

Evidence

Evidence

The Objection Method

SIXTH EDITION

Daniel J. Capra

PHILIP REED PROFESSOR OF LAW, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Stephen A. Saltzburg

WALLACE AND BEVERLY WOODBURY UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

Hon. Christine M. Arguello

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DISTRICT OF COLORADO



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2021
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-2108-5
eISBN 978-1-5310-2109-2
LCCN 2020946261

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.caplax.com

Printed in the United States of America

The authors dedicate this book to all our families and loved ones. Their patience, endurance, and endearments provide us monumental support.

D.J.C. to Anne, Emily, and David

S.A.S. to Susan, David, Diane, Lisa, and Mark

C.M.A. to my husband and soulmate Ron, who passed away in 2018

Contents

Table of Cases	xxix
Preface to the Sixth Edition	xlix
Introduction: The Restyled Rules of Evidence	li
Chapter 1 · Mode and Order of Presenting Evidence	3
A. Control by the Court	3
[1] Federal Rules of Evidence 102, 403, and 611(a)	3
[2] An Application of Judicial Authority	4
<i>United States v. Reaves</i>	4
Notes	6
B. Form of Questions to Witnesses	10
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 611(c)	10
[2] Direct Examination	11
<i>Straub v. Reading Co.</i>	11
Notes	13
[3] Cross-Examination	16
<i>United States v. McKenna</i>	16
Note	17
C. Scope of Cross-Examination	18
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 611(b)	18
[2] The American Rule: Cross-Examination Within the Subject Matter of the Direct	18
<i>Macaulay v. Anas</i>	18
Note	21
Chapter 2 · Objections and Offers of Proof	23
A. Introduction	23
[1] Illustration	23
[2] Federal Rule of Evidence 103	24
B. Contemporaneous Objection	25
<i>United States v. Spriggs</i>	25
Notes	26
C. Requirement of Specific Objection	32
<i>United States v. Wilson</i>	32
Note	34
D. Harmless Error and Plain Error	35
[1] The Standards of Review	35

[2] Application of the Harmless Error Standard	35
[3] Application of the Plain Error Standard	37
[4] Distinguishing “Forfeiture” of Claim of Error from “Waiver” of the Claim	37
E. Offer of Proof	38
<i>United States v. Winkle</i>	38
Notes	40
Chapter 3 • Competency	43
A. Introduction	43
B. Status	43
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 601	43
[2] Competency vs. Credibility	44
<i>United States v. Bedonie</i>	44
Notes	45
[3] Jurors	47
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 606	47
[b] The “No-Impeachment” Rule and its Exceptions	48
<i>Pena-Rodriguez v. Colorado</i>	48
Notes	63
[4] Judges	67
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 605	67
[b] Advisory Committee’s Note to Rule 605	67
Advisory Committee’s Note	67
Notes	68
C. Foundational Competence	69
[1] The Oath	69
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 603	69
[b] Religious Convictions	70
[i] Illustration	70
[ii] Substitutes for the Oath	70
<i>Ferguson v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	70
Notes	72
[2] Youth	73
<i>United States v. Allen J.</i>	74
Notes	77
[3] Mental Impairment	78
<i>United States v. Phibbs</i>	78
Notes	80
[4] Perception	80
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 602	80
[b] Illustration	81
[c] Minimal Standard	81

<i>United States v. Proano</i>	81
Notes	82
[5] Recollection	85
[a] Memory Refreshed	85
[i] Illustration	85
[ii] Federal Rule of Evidence 612	85
Note	86
[iii] Any Statement or Object	87
<i>Baker v. State</i>	87
Notes	90
[b] Hypnotically Refreshed Memory	93
<i>People v. Zayas</i>	93
Notes	96
[6] Communication	97
[a] Illustration	97
[b] Interpreters	98
<i>Watson v. State</i>	98
Notes	101
Chapter 4 · Relevance	103
A. Introduction	103
[1] Federal Rules of Evidence 401, 402, and 403	103
Notes	103
B. Any Tendency	105
<i>United States v. Foster</i>	105
Notes	107
C. Fact of Consequence	110
D. Sources of Authority for Excluding Relevant Evidence	111
<i>United States v. Lowery</i>	111
E. Rule 403 Balancing and the Meaning of “Unfair Prejudice”	113
<i>McQueeney v. Wilmington Trust Co.</i>	113
<i>United States v. McGregor</i>	116
Notes	120
F. Common Applications of the Rule 403 Balancing Test	124
[1] Consciousness of Guilt	124
[a] Illustration	124
[b] Flight and Escape	124
<i>United States v. Hankins</i>	124
Notes	127
[2] Expressive Material	128
<i>People of the Territory of Guam v. Shymanovitz</i>	128
<i>United States v. Gamory</i>	135

[3] Similar Occurrences	137
<i>Nachtsheim v. Beech Aircraft Corp.</i>	137
Notes	143
[4] Gruesome Visual Presentations	146
<i>Terry v. State</i>	146
Notes	147
[5] Implicating Another	148
[a] Alternative Perpetrator Evidence	148
<i>United States v. McVeigh</i>	148
[b] Alternative Perpetrator Evidence and the Constitutional Right to an Effective Defense	155
<i>Holmes v. South Carolina</i>	155
G. Evidentiary Alternatives and Stipulations	160
<i>Old Chief v. United States</i>	160
Notes	167
Chapter 5 · Relevance—Special Rules	173
A. Subsequent Remedial Measures	173
[1] Federal Rule 407	173
[2] Committee Note to 1997 Amendment to Rule 407 [edited to apply to the rule as restyled in 2011]	173
[3] Illustration	174
[4] Product Liability, Feasibility and Control	174
<i>Cameron v. Otto Bock Orthopedic Industry, Inc.</i>	174
Notes	176
B. Compromise and Offer of Compromise	183
[1] Federal Rule 408	183
[2] Committee Note to 2006 Amendment to Rule 408 [edited to comport with restyling of text in 2011]	184
[3] Illustration	186
[4] Disputes, Waiver and Other Purposes	187
<i>Alpex Computer Corp. v. Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i>	187
Notes	190
C. Payment of Medical or Similar Expenses	192
[1] Federal Rule 409	192
[2] Illustration	193
[3] Admission of Fault	193
<i>Galarnyk v. Hostmark Management, Inc.</i>	193
Note	194
D. Pleas and Discussions	194
[1] Federal Rule 410	194
[2] Illustration	195

[3] Coverage of the Rule	196
[a] Statements to Prosecutor	196
<i>United States v. Bauz6-Santiago</i>	196
[b] Impeachment	200
<i>United States v. Udeagu</i>	200
Notes	201
[4] Can the Protection be Waived?	203
<i>United States v. Mezzanatto</i>	203
Note	209
E. Liability Insurance	210
[1] Federal Rule 411	210
[2] Illustration	210
[3] Permissible Uses of Liability Insurance	211
<i>Bernier v. Board of County Road Commissioners</i>	211
Notes	212
Chapter 6 • Character Evidence, Prior Bad Acts, and Habit	213
A. Introduction	213
B. Federal Rules 404, 405, 406	216
C. The 11 Rules of Substantive Character Evidence, Similar Acts and Habit	217
Rule # 1	217
[1] Illustration	217
[2] General Rule	218
<i>Ginter v. Northwestern Mutual Life Ins. Co.</i>	218
Rule # 2	220
[1] Character in Issue	220
<i>Schafer v. Time, Inc.</i>	220
[2] Illustration	222
Rule # 3	223
<i>United States v. Williams</i>	223
Note	225
Rule # 4	226
<i>Michelson v. United States</i>	226
Notes	230
Rule # 5	232
<i>United States v. Keiser</i>	232
Notes	235
Rule # 6	236
Questions	236
Rule # 7	236
Rule # 8	237
<i>United States v. Bruguier</i>	237
Notes	240

Rule # 9	243
[1] Federal Rule 404(b)	243
[2] General Principles; The Requirement of Probative Value for a Non-Character Purpose	243
<i>United States v. Gomez</i>	243
[3] The 2020 Amendment to Rule 404(b)	249
[4] Non-Character Purposes: Plan; Identity	250
<i>United States v. Carroll</i>	250
Note	253
[5] Non-Character Purposes: Motive	254
<i>United States v. Potter</i>	254
Notes	255
[6] Non-Character Purposes: Mental State (Intent, Knowledge, etc.)	257
<i>United States v. Hearst</i>	257
[a] Intent in Drug Cases: The Automatic View	259
<i>United States v. Smith</i>	259
[b] Intent in Drug Cases: Requiring an Active Dispute on Intent Before Prior Acts May Be Admitted	261
<i>United States v. Miller</i>	261
[c] Knowledge—And a Focus on Whether Knowledge Is Actively Disputed	267
<i>United States v. Caldwell</i>	267
<i>United States v. Martinez</i>	276
Note	279
[7] Absence of Mistake (and the Doctrine of Chances)	280
<i>United States v. Henthorn</i>	280
[8] Other Not-For-Character Uses for Uncharged Acts of Misconduct	288
<i>United States v. Reme</i>	288
[9] Standard of Proof for Acts of Uncharged Misconduct	290
<i>Huddleston v. United States</i>	290
Notes	293
[10] Procedural Issues Raised by Rule 404(b)	296
[a] Acts That Are Inextricably Intertwined with the Crime Charged	296
[b] “Reverse” 404(b) Evidence	299
[c] Applicability of Rule 403	299
[d] Rule 404(b) and Civil Cases	300
[e] The Notice Requirement	301
Rule # 10	302
[1] Introduction	302
[2] Federal Rule 406	303
[3] The Line Between Habit and Character	303
<i>United States v. Angwin</i>	303
Notes	306

[4] Proof of Habit	308
<i>Perrin v. Anderson</i>	308
Note	310
Rule # 11	311
[1] Federal Rule 412	311
[2] Civil Cases	312
<i>Judd v. Rodman</i>	312
Note	314
[3] Rape Shield Protection and the Criminal Defendant's Constitutional Rights	315
<i>United States v. Bear Stops</i>	315
Question	321
Notes	321
[4] Federal Rules 413, 414, 415	323
[a] The Congressional Enactments	323
[b] Scope of the Rules Permitting Use of the Defendant's Prior Sex Offenses	325
[c] Constitutional Challenges and the Applicability of Rule 403 to Uncharged Acts of Sexual Misconduct	326
<i>United States v. Lemay</i>	326
Note	332
Chapter 7 · Authenticating Evidence	335
A. Basic Principles	335
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 901	335
[2] Illustration	336
[3] Chain of Custody	337
<i>Lockhart v. McCotter</i>	337
Notes	338
[4] Evidence of Tampering	341
<i>United States v. Edwards</i>	341
[5] The Requirement of Admissible Evidence for Foundation	345
<i>United States v. Bonds</i>	345
Note	349
B. Examples of Authentication: Illustrative Evidence	349
<i>Carson v. Polley</i>	349
C. Examples of Authentication: Photographs, Videotapes, and Recordings	353
[1] Illustration	353
[2] Basic Foundation	354
<i>United States v. Gray</i>	354
Notes	355
D. Examples of Authentication: Voice Identification and Phone Calls	357
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 901(b)(4)–(6)	357
[2] Advisory Committee's Note to Rule 901	358

[3] Voice Identification	358
[a] Illustration	358
[b] Identification at Any Time	359
<i>United States v. Watson</i>	359
Note	360
[4] Incoming Telephone Calls	361
[a] Illustration	361
[b] Circumstances of the Statements	361
<i>United States v. Parker</i>	361
[5] Outgoing Telephone Calls	363
[a] Illustration	363
[b] Self-Identification; Circumstantial Evidence	363
<i>United States v. Kingston</i>	363
Note	364
E. Handwriting and Writings	365
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 901(b)(2)–(4)	365
[2] Handwriting	365
[a] Illustration	365
[b] Extent and Timing of Perception	366
<i>United States v. Scott</i>	366
[3] Comparisons by the Jury	368
[a] Illustration	368
[b] Expert Examination Not Required	368
<i>United States v. Saadey</i>	368
Note	369
[4] Circumstantial Evidence	370
<i>United States v. McMahon</i>	370
Note	372
F. Public Records	373
[1] Federal Rules of Evidence 901 and 902	373
G. Business Records	374
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 902 (11) and (12)	374
[2] Committee Note to 2000 Amendment to Rule 902	374
Note	375
H. Authentication of Electronic Evidence	376
[1] e-Mail	376
<i>United States v. Safavian</i>	376
Note	381
[2] Text Messages	385
<i>State of Arizona v. Damper</i>	386
Commentary on Authenticating Text Messages	387
[3] Web Pages	388
<i>Telewizja Polska USA, Inc. v. EchoStar Satellite Corporation</i>	388
Commentary on Authenticating Web Pages	389

[4] Social Media Postings—Facebook, Twitter, etc.	391
<i>United States v. Vayner</i>	391
Commentary on Authenticating Social Media Postings	396
[5] Chatroom and Other Social Media Conversations	397
<i>United States v. Tank</i>	397
Commentary on Authenticating Chatroom and Other Social Media Conversations	399
[6] Authenticating Electronic Evidence by Certificate Instead of In-Court Testimony: Rules 902(13) and (14)	400
Chapter 8 • Best Evidence Rule	407
A. Definition	407
[1] Federal Rules of Evidence 1001–1008	407
[2] Illustration	409
B. General Rule—Proving the Contents of a Writing or Recording	409
[1] Proving the Contents of a Writing	409
<i>DeMarco v. Ohio Decorative Products, Inc.</i>	409
Notes	410
[2] Writings Defined	412
<i>Seiler v. Lucasfilm, Ltd.</i>	412
[3] Physical Evidence	416
<i>United States v. Buchanan</i>	416
[4] Tape Recordings	418
<i>United States v. Howard</i>	418
Note	419
C. Duplicates and Secondary Evidence	420
[1] Duplicates	420
<i>Railroad Management Company LLC v.</i> <i>CFS Louisiana Midstream Co.</i>	420
Notes	421
[2] Secondary Evidence	422
<i>Neville Construction Co. v. Cook Paint and Varnish</i>	422
Notes	423
D. Application of Best Evidence Rule to Electronic Evidence	424
<i>United States v. Bennett</i>	424
<i>United States v. Diaz-Lopez</i>	427
<i>Vagenos v. LDG Financial Services, LLC</i>	429
E. Summaries	431
<i>United States v. Appolon</i>	431
Notes	433
Chapter 9 • Opinion Testimony	437
A. Lay Opinions	437
[1] Illustration	437
[2] Federal Rules of Evidence 701 and 704	438

[3] Rationally Based on Perception	439
<i>United States v. Hoffner</i>	439
<i>United States v. Meises</i>	440
Note	443
[4] Helpful to the Jury	444
[a] Short-Hand Rendition	444
<i>United States v. Yazzie</i>	444
Note	446
[b] Lay Witness with the Same (or Less) Information Than the Factfinder	448
<i>United States v. Diaz</i>	448
Notes	451
[c] Ultimate Issue	452
<i>Kostelecky v. NL Acme Tool/NL Industries, Inc.</i>	452
Notes	453
[5] The Line Between Lay and Expert Testimony	455
[a] Lay Witnesses Giving Expert Testimony	455
<i>United States v. Figueroa-Lopez</i>	455
[b] Advisory Committee Note to 2000 Amendment to Rule 701	459
[c] Comment by Reporter on 2000 Amendment to Rule 701	460
[d] Post-2000 Amendment Case Law	462
<i>United States v. Perkins</i>	462
<i>United States v. Habibi</i>	466
B. Experts	467
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 702	467
[2] Qualification of Experts	468
<i>Berry v. City of Detroit</i>	468
Notes	471
[3] Proper Subject Matter for Expert Testimony	473
<i>Scott v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i>	473
Notes	475
<i>Nimely v. City of New York</i>	477
[4] The Requirement that Expert Testimony be Reliable	482
[a] Scientific Expert Testimony—The General Acceptance Test	482
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	482
[b] Scientific Expert Testimony—The Reliability Test and the Trial Court’s Gatekeeping Function	483
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	483
[c] Applications of the <i>Daubert</i> Gatekeeping Function to Scientific Expert Testimony	489
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	489
Notes	495
<i>Westberry v. Gislaved Bummi AB</i>	499

[d] Applications of the <i>Daubert</i> Gatekeeping Function to Evidence in Electronic Form	504
<i>In re Three Mile Island Litigation</i>	504
<i>United States v. Quinn</i>	507
Comment on Electronic Evidence as Regulated by <i>Daubert</i>	508
[e] Applications of the <i>Daubert</i> Gatekeeping Function to Non-Scientific Expert Testimony	509
<i>Kumho Tire Company, Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	509
[f] The 2000 Amendment to Federal Rule 702 (as restyled in 2011)	517
[g] Cases Decided Under the 2000 Amendment to Rule 702	524
[h] <i>Daubert</i> and Forensic Testimony	528
<i>United States v. Baines</i>	529
<i>United States v. Glynn</i>	538
Notes	543
[i] A Specialized Application of Reliability Standards: Proof of Probability	549
<i>People v. Collins</i>	549
Notes	554
[5] Basis of Opinion and Underlying Data	556
[a] Federal Rules of Evidence 703 and 705	556
[b] The 2000 Amendment to Rule 703—Committee Note and Reporter’s Observations	556
[c] Facts Reasonably Relied Upon	560
<i>Crowe v. Marchand</i>	560
<i>Alfa Corporation v. Oao Alfa Bank and Alfa Capital Markets (USA), Inc.</i>	563
Notes	566
[6] Ultimate Issue	567
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 704	567
[b] Use of Terminology with Both Legal and Colloquial Connotations	568
<i>United States v. Perkins</i>	568
Note	570
[c] Mental State of a Criminal Defendant	571
<i>United States v. Thigpen</i>	571
Notes	572
Chapter 10 · Hearsay Defined	575
A. Federal Rules of Evidence 801 and 802	575
B. Truth of the Matter Asserted	575
[1] Illustrations	575
[2] Independent Legal Significance	576
[a] Oral Contracts	576

<i>Creaghe v. Iowa Home Mutual Casualty Co.</i>	576
Note	578
[b] Threats	578
<i>United States v. Jones</i>	578
[3] Statement Offered to Prove It Was False	579
<i>United States v. Young</i>	579
Note	581
[4] Notice—Statement Offered for its Effect on the Listener	582
<i>Vinyard v. Vinyard Funeral Home, Inc.</i>	582
Note	583
<i>McClure v. State</i>	583
Note	584
[5] Other Not-for-Truth Purposes	587
<i>United States v. Wicks</i>	587
Notes	588
C. Statement	590
[1] Assertive Conduct	590
[a] Illustration	590
[b] Act of Production	591
<i>Stevenson v. Commonwealth</i>	591
Notes	592
[2] Non-Assertive Conduct and Words	593
[a] Illustrations	593
[b] Implied Assertions	594
<i>United States v. Zenni</i>	594
Note	596
[3] Electronic Information	601
<i>United States v. Wallace</i>	601
Note	602
D. Prior Statements of Testifying Witnesses	603
<i>United States v. Check</i>	603
Note	606
Chapter 11 · Hearsay Exclusions	609
A. Statements That Are “Not Hearsay” Under Federal Rule 801(d)	609
B. Hearsay Exclusions for Certain Prior Statements by a Testifying Witness	610
[1] Inconsistent Statements Under Oath	610
[a] Common Law	610
[b] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(1)(A)	611
[c] Illustrations	611
[d] Other Proceeding	612
<i>United States v. Livingston</i>	612
Notes	615

[2] Consistent Statements	617
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(1)(B)	617
[b] Illustration	617
[c] Rehabilitation After Allegations of Recent Fabrication or Improper Motive	618
Note on <i>Tome v. United States</i>	618
Notes on Admitting Prior Consistent Statements to Rebut a Charge of Recent Fabrication or Improper Motive	620
[d] Rehabilitation on Other Grounds	621
[3] Prior Identifications	624
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(1)(C)	624
[b] Illustration	624
[c] Subject to Cross-Examination	625
<i>United States v. Owens</i>	625
Notes	629
C. Statements of a Party-Opponent	630
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)	630
[2] Own Statement	631
<i>State v. Johnson</i>	632
Notes	633
[3] Statements Adopted by the Party-Opponent	637
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(B)	637
[b] By Conduct or Silence	637
<i>Carr v. Deeds</i>	637
<i>United States v. Hoosier</i>	639
[c] Adoption of Electronic Communications	640
<i>United States v. Johnson</i>	640
Notes	644
[4] Authorized-Agent Statements and Statements by Agents About Matters Within the Scope of Their Authority	645
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(C), (D)	645
[b] Applying the Rules on Party-Opponent Statements, Statements by Agents Authorized to Speak, and Statement by Agents About Matters Within the Scope of Their Authority	646
<i>Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center, Inc.</i>	646
Notes	649
[c] Within the Scope of the Agency or Employment	650
<i>Hill v. Spiegel, Inc.</i>	650
Notes	651
[5] Co-Conspirators	656
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E)	656
[b] Existence of Conspiracy	656

<i>Bourjaily v. United States</i>	656
Notes	661
[c] During the Course and In Furtherance of the Conspiracy	664
<i>United States v. Ciresi</i>	664
Notes	670
Chapter 12 · Hearsay Exceptions Dependent on the Unavailability of the Declarant	673
A. Introduction	673
B. Unavailability Defined	673
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)	673
[2] Privilege	674
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(1)	674
[b] Illustration	674
[c] Burden of Proof	674
<i>United States v. Pelton & Rich</i>	674
Notes	676
[3] Refusal	677
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(2)	677
[b] Illustration	677
Note	677
[4] Lack of Memory	678
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(3)	678
[b] Illustration	678
[c] Permanent Loss	679
<i>United States v. Amaya</i>	679
Note	680
[5] Death or Disability	680
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(4)	680
[b] Illustration	680
[c] Temporary Disability	681
<i>United States v. Faison</i>	681
Note on Remote Testimony and Infirm Witnesses	683
[6] Absence	683
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)(5)	683
Note	684
[7] Procurement or Wrongdoing	684
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(a)	684
[b] Negligence	685
<i>United States v. Mathis</i>	685
C. Unavailability Exceptions	685
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)	685
[2] Former Testimony	686
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(1)	686

[b] Predecessor in Interest and Similarity of Motive	687
<i>In the Matter of Johns-Marville/Asbestosis Cases</i>	687
Notes	689
[3] Dying Declarations	694
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(2)	694
[b] Declarant's Belief in Imminent Death	695
<i>Woods v. Cook</i>	695
Notes	698
[4] Statement Against Interest	700
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(3)	700
[b] Penal Interest	700
<i>Williamson v. United States</i>	700
<i>United States v. Paguio</i>	705
<i>United States v. Rosario-Pérez</i>	709
Notes	711
[c] Civil Cases	717
<i>Linde v. Arab Bank PLC</i>	717
[5] Family History	720
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(4)	720
[6] Forfeiture by Wrongdoing	721
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(6)	721
[b] Standards for Finding Forfeiture by Wrongdoing	721
<i>United States v. Dinkins</i>	721
Notes	726
Chapter 13 · Hearsay Exceptions Not Requiring Declarant Unavailability	727
A. Present Sense Impression	727
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(1)	727
[2] Illustration	727
[3] Event, Perception, and Time Requirements	728
<i>United States v. Polidore</i>	728
Notes	730
B. Excited Utterances	735
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(2)	735
[2] Illustration	736
[3] Under the Influence of the Startling Event	737
<i>United States v. Marrowbone</i>	737
<i>United States v. Boyce</i>	738
<i>United States v. Bates</i>	743
Notes	745
C. Statement of Existing Mental, Emotional or Physical Condition	750
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(3)	750
[2] Illustration	750
[3] Statements Offered to Prove Conduct	751

<i>Mutual Life Insurance Co. of New York v. Hillmon</i>	751
Notes	755
[4] Past Acts	758
<i>Shepard v. United States</i>	758
Note	762
D. Statement for Treatment or Diagnosis	763
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(4)	763
[2] Illustration	764
[3] Causation	765
<i>Rock v. Huffco Gas & Oil Co.</i>	765
Notes	768
E. Past Recollection Recorded	771
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(5)	771
[2] Illustration	772
[3] Lack of Recollection; Adopted Statement	772
<i>United States v. Williams</i>	772
Notes	777
F. Hearsay Within Hearsay	778
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 805	778
[2] Illustration	778
[3] The Non-Hearsay Problem	779
<i>United States v. Dotson</i>	779
Note	780
G. Business Records Exception	781
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(6)	781
[2] Illustration	781
[3] Regular Recording of Regularly Conducted Activity;	
Proper Foundation	782
<i>United States v. Towns</i>	782
Note	785
[4] Trustworthiness: Recording Information from Other Sources	787
<i>United States v. Vigneau</i>	787
Notes	790
[5] Trustworthiness; Records Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation	793
<i>Palmer v. Hoffman</i>	793
Notes	794
[6] Electronic Records	796
[7] Proof of Absence of Business Records	798
H. Public Records	798
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(8)	798
[2] Illustration	799
[3] Opinions and Factual Findings	799
<i>Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey</i>	799
Notes	804

I. Ancient Documents	813
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(16)	813
[2] Advisory Committee Note to the 2017 Amendment to Rule 803(16)	814
Committee Note	814
[3] Commentary on the Ancient Documents Exception, Electronically Stored Information, and the 2017 Amendment to Rule 803(16)	815
J. Learned Treatise	818
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 803(18)	818
[2] Illustration	818
[3] Foundation Requirements	819
<i>United States v. Norman</i>	819
Note	820
Chapter 14 · Residual Exception to the Hearsay Rule	821
A. Introduction	821
B. Residual Exception	821
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 807	821
[2] Advisory Committee Note to the 2019 Amendment to Rule 807	822
[3] Analysis of the Residual Exception	824
[4] Relationship to Other Exceptions	827
[5] Trustworthiness; More Probative Than Any Other Evidence Reasonably Available; and Notice	829
<i>Larez v. City of Los Angeles</i>	829
<i>United States v. Burdulis</i>	832
Notes	834
Chapter 15 · The Confrontation Clause	843
A. Confrontation Clause	843
B. The Confrontation Clause and Admission of Out of Court Declarations	843
C. The Confrontation Clause as a Regulator of “Testimonial” Hearsay	844
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	844
Notes	857
D. Post- <i>Crawford</i> Attempts to Define Which Hearsay Statements Are “Testimonial”	859
[1] The Primary Motivation Test	859
<i>Michigan v. Bryant</i>	859
[2] Statements by Children and Reporting Obligations	870
<i>Ohio v. Clark</i>	870
[3] Laboratory Reports Prepared for Trial	876
<i>Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts</i>	876
E. Expert’s Reliance on Testimonial Hearsay	890
A Note on <i>Williams v. Illinois</i>	890
The Dispute in <i>Williams</i> Over the Definition of “Testimonial”	893
F. Forfeiture of the Right to Confrontation	894

G. The <i>Bruton</i> Issues	897
<i>Richardson v. Marsh</i>	897
<i>Gray v. Maryland</i>	902
Notes	907
H. The Right to Face-to-Face Confrontation	909
<i>Maryland v. Craig</i>	911
Notes	919
Chapter 16 · Shortcuts to Proof: Judicial Notice and Presumptions	923
A. Judicial Notice	923
[1] An Overview	923
[2] Federal Rule of Evidence 201	923
[3] Generally Known Facts	924
<i>Varcoe v. Lee</i>	924
Notes	927
[4] Judicial Notice in Criminal Cases—Instructing the Jury	928
<i>United States v. Bello</i>	928
Note	931
[5] Legislative Facts	932
[a] Advisory Committee’s Note	932
Advisory Committee’s Note to Rule 201	932
[b] Adjudicative vs. Legislative Facts	933
<i>United States v. Gould</i>	933
Notes	936
B. Presumptions	938
[1] An Overview	938
[2] Federal Rules of Evidence 301 and 302	938
[3] The Basic Choice: To Shift the Burden of Persuasion or Not in Civil Cases?	939
<i>McCann v. The George W. Newman Irrevocable Trust</i>	939
Notes	942
[4] Presumptions in Criminal Cases	943
<i>County Court of Ulster v. Allen</i>	943
Notes	946
Chapter 17 · Impeachment	949
A. Introduction	949
B. Impeaching Your Own Witness: The Vouching Rule and Its Rejection by the Federal Rules of Evidence	950
[1] The Vouching Rule at Common Law	950
[2] Illustration	950
[3] Federal Rule of Evidence 607	951
Notes	951
C. Types of Impeachment	954
[1] Capacity	954

MODE 1: Religious Belief	954
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 610	954
[b] Beliefs Impairing Credibility: General Rule of Exclusion	955
<i>United States v. Sampol</i>	955
Note	955
MODE 2: Perception	956
MODE 3: Memory	957
MODE 4: Communication	959
Notes	959
[2] Impeachment of a Witness's Character for Truthfulness	960
MODE 5: Convictions/Prior Crimes	961
[a] Illustration	961
[b] Federal Rule of Evidence 609	961
[c] Automatic Admissibility of Convictions for Crimes That Involve a Dishonest Act or False Statement, and Balancing for Those That Do Not	963
<i>United States v. Hayes</i>	963
<i>United States v. Brackeen</i>	965
<i>United States v. Caldwell</i>	967
<i>United States v. Shelledy</i>	970
Notes	972
MODE 6: Bad Acts	983
[a] Common Law	983
[b] Illustration	983
[c] Federal Rule of Evidence 608(b)	984
[d] Advisory Committee Note to 2003 Amendment to Rule 608(b)	985
[e] Balancing Probative Value and Prejudicial Effect, and the Prohibition on Extrinsic Evidence of Untruthfulness	986
<i>Simmons, Inc. v. Pinkerton's, Inc.</i>	986
Notes	988
MODE 7: Character Witness for Veracity/Untruthfulness	993
[a] Common Law	993
[b] Federal Rule of Evidence 608(a)	994
[c] Expert Testimony as to Truthfulness	994
<i>United States v. Barnard</i>	994
[3] Level Three—Inconsistencies	995
MODE 8: Prior Inconsistent Statements	995
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 613	995
[b] General Requirements; Inconsistency	996
<i>United States v. Rogers</i>	996
Note	1000
[c] Illustrations	1001
MODE 9: Contradiction	1002
[a] Illustration	1002

[b] Overview	1002
[c] Extrinsic Evidence to Contradict	1003
[d] Applying Rule 403 to Evidence Offered for Contradiction	1003
<i>United States v. Castillo</i>	1003
Note	1005
[e] Facts That Only Contradict	1006
<i>United States v. Beauchamp</i>	1006
Question	1008
[4] Level Four—Bias	1008
MODE 10: Bias/Partiality	1008
[a] Illustration	1008
[b] Admissibility Under the Federal Rules	1009
<i>United States v. Abel</i>	1009
Notes	1014
D. Rehabilitation	1015
[1] Truthfulness After Attack	1016
[a] Federal Rule of Evidence 608(a)	1016
[b] Cross-Examination as Attack	1016
<i>United States v. Medical Therapy Sciences, Inc.</i>	1016
Note	1018
[2] Prior Consistent Statements	1018
Note	1020
E. Impeachment of Hearsay Declarants	1020
[1] Federal Rule of Evidence 806	1020
[2] Scope of Impeachment	1021
<i>United States v. Grant</i>	1021
Note	1023
F. Sequestration of Witnesses	1024
Chapter 18 · Privilege	1029
A. Federal Rule 501	1029
B. Attorney-Client Privilege	1029
[1] Advisory Committee Draft of Attorney-Client Privilege	1029
[2] Rationale for Attorney-Client Privilege and Application to Corporate Representation	1032
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	1032
Notes	1037
[3] The Privilege and Government Attorneys	1046
<i>In re County of Erie</i>	1046
Note	1050
[4] The Privilege and the Death of the Client	1052
<i>Swidler & Berlin v. United States</i>	1052
Note	1057

[5] Waiver of the Attorney-Client Privilege	1059
[a] Introduction	1059
[b] Authority to Waive the Privilege	1059
[c] “Selective” Waiver	1060
[d] Protections Against Mistaken Disclosures (and Even Intentional Disclosures) in the Course of Discovery: Federal Rule 502	1062
[e] Federal Rules of Evidence 502	1064
<i>Coburn Group v. Whitecap Advisors LLC</i>	1071
<i>Rajala v. McGuire Woods, LLP</i>	1077
Notes	1082
C. Marital Privileges	1085
1. The Privilege Against Giving Adverse Testimony	1085
<i>Trammel v. United States</i>	1085
2. The Privilege Protecting Confidential Spousal Communications	1090
<i>State of New Mexico v. Gutierrez</i>	1090
Notes	1100
D. Privilege Relating to Mental Health	1104
<i>Jaffee v. Redmond</i>	1104
Notes	1111
E. Privilege for Communications to Clergy	1112
<i>In re Grand Jury Investigation</i>	1112
Notes	1118
F. News Reporter’s Privilege	1118
<i>McKevitt v. Pallasch</i>	1118
<i>In re Grand Jury Subpoena, Judith Miller</i>	1121
Note	1127
G. Informant’s Privilege	1128
<i>McCray v. Illinois</i>	1128
Notes	1131
H. Executive Privilege	1132
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	1132
Note	1136
I. State’s Secret Privilege	1138
<i>Sterling v. Tenet</i>	1138
Note	1145
J. Parent-Child Privilege?	1146
<i>In re Grand Jury</i>	1146
Appendix of Problems	1153
Index	1267

Table of Cases

- 0.59 Acres of Land, United States v., 556
14.38 Acres of Land, United States v.,
520
Abel, United States v., 993, 1009
Adames, United States v., 32
Adams v. Toyota Motor Corp., 142, 143
Adams, United States v. (10th Cir.
2001), 40
Adams, United States v. (6th Cir. 2013),
636
Adamson v. Cathel, 617
Adefehinti, United States v., 885
Adkins, United States v., 581
Adlman, United States v., 1043
Adobe Sys. v. Christenson, 388
Ad-Vantage Tel. Directory Consultants,
Inc. v. GTE Directories, 989
Aguilar, United States v., 853
Air Crash Disaster, In re, 177, 179
Albers, United States v., 982
Albert, United States v., 712
Alexander, United States v., 1039
Alfa Corporation v. Oao Alfa Bank and
Alfa Capital Markets (USA), Inc.,
563
Alford v. United States, 1011
Alldread v. City of Grenada, 1063
Allen J., United States v., 74
Allen, People v., 975
Allen, United States v., 147
Alpex Computer Corp. v. Nintendo
Co., Ltd., 187
Altobello v. Border Confectionery
Prods., Inc., 976
Alvarez, United States v., 713
Alvarez-Farfan, United States v., 370
Amaral, Commonwealth v., 384
Amaya, United States v., 679
Amaya-Manzanares, United States v.,
105
Ambrosini v. Labarraque, 519
American Bald Eagle v. Bhatti, 635
Amoco Prod. Co. v. United States, 422
Ancho v. Pentek Corp., 471
Anderson v. City of Rockford, 588
Anderson v. Malloy, 183
Anderson v. United States, 381
Andrews v. Metro N. Commuter R.R.
Co., 569
Angwin, United States v., 303
Appeal of (See Name of Appellant)
Appolon, United States v., 431
Arambula-Ruiz, United States v., 670
Archdale, United States v., 15
Ardoin v. J. Ray McDermott & Co., 17
Arizona v. Elmer, 231
Arizona, State of, v. Damper, 386
Armijo, United States v., 102
Artero, United States v., 555
Ashby, United States v., 588
Ashley, United States v., 977
Asplundh Mfg. Div. v. Benton Harbor
Eng'g, 459
Astorga-Torres, United States v., 756
AT Engine Controls Ltd. v. Goodrich
Pump & Engine Control Sys., Inc.,
382
Athey v. Farmers Ins. Exchange, 185
Attridge v. Cencorp Div. of Dover
Techs. Int'l, Inc., 66

- Auclair, In re, 1040
Austin v. Davis, 63
Austin, United States v., 185, 192
Awadallah, United States v., 122
Ayers, State v., 77
Azure, United States v., 840
Badami v. Flood, 41
Bagaric, United States v., 988
Bailey, United States v., 634
Baines, United States v., 529
Baker v. Elcona Homes Corp., 801
Baker v. State, 87
Baker, United States v., 63
Bakker, United States v., 434
Ballou v. Henri Studios, Inc., 110
Balthazard, United States v., 86
Bamberger, United States v., 30
Bansal, United States v., 389
Barber v. Page, 851
Bareford v. General Dynamics Corp.,
1145
Barnard, United States v., 994
Barone, United States v., 714
Barraza, United States v., 64
Barrett, United States v., 712, 715
Barrow, United States v., 210
Bates, United States v., 743
Battle v. Memorial Hosp., 690
Baugh ex rel. Baugh v. Cuprum S.A. de
C.V., 435
Bauman v. Volkswagenwerk Aktiengesellschaft, 174
Bauz6-Santiago, United States v., 196
Bear Stearns & Co., Inc. v. Nanos, 365
Bear Stops, United States v., 315
Beasley, United States v., 122
Beauchamp, United States v., 1006
Becker v. ARCO Chem. Co., 307
Bedonie, United States v., 44
Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey, 41, 485,
799
Bek, United States v., 1111
Bello, United States v., 928
Bellucci, United States v., 578
Bemis v. Edwards, 731, 749, 811
Benedetto, United States v., 1005
Benedi v. McNeil-P.P.C., Inc., 501
Bennett, United States v. (3d Cir. 1998),
574
Bennett, United States v. (9th Cir.
2004), 424, 428
Bensinger Co., United States v., 651
Berber-Tinoco, United States v., 928
Bernier v. Board of County Road Com-
missioners, 211
Beros, United States v., 989
Berrios, United States v., 600
Berry v. City of Detroit, 468
Bevill, Bresler & Schulman Asset Mgt.
Corp., In re, 1059
Bey, United States v., 1037
Bigesby, United States v., 714
Bigham, United States v., 616
Bintz, State v., 853
Black v. Food Lion, Inc., 502
Blackman, United States v., 629
Blakey, United States v., 734
Bland, United States v., 790
Blechman, United States v., 791
Blevins v. New Holland North Amer-
ica, 525
Blinzler v. Marriott Int'l, 105
Bobkoski v. Board of Educ. of Cary
Consol. Sch. Dist., 1137
Bocra, United States v., 989
Bogan, United States v., 451
Bohrer, United States v., 806, 807
Bond, United States v., 27
Bondie v. Bic Corp., 792
Bonds, United States v., 345, 654
Borawick v. Shay, 97
Boston, City of, v. S.S. Texaco Texas,
943
Bouchard, State v., 77
Bourjaily v. United States, 293, 485, 487,
518, 656, 851
Bowden v. McKenna, 123
Boyce, United States v., 731, 738, 825

- Boykin v. Alabama, 204
Brackeen, United States v., 965
Bradley, United States v., 835
Branch, United States v., 356
Branzburg v. Hayes, 1119, 1120, 1123,
1125
Brashier, United States v., 976
Braun v. Lorillard Inc., 836
Bray, United States v., 434
Brazos River Auth. v. GE Ionics, Inc.,
180
Brecht v. Abrahamson, 36
Brennan's, Inc. v. Brennan's Rest.,
L.L.C., 927
Brinson, United States v., 383
Briscoe, United States v., 796
Broadspring, Inc. v. Congoo, LLC, 382
Brock, United States v., 1101
Bromwich, People v., 881
Brooks, United States v., 937
Broomfield, United States v., 390
Brown, United States v. (7th Cir. 1994),
573
Brown, United States v. (D.C. Cir.
1973), 758, 763
Brugnara, United States v., 69
Bruguier, United States v., 237
Brunsting v. Lutsen Mountains Corp.,
747
Bruton v. United States, 851, 897
Buchanan, United States v., 416
Buck v. Thomas Cooley Law School,
937
Budziak, United States v., 64
Bumpass, United States v., 714
Burch, United States v., 209
Burdulis, United States v., 832
Burge, United States v., 836
Burks, United States v., 235
Burnett, State v., 1129
Burr, United States v., 1136
Burroughs, United States v., 937
Bush, United States v., 361
Butler, United States v., 260
Byers v. Burleson, 1066
Byrd, United States v., 1102
Cabrera-Rivera, United States v., 859
Caldwell, United States v., 267, 967
California v. Green, 843, 851
Cameron v. Otto Bock Orthopedic
Industry, Inc., 174, 178
Cameron, United States v., 976
Campbell, United States v. (5th Cir.
1996), 1060
Campbell, United States v. (6th Cir.
1988), 435
Campos, United States v., 574
Cann v. Ford Motor Co., 173, 179
Cantu, United States v., 585
Caporale, United States v., 1119
Caraballo, United States v., 806
Cardascia, United States v., 762
Carey, United States v., 90
Carney, United States v., 293
Caro, United States v., 592
Carpenter, United States v., 90
Carr v. Deeds, 637
Carroll, United States v., 250
Carson v. Polley, 349
Carter, United States v., 279
Cartier v. Jackson, 424
Casas, United States v., 441
Castello, United States v., 64
Castillo, United States v. (2d Cir. 1991),
476
Castillo, United States v. (9th Cir.
1999), 1003
Catabran, United States v., 398, 399
Cates v. Morgan Portable Bldg. Corp.,
185, 191
Causey, United States v., 969
Cavallo v. Star Enter., 503
Cendant Corp. Sec. Litig., In re, 1070
Center for Auto Safety v. Department of
Justice, 189
Cephus, United States v., 13
Cerno, United States v., 120, 121

- Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London v. Sinkovich, 794
- Cestnik, United States v., 790, 792
- CFTC v. Weintraub, 1059
- Chambers v. Mississippi, 156, 157
- Chapman v. California, 36
- Charter v. Chleborad, 212
- Chase v. General Motors Corp., 173
- Check, United States v., 603
- Cherry, United States v. (10th Cir. 2000), 724
- Cherry, United States v. (7th Cir. 1991), 620
- Childs, United States v., 785
- Chnapkova v. Koh, 991
- Chong Won Tai, United States v., 253
- Christie v. Brewer, 983
- Ciresi, United States v., 664
- Citizens Bank & Trust v. LPS Nat'l Flood, LLC, 381
- City of (See Name of City)**
- Claar v. Burlington N.R.R., 519, 524
- Clark, United States v., 37
- Cline v. City of Mansfield, 937
- Clinical Leasing Serv., United States v., 16
- Clonts, United States v., 340
- Cloverland-Green Spring Dairies, Inc. v. Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board, 578
- Coakley & Williams v. Structural Concrete Equip., 185
- Coburn Group v. Whitecap Advisors LLC, 1071
- Cohen, United States v., 993
- Cole, United States v., 989
- Coleman v. American Broadcasting Co., 1045
- Coleman, United States v., 1019
- Collins v. Kibort, 777, 785
- Collins v. Wayne Corp., 1014
- Collins, People v., 549
- Collis, United States v., 1044
- Colon, United States v., 168
- Commonwealth v. (See Name of Commonwealth)**
- Cone, United States v., 797
- Coohey, United States v., 377
- Cook v. Hoppin, 768, 771
- Cook v. McDonough Power Equip., Inc., 177
- Cordoba, United States v., 36
- Cornett, United States v., 670
- Cortes-Irizarry v. Corporacion Insular, 524
- Cosden Oil & Chem. Co. v. Karl O. Helm A.G., 92
- Cote, United States v., 109
- County Court of Ulster v. Allen, 943
- Coursey v. Broadhurst, 977
- Covelli, United States v., 988
- Cowden v. BNSF Ry, 183
- Cox, United States v. (5th Cir. 1976), 977
- Cox, United States v. (6th Cir. 2017), 623
- Coy v. Iowa, 909
- Crabtree, United States v., 566
- Crane v. Kentucky, 156, 157
- Crawford v. Washington, 580, 827, 844, 859, 872, 875, 876
- Creaghe v. Iowa Home Mutual Casualty Co., 576
- Crisp, United States v., 533, 534
- Croskey v. BMW of No. Am., Inc., 190
- Crowder, United States v., 169
- Crowe v. Marchand, 560
- Cruz, United States v. (2d Cir. 1992), 476
- Cruz, United States v. (2d Cir. 2004), 476
- Cruz, United States v. (11th Cir. 1985), 731
- Cunningham, United States v. (4th Cir. 1981), 967
- Cunningham, United States v. (11th Cir. 1999), 122
- Curtin, United States v., 133, 135

- Cuthbertson, United States v., 1119
D'Angelo v. United States, 410
Dahlin, United States v., 242
Danaipour v. McLarey, 769
Dancy, United States v., 805
Daneshvar, United States v., 382, 797
Dang Vang v. Vang Xiong X. Toyed, 475
Dargan, United States v., 717, 908
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 107, 483, 489, 500, 505, 509, 514, 518, 519, 520, 521, 523, 927
David v. Pueblo Supermarket, 745
Davignon v. Clemmey, 768
Davis v. Alaska, 1011, 1014
Davis v. Washington, 859, 869
Davis, United States v. (3d Cir. 1999), 985
Davis, United States v. (11th Cir. 1988), 572
De Parias, United States v., 147
Dean, United States v. (9th Cir. 1992), 586
Dean, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1993), 378
Deary v. City of Gloucester, 990
DeBoer, United States v., 434
DeJohn, United States v., 357
Delaware v. Fensterer, 626, 913
Delaware v. Van Arsdall, 1015
DeLeon, United States v., 835
Delgado, United States v., 203
Delgado-Marrero, United States v., 986
DeLillo, United States v., 953
Dellwood Farms, Inc. v. Cargill, Inc., 1059
Delpit, United States v., 225
DeLuca v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 566
Delvecchio, United States v., 756
DeMarco v. Ohio Decorative Products, Inc., 409
Demott, United States v., 586
Dennis, United States v., 615, 951
Denny v. Hutchinson Sales Corp., 810
Detection Sys., Inc. v. Pittway Corp., 1049
Diallo, United States v., 475
Diaz, United States v. (3d Cir. 2020), 448
Diaz, United States v. (9th Cir. 1992), 230
Diaz, United States v. (N.D. Cal. 2007), 540
Diaz-Lopez, United States v., 427
Dickens v. State, 387
Diehl v. Blaw-Knox, 182
Dillon v. Nissan Motor Co., Ltd., 300
Dimanche v. Mass. Bay Transp. Auth., 30
Dimora, United States v., 299
DiNapoli, United States v., 692, 694
Dinkins, United States v., 721
Dior, United States v., 931
DiPaolo, United States v., 959
DiSalvo, United States v., 64
Diversified Industries v. Meredith, 1061
Dixon v. International Harvester Co., 182
Dobbins v. Crain Brothers, Inc., 212
Dodd, In re, 943
Doe by Rudy-Glanzer v. Glanzer, 328, 333
Doe, United States v. (1st Cir. 2013), 253
Doerr, United States v., 836
Dollar v. Long Mfg., N.C. Inc., 115
Doster, State v., 1053
Dotson, United States v., 780
Douglas v. Alabama, 626
Dowdell, United States v., 805
Downing, United States v., 486, 487
Dozie, United States v., 369
Dube, United States v., 1118
Duffy, United States v., 416
Dunnican, United States v., 435
Duran, United States v., 322
Dutton v. Evans, 843, 848, 849, 851
Eads, United States v., 123
Eagle, United States v., 108

- Earls, United States v., 256, 451
Eastridge Development Co. v. Halpert Associates, Inc., 66
Ebron, United States v., 712, 717
Eclectic Properties East, LLC v. Marcus & Millichap Co., 927
Edwards, United States v. (5th Cir. 2012), 1046
Edwards, United States v. (9th Cir. 1998), 45
Edwards, United States v. (9th Cir. 2000), 341
Edwards, United States v. (11th Cir. 1987), 573
EEOC v. Delta Airlines, Inc., 927
EEOC v. Gear Petroleum, Inc., 185
Eisen, United States v., 953
Elbert, United States v., 322
Ellis, United States v., 885
Ellzey, United States v., 108
El-Mezain, United States v., 661
Encarnacion-LaFontaine, United States v., 396
Engrebetsen v. Fairchild Aircraft Corp., 566
Enterline, United States v., 805
EPA v. Mink, 1137
Erie, In re County of, 1046
Espino, United States v., 455
Espinosa, United States v., 457
Estrada, United States v., 977
Evans v. Jeff D., 204
Evans, United States v., 84, 448
F.P., Interest of, 385
Fagan, United States v., 419
Faison, United States v., 681
Farmer, United States v., 967
Feldberg, In re, 1037
Feliz, United States v., 807
Ferguson v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 70
Ferra, United States v., 663
Ferrad, United States v., 396
Fiberglass Insulators, Inc. v. Dupuy, 192
Field, United States v., 976
Fields, United States v., 668
Figueroa-Cartagena, United States v., 908
Figueroa-Lopez, United States v., 455, 459
Finchum v. Ford Motor Co., 145
Finestone, United States v., 122
First Nat'l State Bank v. Reliance Elec. Co., 475
Fish v. Georgia-Pacific Corp., 179
Fisher v. United States 1033
Flaharty, United States v., 990
Flaminio v. Honda Motor Company, Ltd., 174, 177, 181
Flores-de-Jesús, United States v., 441
Flournoy v. City of Chicago, 841
Fluker, United States v., 381, 383
Fonseca, United States v., 30
Foster, United States v. (6th Cir. 1997), 693, 694
Foster, United States v. (11th Cir. 2018), 64
Foster, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1993), 105
Fountain, United States v., 586
Four Million, Two Hundred Fifty-Five Thousand Dollars, United States v., 927
Fowler, United States v., 72
Fralely v. Rockwell Int'l Corp., 803
Franco, United States v., 785
Franklin National Bank Securities Litigation, In re, 1137
Frazier v. Continental Oil Co., 475
Frederick v. Kirby Tankships, Inc., 31
Frederick, United States v., 1038
Freeman, United States v., 663
Freidin, United States v., 795
Freidus v. First National Bank of Council Bluffs, 190
Fryberg, United States v., 805
Frye v. United States, 482, 484, 505
FTC v. Amy Travel Serv., 840

- FTC v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharms., Inc., 1040
- Fuentes-Cariaga, United States v., 476
- Fun-Damental Too, Ltd. v. Gemmy Indus. Corp., 590
- Funk v. United States, 1087
- Fusco v. Gen. Motors Corp., 144
- Fusco, United States v., 985
- Gagliardi, United States v., 393
- Gaines, United States v., 973
- Galarnyk v. Hostmark Management, Inc., 193
- Gamory, United States v., 135
- Gann, United States v., 1042
- Garcia, United States v., 713
- Gardner v. Galetka, 123
- Garland v. Herrin, 110
- Garner v. Wolfenbarger, 1057
- Garvey v. Dickinson College, 307
- Gauthier v. AMF, Inc., 174, 179
- Gaviria v. Reynolds, 497
- Gay, State v., 156
- General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 369, 489, 521
- George v. Celotex Corp., 583
- Gibbs, United States v., 476
- Gibson, United States v., 443
- Gil, United States v., 645
- Gilbert v. Cosco, Inc., 145
- Gilbert, United States v., 953
- Giles v. California, 894
- Gillock, United States v., 1107
- Gilmore v. Palestinian Interim Self-Gov't Auth., 718
- Ginter v. Northwestern Mutual Life Ins. Co., 218, 219
- Gladish, United States v., 573
- Glaser v. Thompson Med. Co., 501
- Glaser v. United States, 658
- Glenn, United States v., 443, 465
- Glowczenski v. Taser Intern., Inc., 827
- Glynn, United States v., 538
- Goldin, United States v., 356
- Gomez, United States v. (7th Cir. 2014), 243, 249, 253, 300
- Gonzales v. National Broadcasting Co., 1119, 1120
- Gora v. Costa, 978
- Gorby v. Schneider Tank Lines, Inc., 443
- Gorman, United States v., 262, 298
- Gosier v. Welborn, 64
- Gotti, United States v., 199
- Gould, United States v., 933
- Government of (See Name of Government)**
- Grady, United States v., 806
- Graham v. Wyeth Labs., 820
- Graham, United States v. (4th Cir. 2013), 672
- Graham, United States v. (5th Cir. 1988), 587, 588, 1023
- Grand Jury Investigation, In re (2d Cir. 2005), 1052
- Grand Jury Investigation, In re (3d Cir. 1990), 1112
- Grand Jury Matter No. 91-01386, In re, 1041
- Grand Jury Proceedings, In re (3d Cir. 1997), 1112, 1146
- Grand Jury Proceedings, In re (4th Cir. 1994), 1042
- Grand Jury Proceedings, In re (6th Cir. 1987), 1119, 1120
- Grand Jury Proceedings, In re (9th Cir. 1993), 1119
- Grand Jury Proceedings, In re (9th Cir. 1996), 1044, 1045
- Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum, In re, 1039
- Grand Jury Subpoena, In re, 1059
- Grand Jury Subpoena, Judith Miller, In re, 1121
- Grand Jury Subpoena, Peek, In re, 1037
- Grand Jury, In re, 1044
- Grant, United States v. (2d Cir. 1992), 340

- Grant, United States v. (11th Cir. 2001), 1021
- Gray v. Maryland, 902
- Gray, United States v. (4th Cir. 2005), 723, 724
- Gray, United States v. (5th Cir. 2012), 354
- Green, United States v. (3d Cir. 2009), 731
- Green, United States v. (3d Cir. 2010), 297
- Green, United States v. (7th Cir. 2001), 777
- Green, United States v. (7th Cir.1982), 758
- Green, United States v. (11th Cir.1994), 260
- Green, United States v. (D. Mass. 2005), 540
- Greenidge, United States v., 1005
- Greenspan, United States v., 34
- Gregory, State v., 156
- Grenada Steel Industries, Inc., v. Alabama Oxygen Co., Inc., 174, 177
- Grier, United States v., 105
- Griffin v. California, 63
- Griffin, In re, 422
- Grizzle v. Travelers Health Network, Inc., 45
- Grunewald v. United States, 671
- Gual Morales v. Hernandez Vega, 756
- Guam, People of the Territory of, v. Shymanovitz, 128
- Guenther v. Armstrong Rubber Co., 554
- Guerrero, United States v., 35
- Gulf States Utils. Co. v. Ecodyne Corp., 124
- Gupta, United States v., 230, 715
- Gutman, United States v., 80
- Guzman-Montanez, United States v., 120, 122
- Habibi, United States v., 256, 466
- Haddad, United States v., 635
- Haddock, United States v., 422
- Haight, United States v., 372
- Hal Roach Studios v. Richard Feiner & Co., 836, 841
- Hall v. American Bakeries Co., 90
- Hall, United States v., 835
- Halloran v. Virginia Chemicals Inc., 307
- Hamilton, United States v., 1103
- Haney v. Mizell Memorial Hosp., 15
- Hankins, United States v., 124
- Hannigan, United States v., 554
- Hans, United States v., 976
- Harcon Barge Co. v. D & G Boat Rentals, Inc., 927
- Hardin v. Ski Venture, Inc., 472
- Harding v. State, 94
- Harris, United States v. (7th Cir. 1990), 654
- Harris, United States v. (9th Cir. 1984), 976
- Harrison v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 181, 182
- Hart v. O'Brien, 83
- Hartford Fire Ins. Co. v. Garvey, 1067
- Harvey, United States v. (7th Cir. 1997), 338, 372
- Harvey, United States v. (8th Cir. 1978), 976
- Harwood, United States v., 637
- Haugh v. Schroder Inv. Mgmt. North Am. Inc., 1043
- Hawkins v. United States, 1085, 1086, 1087
- Hayes, United States v., 963
- Haynes v. White County, Ark., 842
- Healthcare Corp. Billing Practices Litigation, 1061
- Heard, United States v., 310, 989
- Hearst, United States v., 257
- Hebeka, United States v., 620
- Hefferon, United States v., 746
- Heller v. Shaw Industries, Inc., 520, 521

- Hemphill v. Washington Metro. Area Transit Auth., 989
- Henry, United States v., 383
- Henthorn, United States v., 280, 294
- Heriot v. Byrne, 1073, 1075
- Herrington v. Hiller, 122
- Heyne v. Caruso, 300
- Hickey, United States v., 82, 84
- Hicks, United States v. (4th Cir. 1984), 15
- Hicks, United States v. (7th Cir. 2011), 264
- Hiern v. Sarpy, 497
- High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litig., In re, 496
- Hill v. Roller, 108
- Hill v. Spiegel, Inc., 650
- Hill, United States v., 231
- Hilyer v. Howat Concrete Co., 731
- Hines v. Brandon Steel Decks, Inc., 808, 809, 810
- Hoffman, United States v., 956
- Hoffner, United States v., 439
- Holmes v. South Carolina, 155
- Hoosier, United States v., 639
- Hopson v. City of Baltimore, 1066, 1067, 1069
- Horton, United States v., 127
- Hoselton v. Metz Baking Co., 792
- Hove, United States v., 645
- Howard, United States v., 411, 418, 419
- Hubbard, United States v., 1042
- Huddleston v. United States, 29, 290, 299
- Hughes v. United States, 933
- Hunt, United States v., 690
- Hurd, State v., 94
- Hyson, United States v., 46
- Ibern-Maldonado, United States v., 671
- In re (See Name of Party or Matter)**
- In re County of (See Name of County)**
- In the Matter of (See Name of Matter or Party)**
- Ince, United States v., 952
- Ingraham, United States v., 372
- Interest of (See Name of Party)**
- Ira Green, Inc. v. Military Sales & Service Co., 797
- Iron Shell, United States v., 767
- Irons v. FBI, 19
- Irvin, United States v. (7th Cir. 1996), 121
- Irvin, United States v. (10th Cir. 2012), 433
- Isabella, United States v., 388
- Iu, United States v., 623
- Jackman, United States v., 451
- Jackson v. Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., 141
- Jackson, United States v., 600
- Jacobs, United States v., 1045, 1059
- Jaffee v. Redmond, 1104, 1126, 1148
- James Julian, Inc. v. Raytheon Co., 91
- James, United States v., 654
- Jaramillo-Suarez, United States v., 588
- Jarrett v. United States, 959
- Jass, United States v., 909
- Jefferson, United States v. (5th Cir. 2010), 976, 981
- Jefferson, United States v. (8th Cir. 2000), 670
- Jimenez Lopez, United States v., 354, 355
- Joe, United States v., 770
- John Doe Corp., In re, 1061
- John Doe Grand Jury Investigation, In re, 1053
- Johns-Manville/Asbestosis Cases, In re, 688
- Johns-Manville/Asbestosis Cases, In the Matter of, 687
- Johnson v. Ford Motor Co., 433
- Johnson v. Zerbst, 204
- Johnson, State v., 632
- Johnson, United States v. (3d Cir. 2002), 969
- Johnson, United States v. (3d Cir. 2004), 977

- Johnson, United States v. (D. Conn. 2015), 640
- Johnson, United States v. (S.D.N.Y. 2019), 528
- Joint Eastern Dist. and Southern Dist. Asbestos Litig. v. Armstrong World Indust., Inc., In re, 173
- Jones v. Southern P. Railroad, 303, 307, 311
- Jones, United States v. (2d Cir. 1992), 412
- Jones, United States v. (5th Cir. 1981), 578
- Jones, United States v. (6th Cir. 1997), 523
- Jordan v. United States DOJ, 1137
- Josefik, United States v., 205
- Joshi, United States v., 645
- Judd v. Rodman, 312
- Jung, United States v., 654
- Kairys, United States v., 815
- Kaiser, United States v., 795
- Kalish, United States v., 224
- Kamahele, United States v., 893
- Kane, United States v., 953
- Kannankeril v. Terminix Int'l, Inc., 501, 519
- Karl v. Burlington N. R. Co., 66
- Kassel v. Gannett Co., 786
- Katsougrakis, United States v., 592
- Kehm v. Procter & Gamble Mfg. Co., 809, 810
- Keiser, United States v., 232
- Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., In re, 91, 1039
- Kelly v. Crown Equipment Co., 173
- Kennedy, United States v., 991
- Kentucky v. Stincer, 627
- Kepner-Tregoe v. Leadership Software, Inc., 578
- Khan, United States v., 362
- Kielar, United States v., 952
- Kimball, United States v., 676
- King, United States v., 119
- Kingston, United States v., 363
- Kirk v. Raymark Indus., Inc., 649, 836
- Knife, United States v., 748
- Knight v. Miami-Dade County, 7
- Koecher, Appeal of, 1102, 1103
- Koon, United States v., 454
- Kostelecky v. NL Acme Tool/NL Industries, Inc., 452
- Kotteakos v. United States, 615
- Kovel, United States v., 1043
- Krapp, United States v., 239
- Kumho Tire Company, Ltd. v. Carmichael, 509, 518, 519, 520, 522, 523
- Lamonica v. Safe Hurricane Shutters, Inc., 680
- Lampley, United States v., 260
- Lanci, United States v., 83
- Landsberg v. Scrabble Crossword Game Players, Inc., 607
- Langford, United States v., 785
- LaPierre, United States v., 451
- Lara, United States v., 19
- Lard, State v., 1098
- Larez v. City of Los Angeles, 829
- Lawal, United States v., 755
- Lawes v. CSA Architects and Engineers LLP, 498
- Lawrence, United States v., 698, 835
- Lawson, United States v. (2d Cir. 1982), 201
- Lawson, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 2005), 253
- Lawson, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 2007), 355
- Leake, United States v., 991, 992
- Leal-Del Carmen, United States v., 726
- Lebowitz, United States v., 399
- LeFevour, United States v., 635
- Legg v. Chopra, 46
- Lehder-Rivas, United States v., 36
- Lemay, United States v., 326
- Lennon v. Norfolk and Western Ry. Co., 526
- Leonard-Allen, United States v., 41

- Lester, United States v., 972, 976
Leucadia, Inc. v. Reliance Ins. Co., 91
Levine, United States v., 411
Lewis, United States v. (7th Cir. 1992), 635
Lewis, United States v. (7th Cir. 2011), 712
Lewis, United States v. (8th Cir. 1993), 621
Lewis, United States v. (9th Cir. 1987), 928
LiCausi, United States v., 670
Liebman, United States v., 1041
Lightly, United States v., 960
Lillie v. United States, 69
Lilly v. Virginia, 851, 853
Linde v. Arab Bank PLC, 717
Lindemann, United States v., 985
Lindsey, In re, 1048, 1050
Lindstrom, United States v., 960
Lipscomb, United States v., 967
Liriano, United States v., 858
Lisenba v. California, 286
Livingston, United States v., 612
Llera Plaza, United States v., 537, 539
Lloyd v. American Export Lines, Inc., 688
Lockhart v. McCotter, 337, 340
Lois Sportswear, U.S.A., Inc. v. Levi Strauss & Co., 1067
Looper, United States v., 71
Lopez v. Missouri, K. & T. R. Co., 410
Lorraine v. Markel Am. Ins. Co., 383, 384, 385
Lott, United States v., 877, 885
Love, United States v., 599
Lowery, United States v., 111
Loyola-Dominguez, United States v., 805
Luce v. United States, 27, 963
Lundy, United States v., 387, 399
Lust by & Through Lust v. Merrell Dow Pharms., 521
Lust v. Sealy, Inc., 732, 740
Lyle, United States v., 210
Lyondell Chem. Co. v. Occidental Chem. Corp. 192
M.B.A.F.B. Federal Credit Union v. Cumis Ins. Soc’y, 83
Macaulay v. Anas, 18
MacCloskey, United States v., 676, 677
MacDonald, United States v., 715
Macumber, State v., 1053
Madden, In re, 1119
Madden, United States v., 257
Maher, United States v., 457
Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Ctr., Inc., 633, 634, 646
Mahone, United States v., 337
Malkin v. United States, 424
Manafzadeh, United States v., 634
Mangual-Santiago, United States v., 666, 667
Manley, United States v., 400
Manocchio v. Moran, 562
Mansaw, United States v., 989
Marbury v. Madison, 1133
Markopoulos, United States v., 588
Marrowbone, United States v., 737
Marshall v. Postal Serv., 91
Martin v. Funtime, Inc., 435
Martin v. United States, 614
Martinez, United States v. (5th Cir. 1992), 32
Martinez, United States v. (7th Cir. 1993), 235
Martinez, United States v. (9th Cir. 1999), 276
Martins v. 3PD, Inc., 938
Marvel Characters, Inc. v. Kirby, 560
Maryland v. Craig, 911
Mascio, United States v., 306
Mathis, United States v., 685
Mattox v. United States (U.S. 1892), 697
Mattox v. United States (U.S. 1895), 626, 843, 850, 851, 856, 913
Mauldin v. Upjohn Co., 956

- Maxwell, United States v. (7th Cir. 2013), 893
- Maxwell, United States v. (11th Cir. 2009), 21
- McCann v. The George W. Newman Irrevocable Trust, 939
- McCarthy, United States v., 663
- McCleskey, United States v., 715
- McClure v. State, 583
- McCormack v. Hiedeman, 937
- McCray v. Illinois, 1128
- McCulloch v. H.B. Fuller Co. (2d Cir. 1992), 471
- McCulloch v. H.B. Fuller Co. (2d Cir.1995), 501
- McElroy, United States v., 735
- McFall, United States v., 693
- McGlory, United States v., 372
- McGregor, United States v., 116
- McHenry v. Chadwick, 967
- McHorse, United States v., 242
- McInnis v. A.M.F., Inc., 176
- McIntosh, United States v., 375
- McIntyre, United States v., 588, 791
- McKenna, United States v., 16
- McKeon, United States v., 652
- McKevitt v. Pallasch, 1118
- McKim v. Philadelphia Transp. Co., 956
- McMahon, United States v., 370
- McNeill, United States v., 949
- McQueeney v. Wilmington Trust Co., 36, 113
- McVeigh, United States v., 148
- Meder v. Everest & Jennings, Inc., 731, 749
- Medical Therapy Sciences, Inc., United States v., 1016
- Mehl v. EPA, 1137
- Meises, United States v., 83, 440, 448, 589
- Mejia, United States v., 1042
- Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts, 339, 813, 876
- Merrill, United States v., 202
- Mersch v. City of Dallas, 96
- Meza-Urtado, United States v., 15
- Mezzanatto, United States v., 203
- Michelson v. United States, 219, 226, 231
- Michigan v. Bryant, 859
- Middleton v. Harris Press & Shear, Inc., 183
- Midgett, United States v., 7
- Milham, United States v., 1003
- Miller v. Keating, 749
- Miller v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 1026
- Miller, United States v. (7th Cir. 2012), 169, 261, 299, 300
- Miller, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1990), 693, 694
- Mills, United States v., 718
- Milward v. Acuity Specialty Products Group, Inc., 496
- Mines, United States v., 714
- Minter v. Prime Equip. Co., 181, 182
- Misek-Falkoff v. International Bus. Machs. Corp., 1038
- Mitchell, United States v. (3d Cir. 2004), 534, 535, 536
- Mitchell, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 2016), 339
- Mitroff v. Xomox Corp., 571
- Mohamed v. Jeppesen Dataplan, Inc., 1145
- Mohr, United States v., 465
- Mojica-Baez, United States v., 671
- Monserrate-Valentin, United States v., 714
- Montague, United States v., 620
- Monteiro, United States v., 539
- Monteleone, United States v., 240
- Montes v. Macomber, 63
- Moore v. Ashland Chemical, Inc., 520
- Moore, United States v. (7th Cir. 1986), 740, 741, 746, 748
- Moore, United States v. (7th Cir. 1997), 252

- Morales v. Artuz, 921
Morales, United States v. (9th Cir. 1997), 574
Morales, United States v. (9th Cir. 2013), 811
Morgan v. Foretich, 77, 746, 771
Morgan, United States v. (2d Cir. 1977), 241
Morgan, United States v. (2d Cir. 2004), 834
Morgan, United States v. (2d Cir. 2015), 127
Morgan, United States v. (7th Cir. 2019), 279
Morgan, United States v. (10th Cir. 2014), 671
Morlang, United States v., 952
Morrison, United States v., 7
Morrow, United States v., 908
Moses, United States v. (3d Cir. 1998), 716
Moses, United States v. (8th Cir.1994), 737
Moss v. Ole South Real Estate Inc., 811
Moss, United States v., 738
Mullaney v. Wilbur, 946
Murphy, United States v. (6th Cir. 1988), 64
Murphy, United States v. (9th Cir. 1995), 1101
Mutual Life Insurance Co. of New York v. Hillmon, 751, 761, 762
Muzyka v. Remington Arms Co., 182
Myers v. Pennzoil Co., 191
Nachtsheim v. Beech Aircraft Corp., 137, 809
Napier, United States v., 859
Nasser, United States v., 921
Neal, United States v., 1093
Nellis v. Air Line Pilots Ass'n, 1058
Nelson v. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., 525
Neville Construction Co. v. Cook Paint and Varnish, 422
New Jersey Turnpike Auth. v. PPG Indus., 809
New Mexico, State of, v. Gutierrez, 1090
New York Renu with Moistureloc Prod. Liab. Litig., In re, 1038
Nguyen v. Excel Corp., 1066
Nieves, People v., 698
Nimely v. City of New York, 477
Nixon, United States v. (U.S. 1974), 1051, 1132
Nixon, United States v. (5th Cir. 1985), 242
NLRB v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 1136
Noel, United States v., 454
Nolan v. Memphis City Schools, 179
Norman, United States v., 819
Norquay, United States v., 585
North Miss. Commun., Inc. v. Jones, 680
North, United States v., 1146
Norwood, United States v., 584
Noushfar, United States v., 36
NXIVM Corp. v. O'Hara, 1043
O'Conner v. Commonwealth Edison Co., 523
O'Dell v. Hercules, Inc., 810
O'Malley, United States v., 989
O'Neal v. Morgan, 364
Oates, United States v., 805
Ocasio-Ruiz, United States v., 713, 714
Odmark v. Westside Bancorp., Inc., 1060
Odom, United States v., 73
Ohio v. Clark, 870
Ohio v. Roberts, 845, 851, 855, 856, 870, 872, 875, 913
Ohler v. United States, 28, 974
Olano, United States v. (U.S. 1993), 37
Olano, United States v. (9th Cir. 1995), 1041
Old Chief v. United States, 29, 160, 247, 263
Olden v. Kentucky, 323
Olson, United States v., 202

- Olsson, United States v., 992
Omar, United States v., 694
Opus 3 Ltd. v. Heritage Park, Inc., 1024
Orantes-Hernandez v. Smith, 927
Ordonez, United States v., 644
Orozco-Santillan, United States v., 364
Orrico, United States v., 616
Ortega, United States v., 892
Ortiz, United States v., 27
Osazuwa, United States v., 982
Osburn v. Anchor Labs., 471
Oti, United States v., 570
Owens, United States v., 625
Owens-El, United States v., 83
Pace, United States v., 296
Pacheco, United States v., 769
Pacific Pictures Corp., In re, 1061
Page, United States v., 989
Paguio, United States v., 705, 714
Paiva, United States v., 68
Palmer v. Hoffman, 793, 803, 881
Palmieri v. DeFaria, 27
Palumbo, United States v., 616
Pandit v. Am. Honda Motor Co., 143
Paoli R.R. Yard PCB Litig., In re, 503, 521, 524
Papajohn, United States v., 853
Pappas v. Middle Earth Condominium Ass'n, 652
Park v. Huff, 597
Parker, United States v. (4th Cir. 1987), 1101
Parker, United States v. (5th 1998), 361
Parr v. United States, 164
Pastore, United States v., 369
Patrick, United States v., 791
Patterson v. New York, 946
Paulus, United States v., 191
Pearce v. E.F. Hutton Group, Inc., 809
Pelster v. Ray, 589
Peltier, United States v., 125
Pelton & Rich, United States v., 674
Pelullo, United States v., 433
Pena-Gutierrez, United States v., 806
Pena-Rodriguez v. Colorado, 48
People of the Territory of (See Name of Territory)
People v. (See Name of Defendant)
Peralta, United States v., 661
Perez, In re, 1131
Perez, United States v., 927
Perez-Ruiz, United States v., 31
Perkins, United States v., 462, 568
Perrin v. Anderson, 308
Persico, United States v., 712, 713
Persinger v. Norfolk & W. Ry., 476
Peterman, United States v., 953
Peters v. Five Star Marine Service, 476
Peters, United States v., 279
Petersen v. Boeing Co., 927
Peterson v. City of Plymouth, 570
Peterson, United States v., 676, 677
Peveto, United States v., 588
Peyro, United States v., 83
Phibbs, United States v., 78
Philadelphia v. Westinghouse Electric Corp., 1034
Phillips v. Western Co. of N. Am., 104
Pierce v. Atchison T. & S.F. Ry., 786
Pierce v. F.R. Tripler & Co., 186
Pierce v. Ramsey Winch Co., 435
Pitocchelli, United States v., 17
Pitts, United States v., 367
Plaza, United States v., 539
Plummer v. Springfield Term. Ry., 66
Pointer v. Texas, 843, 851
Polidore, United States v., 728, 750
Pollard, United States v., 768
Pollock, United States v., 260
Pomona, City of, v. SQM N. Am. Corp. 30
Ponzo, United States v., 671
Portsmouth Paving Corp., United States v., 649
Potter, United States v., 254
Powers, United States v. (4th Cir. 1995), 120

- Powers, United States v. (7th Cir. 1996), 83
- Prentiss & Carlisle v. Koehring-Waterous, 179
- Prewitt, United States v., 184
- Price, United States v., 340
- Prieto, United States v., 339
- Pritchard-Keang Nam Corp. v. Jaworski, 1046
- Pritchett, United States v., 69
- Proano, United States v., 81
- Probus v. K-Mart, Inc., 179
- Providence Journal Co. v. United States Dep't of the Army, 1137
- Provost, United States v., 769
- Pruitt, United States v., 364
- Puckett, United States v., 7
- Puerta Restrepo, United States v., 364
- Quarles v. Department of Navy, 1137
- Quinn, United States v., 507
- Quintel Corp., N.V. v. Citibank, N.A., 1058
- R. v. (See Name of Defendant)**
- Ragghianti, United States v., 614
- Railroad Management Company LLC v. CFS Louisiana Midstream Co., 420
- Rajala v. McGuire Woods, LLP, 1077
- Ramada Development Co. v. Rauch, 186
- Rambus, Inc. v. Infineon Technologies AG, 377
- Ramey v. Shalala, 566
- Ramirez, United States v., 78
- Ramos-Oseguera, United States v., 1102
- Ramrattan v. Burger King Corp., 767
- Raskin v. Wyatt Co., 554
- Raymond v. Raymond Corp., 173
- Raynor v. Merrell Pharms., Inc., 501
- Reaves, United States v., 4
- Redditt, United States v., 990
- Reed v. Baxter, 41, 1042
- Reed v. Thalacker, 737, 738
- Reed, United States v., 992
- Reeder, United States v., 1045
- Reeves, United States v., 672
- Reid Bros. Logging Co. v. Ketchikan Pulp Co., 649
- Reid, United States v., 279
- Reilly, United States v., 377
- Relion, Inc. v. Hydra Fuel Cell Corp., 1075
- Reme, United States v., 288
- Renville, United States v., 767, 769
- Revels v. Vincenz, 960
- Reyes v. Missouri Pacific Railway Co., 309
- Reynolds, United States v., 1138, 1139, 1140, 1141, 1144
- Rhode Island v. Innis, 850
- Ricardo B., People v., 908
- Richard Roe, Inc., In re, 1046
- Richards, United States v., 275
- Richardson v. Marsh, 897
- Riley, United States v., 785
- Rios, United States v., 892
- Rivera, United States v. (2d Cir. 1994), 443
- Rivera, United States v. (9th Cir. 1995), 746
- Roach, United States v., 471
- Roberson v. United States, 15
- Roberson, United States v., 1102
- Roberts, United States v., 230, 231
- Robertson, United States v., 1027
- Robinson, United States v. (7th Cir. 1998), 252
- Robinson, United States v. (10th Cir. 1992), 293
- Robles v. Exxon Corp., 67
- Rocha, United States v., 255
- Rock v. Arkansas, 95, 96, 157, 317
- Rock v. Huffco Gas & Oil Co., 765
- Rockwell Int'l, United States v., 1038
- Rodriguez v. Banco Cent. Corp., 15
- Rodriguez-Garcia, United States v., 15
- Rodriguez-Hernandez v. Miranda-Velez, 314
- Roenigk, United States v., 29

- Rogers v. McMackin, 908
Rogers, United States v. (7th Cir. 2009), 332
Rogers, United States v. (8th Cir. 1976), 996
Rollins, United States v., 556
Romero v. State, 921
Rommy, United States v., 778
Rosario-Pérez, United States v., 709
Rosemond, United States v., 210
Rosenburg v. Lincoln American Life Ins. Co., 307
Rosenfeld v. Basquiat, 47
Ross v. City of Memphis, 1048
Roviaro v. United States, 1129
Rubin, United States v., 989
Rucker, United States v., 975
Ruggiero, United States v., 65
Ruiz, State v., 384
RuizTroche v. Pepsi Cola, 521
Rush v. Illinois Cent. R.R. Co., 90
Russell, United States v., 105
Rutherford, United States v., 64
Saadey, United States v., 368
Safavian, United States v., 338, 376, 382
Salerno, United States v., 654, 691
Salvador, United States v., 715
Sampol, United States v., 955, 959
Sana v. Hawaiian Cruises, Ltd., 792
Sanabria, United States v., 21
Sanders, United States v., 969
Sandstrom v. Montana, 947
Santiago, United States v. (1st Cir.2009), 467
Santiago, United States v. (9th Cir. 1995), 255
Santos, United States v., 447
Sarracino, United States v., 147
Satcher v. Honda Motor Co., 471
Satterfield, United States v., 712
Saulter, United States v., 360
Schafer v. Time, Inc., 220
Scheffer, United States v., 156, 157, 158
Schoenborn, United States v., 777
Schroeder, In re, 1038
SCM Corp. v. Xerox Corp., 1038
Scop, United States v., 569
Scott v. Hammock, 1118
Scott v. PPG Indus., 1137
Scott v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 473
Scott, United States v. (1st Cir. 2001), 366
Scott, United States v. (2d Cir. 2012), 253
Sealed Case, In re (D.C. Cir. 1989), 1062, 1067
Sealed Case, In re (D.C. Cir. 1997), 1137
Seamster, United States v., 967
Sebetich, United States v., 952
SEC v. Hughes Capital Corp., 433
Seiler v. Lucasfilm, Ltd., 412
Sepulveda, United States v., 668, 1026
Serrano, United States v., 671
Sewell, United States v., 746
Shain, In re, 1119
Shargel, In re, 1041
Sheehan v. Daily Racing Form, Inc., 519, 555
Sheffey, United States v., 569
Shelledy, United States v., 970
Shepard v. United States, 698, 758, 762
Shoen v. Shoen, 1119
Shores, United States v., 587
Shows v. M/V Red Eagle, 967
Shukri, United States v., 713
Shulman, United States v., 641, 642
Shwayder, United States v., 241
Siddiqui, United States v., 383, 384
Siegelman, United States v., 669
Silverman, United States v., 662
Silverstein, United States v., 712, 715
Simmons, Inc. v. Pinkerton's, Inc., 986
Simon, United States v., 927
Simplex, Inc. v. Diversified Energy Systems, Inc., 306
Simpson, United States v. (10th Cir. 1991), 66

- Simpson, United States v. (10th Cir. 1998), 383
- Sinatra v. Heckler, 943
- Sinclair, United States v., 835
- Sincox v. United States, 67
- Singer, United States v., 975
- Sitton, United States v., 201
- Slaughter, United States v., 585
- Sliker, United States v., 394, 410
- Smalley, United States v., 21
- Smith v. BMW No. Am., Inc., 509
- Smith v. Bray, 661
- Smith v. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry., 35
- Smith v. Georgia, 107
- Smith v. Isuzu Motors, Ltd., 809
- Smith v. Ithaca Corp., 801
- Smith v. Rapid Transit, Inc., 554
- Smith, United States v. (4th Cir. 2009), 411
- Smith, United States v. (4th Cir. 2019), 892
- Smith, United States v. (5th Cir. 1998), 1119, 1120
- Smith, United States v. (7th Cir. 1996), 252
- Smith, United States v. (8th Cir. 2010), 356
- Smith, United States v. (11th Cir. 2013), 259
- Smith, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1975), 92
- Smith-Bowman, United States v., 241
- Solorio, United States v., 102
- Sorrells, United States v., 928
- Soto-Beniquez, United States v., 6, 356
- Soulard, United States v., 399
- Soures, United States v., 635
- Southern Stone Co. v. Singer, 645, 780
- Sowa, United States v., 746
- Specht v. Google, Inc., 390
- Special Proceedings, In re, 1127
- Spector v. State, 231
- Spell v. McDaniel, 185
- Sperling, United States v., 756, 989
- Splettstoeszer, United States v., 333
- Spletzer, United States v., 169
- Spriggs, United States v., 25
- Sprynczynatyk v. General Motors Corp., 97
- Squillacote, United States v., 672
- Stagl v. Delta Air Lines, Inc., 472
- Stanley v. Amoco Oil Co., 179
- State of (See Name of State)**
- State v. (See Name of Defendant)**
- Staton v. Fought, 71
- Stein v. Bowman, 1092
- Sterling v. Tenet, 1138, 1145
- Sterling v. Velsicol Chem. Corp., 520
- Sterling, United States v., 1127
- Stevens, United States v., 208
- Stevenson v. Commonwealth, 591
- Stidum v. Trickey, 738
- Stine v. Marathon Oil Co., 16
- Stockton, United States v., 411
- Stolt Achievement, Ltd. v. Dredge B.E. Lindholm, 471
- Stoltz, United States v., 971
- Stratton, United States v., 661
- Straub v. Reading Co., 11, 16
- Strissel, United States v., 433
- Strother, United States v., 786
- Suarez Matos v. Ashford Presbyterian Community Hosp., 15
- Sublime v. Sublime Remembered, 390
- Subpoenaed Grand Jury Witness, In re, 1041
- Summers, United States v., 598
- Supermarket of Marlinton, Inc. v. Meadow Gold Dairies, Inc., 691
- Sutton, United States v. (8th Cir. 1994), 256
- Sutton, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1986), 635
- Swidler & Berlin v. United States, 1052
- Sylvester, United States v., 210
- Tank, United States v., 397, 400
- Tannehill, United States v., 690

- Tarantino, *United States v.*, 985, 1003, 1005, 1007
- Tassin v. Sears Roebuck, 523
- Taylor v. Charter Medical Corp., 936
- Taylor, *United States v.*, 356
- Tedder, *United States v.*, 1039
- Teevee Toons, Inc., v. MP3.com, Inc., 66
- Telewizja Polska USA, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corporation, 388
- Tellier, *United States v.*, 661
- Tenet v. Doe, 1138, 1141
- Tennessee v. Street, 851, 858
- Terry v. State, 146
- Terzado-Madruga, *United States v.*, 120
- Thigpen, *United States v.*, 571
- Thomas, *United States v.* (6th Cir. 1996), 476
- Thomas, *United States v.* (7th Cir. 2002), 339
- Thompson v. Boggs, 310
- Thompson, *United States v.* (7th Cir. 1993), 121
- Thompson, *United States v.* (8th Cir. 1983), 616
- Thornberg, *United States v.*, 31
- Thorne, *United States v.*, 588
- Threadgill v. Armstrong World Industries, Inc, 815
- Three Mile Island Litigation, In re, 504
- Tin Yat Chin, *United States v.*, 394
- Tocco, *United States v.*, 716
- Tokars, *United States v.*, 762
- Tom, *United States v.*, 670
- Tome v. *United States*, 618, 622
- Torres v. County of Oakland, 453
- Torres, *United States v.*, 597
- Torres-Flores, *United States v.*, 170
- Torrez-Ortega, *United States v.*, 629
- Tory, *United States v.*, 109
- Towns, *United States v.*, 782
- Tracy, *United States v.*, 127
- Trammel v. *United States*, 1033, 1085, 1091, 1097, 1107
- Transorient Navigators Co., S.A. v. M/S Southwind, 927
- Traylor v. Husqvarna Motor Co., 180
- Troutman, *United States v.*, 307
- Trull v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc., 180
- Tse, *United States v.*, 671
- Tucker v. Ohtsu Tire & Rubber Co., 1068
- Turner v. Burlington N.S.F. R.R., 560
- Turner, *United States v.* (6th Cir. 1993), 634
- Turner, *United States v.* (8th Cir. 1999), 791
- Tyus v. Urban Search Management, 519
- Udeagu, *United States v.*, 200
- Uforma/Shelby Bus. Forms, Inc. v. NLRB, 185
- U-Haul v. Lumbermens Mut. Cas. Co, 796
- Uitts v. General Motors Corp., 141
- Umawa Oke Imo, *United States v.*, 989
- United Mine Workers of America Employee Benefit Plans Litig., In re, 1067
- United States Aviation Underwriters, Inc. v. Olympia Wings, Inc., 29
- United States Dep't of Justice v. Lاندانو, 1131
- United States v. (See Name of Defendant)**
- Upjohn Co. v. *United States*, 1032, 1048
- Urena, *United States v.*, 21
- Ursic v. Bethlehem Mines, 927
- Vagenos v. LDG Financial Services, LLC, 429
- Valance v. Wisel, 943
- Valdez-Maltos, *United States v.*, 806
- Valencia, *United States v.*, 968
- Valenti, *United States v.*, 29
- Valerio, *United States v.*, 589
- Van Meerbeke, *United States v.*, 46
- Varcoe v. Lee, 924
- Varoudakis, *United States v.*, 256
- Vayner, *United States v.*, 391

- Vázquez-Rivera, United States v., 571
Vázquez-Soto, United States v., 355
Velasquez, United States v., 241
Velazquez, United States v., 169
Venson v. Altamirano, 34
Versaint, United States v., 805
VeytiaBravo, United States v., 783
Vigneau, United States v., 787, 791
Vingelli v. DEA, 1041
Vinyard v. Vinyard Funeral Home, Inc., 582
Virgin Islands v. Knight, 451
Virgin Islands, Government of, v. Albert, 147
Virgin Islands, Government of, v. Bedford, 968
Virgin Islands, Government of, v. Petersen, 955
Visser v. Packer Engineering Assoc., 84
Vitrano, United States v., 339
Vodusek v. Bayliner Marine Corp., 431
Vogel v. Sylvester, 983
von Bulow v. von Bulow, 1119
Waddell v. Commissioner, 786
Walden v. Georgia-Pacific Corp., 29
Walker, United States v., 321, 323
Wallace, United States v., 601
Waller Creek, Ltd., In re, 927
Wammock v. Celotex Corp., 1000
Wandahsega, United States v., 636
Ward, United States v., 72
Warger v. Shauers, 52, 65
Warman, United States v., 668
Washington v. Texas, 157
Washington, United States v., 977
Watkins v. Telsmith, Inc., 522
Watson v. State, 98
Watson, United States v., 359
Webb, State v., 848
Webster, United States v., 952, 953
Weeks, United States v., 600
Weichert, United States v., 991
Weil v. Investment/Indicators Research & Management, 1058
Weil v. Seltzer, 307, 310
Weissman, United States v., 1049
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Wrights Mill Holdings, LLC, 388
Welsh, United States v., 110, 841
Werner v. Upjohn, Inc., 173, 174
Wesela, United States v., 740, 745
Westberry v. Gislaved Bummi AB, 499
Westinghouse Elec. Corp. v. City of Burlington, Vermont, 1131
Westinghouse Elec. Corp. v. Republic of the Philippines, 1060
Westmoreland, United States v., 421
Wheeler v. United States, 75
Whitaker Chalk Swindle & Sawyer, LLP v. Dart Oil & Gas Corp., 1070, 1082
White Bull, United States v., 840
White v. Illinois, 849
White, United States v. (7th Cir. 2011), 452
White, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1989), 1045
Whitehurst v. Wright, 952
Whitted, United States v., 771
Whittemore, United States v., 30, 123
Whorton v. Bockting, 857
Wicks, United States v., 587
Wilkus, United States v., 835
Williams v. Illinois, 890
Williams, United States v. (2d Cir. 2007), 718
Williams, United States v. (6th Cir. 1978), 772
Williams, United States v. (6th Cir. 1983), 763
Williams, United States v. (7th Cir. 1984), 223, 615
Williams, United States v. (7th Cir. 1998), 36, 586
Williams, United States v. (D.C. Cir. 1992), 572
Williamson v. United States, 700, 708
Wilson v. Bicycle South, Inc., 68

- Wilson v. Zapata Off-Shore Co., 771,
784
- Wilson, United States v. (5th Cir. 1984),
812
- Wilson, United States v. (7th Cir. 1992),
32
- Wiman, United States v., 976
- Winchenbach, United States v., 985
- Winkle, United States v., 38
- Winship, In re, 946
- Winters, United States v., 745
- Wolak v. Spucci, 321
- Wolf, United States v., 991
- Wood v. Alaska, 323
- Wood v. Morbark Indus., 182
- Wood, United States v., 663
- Woodcock, R. v., 697
- Wooden v. Missouri P. R. Co., 927
- Woodruff, United States v., 1037
- Woods v. Cook, 695
- Woods v. Lecureux, 16
- Woods, United States v., 581
- Workinger, United States v., 426
- Yakovov, United States v., 812
- Yamin, United States v., 417
- Yates v. Bair Transp., Inc., 794
- Yazzie, United States v. (9th Cir. 1992),
444
- Yazzie, United States v. (9th Cir. 1995),
769
- Ybarra, United States v., 598
- Yeo, United States v., 967
- Yerardi, United States v., 1059
- Yielding, United States v., 581
- York, United States v., 835
- Yosemite Investment Inc. v. Floyd Bell
Inc., 1060
- Young, United States v. (2d Cir. 1984), 7
- Young, United States v. (8th Cir. 2014),
579
- Yu-Leung, United States v., 37
- Zayas, People v., 93
- Zenni, United States v., 594
- Zhu, United States v., 383
- Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC, 431,
1069
- Zuckerbraun v. General Dynamics
Corp., 1146

Preface to the Sixth Edition

This new edition was necessitated by a number of developments in the law of evidence. Changes include interesting innovations by courts on questions of character evidence and expert testimony; amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence; issues involving the admissibility of electronic evidence; and innovations occurring as a result of the Covid epidemic and the possibility of remote trials.

Of course the casebook focuses on the Federal Rules of Evidence, as they were restyled in 2011. One of the authors of this book, Professor Daniel Capra, serves as Reporter to the Judicial Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules and so had front-line responsibility for the restyled rules. Another co-author, Professor Saltzburg, served as a consultant on the Restyling project. Where possible, the drafters' perspective on the rulemaking process has been emphasized.

Prior editions contained extensive transcripts raising evidentiary questions. These transcripts, with answers and explanations, are now placed in an Appendix at the end of the book.

A number of the principal cases in the book were decided before the Restyled Rules of Evidence went into effect. Where those cases quote the language of the rule, we have tried to indicate that the quotation is from the rule before it was restyled. Editorial comments concerning restyling are contained in brackets in the cases. It is important to note that none of the results in any of the cases would be changed by applying the Restyled Rule—because the very premise of the Restyling is that no substantive changes have been made.

A few words on the format of the book:

- Citations included in cases are usually omitted, without so specifying, in order to help with the readability of the opinion.
- Footnotes that are included in the cases are numbered as they were in the original case. Footnotes that are ours in the text are numbered sequentially from the beginning of each chapter.
- If we have deleted textual material from a case, it is noted with three asterisks. If the court has deleted material—for example from a quote taken from another case—it is noted with three periods.

This edition continues the practice of the previous edition by including extensive excerpts from the *Federal Rules of Evidence Manual*, coauthored by Professors Stephen Saltzburg, Michael Martin, and Daniel Capra. We hope that these excerpts

will help students master the important concepts of evidence that do not arise in the principal cases. We thank Lexis-Nexis for the permission to use this material. Extensive original note material is also included.

The co-authors owe a special debt of thanks to Professors Capra and Saltzburg, who spent countless hours in preparing new problems, finding new cases, and reworking and modernizing the material for this new edition.

Introduction: The Restyled Rules of Evidence

This edition of the casebook highlights the Restyled Rules of Evidence. The Judicial Conference Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure has led a decades-long effort to adopt clear and consistent style conventions for all of the national rules of procedure. The rules had been enacted without consistent style conventions, so there were differences from one set of rules to another, and even from one rule to another within the same set. Different rules expressed the same thought in different ways, leading to a risk that they would be interpreted differently. Different rules sometimes used the same word or phrase to mean different things, again leading to a risk of misinterpretation. Drafters made no effort to write the rules in plain English.

Despite some initial opposition, each of the restyling projects has proved enormously successful—substantially assisting lawyers, judges and law students in understanding and applying the rules. The Restyled Evidence Rules have won legal writing awards, including a Clear Mark award for plain language and the Burton Award for Legal Reform.

The Process for Restyling the Evidence Rules

With the approval of the Chief Justice of the United States—the Chair of the Judicial Conference—the Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules undertook its restyling project beginning in the Fall of 2007. The Committee established a step-by-step process for restyling in compliance with previous restyling projects and with the Rules Enabling Act. Those steps involved multiple levels of drafting and review by the Evidence Rules Committee, the Style Subcommittee of the Standing Committee, as well as substantial input from judges, lawyers and academics.

Professor Capra, a co-author of this Casebook, served as the Reporter for the restyling. Professor Saltzburg, another co-author of this Casebook, served as a consultant to the Advisory Committee. Professor Joseph Kimble, a legal writing professor, served as the principal restylist.

The most challenging part of the restyling process was to improve the style of the Evidence Rules *without changing the substance*. The Advisory Committee established a working definition of a substantive change—a proposed change is “substantive” if:

- under the existing practice in any circuit, it could lead to a different result on a question of admissibility;
- under the existing practice in any circuit, it could lead to a change in the procedure by which an admissibility decision is made; or
- it changes the structure of a rule or method of analysis in a manner that would fundamentally alter how courts and litigants have thought about, or argued about, the rule; or
- it changes what Professor Kimble has referred to as a “sacred phrase”—phrases that have become “so familiar as to be fixed in cement.”

Goals of Restyling

The restyling effort provides consistent terminology, plain language, and generally makes the Evidence Rules more user-friendly. That end-product results from many specific techniques applied consistently throughout the rules.

First, the restyled Evidence Rules use a special format to achieve clearer presentations. The rules are broken down into constituent parts, using progressively indented subparagraphs with headings and substituting vertical for horizontal lists. “Hanging indents” are used throughout. These formatting changes make the structure of the rules graphic and make the restyled rules easier to read and understand even when the words are not changed.

Second, the Restyled Rules reduce the use of inconsistent terms that say the same thing in different ways. Because different words are presumed to have different meanings, such inconsistencies can result in confusion. The restyled rules reduce inconsistencies by using the same words to express the same meaning. For example, consistent expression is achieved by not switching between “accused” and “defendant” or between “party opponent” and “opposing party” or between the various formulations of civil and criminal action/case/proceeding.

Third, the Restyled Rules minimize the use of inherently ambiguous words. For example, the word “shall” can mean “must,” “may,” or something else, depending on context. The potential for confusion is exacerbated by the fact the word “shall” is no longer generally used in spoken or clearly written English. The restyled rules replace “shall” with “must,” “may,” or “should,” depending on which one the context and established interpretation make correct in each rule.

Fourth, the Restyled Rules minimize the use of redundant “intensifiers.” These are expressions that attempt to add emphasis, but instead state the obvious and create negative implications for other rules.

Fifth, the Restyled Rules improve the drafting of the Evidence Rules by changing passive to active voice whenever possible; eliminating unnecessary, vague, or redundant language; and correcting inadvertent errors in the original rules.

Sixth, the Restyled Rules seek to accommodate technological advances in the presentation of evidence by including, in a new definitions section, language that defines written material to include material stored in electronic form.

Seventh, the Restyled Rules streamline the rules by placing recurring terms in the definition section so that those terms do not have to be fully stated in each rule.

Status of the Restyled Rules of Evidence

The Restyled Rules of Evidence took effect on December 1, 2011.

The Restyled Rules and This Casebook

Most of the cases included in this book were decided before the Restyled Rules were enacted. When these cases quote a rule, they are of course quoting a pre-restyled rule. We have added bracketed material within the cases to remind the reader that the language used by the court is not the same as it is today. *It must be remembered that even though the language used is often different, the result of the case will, by definition, not change—because the Restyled Rules change style only.*

