jane Schacter, Mark Storslee and, above all, to Jud Campbell in that regard. There are innumerable students in my

Property classes over the years who have helped me to clarify the ways in which I understand Takings law, and students in the Antidiscrimination class taught by my colleagues Michelle Anderson and Rich Ford, as well as Michelle and Rich themselves, sharpened my thinking about the perceived and genuine conflicts between Free Exercise-protective and antidiscrimination regimes.

As always, I am thankful that I work in such an intellectually supportive environment. For a number of years, I've done very little academic work that I would describe as significantly court-centric in the ways that this book is. One of the things I like and admire so much about Stanford Law School is that I think we value and nurture a very wide variety of projects; I felt I got tremendous support when I was doing interdisciplinary work (in law and economics, law and political theory, law and psychology) and equally enthusiastic support when I was working on this book. Many folks here, particularly the last two deans I have worked with so closely, Larry Kramer and Liz Magill, as well as Paul Brest, the first dean I worked with, deserve a lot of the credit for creating and preserving that environment.

As always, too, my deepest debts are to my wife, Ann Richman, and two sons, Nick and Jake. Since I last had the chance to express gratitude to family in print, we have added (or are about to add) two amazing daughters-in-law to the family, Jessica Spradling Kelman and Eleanor Anthony, and they have unquestionably made a very good life much better.

TABLE OF CASES

- Abate v. Walton*, 77 F.3d 488, 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 624, at *14 (9th Cir. Jan. 5, 1996) (unpublished table decision) 52n
- Abbey Road Group, LLC v. City of Bonney Lake*, 218 P.3d 180, 182–183 (Wash. 2009) 169n
- Adams v. Comm’r*, 170 F.3d 173 (3d Cir. 1999) 45n
- Adams v. Star Enterprises*, 51 F.3d 417 (4th Cir. 1995) 69n
- Agins v. Tiburon*, 447 U.S. 255 (1980) 166n
- Allegheny Pittsburgh Coal Co. v Webster County*, 488 U.S. 336 (1989) 125, 125n, 126, 178, 178n
- Anthony v. Commissioner*, 66 T.C. 367 (1976) 9n
- Arizona Christian School Tuition Organization v. Winn*, 563 U.S. 125 (2011) 208–209n
- Armour v. City of Indianapolis, Indiana*, 566 U.S. 673 (2012) 125–126, 126n, 179–181, 179n, 180n, 181n
- Armstrong v. United States*, 364 U.S. 40 (1960) 122, 122n
- Attorney-General v. Pearson*, 3 Mer 353, Eng R. 645, 36 ER 135 (1817) 57n
- Autenrieth v. Cullen*, 418 F.2d 586 (9th Cir. 1969) 9n
- Babbitt v. Youpee*, 519 U.S. 234 (1997) 146–147n
- Backun v. United States*, 112 F.2d 136 (4th Cir. 1940) 44n
- Bedder v. Director of Public Prosecutions*, 1 W.L.R. 119 (1954) 60n
- Bethel Baptist Church v. United States*, 822 F.2d 1334, 1336–37 (3rd Cir. 1987) 9–10n
- Bradley v. Am. Smelting & Refining Co.*, 635 F. Supp. 1154 (W.D. Wash. 1986) 69n
- Braunfield v. Brown*, 366 U.S. 599 (1961) 54–55n

- Brown v. Roy*, 476 U.S. 693
(1986) 39n
- Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*
573 U.S. ___, 134 S. Ct. 2751
(2014) 11–12n, 29–30, 33n, 38,
43, 47–48, 53n, 102n, 111n
- Califano v. Goldfarb*, 430 U.S.
199 (1977) 177n
- Citizens United v. FEC*, 588 U.S.
310 (2010) 90n
- City of Renton v. Playtime
Theatres, Inc.* 475 U.S. 41
(1986) 60–61
- Colorado v. Connelly*, 479 U.S.
157 (1986) 60n
- Comptroller of Treasury of Md. v.
Wynne*, 575 U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct.
1787, 1792 (2015) 177n, 184n
- Congregation of Emurath Israel v.
N.Y. City Off-Track Betting
Corp.*, 330 N.Y.S.2d 895 (Sup.
Ct. 1972) 68–69n
- Crawford v. Marion Cnty.
Election Bd.*, 553 U.S. 181
(2008) 59n
- Crooker v. California*, 357 U.S.
433 (1958) 60n
- Culombe v. Connecticut*, 367 U.S.
568 (1961) 60n, 94n
- Dolan v. City of Tigard*, 512 U.S.
374 (1994) 11n, 12n, 145,
145n, 155–156, 156n, 161,
163, 163n, 165–166n, 173–
174, 174n
- Dole v. Shenandoah Baptist
Church*, 899 F.2d 1389 (4th
Cir. 1990) 102n, 103n
- Egnal v. Commissioner*, 65 T.C.
255 (1975) 9n
- Eisenstadt v. Baird*, 405 U.S. 438
(1972) 98n
- Employment Division v. Smith*,
494 U.S. 872 (1990) 17–18, 99n
- Farmer v. Rountree*, 149 F. Supp.
327 (M.D. Tenn. 1956) 9n
- First v. Commissioner*, 547 F.2d
45 (7th Cir. 1976) 9n
- Fitzgerald v. Racing Association of
Central Iowa*, 539 U.S. 103
(2003) 183–184n
- Flaust v. Cohen*, 262 U.S. 83
(1968) 208n
- Gillete v. United States*, 401 U.S.
437 (1971) 10n
- Green v. White*, 525 F. Supp. 81
(E.D. Mo. 1981) 52n
- Greenberg v. Commissioner*, 73
T.C. 806, 810–811 (1980) 9n
- Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S.
479 (1965) 98n
- Haire v. Florida Dep’t of Agricul-
tural & Consumer Services*,
870 So. 2d 774 (Fla. 2004)
173n
- Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.*,
510 U.S. 17 (1993) 58n
- Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v.
Unites States*, 379 U.S. 241
(1964) 149n
- Hein v. Freedom from Religion
Foundation, Inc.*, 551 U.S. 587
(2007) 208n
- Helvering v. Davis*, 301 U.S. 619
(1937) 204n

- Herby v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 1978–119 9n
- Hobbie v. Unemployment Appeals Comm’n of Fla.*, 480 U.S. 136 (1987) 64–65n
- Hodel v. Irving*, 481 U.S. 794 (1987) 146n, 171n
- Holloway v. United States*, 526 U.S. 1 (1999) 113n
- Hooper v. Bonnadillio County Assessor*, 472 U.S. 612 (1985) 177n
- Horne v. Department of Agriculture*, 576 U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct. 2419 (2015) 145, 145n, 156n
- Hylton v. United States*, 3 Dall. 171 (1796) 213n
- In re Millenberg Estate*, 737 N.W. 2d 513, 519 (Mich. Ct. App. 2007) 177n
- J.D.B. v. North Carolina*, 564 U.S. 261 (2011) 60n
- Jenkins v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, 906 S.W.2d 460 (Tenn. Ct. App. 1995) 69
- Jones v. Wolf*, 443 U.S. 395, 402 (1979) 57n
- Kahn v. Shevin*, 416 U.S. 351 (1974) 177n
- Kaiser Aetna v. United States*, 444 U.S. 164, 175 (1979) 148, 148n, 155n
- Kalish v. United States*, 411 F.2d 606 (9th Cir. 1969) 9n
- King v. Burwell*, 576 U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct. 2480 (2015) 208n
- Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Management District*, 570 U.S. 595 (2013) 11n, 12n, 13n, 145, 145n, 165n, 174n, 191–192
- Kyllo v. United States*, 533 U.S. 27 (2001) 59n
- Layton v. Yankee Caithness Joint Venture*, 774 F. Supp. 576 (D. Nev. 1991) 69n
- License Tax Cases*, 5 Wall 462 (1867) 204n
- Lingle v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc.*, 544 U.S. 528 (2005) 145, 145n, 166n
- Locke v. Davey*, 540 U.S. 712 (2004) 54n
- Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.*, 458 U.S. 419 (1982) 145, 145n, 148, 148n, 149–161, 149n, 152
- Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, 505 U.S. 1003 (1992) 143–144n, 144n, 151–155, 151n, 158–160, 167, 167n, 171n, 173, 173n
- Lull v. Commissioner*, 602 F.2d 1166 (4th Cir. 1979) 9n
- Lynn Open Air Theatre, Inc. v. Sea Crest Cadillac-Pontiac*, 294 N.E.2d 473 (Mass. App. Ct. 1973) 68n
- Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission*, 584 U.S. ___, 138 S. Ct. 1719 (2018) 17, 17n
- McCullen v. Coakley*, 573 U.S. ___, 134 S. Ct. 2518 (2014) 61–63

- McCullen v. Coakley*, 708 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2013) 62n
- McCullen v. Coakley*, 844 F. Supp. 2d 206, 221–22 (D. Mass. 2012) 62n
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Ward*, 470 U.S. 869 (1985) 176n
- Miller v. Schoene* 278 U.S. 271 (1928) 137–138, 137n, 172–173
- Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minn. Commissioner of Revenue*, 460 U.S. 575 (1983) 176n
- Mugler v. Kansas*, 123 U.S. 623 (1887) 172, 172n
- Murr v. Wisconsin*, 582 U.S. ___, 137 S. Ct. 1933 (2017) 152–153n
- Muste v. Commissioner*, 35 T.C. 913 (1961) 9n
- National Federation of Independent Businesses v. Sebelius*, 567 U.S. 519 (2012) 6, 26, 201, 203–204, 204n, 206–210, 207n, 213–221, 213n, 214n, 215n, 216n, 217n, 219n, 220n, 225, 225n, 246–247
- Nelson v. United States*, 796 F.2d 164 (6th Cir. 1986) 10n
- New York v. United States*, 565 U.S. 144 (1992) 221–222, 222n, 227–228n
- Nollan v. California Coastal Commission*, 483 U.S. 825 (1987) 11n, 12n, 145, 145n, 148–149n, 156–157n, 161–163, 162n, 175, 175–176n
- Nordlinger v. Hahn*, 505 U.S. 1 (1992) 178
- Norwood v. Baker*, 172 U.S. 269 (1898) 12–13n
- Ochs v. Thalacker*, 90 F.3d 293 (8th Cir. 1996) 52n
- Packard v. United States*, 7 F. Supp. 2d 143 (D. Conn. 1998) 45n
- Palazzollo v. Rhode Island*, 533 U.S. 606 (2001) 170, 170n
- Payne v. Arkansas*, 356 U.S. 560 (1958) 60n, 94n
- Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City*, 496 U.S. 104 (1978) 138, 138n, 146n, 151, 156–160, 165n, 166, 170, 171
- Pennell v. City of San Jose*, 485 U.S. 1 (1988) 23n, 118–119, 134, 134n, 135–136, 136n, 139, 139n, 143–144, 144n, 155, 161, 175, 175–176, 185–187, 191–192, 192–194, 194n, 226–227
- People v. Page*, 193 Ill. 2d 120 (2000) 72n
- Pietsch v. President*, 434 F.2d 861 (2d Cir. 1970) 9n
- Presbyterian Church v. Mary Elizabeth Blue Hill Presbyterian Church*, 393 U.S. 440 (1969) 57n
- Printz v. United States*, 521 U.S. 898 (1997) 221–222, 222n, 227–228n

- PruneYard Shopping Center v. Robins*, 447 U.S. 74 (1980) 123n, 149n
- Pumpelly v. Green Bay Co.*, 80 U.S. 166 (1872) 146n
- Roberts v. U.S. Jaycees*, 468 U.S. 609 (1984) 111n
- Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) 98n
- Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto*, 467 U.S. 986 (1984) 170–171
- Russell v. Commissioner*, 60 T.C. 942 (1973) 9n
- Saxbe v. Washington Post Co.*, 417 U.S. 843 (1974) 90n
- Scheide v. Commissioner*, 65 T.C. 455 (1975) 9n
- Scheg v. Agway, Inc.* 645 N.Y.S.2d 667 (App. Div. 1996) 69n
- Scott County v. Paaske*, 98 N.W.2d 827, 831 (Iowa 1959) 169n
- Serbian Eastern Orthodox Diocese v. Milivojovich*, 426 U.S. 696 (1976) 57n
- Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398 (1963) 9n, 17
- Smith v. Kansas Gas Service Co.*, 169 P.3d 1052 (Kan. 2007) 69n
- Smith v. Maryland*, 442 U.S. 735 (1979) 59n
- Snyder v. Phelps*, 562 U.S. 443 (2011) 89n
- Sonzinsky v. United States*, 300 U.S. 506, 513 (1937) 217n
- Spano v. New York*, 360 U.S. 315 (1959) 60n, 94n
- State v. Leidholm*, 334 N.W.2d 811 (N.D. 1983) 60n
- Strauder v. State of West Virginia*, 106 U.S. 303, 306 (1880) 188n
- Swanner v. Anchorage Equal Rights Commission*, 474 P.2d. 274 (Alaska 1994) 111n
- Texas v. United States of America*, 4 BCV00167 (N.D. Tex.), filed Feb. 26, 2018 201–203
- The Child Labor Tax Case (Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.)*, 259 U.S. 20 (1920) 204n
- Thomas v. Review Bd. of Indiana Employment Security Div.*, 450 U.S. 707 (1981) 38–39n, 53n
- Tony and Susan Alamo Foundation v. Secretary of Labor*, 471 U.S. 290 (1985) 103n
- United States v. Butler*, 297 U.S. 1 (1936) 204n
- United States v. Constantine*, 296 U.S. 287 (1935) 204n
- United States v. Demik*, 489 F.3d 644 (5th Cir. 2007) 59n
- United States v. Kahriger*, 345 U.S. 22 (1953) 217–218
- United States v. Kuch*, 288 F. Supp. 439 (D.D.C. 1968) 53n
- United States v. Lee*, 455 U.S. 252 (1982) 9n, 28n, 40–42, 46–51, 210n
- United States v. Manneh*, 645 F. Supp. 2d 98, 100 (E.D.N.Y. 2008) 53n
- United States v. O'Brien*, 391 U.S. 367 (1968) 111n

- United States v. Peoni*, 100 F.2d 401 (2d Cir. 1938) 44n
- United States v. Quaintance*, 608 F.3d 717 (10th Cir. 2008) 53n
- United States v. Sanchez*, 540 U.S. 42(1950) 217n
- United States v. Winddancer*, 435 F. Supp. 2d 687 (M.D. Tenn. 2006) 53n
- University of Notre Dame v. Burwell*, 743 F.3d 547, 556 (7th Cir. 2014) 10n, 32n
- Vermont Soc. of Ass'n Execs v. Milne*, 779 A.2d 26, 28 (2001) 176n
- Waitzkin v. Comm'r*, 42 T.C.M. (CCH) 29, 31 (1981) 9n
- Watson v. Smith*, 80 U.S. (13 Wall) 679, 727 (1871) 57n
- Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205 (1972) 9n, 54n
- Yee v. City of Escondido, California*, 503 U.S. 519 (1992) 149n
- Young v. St. Martin's Church*, 64 A.2d 814 (Pa. 1949) 68n
- Zahedi v. Pinnock*, 844 F. Supp. 574 (S.D. Cal. 1993) 165n
- Zobel v. Williams*, 457 U.S. 55 (1982) 177n
- Zubik v. Burwell*, 576 U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 1557 (2016) 32n, 33n, 40n, 53n, 96–97, 111–113n