

Securities Litigation

Securities Litigation

Law, Policy, and Practice

SECOND EDITION

Marc I. Steinberg

RADFORD PROFESSOR OF LAW
SMU DEDMAN SCHOOL OF LAW

Wendy Gerwick Couture

JAMES E. WILSON DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO COLLEGE OF LAW

Michael J. Kaufman

DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Daniel J. Morrissey

PROFESSOR OF LAW AND FORMER DEAN
GONZAGA UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2021
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-1547-3
eISBN 978-1-5310-1548-0
LCCN 2021936910

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
E-mail: cap@cap-press.com
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Contents

Table of Principal Cases	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
Chapter 1 • Introduction to Securities Litigation	3
§ 1.01 The Role of Securities Litigation	3
§ 1.02 The Players in Securities Litigation	8
[A] Investors	8
[B] Businesses	10
[C] Officers and Directors	10
[D] Underwriters	11
[E] Accountants	11
[F] Attorneys	12
[G] Securities Industry Professionals	12
[H] Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”)	13
[I] Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”)	13
[J] State Securities Regulators	15
[K] United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”)	16
[L] State Prosecutors	16
§ 1.03 The Laws Governing Securities Litigation	16
[A] Federal Securities Statutes and Regulations	16
[B] State Securities and Business Organization Statutes and Regulations	21
[C] Case Law	22
§ 1.04 Recent Examples of Securities Litigation	23
§ 1.05 Analytical Framework for the Study of Securities Litigation	27
Chapter 2 • Meaning of “Security”	29
§ 2.01 Overview	29
§ 2.02 Failure to Recognize a “Security”— Counsel’s Exposure <i>Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd.</i>	33
Note	35
§ 2.03 Notes—Are They Securities? <i>Reves v. Ernst & Young</i>	35
Note	36
§ 2.04 Focus on “Investment Contracts”	42
[A] Interests in Joint Ventures and General Partnerships <i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Shields</i>	43
	44

[B] Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) Interests	47
[C] Limited Partnership Interests	48
[D] Limited Liability Company (LLC) Interests	51
<i>United States v. Leonard</i>	51
[E] The Aggregation Approach—Property Interests Combined with Service Agreements	55
<i>Alumni v. Development Resources Group, LLC</i>	56
Note	63
[F] Digital Assets	63
Framework for “Investment Contract” Analysis of Digital Assets	63
§ 2.05 Scenario	69
Chapter 3 • Section 11 of the Securities Act	71
§ 3.01 Introduction to the Securities Act of 1933	71
§ 3.02 Civil Liability under the 1933 Act	73
§ 3.03 Overview of Section 11 Liability	74
[A] “Acquirers” of Securities under Section 11 and “Tracing”	76
<i>Lee v. Ernst & Young LLP</i>	76
Note	81
[B] False Facts, Material Omissions, Opinions and the <i>Omnicare</i> Decision	82
<i>Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund</i>	82
Note	90
[C] Materiality under Section 11	92
§ 3.04 Section 11 Affirmative Defenses	93
[A] The Due Diligence Defenses	93
<i>Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation</i>	95
Note	113
<i>In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	118
Note	137
[B] The Negative Causation Defense and Damages	138
[C] The Statute of Limitations Defense	142
<i>CALPERS v. ANZ Securities, Inc.</i>	142
§ 3.05 Scenario	152
Chapter 4 • Section 12 of the Securities Act	155
§ 4.01 Overview of Section 12	155
§ 4.02 The Elements of Liability under Section 12(a)(1)	155
[A] Section 12 Sellers	156
<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	156
Note	164
[B] Solicitation	165
[C] Success	166

[D] Motive	166
§ 4.03 The Elements of Section 12(a)(2) Liability	167
[A] Offer or Sale of a Security	167
[B] Material Misstatement or Half-Truth	167
[C] Prospectus or Oral Communication	168
<i>Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., Inc.</i>	168
Note	176
<i>Brockton Retirement Board v. Oppenheimer Global</i>	177
Note	180
§ 4.04 Crowdfunding	182
§ 4.05 Affirmative Defenses	182
[A] Due Diligence	182
[B] Negative Loss Causation and Damages	183
[C] The Statute of Limitations Period	183
§ 4.06 Procedural Aspects of Section 12 Claims	184
[A] Jurisdiction	184
[B] Pleading Standards	184
§ 4.07 Scenario	185
Chapter 5 • Litigating a Section 10(b) Private Right of Action	187
§ 5.01 The Private Right of Action	187
[A] Availability of Private Right of Action	188
[B] Substantive Elements of the Private Right of Action	190
[C] Procedural Requirements	191
§ 5.02 Connection between the Misrepresentation or Omission and the Purchase or Sale of a Security	193
[A] Purchasers or Sellers	193
<i>Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores</i>	193
Note	201
[B] Holders	202
[C] “In Connection with” Requirement	203
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford</i>	203
Note	207
§ 5.03 Misrepresentation or Omission	208
[A] Manipulation or Deception	209
<i>Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green</i>	209
Note	214
[B] Misrepresentations	216
[C] Omissions	216
[D] Deceptive Conduct	219
§ 5.04 Made by the Defendant	219
§ 5.05 Materiality	224
[A] The Materiality Standard	224
<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	225

Note	230
[B] Contextual Inquiry	231
<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	232
[C] Puffery	239
<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	242
[D] Bespeaks Caution	243
[E] Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements	244
[F] Truth-on-the-Market Doctrine	248
[G] The Hypothetical “Reasonable Person”	249
[H] Timing of the Materiality Determination	251
§ 5.06 Scienter	252
[A] Definition of Scienter	252
<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i>	253
Note	261
[B] Pleading Scienter under the PSLRA	262
<i>Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.</i>	262
Note	269
[C] Pleading and Proving Scienter in Practice	270
<i>Jackson v. Abernathy</i>	274
§ 5.07 Reliance	278
[A] The Presumption of Reliance from Material Omissions	279
[B] The <i>Basic</i> Presumption of Reliance and the Fraud-on-the-Market Theory	281
<i>Basic, Inc. v. Levinson</i>	281
Note	288
<i>Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. (“Halliburton II”)</i>	292
Note	300
[C] <i>Halliburton II</i> and Reliance in Practice	300
§ 5.08 Loss Causation	306
<i>Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo</i>	306
Note	311
§ 5.09 Damages	312
[A] Actual Damages and the Statutory Damages Cap	312
[B] Rescission	313
[C] Special Damages	313
[D] Prejudgment Interest	314
[E] Punitive Damages	314
§ 5.10 Loss Causation and Damages in Practice	314
§ 5.11 Defenses	317
[A] Potential Affirmative Defenses in Securities-Fraud Litigation	317
[B] Timeliness Bars	317
<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds</i>	318
Note	326
[C] The <i>In Pari Delicto</i> Defense	328

<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	328
[D] The Extraterritorial Reach of Rule of 10b-5	330
<i>Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.</i>	330
Note	338
§ 5.12 Scenario	340
[A] Scenario One	340
Note	342
[B] Scenario Two	343
Note	345
Chapter 6 • Secondary Liability and the Reach of Primary Liability	
under Section 10(b)	347
§ 6.01 Overview	347
§ 6.02 Aiding and Abetting	347
<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	348
Note	359
§ 6.03 Distinguishing Primary from Secondary Conduct	361
[A] Rejection of Flexible “Scheme to Defraud Theory”	361
<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific Atlanta, Inc.</i>	361
Note	369
[B] “Makers” of Statements	370
<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	370
Note	379
[C] Primary Liability under Rule 10b-5(a) and (c)	380
<i>Lorenzo v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	380
Note	388
§ 6.04 Conspiracy Liability	389
§ 6.05 Control Person Liability	389
<i>Lusgraff v. Beherns</i>	390
Note	393
§ 6.06 Section 20(b) of the Exchange Act	394
§ 6.07 Respondeat Superior	395
<i>In re Parmalat Securities Litigation</i>	395
Note	397
§ 6.08 Duty to Supervise	398
<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss, and Meriwether</i>	398
§ 6.09 Scenario	398
Chapter 7 • Insider Trading	399
§ 7.01 Introduction	399
§ 7.02 “Material” and “Nonpublic” Information	400
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mayhew</i>	401
Note	403
§ 7.03 Case Examples	406
<i>United States v. Blackwell</i>	407

	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo</i>	410
§ 7.04	The Classical Theory	411
	<i>Chiarella v. United States</i>	412
	Note	418
§ 7.05	The Misappropriation Theory	418
	<i>United States v. O'Hagan</i>	419
	Note	427
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban</i>	429
	Note	434
	<i>United States v. McGee</i>	434
	Note	440
§ 7.06	Tipper-Tippee Liability under Section 10(b)	441
	<i>Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	441
	Note	448
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer</i>	450
	Note	456
§ 7.07	Securities Fraud Criminal Statutes	457
§ 7.08	Rule 14e-3—Tender Offer Insider Trading	460
	<i>United States v. O'Hagan</i>	461
§ 7.09	Miscellaneous Issues	466
	[A] “Possession,” “Use,” or “Aware”	466
	[B] Contemporaneous Traders—Section 20A of the Securities Exchange Act	467
	[C] Damages	468
	[D] Government Remedies and Penalties	468
	[E] Blackout Periods	469
	[F] The STOCK Act	469
	[G] Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act	469
§ 7.10	Scenario	469
Chapter 8 • Alternative Federal Remedies		477
§ 8.01	The Landscape of Securities Remedies	477
§ 8.02	Section 17(a) of the 1933 Act	478
	<i>United States v. Naftalin</i>	479
	Note	483
	<i>Maldonado v. Dominguez</i>	485
§ 8.03	The 1934 Act Remedies for Proxy Misconduct, Tender Offer Fraud, and Short Swing Trading Profits	487
	[A] Proxy Misconduct	487
	[B] Tender Offer Fraud	490
	[C] Section 16(b) of the 1934 Act: The Elements of Section 16(b) Liability	492
	[D] The Measure of Recoverable Profit	493
	[E] Section 16(b) Defendants	494

[F] The Limitations Period Applicable to Claims Under Section 16	494
§ 8.04 Section 9(e) of the 1934 Act's Express Remedy for Manipulation	495
[A] The Elements of Section 9(e) Liability	495
[B] What Is Manipulation?	496
<i>Sullivan & Long, Inc. v. Scattered Corp.</i>	496
Note	502
[C] Causation under Section 9(e)	509
§ 8.05 Section 18(a) of the 1934 Act's Express Remedy for Misstatements in Filed Reports	510
[A] The Elements of Section 18(a) Liability	510
[B] Actual Reliance	511
[C] Causation and Damages	511
[D] Affirmative Defenses	512
[E] Transnational Dealings	512
§ 8.06 The Express Remedies for Voiding Unlawful Contracts	512
[A] The Anti-Waiver Provisions	512
[B] The Contract Voidance Provision of the 1934 Act	514
<i>GFL Advantage Fund, Ltd. v. Colkitt</i>	515
Note	520
§ 8.07 RICO	520
§ 8.08 Indemnification, Contribution and Insurance	521
[A] Indemnity	522
[B] Contribution	524
[C] Contribution from Settling Defendants	525
[D] Indemnification and Contribution Claim Procedure	526
[E] Insuring Securities Fraud Litigation Risk	527
§ 8.09 Charting the Landscape of Securities Remedies	529
§ 8.10 Scenario	530
Chapter 9 • State Securities (“Blue Sky”) Litigation	533
§ 9.01 Introduction	533
§ 9.02 SLUSA Preemption of State Law	534
<i>Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit</i>	534
Note	541
§ 9.03 State Securities Laws—An Overview	542
[A] Primary Liability under the Uniform Securities Acts	543
1. Statutory Framework	543
2. Definition of “Seller”	543
[B] Secondary Liability under the Uniform Securities Acts	544
1. No Participation Required for Liability	545
a. Status Liability—Partners, Officers, and Directors	545
b. Control Person Liability	545
2. Participation Required for Liability—Material Aiders	545
[C] Liability under More “Flexible” State Securities Statutes	546

§ 9.04 Private State Securities Litigation—Key Issues	547
[A] Meaning of “Seller”	547
[B] Reliance and Loss Causation	549
<i>E.F. Hutton & Company, Inc. v. Rouseff</i>	549
Note—“Fraud on the Market” Theory under State Law	552
[C] “Collateral” Actor or Secondary Liability	553
<i>Bennett v. Durham</i>	553
Note	557
<i>Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP</i>	558
<i>Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley</i>	566
§ 9.05 State Common Law	572
§ 9.06 Scenario	573
Chapter 10 • Accountant and Attorney Liability	575
§ 10.01 Introduction	575
§ 10.02 Liability under Section 12 of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act	575
<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	576
<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.</i>	576
<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	576
<i>Lorenzo v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	576
<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	576
<i>Pacific Investment Management Company LLC v. Mayer Brown LLP</i>	578
§ 10.03 Government Enforcement	584
<i>In the Matter of Carter and Johnson</i>	585
Note	591
<i>United States v. Tamman</i>	594
§ 10.04 State Securities Laws	595
<i>Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP</i>	595
<i>Bennett v. Durham</i>	595
Note	595
§ 10.05 Attorney Negligence	596
<i>Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation v. O’Melveny & Meyers</i>	597
§ 10.06 Negligent Misrepresentation	600
<i>Cromeans v. Morgan Keegan & Co., Inc.</i>	602
§ 10.07 Common Law—Aider and Abettor Liability	605
<i>Eurycleia Partners, LP v. Seward & Kissel, LLP</i>	605
§ 10.08 Scenario	607
Chapter 11 • Securities Class Action Practice and Procedure	609
§ 11.01 Securities Claims Asserted as Class Actions	609

§ 11.02 Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Personal Jurisdiction, and Venue	611
[A] Subject Matter Jurisdiction	611
[B] Personal Jurisdiction	612
[C] Venue	613
§ 11.03 Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (PSLRA)	614
[A] Stay of Discovery	615
[B] Complaint Certifications	616
[C] The Selection of Lead Plaintiffs in Securities Class Actions	617
[D] The Notice Requirements of Class Actions	617
[E] Selection of Lead Counsel	617
[F] The Notice Requirements of Securities Class Action Settlements	618
[G] Court Oversight of Class Counsel’s Attorneys’ Fees and Costs	618
[H] Mandatory Rule 11 Findings	618
§ 11.04 Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act (SLUSA)	619
[A] Covered Security	621
[B] Covered Class Action	622
[C] Based on the Statutory or Common Law of Any State	623
[D] “In Connection with the Purchase or Sale” of a Covered Security	624
[E] Exceptions to SLUSA Preclusion	625
§ 11.05 Satisfying Rule 23’s Requirements	626
[A] Satisfying Rule 23(a)	627
[B] Satisfying Rule 23(b)	628
[C] Class Certification Issues in Securities Fraud Litigation	628
§ 11.06 Mechanics of Selecting the Lead Plaintiff	629
[A] The 60-Day Deadline to Move for Lead Plaintiff Status Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP Files Class Action Suit against Five Below, Inc.	630
[B] PSLRA’s Presumption for the Investor with the Largest Financial Interest	630
[C] Satisfying the Typicality and Adequacy Requirements of Rule 23	632
[D] Objecting to the Lead Plaintiff Decision	632
§ 11.07 Mechanics of Selecting Plaintiffs’ Lead Counsel	633
[A] Setting Lead Counsel’s Fees	634
[B] Objecting to the Selection of Lead Counsel	635
§ 11.08 Class Action Tolling	635
§ 11.09 Class Action Settlements	638
[A] Opportunity for Class Members to Opt Out of Settlement	638
[B] Notice to Class Members of Proposed Settlement <i>In re Hewlett-Packard Company Securities Litigation</i> <i>Notice</i>	639 640
[C] Court Approval of Settlement Amount <i>In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation</i>	643 644

[D] Court Approval of Lead Counsel’s Attorneys’ Fees and Costs	646
<i>In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation</i>	648
§ 11.10 The Rise of Opt-Out Plaintiffs	651
Department of Law Announces \$19 Million Settlement in Securities Fraud Claim against Qwest Communications International	652
Note	652
§ 11.11 Mandatory Individual Arbitration	653
Will Arbitration End Securities Litigation?	654
Note	655
§ 11.12 Scenario	656
Chapter 12 • Derivative Suits	657
§ 12.01 Overview	657
[A] Nature of Derivative Suits	657
[B] Origins	657
[C] The Objectives of Derivative Suits in the Modern Era	658
[D] Typical Causes of Actions	659
Note	660
§ 12.02 Derivative Suits Distinguished from Direct Actions by Shareholders	662
<i>Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin, & Jenrette, Inc.</i>	662
Note	664
§ 12.03 Scenario	665
§ 12.04 The Proper Plaintiff in a Derivative Suit	665
Federal Rule of Civ. Pro. 23.1 Derivative Actions	665
<i>In re Fuqua Industries Shareholder Litigation</i>	666
Note	668
§ 12.05 Scenario	669
§ 12.06 Restrictions on Derivative Suits	669
[A] The Contemporary Ownership Rule	669
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1	669
<i>Grosset v. Wenass</i>	670
[B] Non-Shareholders at Time of Wrongdoing Who Bring Actions	672
West’s Ann. Cal. Corp. Code § 800	672
Note	672
[C] The Demand Requirement	673
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1 Derivative Actions	673
<i>Aronson v. Lewis</i>	674
Note	680
[D] Universal Demand	681
<i>Cuker v. Milalaukas</i>	682
[E] Special Litigation Committees	685

<i>Zapata v. Maldonado</i>	685
Note	691
§ 12.07 Forum Selection	693
<i>Boilermakers v. Chevron</i>	693
Note	696
§ 12.08 Settlement and Attorneys' Fees	697
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1	697
<i>In re Apple Computer Derivative Litigation</i>	697
Note	700
M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware's Murky Jurisprudence	701
<i>American Mining Corp. v. Theriault</i>	702
Note	707
§ 12.09 Scenario	708
Chapter 13 • Enforcement of the Securities Laws	709
§ 13.01 Overview	709
§ 13.02 The Securities and Exchange Commission	709
[A] The SEC's Description of Its Mission	709
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	709
[B] The Commission's Effectiveness	711
Note	711
§ 13.03 Scenario	713
§ 13.04 SEC Investigation	713
[A] The Conduct of Investigations	713
Rules and Regulations for the Securities and Exchange Commission	713
[B] SEC Subpoenas	713
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc.</i>	714
Note	719
[C] Privileges That Can Be Involved in SEC Investigations	719
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	719
Note	726
§ 13.05 Scenario	727
§ 13.06 The SEC's Decision to Prosecute	729
Rules and Regulations for The Securities and Exchange Commission	729
A Practitioner's Guide to the SEC's Investigative and Enforcement Powers	730
§ 13.07 Scenario	731
§ 13.08 The Commission's Enforcement Tools	731
[A] Injunctions	731
SEC Injunctions	732
<i>Liu v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	735
Note	743

[B] The Cease and Desist Order	744
SEC Injunctions	744
Note	745
[C] Other SEC Administrative Proceedings	746
Note	749
§ 13.09 Issues in Litigation with the SEC	749
[A] State of Mind	749
[B] Aiding and Abetting Charges by the Commission	750
[C] Control Person Liability	750
[D] Issue Preclusion Arising from SEC Actions	751
Note	751
§ 13.10 Disciplinary Actions by Self-Regulatory Organizations (SROs)	751
FINRA	752
FINRA Provides Guidance on Its Enforcement Proceedings	752
Note	755
§ 13.11 Criminal Proceedings under the Securities Laws	755
[A] Criminal Referrals by the SEC	755
[B] Parallel SEC and Grand Jury Investigations	756
§ 13.12 Scenario	757
§ 13.13 State Securities Enforcement	757
Enhanced “Blue Sky” Enforcement: A Path to Help Solve Our Public School Funding Dilemma	758
§ 13.14 Scenario	758
Chapter 14 • Criminal Liability	761
§ 14.01 Introduction	761
§ 14.02 Key Federal Criminal Statutes	762
[A] Criminal Securities Fraud	762
<i>United States v. Dixon</i>	764
Note	766
[B] “Willful” Violations of Other Securities Laws	773
<i>United States v. Lindo</i>	774
[C] Mail and Wire Fraud	777
<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	780
<i>Skilling v. United States</i>	785
Note	788
[D] False Statements	789
<i>United States v. Bilzerian</i>	789
Note	791
[E] Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”)	792
[F] Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”)	794
[G] Aiding and Abetting and Conspiracy	795
§ 14.03 Prosecution of Corporations	798
[A] Imputing Criminal Conduct to a Corporation	798

<i>New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Co.</i>	
<i>v. United States</i>	798
Note	799
[B] Charging Considerations	800
Note	802
§ 14.04 Federal Sentencing	805
[A] Overview of Federal Sentencing	805
[B] Structure of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines	807
[C] Federal Fraud Offense Level	808
§ 14.05 State Criminal Enforcement	809
[A] State Criminal Statutes	810
<i>State v. Andresen</i>	811
[B] States' Criminal Jurisdiction	815
§ 14.06 Considerations in Parallel Litigation	816
[A] Pleading Scierter	816
[B] Collateral Estoppel	817
[C] Discovery	819
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nicholas</i>	819
Note	821
[D] Fifth Amendment Privilege against Self-Incrimination	821
<i>United States v. Stringer</i>	822
§ 14.07 Scenario	827
Chapter 15 • Litigation Involving Broker-Dealers and	
Investment Advisors	829
§15.01 Overview	829
[A] The Role of Broker-Dealers and Investment Advisors	829
Note	830
[B] Statutory and Common Law Liability	830
[C] Federal Regulation	831
Marc I. Steinberg & Ralph C. Ferrara, <i>Securities Practice:</i>	
<i>Federal and State Enforcement</i>	832
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission Exchange Act</i>	
<i>Release No. 86032 (2019)</i>	834
Note	837
[D] State Regulation	838
[E] Self-Regulatory Organizations (SROs) — FINRA	838
§15.02 Disciplinary Proceedings	838
Financial Industry National Regulatory Authority (FINRA)	838
Note	839
§15.03 Customer Claims against Broker-Dealers	839
[A] Compelling Arbitration	839
<i>Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon</i>	840
Note	850

[B] Carving Out Judicial Class Actions from Arbitration	852
<i>Before the Board of Governors Financial Industry Regulatory Authority—Department of Enforcement v. Charles Schwab & Company, Inc.</i> FINRA No. 2011029760201 (2014)	853
Note	862
[C] FINRA Arbitration	863
FINRA	863
Arbitration and Mediation (2020)	863
Arbitration Overview	863
Note	865
After the Ball is Over: Investor Remedies in the Wake of the Dot-Com Crash and Recent Corporate Scandals	866
<i>Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc.</i>	871
<i>Nesbit v. McNeil</i>	879
§15.04 Scenario	883
§15.05 Suits against Investment Advisors	884
<i>Harris v. Jones</i>	884
Note	889
Chapter 16 • Deal Litigation	891
§ 16.01 Business Reasons for Mergers and Acquisitions	891
Morgan Stanley to Acquire E*TRADE	893
§ 16.02 Mechanics of Mergers and Acquisitions	894
[A] Acquisition of Target’s Assets	894
[B] One-Step Merger	894
[C] Two-Step Tender Offer and Merger	895
[D] Deal Protection Devices	896
[E] Anti-Takeover Defenses	896
[F] Federal Rules Regarding Proxy Solicitations and Tender Offers	897
[G] Example	898
Morgan Stanley’s Second Amended Registration Statement	898
Note	902
§ 16.03 Concerns about Mergers and Acquisitions	903
§ 16.04 State Anti-Takeover and Constituency Statutes	905
Note	906
§ 16.05 Appraisal Rights Actions	906
[A] Prerequisites to an Appraisal Rights Action	908
[B] Determining “Fair Value” in an Appraisal Rights Action	909
<i>Fir Tree Value Master Fund, LP v. Jarden Corporation</i>	909
Note	915
[C] Opportunities for Appraisal Arbitrage	916
[D] Exclusivity of Appraisal Rights	917
<i>Rabkin v. Philip A. Hunt Chemical Corp.</i>	917

Note	921
<i>Glassman v. UNOCAL Exploration Corp.</i>	922
Note	924
<i>Berger v. PUBCO Corp.</i>	925
Note	927
§ 16.06 Fiduciary Duties in Mergers and Acquisitions	928
[A] Officers and Directors	928
M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware’s Murky Jurisprudence	928
Note	933
<i>Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Ryan</i>	935
Note	940
[B] Controlling Shareholders	941
<i>Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp.</i>	942
Note	948
[C] Other Participants	948
M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware’s Murky Jurisprudence	948
Note	951
§ 16.07 Section 14(a) and Rule 14a9 Claims	951
<i>Lane v. Page</i>	952
Note	955
§ 16.08 Tender Offers — Section 14(e) Claims	956
<i>Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc.</i>	956
§ 16.09 Scenario	961
Chapter 17 • International Perspectives on Securities Litigation	963
§ 17.01 Introduction	963
§ 17.02 Securities Litigation from a Comparative Perspective	964
[A] Significant Differences between the U.S. and Many Foreign Jurisdictions	964
[B] The United Kingdom	966
[C] The Netherlands	967
[D] Australia	968
[E] China	969
§ 17.03 The Important Comparison to Canada (Ontario) The Emergence of a New Battleground: Liability for Secondary Market Violations in Ontario	970
§ 17.04 Emerging Securities Markets	979
§ 17.05 Scenario	982
Index	983

Table of Principal Cases

- Alunni v. Development Resources Group, LLC, 56
- American Mining Corp. v. Theriault, 702
- Aronson v. Lewis, 674
- Basic, Inc. v. Levinson, 225, 281
- Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner, 328
- Bennett v. Durham, 553, 595
- Berger v. PUBCO Corp., 925
- Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores, 193
- Boilermakers v. Chevron, 693
- Brockton Retirement Board v. Oppenheimer Global Resource Private Equity Fund I, L.P., 177
- CALPERS v. ANZ Securities, Inc., 142
- Carpenter v. United States, 780
- Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver, 348, 576
- Chiarella v. United States, 412
- Cromeans v. Morgan Keegan & Co., Inc., 602
- Cuker v. Milalaukas, 682
- Department of Enforcement v. Charles Schwab & Company, Inc., 853
- Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 441
- Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo, 306
- E.F. Hutton & Company, Inc. v. Rouseff, 549
- Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder, 253
- Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation, 95
- Eurycleia Partners, LP v. Seward & Kissel, LLP, 605
- Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP, 558, 595
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation v. O'Melveny & Meyers, 597
- Fir Tree Value Master Fund, LP v. Jarden Corporation, 909
- GFL Advantage Fund, Ltd. v. Colkitt, 515
- Glassman v. UNOCAL Exploration Corp., 922
- Grosset v. Wenass, 670
- Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., Inc., 168
- Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. ("Halliburton II"), 292
- Harris v. Jones, 884
- In re Apple Computer Derivative Litigation, 697
- In re Fuqua Industries Shareholder Litigation, 666
- In re Parmalat Securities Litigation, 395
- In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation, 644, 648
- In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation, 118
- In the Matter of Carter and Johnson, 585
- In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss, and Meriwether, 398
- Jackson v. Abernathy, 274
- Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders, 370, 576
- Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp., 942
- Lane v. Page, 952
- Lee v. Ernst & Young LLP, 76
- Liu v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 735
- Lorenzo v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 380, 576

- Lusgraff v. Beherns, 390
Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Ryan, 935
- Maldonado v. Dominguez, 485
Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano, 232
Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds, 318
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit, 534
Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd., 330
- Nesbit v. McNeil, 879
New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Co. v. United States, 798
- Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund, 82
- Pacific Investment Management Company LLC v. Mayer Brown LLP, 578
Pinter v. Dahl, 156, 576
Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc., 871
- Rabkin v. Philip A. Hunt Chemical Corp., 917
Reves v. Ernst & Young, 36
- Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green, 209
Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc., 956
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban, 429
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc., 714
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mayhew, 401
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo, 410
- Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nicholas, 819
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Shields, 44
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer, 450
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford, 203
Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahan, 840
Skilling v. United States, 785
State v. Andresen, 811
Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley, 566
Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific Atlanta, Inc., 361, 576
Sullivan & Long, Inc. v. Scattered Corp., 496
- Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 262
Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin, & Jenrette, Inc., 662
- United States v. Bilzerian, 789
United States v. Blackwell, 407
United States v. Dixon, 764
United States v. Leonard, 51
United States v. Lindo, 774
United States v. McGee, 434
United States v. Naftalin, 479
United States v. O'Hagan, 419, 461
United States v. Stringer, 822
United States v. Tamman, 594
Upjohn Co. v. United States, 719
Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg, 242
- Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd., 33
- Zapata v. Maldonado, 685

Acknowledgments

Marc I. Steinberg—I wish to thank my coauthors on this project, esteemed academics and good friends of mine, who have made this project come to fruition. This was one of the most enjoyable projects I have experienced and it is due to their courtesy, professionalism, and superb scholarship. I also extend my appreciation to Dean Jennifer Collins of the SMU Dedman School of Law for her support of this project, the John C. Biggers Faculty Research Fund for providing funding for my summer 2015 research grant, and to Ms. Carolyn Yates for her excellent administrative assistance.

I dedicate this book to my children — Alex, Avi, and Phillip (Bear) — with all of my love.

Wendy Gerwick Couture—I wish to thank my students at the University of Idaho College of Law, whose creativity and curiosity motivated my work on this project. I also extend my deepest appreciation to my coauthors, whose distinguished careers I hope to emulate. It was truly an honor to work with you. Finally, I wish to thank the University of Idaho College of Law for supporting me in this undertaking.

I dedicate this book to my parents — Cliff and Suzanne Gerwick — with gratitude and love.

Michael J. Kaufman—Thanks so much to my wonderful coauthors, who exemplify extraordinary wisdom and grace in everything they do. Thanks as well to John Wunderlich and Sean Langan for their invaluable research and editorial assistance.

I dedicate this book to my family, and to my extended Loyola family.

Daniel J. Morrissey—I would like to acknowledge the encouragement of the former and current leadership of Gonzaga Law School. My heartfelt thanks there to former Dean Jane Korn and Associate Dean Jason Gillmer and current Dean Jacob Rooksby and Associate Dean Agnieszka McPeak. I would also like to acknowledge the helpful assistance of Patrick Charles and Ashley Sundin, respectively the Director and the Reference/Research Librarian of Gonzaga University's Law Library. I would also like to thank Dean Lyn Entzerorth of the University of Tulsa Law School and the library staff there where much of my work on the first edition of this book was done.

I dedicate this to Mary Beth, Greg, and Graham — the three greatest joys of my life.

