

Cyber Crime and Digital Evidence

Cyber Crime and Digital Evidence

Materials and Cases

FOURTH EDITION

Thomas K. Clancy

PROFESSOR EMERITUS

UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2023
Thomas K. Clancy
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-2497-0
eISBN 978-1-5310-2498-7
LCCN 2022941162

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
(919) 489-7486
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To my Family: Sally, Kevin, and Brian

Contents

Preface to the Third <i>and</i> Fourth Editions	xvii
Preface to the Second Edition	xix
Preface to the First Edition	xxi
A Note on Editing	xxiii
Table of Cases	xxv
Chapter 1 · Introduction	3
1. Computer as Target	4
2. Computer as Tool	6
3. Computer as Container	6
Chapter 2 · Obtaining Digital Evidence: An Introduction	9
§ 2.1 Analytical Structure of Fourth Amendment Questions	10
1. Applicability	10
2. Satisfaction	13
3. Remedies	14
4. Independent State Grounds	14
§ 2.2 Introduction to the Statutory Framework	15
§ 2.3 A Case Study: Major Steps in a Typical Internet Investigation	16
<i>United States v. Steven C. Perrine</i>	16
Chapter 3 · Fourth Amendment Applicability: Expectations of Privacy “Inside the Box”	25
1. Introduction	25
2. The Location of the Computer	26
3. Data on Work Computers — The Basics	26
4. The Supreme Court Avoids the Issue	27
5. Data on Work Computers — Illustrations	34
<i>Robin Brown-Criscuolo v. Robert K. Wolfe</i>	34
<i>State v. Eric M. Young</i>	37
Chapter 4 · Competing Views of the Nature of Digital Evidence Searches	41
§ 4.1 Introduction	41
§ 4.2 Plain View Doctrine	42
1. Distinguishing Merely Looking	46
<i>United States v. Artem Bautista David</i>	46
2. “Immediately Apparent”	47
<i>United States v. Devin C. Wilson</i>	47

3. Opening Closed Files: The Document Approach	50
<i>United States v. Montgomery Johns Gray</i>	50
4. Opening Closed Files: The Special Approach	54
5. Independent State Grounds: Inadvertence and File Names	55
<i>Larry R. Frasier, Jr. v. State</i>	55
§ 4.3 Document Searches	59
1. Data Are Forms of Records/Container Analogy	60
<i>United States v. Curtis Robert Williams</i>	63
2. Rejection of the Document Search and Container Analogy: A “Special Approach”	69
<i>United States v. Michael Clay Payton</i>	71
<i>People v. Robert Carratu</i>	76
§ 4.4 Limitations Based on Search Execution Procedures in Warrants	85
1. Supreme Court Opinions on Execution Procedures	86
2. Search Protocols — Digital Evidence Cases	86
a. Rejecting Protocol Requirement	86
<i>United States v. Rebecca Christie</i>	86
b. Taint Teams and Special Masters	91
<i>Donald F. Manno v. Christopher J. Christie</i>	91
c. The Special Approach	94
<i>United States v. Comprehensive Drug Testing, Inc.</i>	94
Chapter 5 · Warrants for Digital Evidence: Particularity Claims and Broad Seizures	111
§ 5.1 In General	111
§ 5.2 Varieties of Computer Searches	112
1. Searches for Computer Equipment	113
<i>State v. Kenneth Stapleton</i>	113
2. Searches for Data	115
§ 5.3 General Principles — Particularity	115
<i>United States v. Loretta Otero</i>	115
1. Items to Be Seized: The Container Approach	121
<i>People v. Kelli Marie Balint</i>	121
2. Items to Be Seized: The Special Approach	124
3. Items to Be Seized: Social Media Accounts	124
<i>United States v. Dontavious M. Blake, Tara Jo Moore</i>	124
§ 5.4 A Warrant Exercise	127
Chapter 6 · Search Execution Issues	135
§ 6.1 Intermingled Documents and Proper Forensic Examinations	135
<i>United States v. Javier Perez</i>	136
<i>United States v. Christopher Owen Schlingloff</i>	140

§ 6.2 On-Site vs. Off-Site Searches	145
<i>In the Matter of the Search of Information Associated with [redacted]@mac.com that is Stored at Premises Controlled by Apple, Inc.</i>	150
§ 6.3 Use of Experts	155
§ 6.4 Deleted Files	155
§ 6.5 Time Periods for Warrants to Be Valid	156
<i>United States v. Dockery Cleveland</i>	159
Chapter 7 · Consent Searches; Compelling Disclosure of Passwords	165
§ 7.1 Consent — In General	165
§ 7.2 Consent — Scope Issues	166
<i>People v. Robert S. Prinzing</i>	166
1. Scope: Does Consent to Search Include Forensic Exam?	173
<i>United States v. Jonathan Luken</i>	173
2. Cell Phones: Scope of Consent	174
<i>Jermaine L. Smith v. State</i>	174
§ 7.3 Third Party Consent	176
1. Passwords and Encryption	177
<i>United States v. Ray Andrus</i>	178
<i>United States v. Frank Gary Buckner</i>	184
§ 7.4 Fifth Amendment Privilege: Requiring the Disclosure of Passwords, Decrypted Files	187
<i>In re Grand Jury Subpoena to Sebastien Boucher</i>	188
<i>United States v. John Doe</i>	191
Chapter 8 · Traditional Fourth Amendment Doctrine Permitting Warrantless Searches	205
§ 8.1 Search Incident to Arrest	205
1. Basic Principles	205
2. Permissible Objects Sought	206
3. Location of the Search	207
4. Cell Phone Searches Incident to Arrest	210
<i>David Leon Riley v. California</i>	210
§ 8.2 Exigent Circumstances	226
<i>Commonwealth v. Jerome Almonor</i>	228
§ 8.3 Vehicle Searches	233
1. Probable Cause Based	233
<i>United States v. Joseph Keck, Jr.</i>	233
<i>State v. Charles Wiley Worsham, Jr.</i>	235
2. Inventory	242
<i>United States v. Michael Lustig</i>	242

Chapter 9 · Seizures of Digital Evidence	245
§ 9.1 Intangible Property and Digital Evidence	245
§ 9.2 Seizures of Digital Evidence	252
<i>United States v. Howard Wesley Cotterman</i>	254
1. Revocation of Consent After the Mirror Image Is Made	255
<i>United States v. Youssef Samir Megahed</i>	255
2. Digital Seizures: Seeking a Workable Definition	256
<i>United States v. Carsten Igor Rosenow</i>	258
3. Is There a Need for Special Rules for Digital Evidence?	260
<i>United States v. Stavros M. Ganiias</i>	262
§ 9.3 The Reasonableness of Warrantless Seizures of Digital Devices	270
1. Warrantless Seizures	270
<i>Commonwealth v. Charles Hinds, Jr.</i>	270
<i>Commonwealth v. Harold Kaupp</i>	271
2. Delays to Obtain a Warrant After a Warrantless Seizure	272
<i>United States v. Samuel Pratt</i>	272
<i>United States v. Roy William Burris, Jr.</i>	274
Chapter 10 · Searches at the International Border	277
§ 10.1 Overview of the International Border Doctrine	277
§ 10.2 Letters as Targets	281
§ 10.3 Data as Targets	282
<i>Ghassan Alasaad v. Alejandro Mayorkas</i>	283
§ 10.4 Defining the Border	292
Chapter 11 · Fourth Amendment Applicability to Networks and the Internet	295
§ 11.1 Introduction — “Outside the Box”	295
§ 11.2 Types of Information Sought: Content vs. Non-Content	296
1. Background Principles	296
<i>Michael Lee Smith v. State of Maryland</i>	296
2. Content vs. Non-Content Defined	302
<i>United States v. Mark Stephen Forrester</i>	309
§ 11.3 Voluntary Exposure/Assumption of Risk	312
1. Peer-to-Peer Distribution Schemes	312
<i>United States v. Charles A. Borowy</i>	313
2. Social Media and Chatrooms	316
<i>People v. Chaz Nasjhee Pride</i>	316
<i>People v. David Gariano</i>	319
§ 11.4 Information Obtained from Third Parties and from the Cloud	321
1. The <i>Carpenter</i> Majority Opinion	322
<i>Timothy Ivory Carpenter v. United States</i>	322
2. Fourth Amendment Theory: The Dissents	341
a. What Does the Fourth Amendment Protect?	341
b. Justice Thomas’ Dissent, Speaking for Only Himself, Offered the Most Comprehensive Attack on <i>Katz</i>	341

c. The Other Three Dissents Also Criticized <i>Katz</i> on Textual Grounds	345
d. Dissenting Justice Kennedy Did Not Seek to Abandon <i>Katz</i> But to Reform It by Emphasizing the Role That Property Analysis Plays	345
e. The Dissent of Justice Gorsuch — Positive Law Theory	346
f. Responses to Justice Gorsuch’s Theory	349
3. The Role of Subpoenas	351
a. Justice Kennedy, with Whom Justice Thomas and Justice Alito Joined, Dissenting	351
b. Justice Alito, with Whom Justice Thomas Joined, Dissenting	351
4. The Third Party Doctrine	354
a. Justice Alito, with Whom Justice Thomas Joined, Dissenting	354
b. Justice Kennedy, with Whom Justice Thomas and Justice Alito Joined, Dissenting	356
5. The Future Through the Words of the Dissent	357
a. Justice Alito, with whom Justice Thomas Joined, Dissenting	357
b. Justice Kennedy, with Whom Justice Thomas and Justice Alito Joined, Dissenting	358
6. Bitcoin & Blockchain	359
<i>United States v. Richard Nikolai Gratkowski</i>	359
7. Geofence “Warrants”	361
<i>United States v. Okello T. Chatrie</i>	362
8. Cloud Computing	381
Chapter 12 · The Private Search Doctrine	385
§ 12.1 General Principles	385
1. Introduction	385
2. Who Is a Government Agent?	385
<i>United States v. Cameron</i>	388
3. Replication and “Context” Issues: Defining the “Container”	391
<i>People v. Joseph Michael Wilkinson</i>	398
§ 12.2 Hash Value Searches	401
<i>People v. Luke Noel Wilson</i>	402
<i>United States v. Luke Noel Wilson</i>	411
§ 12.3 Crowdsourcing	415
Wayne A. Logan, <i>Crowdsourcing Crime Control</i>	415
Chapter 13 · Statutory Regulation of Obtaining Data	421
§ 13.1 Introduction	421
§ 13.2 The Pen/Trap Statute, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3121–3127	422
1. Application for Internet Communications	424
<i>In the Matter of Application of the United States of America for an Order Authorizing the Installation and Use of a Pen Register and a Trap & Trace Device on E-Mail Account</i>	424

2. “Post-Cut-Through Dialed Digits”	425
<i>In the Matter of Applications of the United States of America for Orders (1) Authorizing the Use of Pen Registers and Trap and Trace Devices . . .</i>	425
<i>In re Certified Question of Law</i>	426
3. Content Related to Internet Activity	436
<i>In re Application of the United States of America for an Order Authorizing the Use of a Pen Register and Trap on [xxx] Internet Service Account/User Name</i>	436
<i>United States v. John C. Saville</i>	438
<i>United States v. John C. Saville</i>	438
4. Remedies Under the Pen Register Statute	443
<i>United States v. Mark Stephen Forrester</i>	443
§ 13.3 The Wiretap Statute, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510–22	444
1. Definitions	445
2. Wiretap Orders, 18 U.S.C. § 2518	447
<i>United States v. Marshaun Jordan Merrett</i>	448
3. Remedies for Violations of the Wiretap Statute	451
4. Exceptions to the General Prohibition Against Wiretapping Include	451
§ 13.4 Stored Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2701–12	452
1. Overview	453
<i>In the Matter of the Application of the United States of America for a Search Warrant for Contents of Electronic Mail and for an Order Directing a Provider of Electronic Communication Services to Not Disclose the Existence of the Search Warrant</i>	453
2. Framework to Analyze the SCA	455
<i>Andersen Consulting LLP v. UOP and Bickel & Brewer</i>	457
<i>Jerilyn Quon v. Arch Wireless Operating Co.</i>	459
<i>Kevin Low v. LinkedIn Corporation</i>	461
<i>George Theofel v. Alwyn Farey-Jones</i>	467
<i>Ernest Flagg v. City of Detroit</i>	471
<i>Michael Aaron Jayne v. Sprint PCS</i>	478
3. Compelling Disclosure § 2703	480
4. Miscellaneous SCA Provisions	482
Chapter 14 · Obscenity and Child Pornography	485
§ 14.1 Obscenity	485
1. The <i>Miller</i> Standard	485
<i>Marvin Miller v. California</i>	485
2. Community Standards	488
<i>United States v. Robert Alan Thomas</i>	488
<i>United States v. Jeffrey A. Kilbride</i>	492
§ 14.2 Evolution of Child Pornography Regulation as a Separate Category of Prohibited Speech	497

<i>New York v. Paul Ira Ferber</i>	500
1. Possession of Child Pornography	504
<i>Clyde Osborne v. Ohio</i>	504
2. Virtual Child Pornography	506
<i>John D. Ashcroft v. The Free Speech Coalition</i>	506
3. Pandering	515
<i>United States v. Michael Williams</i>	516
4. Morphed Images	520
<i>United States v. Dale Robert Bach</i>	520
5. Obscene Cartoons Featuring Children	525
<i>United States v. Christopher S. Handley</i>	525
<i>United States v. Dwight Edwin Whorley</i>	527
§ 14.3 Elements of Child Pornography Offenses	529
1. Distribution	529
<i>United States v. Joshua P. Navrestad</i>	529
<i>United States v. Christopher G. Waguespack</i>	534
2. Possession; Access with Intent to View	537
<i>United States v. Stuart Romm</i>	539
<i>State v. Benjamin W. Mercer</i>	542
<i>People v. James D. Kent</i>	549
§ 14.4 Proving at Trial That the Image Depicts a Real Child	560
<i>United States v. Tom Vig</i>	560
<i>United States v. Anthony Marchand</i>	561
§ 14.5 Common Search and Seizure Issues in Child Pornography Cases	569
1. Probable Cause to Believe a Person Possesses Child Pornography	570
a. Subscribers of Child Pornography Web Sites	571
b. Retention Habits of Collectors	573
c. Pedophile Profiles	573
<i>United States v. Edward S. Macomber</i>	573
d. Staleness	578
<i>United States v. William David Burkhart</i>	580
e. Locating the Computer: IP Addresses; Screen Names; Nexus Questions	583
<i>Commonwealth v. Adalberto Martinez</i>	584
2. Sufficiency of the Descriptions of Sexual Activity in the Affidavit	593
<i>United States v. Justin Barrett Hill</i>	593
<i>United States v. Richard Genin</i>	594
§ 14.6 Self-Produced Child Pornography and Sexting	600
<i>State v. Eric D. Gray</i>	600
Mary Graw Leary, <i>Self-Produced Child Pornography: The Appropriate Societal Response to Juvenile Self-Sexual Exploitation</i>	608
<i>Maryjo Miller v. Jeff Mitchell in His Official Capacity as District Attorney of Wyoming County, Pennsylvania</i>	612

Chapter 15 · Policing the Internet for Crimes Involving Exploitation of Children	619
§ 15.1 Traveler Cases	619
<i>United States v. Erik D. Zahursky</i>	620
§ 15.2 Using a Computer to Entice a Child; Entrapment	626
<i>State v. James R. Pischel</i>	626
<i>United States v. Mark Douglas Poehlman</i>	632
§ 15.3 Liability of Social Networking Sites	640
<i>Julie Doe II, a Minor v. Myspace Incorporated</i>	640
Chapter 16 · Property Crimes and Computer Misuse	649
§ 16.1 Introduction	649
§ 16.2 Traditional Property Crimes	650
1. Larceny and Theft	652
2. Applying Traditional Views	652
<i>Charles Walter Lund v. Commonwealth</i>	652
<i>State v. Michael McGraw</i>	655
3. Expanding the Concepts of “Property” and “Taking”	657
<i>State v. Randal Lee Schwartz</i>	657
<i>United States v. Bertram E. Seidlitz</i>	661
Chapter 17 · Computer Specific Crimes: Obtaining Confidential Information, Unauthorized Access, Fraud, and Damage	665
§ 17.1 Overview: Computer Fraud and Abuse Act — 18 U.S.C. § 1030	665
§ 17.2 Key Definitions of the CFAA	667
1. Protected Computer	667
a. Current Version of “Protected Computer”	668
<i>United States v. Chad A. Powers</i>	668
b. Evolution of the Types of Computers Protected	669
<i>Shurgard Storage Centers, Inc. v. Safeguard Self Storage, Inc.</i>	669
2. Intentional Access	671
a. How to Restrict Access: Code-Based and Contract-Based Restrictions	671
<i>United States v. Lori Drew</i>	672
<i>United States v. Lori Drew</i>	673
<i>State v. Anthony A. Allen</i>	674
<i>State v. Joseph N. Riley</i>	677
3. Without or in Excess of Authorization	678
<i>Nathan Van Buren v. United States</i>	679
Websites: Terms of Use and Technical Barriers	691
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Power Ventures, Inc.</i>	691
<i>United States v. Lori Drew</i>	695
§ 17.3 Specific Sub-Sections of § 1030	707
1. Obtaining Confidential Information	707
<i>Brenda Czech v. Wall Street on Demand, Inc.</i>	708

2. Trespassing into a Government Computer 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(3)	710
3. Accessing to Defraud and Obtain Value 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4)	711
<i>United States v. Richard W. Czubinski</i>	712
4. Damaging a Computer or Information 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)	715
<i>United States v. Robert Tappan Morris</i>	719
<i>International Airport Centers v. Jacob Citrin</i>	722
<i>United States v. Allan Carlson</i>	724
<i>United States v. Nicholas Middleton</i>	727
Chapter 18 · Intellectual Property	733
§ 18.1 Copyright	733
1. 17 U.S.C. § 506(a) Provides:	735
2. Evolution of File Sharing	737
Sandra Leigh King, <i>While You Were Sleeping</i>	737
<i>Arista Records LLC v. Lime Group LLC</i>	744
3. Illegal “Warez” Organizations and Internet Piracy	757
§ 18.2 The Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201–05	762
<i>United States v. Elcom Ltd.</i>	764
<i>MDY Industries, LLC v. Blizzard Entertainment, Inc.</i>	765
<i>Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Eric Corley</i>	779
Chapter 19 · Spyware, Adware, Malware; Phishing; Spam; and Identity-Related Crime	787
§ 19.1 Spyware, Adware, Malware	787
<i>People v. Direct Revenue</i>	789
§ 19.2 Phishing	796
<i>United States v. Bogdan Boceanu</i>	796
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Jeremi Fisher</i>	798
§ 19.3 Spam	801
<i>United States v. Jeffrey A. Kilbride</i>	808
<i>United States v. Michael Steven Twombly</i>	812
§ 19.4 Identity-Related Crime	814
<i>United States v. Vladimir Cabrera</i>	816
Chapter 20 · Other Crimes Against Persons: Cyberbullying, Threats, Stalking, Harassment, and Defamation	823
§ 20.1 Cyberbullying	823
§ 20.2 Threats	830
<i>Anthony Douglas Elonis v. United States</i>	830
§ 20.3 Stalking and Harassment	847
1. The Impact of Technology	847
2. Criminalization of Stalking and Harassment	848
Susan W. Brenner & Megan Rehberg, “Kiddie Crime”? <i>The Utility of Criminal Law in Controlling Cyberbullying</i>	848
<i>United States v. Jovica Petrovic</i>	851

<i>State v. Ellison</i>	856
<i>A.B. v. State</i>	859
Susan W. Brenner & Megan Rehberg, “ <i>Kiddie Crime</i> ”? <i>The Utility of Criminal Law in Controlling Cyberbullying</i>	862
§ 20.4 Defamation	865
Susan W. Brenner & Megan Rehberg, “ <i>Kiddie Crime</i> ”? <i>The Utility of Criminal Law in Controlling Cyberbullying</i>	865
<i>Thomas Mink v. Susan Knox</i>	866
Chapter 21 · Sentencing	875
§ 21.1 Federal Sentencing Guidelines — Enhancements	875
1. Use of Computer	876
<i>United States v. Neil Scott Kramer</i>	876
<i>United States v. Todd Franklin Lewis</i>	879
2. Special Skills	881
<i>United States v. Kent Aoki Lee</i>	882
§ 21.2 Sentencing in Child Pornography Cases	887
<i>United States v. Jerry Paull</i>	887
<i>United States v. Justin K. Dorvee</i>	891
§ 21.3 Restrictions on Internet Use or Using Computers	895
<i>Lester Gerard Packerham v. North Carolina</i>	895
<i>United States v. Ronald Scott Paul</i>	904
<i>United States v. Mark Wayne Russell</i>	906
<i>United States v. Arthur William Heckman</i>	908
Index	915

Preface to the Third *and* Fourth Editions

As stated in the second edition, the rapidity of change required publishing a new edition of this book. I said this again in July 2018, after completing the third edition. Now, in May, 2022, I am completing the fourth edition, and what I said before remains obviously true. New cases continue to add clarity and needed guidance to the acquisition of digital evidence procedures required of law enforcement. New technology and case law discussing the impact of that technology have been added throughout the book. Of particular note, the third edition was delayed until *Carpenter v. United States*, 585 U.S. ___ (2018), was decided. This edition was delayed to incorporate *Van Buren v. United States*, 593 U.S. ___ (2021), a major Supreme Court case interpreting the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act. Also included in this edition are significant new materials and cases on social media, the use of forensic software to execute a warrant, geo-fencing, crowdsourcing, smart cars, and other emerging technologies. The expansion of the use and sophistication of digital devices — and hence digital evidence — is always far ahead of the appellate caselaw and continues to challenge existing legal principles.

This book is entitled “Cyber Crime and Digital Evidence” for one fundamental reason. In law practice, it is more likely that a lawyer or judge will now encounter digital evidence in almost every case and situation, given its ubiquity in modern life. Hence, about half of this book is devoted to the government’s acquisition of digital evidence, regardless of the underlying crime. The balance of the book is devoted to various aspects of the criminal law that have been modified to address the variety of new forms of bad behavior that are facilitated by digital devices and networks.

THOMAS K. CLANCY

July 2018 (third edition)

May 2022 (fourth edition)

Preface to the Second Edition

The rapidity of change required publishing a new edition of this book rather than supplementing the first edition. New cases, particularly United States Supreme Court cases on searching cell phones, have begun to add clarity and needed guidance to the acquisition of digital evidence procedures required of law enforcement. New technology and case law discussing the impact of that technology have been added throughout the book.

THOMAS K. CLANCY

December 2014

Preface to the First Edition

This book is designed to be an accessible introduction to Cyber Crime and Digital Evidence. The title is consciously styled: *Cyber Crime and Digital Evidence: Materials and Cases*. The title illuminates two significant aspects of this book. First, cyber crime is only a subset of a much broader trend in the criminal area, which is the use of digital evidence in virtually all criminal cases. Hence, it is important to understand the legal framework that regulates obtaining that increasingly used and important evidence. Second, by listing “materials *and* cases” (in that order) the title signals that this book attempts to provide a broader framework than an endless stream of cases offers. Law students deserve the broader context and, hopefully, will get some of it with this book.

This book is the product of numerous influences, ranging from many years of teaching law students, studying the Fourth Amendment and Cyber Crime, and witnessing the explosion of the use of digital evidence in criminal cases. Most immediately, I thank those who provided comments and insights on various aspects of the book. Those individuals include Don Mason, Will Wilkins, and Priscilla Grantham. I received invaluable editorial assistance from Andrew Coffman. For the past decade, I have had the privilege of serving as Director of the National Center for Justice and the Rule of Law and Research Professor at the University of Mississippi School of Law, where I created and developed national programs on Cyber Crime and the Fourth Amendment. Through those programs, the Center offers educational opportunities to judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement on search and seizure, including in emerging areas such as computer searches and seizures, and on broad areas concerning cyber crime. The conferences, lectures, and associations developed at the Center have brought many of the best minds in the country to Oxford, Mississippi to examine the new trends in the criminal law involving new forms of criminal activity and new forms of evidence. The thousands of judges, assistant attorneys general, and other attendees of the Center’s events contributed many insights about actual litigation and law enforcement practices, as well as other challenges involved in adapting criminal law, procedure, and practice to the digital age. From each of those participants I have learned much and I deeply appreciate their contributions.

THOMAS K. CLANCY
December 1, 2011

A Note on Editing

The cases and materials in this book are extensively edited and most changes are done without acknowledgment of omissions of text or other material. Footnotes may be omitted or numbering changed. Capitalization and formatting are often changed based on omissions to the text. The reader should always consult the original source before citing or quoting material herein.

Table of Cases

- A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 744
A.B. v. State, 859
Abbell, United States v., 45, 80, 111
ACLU v. Reno, 494
Agnello v. United States, 207
Ajemian v. Yahoo!, Inc., 348
Alasaad v. Mayorkas, 283
Albert, United States v., 63, 146
Allen, United States v., 63
Allen, State v., 63, 674–676
Al-Marri, United States v., 61, 147, 166
Almeida-Sanchez v. United States, 277
Almonor, Commonwealth v., 228
Alvarez, United States v., 912
Andersen Consulting LLP v. UOP, 457
Anderson, United States v., 523
Andresen v. Maryland, 59, 66, 86, 93,
111, 135, 434
Andresen v. State, 578
Andrus, United States v., 178, 179, 181–
184
Angevine, United States v., 27, 38, 156
Apple, Inc., In re, 204
Arista Records LLC v. Lime Group LLC,
744
Arizona v. Gant, 206, 213, 224
Arkansas Chronicle v. Easley, 112, 113
Ashcroft v. ACLU, 494
Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 520,
526, 898
Askham, State v., 61
Auburn, City of, v. Hedlund, 604
Aviles, Commonwealth v., 157
Bach, United States v. (8th Cir. 2002),
155
Bach, United States v. (8th Cir. 2005),
520–524, 592
Bae, United States v., 711
Bailey, United States v., 34, 571
Balicki, Commonwealth v., 43
Balint, People v., 121–123
Barth, United States v., 61, 177, 386, 393
Battershell, United States v., 598
Baust, Commonwealth v., 203
Bellville v. Town of Northboro, 155
Berger v. New York, 13, 433
Berry, People v., 169
Blair, People v., 44, 177
Blake, United States v., 124, 125
Board of Airport Comm’rs of Los Ange-
les v. Jews for Jesus, Inc., 899
Boceanu, United States v., 796–798
Boettger, United States v., 226
Boff, People v., 210
Bond v. United States, 246, 252
Borowy, United States v., 313, 314
Boston, United States v., 912
Bowman, United States v., 393
Boyd v. United States, 324, 343
Brady v. Maryland, 154
Brennan, State v., 583, 590
Brinegar v. United States, 570
Briscoe, United States v., 730
Brooks, United States v., 118, 170, 235
Brown v. Waddell, 444
Brown, State v., 45, 166
Brown-Criscuolo v. Wolfe, 34
Brunette, United States v., 157, 597
Buckner, United States v., 184–186
Burke v. State, 571

- Burkhart, *United States v.*, 580–582
 Burnett v. *State*, 570
 Burris, *United States v.*, 274–276
 Burson v. *Freeman*, 899
 Butler, *United States v.*, 711
 Byrne, *State v.*, 583
 Cabrera, *United States v.*, 816–821
 Calegar, *State v.*, 210
 California Bankers Ass’n v. *Shultz*, 282
 California v. *Carney*, 84, 233
 California v. *Hodari D.*, 247
 Cameron, *United States v.*, 388
 Campos, *United States v.*, 62, 71, 78, 147, 591
 Cano, *United States v.*, 283, 289, 290
 Carafano v. *Metrosplash.com, Inc.*, 645
 Carey, *United States v.*, 13, 41, 45, 56, 66, 68–70, 75
 Carle, *State v.*, 341
 Carlson, *United States v.*, 724–726
 Carpenter v. *United States*, 295, 322, 379, 444, 483
 Carratu, *People v.*, 70
 Carroll v. *United States*, 233, 234, 277, 536, 623
 Castro, *United States v.*, 380
 Caymen, *United States v.*, 25
 Certified Question of Law, *In re*, 426
 Chadwick, *United States v.*, 83, 209, 213, 375
 Chamberlain Group, *Inc. v. Skylink Techs., Inc.*, 772
 Chaplinsky v. *New Hampshire*, 486
 Charbonneau, *United States v.*, 321, 341
 Chatrie, *United States v.*, 362, 365, 370, 371, 373, 376, 379–381
 Chiagles, *People v.*, 217
 Chimel v. *California*, 206, 212, 224
 Christie, *United States v.*, 86–91
 City of (See Name of City)
 Cleveland, *United States v.*, 159–163
 Clough, *United States v.*, 62
 Cochran, *United States v.*, 627
 Colbert, *United States v.*, 577
 Commonwealth v. (See Name of Commonwealth)
 Comprehensive Drug Testing, *Inc., United States v.* (9th Cir. 2009), 54, 55, 108
 Comprehensive Drug Testing, *Inc., United States v.* (9th Cir. 2010), 94, 103–108, 136, 266
 Connelly v. *State*, 578
 Coolidge v. *New Hampshire*, 42–44, 111, 207
 Copenhefer, *Commonwealth v.*, 156
 Coreas, *United States v.*, 571
 Cotterman, *United States v.*, 254, 277, 290
 Councilman, *United States v.*, 447, 453, 467
 Cox, *United States v.*, 312, 573
 Craighead, *United States v.*, 313
 Crandon, *United States v.*, 909
 Curd v. *City Court of Judsonia*, 210
 Curtis Publishing Co. v. *Butts*, 869
 Czech v. *Wall Street on Demand, Inc.*, 708, 717
 Czubinski, *United States v.*, 712–715
 Dalia v. *United States*, 14, 86, 135
 David, *United States v.*, 46
 Davis v. *Gracey*, 63, 113
 Delgado-Rivera, *Commonwealth v.*, 341
 Des Jardins, *United States v.*, 279
 Diamond, *State v.*, 203
 Diaz, *United States v.*, 447
 Dionisio, *United States v.*, 203
 Direct Revenue, *People v.*, 789
 Doe II v. *Myspace Incorporated*, 640, 641
 Doe v. *MySpace, Inc.*, 644
 Doe v. *SexSearch.com*, 645
 Doe, *United States v.* (11th Cir. 2012), 191–201
 Doe, *United States v.* (U.S. 1984), 187
 Dolan v. *City of Tigard*, 269
 Dorvee, *United States v.*, 891–894
 Dost, *United States v.*, 593

- DoubleClick, Inc. Privacy Litig., In re, 468
- Dougherty v. City of Covina, 577
- Drew, United States v., 708
- Duke, United States v., 912
- Elcom Ltd., United States v., 764
- Ellis v. State, 576, 583
- Ellison, State v., 856
- Ellyson, United States v., 385
- Elonis v. United States, 830, 846
- Emerson, People v., 386, 393
- Entick v. Carrington, 343
- Evers, State v., 321, 573, 583, 591
- Evers, United States v., 126, 153
- Ex parte (See Name of Party)
- Eysoldt v. ProScan Imaging, 348
- Facebook, Inc. v. Jeremi Fisher, 798, 801
- Fair Housing Coun., San Fernando v. Roommates.com., 645
- Falso, United States v., 577
- Felix, State v., 579, 583
- Finley, United States v., 209
- Fisher v. United States, 189, 194
- Fisk, United States v., 572
- Flagg v. City of Detroit, 471
- Flores-Figueroa v. United States, 821
- Flores-Montano, United States v., 278, 279, 286
- Florida v. Jardines, 706
- Florida v. Jimeno, 84, 165, 166
- Florida v. Wells, 244
- Forrester, United States v., 442
- Fraser v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance (3d Cir. 2004), 446
- Fraser v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. (E.D. Pa. 2001), 467–469
- Frasier v. State, 13, 45, 60
- Frazier v. Cupp, 176
- Freeman, United States v., 910
- Froman, United States v., 571
- Gaind, United States v., 730
- Gall, People v., 13, 60, 61, 70, 122, 146, 147, 156
- Gallo, State v., 901
- Gallo, United States v., 576
- Ganias, United States v., 268
- Ganoe, United States v., 312, 314
- Gariano, People v., 319
- Gawrysiak, United States v., 13, 60, 112, 146
- Gebardi v. United States, 604
- Gelfgatt, Commonwealth v., 202
- Genin, United States v., 594–596, 598–600
- George, United States v., 61
- Gerber, United States v., 157
- Giberson, United States v., 71
- Gilbert v. California, 203
- Gilbert v. State, 579
- Gleich, United States v., 62
- Godman, United States v., 884
- Gomez-Soto, United States v., 73
- Gonzalez, United States v., 731
- Gorrell, United States v., 159
- Gorshkov, United States v., 253
- Gourde, United States v., 571
- Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum Dated November 15, 1993, In re, 70, 112
- Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum Dated October 29, 1992, In re, 189
- Grand Jury Subpoena to Sebastien Boucher, In re, 188
- Grant, United States v., 591, 592
- Gratkowski, United States v., 359–361
- Gray, State v., 600–607, 618
- Gray, United States v., 45, 60, 79
- Greathouse, United States v., 147, 573, 579
- Green, United States v., 884
- Grenning, State v., 159
- Griffin, United States v., 573, 574
- Grimes, United States v., 385, 386
- Groh v. Ramirez, 111
- Grubbs, United States v., 14, 86, 135, 570
- Guadalupe-Garza, United States v., 279
- Guagliardo, United States v., 568
- Guest v. Leis, 26, 61, 80, 146, 311, 321
- Guthrie, State v., 177

- Hair, United States v., 531
Hale v. Henkel, 352
Hall, United States v., 62, 386
Hambrick, United States v., 25, 312
Hamling v. United States, 493, 836
Handley, United States v., 525
Harding, United States v., 13, 60
Hargus, United States v., 136
Harper, United States v., 886
Hause v. Commonwealth, 312, 579, 591
Heckenkamp, United States v., 260
Heckman, United States v., 908, 909, 911–913
Henson, United States v., 13, 60
Hernandez, United States v. (9th Cir. 2005), 277–280
Hernandez, United States v. (D.P.R. 2002), 159
Herndon, United States v., 115
Herring v. United States, 119
Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial Dist. Court of Nev., 187
Hill, United States v. (9th Cir. 2006), 42, 139, 593
Hill, United States v. (C.D. Cal. 2004), 79, 147
Himko v. English, 901
Hinahara, State v., 115
Hinds, Commonwealth v., 45, 49, 166
Hoang, United States v., 247, 250, 270
Hodson, United States v., 577
Holm, United States v., 913
Horton v. California, 11, 12, 42–44, 57, 68, 245
Horton, State v., 401
Hotaling, United States v., 522
Hrasky, United States v., 208
Hubbell, United States v., 187, 189, 194
Hunter, United States v., 13, 60, 61, 80, 112, 146, 147, 149, 150
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell, 868
Illinois v. Gates, 570
Illinois v. McArthur, 215, 227
In re (See Name of Matter)
In re Application of (See Name of Applicant)
In the Matter of (See Name of Matter)
In the Matter of Application of (See Name of Applicant)
iPhone Application Litigation, In re, 442
Irving, United States v., 279
J.T. v. State, 860
Jackson, Ex Parte, 340, 347, 348
Jacobsen, United States v., 11, 12, 245, 248, 269, 385, 391, 396, 405
Jacobson v. United States, 633
James, United States v., 177
Jarrett v. Commonwealth, 385, 387
Jarrett, United States v., 385, 387
Jayne v. Sprint PCS, 478
Jefferson, United States v., 261
Johnson, State v., 159
Johnson, United States v., 111
Jones, United States v., 25, 219, 237, 324, 325, 336
Juror Number One v. Superior Court, 203
Kaiser Aetna v. United States, 269
Kaniff v. United States, 279
Kastigar v. United States, 200
Katz v. United States, 32, 252, 297, 324, 433
Kaupp, Commonwealth v., 271
Keck, United States v., 233–235, 242
Kennedy, United States v., 312
Kent, People v., 549, 550, 558
Kentucky v. King, 226
Ker v. California, 226
Keszthelyi, United States v., 157, 380
Khanani, United States v., 578
Kilbride, United States v., 492, 808, 814
Kimbrough v. United States, 894
Knoll, United States v., 395
Knotts, United States v., 325
Kolender v. Lawson, 700
Konop v. Hawaiian Airlines, 421, 446
Koster, State ex rel., v. Charter Communications, Inc., 15

- Kow, United States v., 61
Kramer, United States v., 876–879
Kyllo v. United States, 324
Lacy, United States v., 113, 573, 579
Lafayette Academy, Inc., In re Application of, 61
LaFrance, United States v., 250
Lamb, United States v., 63, 573, 579
Lasaga, State v., 386, 393
Lee, United States v. 882–887
Lehman, State v., 63, 113
Lemmons, United States v., 165, 170
Lemon, United States v., 576, 579
Lemus, United States v., 55
Leon, United States v., 14, 22, 119, 126, 376, 600
Leventhal v. Knapek, 26, 27
Leveto, United States v., 146, 150
Levinsky's Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 869
Lewis, United States v., 879–881, 887
Lexmark International v. Static Control Components, 775
Lievertz, United States v., 13, 60
Lindgren, State v., 545, 573
Lindsley, United States v., 711
Liparota v. United States, 835
Long, United States v., 27
Longo, United States v., 61, 385
Loorie, People v., 13, 60, 61
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp., 267, 269
Lovell, United States v., 252
Low v. LinkedIn Corporation, 461
Luken, United States v., 173, 174
Lund v. Commonwealth, 652
Lustig, United States v., 232, 242–244
Maali M., United States v., 60, 78
Mabe, United States v., 165
MacEwan, United States v., 846
Macomber, United States v., 573, 574
Mahlberg v. Mentzer, 146
Manno v. Christie, 91–93
Marbury v. Madison, 837
Marchand, United States v., 561–564, 566–568
Marks v. United States, 496
Marron v. United States, 111, 206
Martin, United States v., 571
Martinez, Commonwealth v., 584–587
Maryland v. Garrison, 89, 111
Maryland v. Macon, 245
Mason v. Pulliam, 256
Matlock, United States v., 176
Maxwell, State v., 63
Maxwell, United States v., 45, 62, 341
McBoyle v. United States, 700
McGraw, State v., 655, 663
McPhee, People v., 249
MDY Industries, LLC v. Blizzard Entertainment, Inc., 765
Meek, United States v., 62, 177
Mehring v. State, 579
Mercer, State v., 542–546, 548
Merrett, United States v., 448
MGM v. Grokster, 734
Michigan v. DeFillippo, 206, 570
Michigan v. Tyler, 227
Mid Atlantic Telcom, Inc. v. Long Distance Servs., Inc., 730
Middleton, United States v., 717
Miguel, State v., 156, 157
Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 868
Miller v. California, 485
Miller, State v., 157
Miller, United States v., 299, 326, 415
Mink v. Knox, 866–868, 872, 873
Missouri v. McNeely, 226
Mitra, United States v., 878
Molina-Tarazon, United States v., 279
Montoya de Hernandez, United States v., 277–279, 286
Morales-Aldahondo, United States v., 579
Morgan, United States v., 181
Morris, United States v., 719–722
Muick v. Glenayre Electronics, 34, 38
Murphy, State v., 901

- Musson, *United States v.*, 13, 60
Navrestad, *United States v.*, 529–532, 537
Nettles, *United States v.*, 26, 385
New Jersey v. T.L.O., 13, 84, 135
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 868
New York v. Belton, 83, 85, 220
New York v. Ferber, 500, 505–507, 509–512, 514, 516, 518, 519, 521, 522, 524
Nixon v. Administrator of General Services, 135
Nosal, *United States v.* (9th Cir. 2012), 704
Nuckolls, *State v.*, 61
O’Brien, *People v.*, 26
O’Brien, *United States v.*, 853
O’Connor v. Ortega, 26, 29
Ochadleus, *State v.*, 248
Ohio v. Robinette, 165
Oklahoma Press Publishing Co. v. Walling, 352
Olmstead v. *United States*, 15, 332
One Pioneer CD-ROM Changer, *State v.*, 44, 62
Ontario, City of, v. Quon, 27, 459
Ortega, *People v.*, 249
Osborne v. Ohio, 504
Otero, *United States v.*, 115, 116, 118–120
Oyekan, *United States v.*, 279
Packingham v. North Carolina, 895
Padilla, *United States v.*, 12
Paroline v. *United States*, 558
Paton, *United States v.*, 592
Patscheck, *State v.*, 63
Patterson v. *State*, 579
Paull, *United States v.*, 579
Payton, *United States v.*, 124
People v. (See Name of Defendant)
Perez, *United States v.* (E.D. Pa. 2015), 136–140, 145
Perez, *United States v.* (S.D.N.Y. 2003), 571, 572
Perrine, *United States v.*, 9, 16–23
Perrone, *State v.*, 600
Peters, *State v.*, 251
Petersen, *United States v.*, 884
Peterson, *United States v.*, 386
Petroni, *State v.*, 158
Petrovic, *United States v.*, 851–856, 865
Phillips, *People v.*, 386
Phillips, *United States v.*, 210
Pickard, *State v.*, 579
Pierce v. *State*, 206
Pirello, *United States v.*, 730
Pischel, *State v.*, 626–631, 640
Place, *United States v.*, 245, 267, 270, 276, 579
Planned Parenthood v. American Coalition of Life Activists, 846
Ponder, *United States v.*, 255
Posters ‘N’ Things, Ltd. v. *United States*, 835
Poulsen, *United States v.*, 26, 385
Powell v. *State*, 207
Powers, *United States v.*, 668, 669
Pratt, *United States v.*, 272–274, 276
Preston v. *State*, 207, 209
Pride, *People v.*, 316, 317, 319
Pring v. Penthouse Int’l, Ltd., 868
Prinzing, *People v.*, 166
Proetto, *Commonwealth v.*, 341
Quon v. Arch Wireless Operating Co., 459
Rabinowitz, *United States v.*, 206
Ragansky v. *United States*, 841
Ramos-Saenz, *United States v.*, 279
Ramsey, *United States v.*, 277, 281
Raney, *United States v.*, 165
Rann v. Atchison, 395
Rayburn House Office Bldg., Room 2113, *United States v.*, 135
Reed, *United States v.*, 448
Reep, *State v.*, 600
Reid, *State v.*, 312
Renigar, *United States v.*, 583
Ressler, *State v.*, 249, 269
Riccardi, *United States v.*, 582
Rice, *People v.*, 3

- Richards, *United States v.*, 140
- Riley v. California, 7, 84, 210, 235, 285, 286, 324, 382
- Riley, *State v.*, 60
- Robbins v. California, 84
- Roberts v. *United States*, 901
- Robinson, *United States v.*, 205, 206, 213
- Rogers v. *State*, 386
- Rogers, *People v.*, 122
- Romm, *United States v.*, 280
- Rosenow, *United States v.*, 258–260
- Ross, *United States v.*, 84, 166
- Ruigomez, *United States v.*, 209
- Runyan, *United States v.*, 393
- Russell, *United States v.*, 913
- Russo v. *State*, 60
- Sabin v. Miller, 260
- Sablan, *United States v.*, 729
- Sable Communications of Cal., Inc. v. F.C.C., 491
- Sadolsky, *United States v.*, 711
- Samson, *State v.*, 583
- Sanders, *United States v.*, 391
- Saville, *United States v.* (D. Mont. May 20, 2013), 438, 441–443
- Saville, *United States v.* (D. Mont. June 21, 2013), 438
- Schaefer, *State v.*, 571
- Schalk v. *State*, 62
- Schandl, *United States v.*, 146
- Schesso, *United States v.*, 108, 153
- Schlingloff, *United States v.*, 140–142, 145
- Schmerber v. California, 203
- Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 165
- Schroeder, *State v.*, 45, 80
- Schwartz, *State v.*, 657, 663
- Schwimmer, *United States v.*, 155
- Search of Information Associated with [redacted]@mac.com that is Stored at Premises Controlled by Apple, Inc., In the Matter of, 150, 204
- Search of: 3817 W. West End, In re, 69, 70, 85, 159
- Search Warrant for K-Sports Imports, Inc., In re, 61
- Seidlitz, *United States v.*, 661–663
- Sheehan, City and County of San Francisco v., 380
- Sherman v. *United States*, 636
- Shields, *United States v.*, 572
- Shilling, *United States v.*, 136
- Shinohara, *People v.*, 159
- Shurgard Storage Centers, Inc. v. Safeguard Self Storage, Inc., 669
- Silva, *United States v.*, 390
- Silverthorne Lumber Co. v. *United States*, 260
- Simons, *United States v.*, 27
- Sissler, *United States v.*, 146
- \$639,558 in U.S. Currency, *United States v.*, 210
- Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives' Ass'n, 385
- Slanina, *United States v.*, 26, 177
- Smith v. Maryland, 16, 25, 221, 237–239, 309, 326, 422, 443, 444
- Smith v. *State* (Del. 2005), 582
- Smith v. *State* (Ind. App. 1999), 174
- Smith, *State v.*, 174, 296, 582
- Smith, *United States v.* (C.D. Ill. 1998), 176, 177, 178
- Smith, *United States v.* (9th Cir. 1998), 421
- Soderstrand, *United States v.*, 385
- Soldal v. Cook County, 42
- Sony Corp. v. Universal City Studios, 745, 754
- Sotelo v. DirectRevenue, 789
- South Dakota v. Opperman, 243
- Spera v. *State*, 157
- Stabile, *United States v.*, 126, 138
- Staley, *State v.*, 570
- Stanley v. Georgia, 504, 526
- Stapleton, *State v.*, 48, 113
- State ex rel.* (See Name of Relator)
- State v.* (See Name of Defendant)
- Steele, *United States v.*, 901

- Steffensen v. State, 157
- Steiger, United States v., 385, 387, 446, 451
- Stobo, United States v., 841
- Stoner v. California, 207
- Storage Tech. Corp. v. Custom Hardware Eng'g & Consulting, Inc., 772
- Stratton Oakmont, Inc. v. Prodigy Services Co., 873
- Superior Court, People v., 157
- Swift, State v., 157
- Syphers, United States v., 157
- Tabbaa v. Chertoff, 279
- Tamura, United States v., 95, 98, 136
- Tanksley, United States v., 44
- Tanner, State v., 113
- Taylor v. State, 591, 592
- Terry v. Ohio, 13, 14
- Texas v. Brown, 570
- Theofel v. Farey-Jones, 467, 474–476
- Thielemann, United States v., 910
- Thomas, United States v., 488
- Thorn, United States v., 27, 62
- Thornton v. United States, 206, 214
- Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist., 829
- Triumph Capital Group, Inc., United States v., 34, 60
- Tucker, United States v., 45, 572
- Turner, United States v., 45, 166
- Twentieth Century Music Corp. v. Aiken, 754
- Twombly, United States v., 812, 814
- United States District Court (Keith), United States v., 433
- United States of America for a Search Warrant for Contents of Electronic Mail, In the Matter of Applications, 253, 453, 459
- United States of America for a Search Warrant for Contents of Electronic Mail and for an Order Directing a Provider of Electronic Communication Services to Not Disclose the Existence of the Search Warrant, In the Matter of Application of, 453, 459
- United States of America for an Order Authorizing the Installation and Use of a Pen Register and a Trap & Trace Device on E-Mail Account, In the Matter of Application, 424
- United States of America for an Order Authorizing the Use of a Pen Register and Trap on [xxx] Internet Service Account/User Name, In re Application of, 436
- United States of America for Orders (1) Authorizing the Use of Pen Registers and Trap and Trace Devices, In the Matter of Applications of, 423
- United States v. (See Name of Defendant)
- Universal City Studios v. Corley, 531
- Upham, United States v., 62, 85, 113, 146, 149, 156
- Va Lerie, United States v., 249, 251
- Vale v. Louisiana, 207
- Van Buren, United States v., 679
- Van Leeuwen, United States v., 247
- Vance, United States v., 279
- Ventresca, United States v., 598
- Vernonia School Dist. 47J v. Acton, 212
- Vig, United States v. , 560, 561
- Voelker, United States v., 910
- Voyles v. State, 26
- Wade, State v., 113, 155
- Wagers, United States v. (6th Cir. 2006), 571, 572
- Wagers, United States v. (E.D. Ky. 2004), 583
- Waguespack, United States v., 534–537
- Walser, United States v., 71, 146
- Walsh v. State, 177
- Walter v. United States, 84, 391, 405
- Ward, United States v. (7th Cir. 1998), 250
- Ward, United States v. (9th Cir. 1978), 256
- Warden v. Hayden, 226, 227

- Warshak v. United States, 260, 332, 340, 341, 433
- Warshak, United States v. (6th Cir. 2007), 433
- Warshak, United States v. (6th Cir. 2010), 433
- Washington v. Chrisman, 44
- Wasson v. Sonoma County Junior College District, 27
- Watson, United States v., 207
- Weeks v. United States, 205, 224, 261
- Welsh v. Wisconsin, 227
- White, United States v., 320
- Whorley, United States v., 527–529
- Wible, State v., 62, 63, 111, 572
- Wiegand, United States v., 594
- Wilkinson, People v., 398
- Williams, United States v. (4th Cir. 2010), 63, 139
- Williams, United States v. (U.S. 2008), 516
- Williford v. State, 570
- Wilson, People v., 402
- Wilson (Luke), United States v., 411
- Wilson (Devin), United States v., 47
- Winston v. Lee, 83
- Wong, United States v., 34, 45, 62, 107
- Worsham, United States v., 235, 236, 238
- Wurie, United States v., 210
- X-Citement Video, Inc., United States v., 564
- Yee v. Escondido, 269
- Young, State v., 37
- Yung, United States v., 146
- Yuruckso, People v., 165
- Zahursky, United States v., 619–626
- Zango, Inc. v. PC Tools PTY Ltd., 789
- Zeran v. America Online, Inc., 644
- Zidel, State v., 522
- Zimmerman, United States v., 572, 579
- Zurcher v. The Stanford Daily, 16

