

Florida Constitutional Law

*Carolina Academic Press
Law Advisory Board*



Gary J. Simson, Chairman
Dean, Mercer University School of Law

Raj Bhala
University of Kansas School of Law

Davison M. Douglas
Dean, William and Mary Law School

Paul Finkelman
Albany Law School

Robert M. Jarvis
*Shepard Broad Law Center
Nova Southeastern University*

Vincent R. Johnson
St. Mary's University School of Law

Peter Nicolas
University of Washington School of Law

Michael A. Olivas
University of Houston Law Center

Kenneth L. Port
William Mitchell College of Law

H. Jefferson Powell
Duke University School of Law

Michael P. Scharf
Case Western Reserve University School of Law

Peter M. Shane
*Michael E. Moritz College of Law
The Ohio State University*

Florida Constitutional Law

Cases and Materials

FIFTH EDITION

John F. Cooper

ASSOCIATE DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
STETSON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW

Tishia A. Dunham

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LEGAL SKILLS AND
DIRECTOR OF BAR PREPARATION SERVICES
STETSON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW

Carlos L. Woody

DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION
BOARD CERTIFIED IN CITY, COUNTY AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAW
ADJUNCT PROFESSOR OF LAW, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2013
Carolina Academic Press
All Rights Reserved

Paperback ISBN: 978-1-5310-2581-6
e-ISBN: 978-1-5310-1120-8
LCCN: 2013936372

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
(919) 489-7486
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America
2022 Printing

To my wife Denise for her gentleness,
support, kindness, humor—
and most importantly for not
leaving me when she should have.

John F. Cooper

To my exceeding great reward, Jesus Christ,
for His abundant grace,
immense love and enduring help.

Tishia A. Dunham

To my girls—my loving wife Debra and
our three beautiful daughters Jennifer, Lauren and Kristen
for their undying support and love.

Carlos L. Woody

To borrow freely from the Holy Bible¹—

State governments have only inherent power. This is the first and great commandment and the second is like unto it: state constitutions can thus be nothing other than limitations on state power.

1. 35 Then one of them, which was a lawyer, asked him a question, tempting him, and saying,
36 Master, which is the great commandment in the law?
37 Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.
38 This is the first and great commandment.
39 And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

The Gospel according to St. Matthew, Chapter 22.

Contents

Table of Cases	xix
Preface	xxvii
Chapter 1 • An Introduction to Studying the Florida Constitution	3
A. Introduction	3
1. The Social Contract	3
2. Governmental Power in the American System	4
3. The Modern View of the State’s Inherent Power	5
B. The Concept of the State Constitution	6
1. The State Constitution as a Limitation on Inherent State Governmental Power	6
a. In General	6
<i>Peters v. Meeks</i>	6
b. Constitutional Language that Looks Like a Grant of Power	8
c. State Constitution as a Limitation on All Branches of State Government	11
2. Self-Executing and Non-Self-Executing Provisions	11
<i>Florida Hospital Waterman, Inc. v. Buster</i>	11
3. The Formal Amending Process	15
a. In General	15
Fla. Const. art. XI	15
b. The Single Subject Problem and the Ballot Summary Substance Problem	19
<i>Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General Re Florida Marriage Protection Amendment</i>	19
c. The Integration of the Formal Amendment with the Then Existing Constitution	26
<i>State v. Division of Bond Finance of the Department of General Services</i>	26
<i>Folks v. Marion County</i>	27
d. Amendment by Interpretation	28
4. Aids in Interpreting the Constitution	29
<i>Ford v. Browning</i>	29
<i>Burnsed v. Seaboard Coastline Railroad Company</i>	36
<i>State v. Town of Davie</i>	38
<i>Nichols v. State ex rel. Bolon</i>	40
<i>Greater Loretta Improvement Association v. State ex rel. Boone</i>	41

Chapter 2 • Separation of Powers	45
A. In General	45
Fla. Const. art. II, § 3	45
B. Encroachment by One Branch of Government on the Powers of Another Branch	47
1. Encroachment by the Judicial Branch on the Power of the Legislative Branch	48
a. Judicial Modification of the Common Law	48
<i>Shands Teaching Hospital and Clinics, Inc. v. Smith</i>	49
<i>Connor v. Southwest Florida Regional Medical Center, Inc.</i>	52
b. Statutory Construction	54
<i>Brown v. State</i>	54
<i>State v. Stalder</i>	57
<i>Dade County Classroom Teachers Association, Inc. v. Legislature</i>	61
2. Encroachment by the Executive Branch on the Power of the Legislative Branch	62
<i>Florida House of Representatives v. Crist</i>	62
3. Encroachment by the Judicial Branch on the Power of the Executive Branch	70
<i>Office of the State Attorney for the Eleventh Judicial Circuit v. Polites</i>	70
4. Encroachment by the Legislative Branch on the Power of the Executive Branch	73
<i>Jones v. Chiles</i>	73
5. Encroachment by the Executive Branch on the Power of the Judicial Branch	76
<i>McNeil v. Canty</i>	76
6. Encroachment by the Legislative Branch on the Power of the Judicial Branch	78
<i>Bush v. Schiavo</i>	78
C. Delegation of Power as a Separation of Powers Problem	83
1. Legislative Delegation of Legislative Power	83
<i>Bush v. Schiavo</i>	83
2. Judicial Delegation of Judicial Power	86
<i>Pearce v. State</i>	86
Chapter 3 • The Legislature	89
A. In General	89
Fla. Const. art. III, § 1	89
B. Constitutional Limitations	90
1. Subject Matter and Title Requirement	90
Fla. Const. art. III, § 6	90
a. Single Subject Limitation	90
<i>Franklin v. State</i>	90
b. The “Window Period” for Asserting Single Subject Violations	97
<i>Salters v. State</i>	97
<i>Santos v. State</i>	103
<i>State v. Combs</i>	104
c. The Title Requirement	105
<i>North Ridge General Hospital, Inc. v. City of Oakland Park</i>	105

2. Appropriation Bills	106
Fla. Const. art. III, § 12	106
<i>Department of Education v. Lewis</i>	107
3. General Laws, Special Laws and General Laws of Local Application	108
Fla. Const. art. III, § 10	108
Fla. Const. art. III, § 11	109
a. General Laws	110
<i>St. Johns River Water Management District v.</i>	
<i>Deseret Ranches of Florida, Inc.</i>	110
b. Special Laws	113
<i>Pinellas County Veterinary Medical Society, Inc. v. Chapman</i>	113
c. General Laws of Local Application	114
<i>State ex rel. Cotterill v. Bessenger</i>	114
d. Prohibited Special Laws	117
<i>Lawnwood Medical Center, Inc. v. Seeger</i>	117
e. Precedence Between General and Special Laws	127
<i>Town of Palm Beach v. Palm Beach Local 1866 of</i>	
<i>International Association Fire Fighters</i>	127
4. Governor's Veto Power	129
Fla. Const. art. III, § 8	129
<i>Florida Society of Ophthalmology v.</i>	
<i>Florida Optometric Association</i>	130
5. When Laws Take Effect	132
Fla. Const. art. III, § 9	132
<i>In Re Advisory Opinion to the Governor Request of June 29, 1979</i>	132
Chapter 4 • The Courts	135
A. In General	135
Fla. Const. art. V, § 1	135
B. Constitutional Jurisdiction of Florida Courts	136
1. Supreme Court	136
a. "Shall hear appeals from final judgments of trial courts imposing the death penalty ..." Fla. Const. art. V, § 3(b)(1)	137
<i>Trepal v. State</i>	137
b. "Shall hear appeals from ... decisions of district courts of appeal declaring invalid a state statute or a provision of the state constitution." Fla. Const. art. V, § 3(b)(1)	140
<i>Jackson v. State</i>	141
c. "When provided by general law, shall hear appeals from final judgments entered in proceedings for the validation of bonds or certificates of indebtedness and shall review action of statewide agencies relating to rates or service of utilities providing electric, gas, or telephone service." Fla. Const. art. V, § 3(b)(2)	145
d. Discretionary Review	146
<i>Florida State Board of Health v. Lewis</i>	148
<i>Spradley v. State</i>	149
<i>City of Jacksonville v. Florida First National Bank of Jacksonville</i>	152
<i>Niemann v. Niemann</i>	154

<i>Skinner v. State</i>	155
<i>Bailey v. Hough</i>	155
<i>Jenkins v. State</i>	156
<i>Dodi Publishing Company v. Editorial America, S. A.</i>	158
<i>Stevens v. Jefferson</i>	159
<i>Ford Motor Company v. Kikis</i>	160
<i>Jollie v. State</i>	161
<i>Harrison v. Hyster Company</i>	164
<i>Stupack v. Winter Park Leasing, Inc.</i>	165
<i>Jenkins v. State</i>	166
<i>Ogden Aviation Services v. Dar</i>	167
<i>O’Neal v. State</i>	167
<i>Rupp v. Jackson</i>	168
<i>Petrik v. New Hampshire Insurance Company</i>	169
<i>Floridians For A Level Playing Field v. Floridians Against Expanded Gambling</i>	170
<i>Davis v. Mandau</i>	172
<i>State v. Vickery</i>	173
<i>Curry v. State</i>	174
<i>Department of Insurance, State of Florida v. Teachers Insurance Company</i>	176
e. Writs	180
<i>Moffitt v. Willis</i>	180
<i>English v. McCrary</i>	183
<i>Besoner v. Crawford</i>	185
<i>St. Paul Title Insurance Corporation v. Davis</i>	186
<i>Florida Parole and Probation Commission v. Baker</i>	191
2. District Courts of Appeal	193
Fla. Const. art V, § 4(b)	193
a. In General	193
b. “District courts of appeal shall have jurisdiction to hear appeals, that may be taken as a matter of right, from final judgments or orders of trial courts including those entered on review of administrative action, not directly appealable to the supreme court or a circuit court...” Fla. Const. art. V, §4(b)(1)	194
<i>City of Deerfield Beach v. Vaillant</i>	195
<i>Seminole County Board of County Commissioners v. Long</i>	197
<i>Education Development Center, Inc. v. City of West Palm Beach Zoning Board of Appeals</i>	199
<i>Cherokee Crushed Stone, Inc. v. City of Miramar</i>	202
c. “... [District courts of appeal] may review interlocutory orders in such cases to the extent provided by rules adopted by the Supreme Court.” Fla. Const. art. V, §4(b)(1)	206
d. “District courts of appeal shall have the power of direct review of administrative action, as prescribed by general law.” Fla. Const. art. V, §4(b)(2)	206
<i>Decker v. University of West Florida</i>	207
<i>Sweetwater Utility Corp. v. Hillsborough County</i>	209
<i>State Commission on Ethics v. Sullivan</i>	210

e. “A district court of appeal or any judge thereof may issue writs of habeas corpus returnable before the court or any judge thereof or before any circuit judge within the territorial jurisdiction of the court...” Fla. Const. art. V, §4(b)(3)	215
f. “... A district court of appeal may issue writs of mandamus, certiorari, prohibition, quo warranto, and other writs necessary to the complete exercise of its jurisdiction...” Fla. Const. art. V, §4(b)(3)	215
<i>Haines City Community Development v. Heggs</i>	216
<i>State v. Pettis</i>	224
<i>Nader v. Florida Dept. of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles</i>	234
3. Circuit Courts and County Courts	240
Fla. Const. art. V, § 5(b)	240
Fla. Const. art. V, § 6(b)	240
a. Common Law Certiorari	241
<i>G-W Development Corporation v. Village of North Palm Beach</i> <i>Zoning Board of Adjustment</i>	241
b. Legislative or Quasi-Judicial?	243
<i>Board of County Commissioners of Hillsborough County v.</i> <i>Casa Development, Ltd.</i>	243
<i>Martin County v. Yusem</i>	246
<i>Grace v. Town of Palm Beach</i>	254
C. Other Limitations on the Exercise of Judicial Power	256
1. Case or Controversy and Separation of Powers	256
a. In General	256
b. Mootness	256
<i>Southeastern Utilities Service Company v. Redding</i>	256
<i>Montgomery v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services</i>	258
c. Ripeness	261
<i>Bryant v. Gray</i>	261
d. Taxpayer Standing	265
<i>North Broward Hospital District v. Fornes</i>	265
<i>School Board of Volusia County v. Clayton</i>	269
<i>Clayton v. School Board of Volusia County</i>	271
e. Citizen Standing	275
<i>United States Steel Corporation v. Save Sand Key, Inc.</i>	275
f. Associational Standing	278
g. Standing to Challenge Administrative Action	279
h. Third Party Standing	279
Chapter 5 • Local Government	281
A. In General	281
B. Counties	281
1. In General	281
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(a)	281
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(f)	282
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(g)	282
2. Non-Charter Counties	282

a. “. . . [S]hall have such power of self-government as is provided by general or special law.” Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(f)	283
<i>Speer v. Olson</i>	283
b. “. . . [M]ay enact county ordinances not inconsistent with general or special law, but an ordinance in conflict with a municipal ordinance shall not be effective within the municipality to the extent of such conflict.” Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(f)	285
<i>Misty’s Cafe, Inc., v. Leon County</i>	285
3. Charter Counties	287
a. In General	287
b. “. . . [S]hall have all powers of local self-government not inconsistent with general law, or with special law approved by vote of the electors.” Fla. Const. art. VII, § 1(g)	287
<i>Hollywood, Inc. v. Broward County</i>	287
c. “. . . [M]ay enact county ordinances not inconsistent with general law.” Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(g)	290
<i>McLeod v. Orange County</i>	290
d. “. . . The charter shall provide which shall prevail in the event of conflict between county and municipal ordinances.”	
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(g)	292
<i>Broward County v. City of Fort Lauderdale</i>	293
C. Municipal Corporations	296
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 2	296
1. In General	297
<i>State ex rel. Lee v. City of Cape Coral</i>	297
2. Municipal Home Rule Power	300
<i>City of Boca Raton v. State</i>	301
<i>Basic Energy Corporation v. Hamilton County</i>	304
3. Creation and Merger of Municipal Corporations and Annexation by Municipal Corporations	307
<i>Sullivan v. Volusia County Canvassing Board</i>	307
<i>City of Long Beach Resort v. Collins</i>	308
a. Annexation under the Provisions of General Law	310
<i>SCA Services of Florida, Inc. v. City of Tallahassee</i>	310
b. Annexation by Special Law	312
<i>North Ridge General Hospital, Inc. v. City of Oakland Park</i>	312
c. Voluntary Annexation	314
<i>City of Center Hill V. McBryde, et al.</i>	314
D. Special Districts	316
Florida Attorney General Opinion	317
E. Other Units of Local Government	319
<i>Dunbar Electric Supply, Inc. v. School Board of Dade County</i>	319
<i>Eldred v. North Broward Hospital District</i>	320
F. State-Local Relationships	322
1. Preemption by the State	323
<i>Hillsborough County v. Florida Restaurant Association, Inc.</i>	323
2. Non-Preemption Type Conflict between State and Local Government	327
<i>City of Temple Terrace v. Hillsborough Association for Retarded Citizens, Inc.</i>	327

G. Local-Local Relationships	334
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 3	334
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 4	335
1. Consolidation	335
<i>Albury v. City of Jacksonville Beach</i>	335
<i>Town of Baldwin v. Consolidated City of Jacksonville</i>	337
2. The Tension between Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 4, Transfer of Powers and the Preemption Power of Charter Counties	340
<i>City of New Smyrna Beach v. County of Volusia</i>	340
Chapter 6 • Taxation and Finance	345
A. Taxation	345
1. What Is a Tax?	345
a. Impact Fees and User Service Charges	345
<i>Contractors and Builders Association of Pinellas County v.</i> <i>City of Dunedin</i>	346
b. Special Assessments	349
<i>Lake County v. Water Oak Management Corporation</i>	350
<i>City of Boca Raton v. State</i>	356
2. Constitutional Limitations: Who Is Allowed to Tax?	359
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 1	359
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 9	359
<i>Alachua County v. Adams</i>	360
<i>McGrath v. City of Miami</i>	366
<i>Gallant v. Stephens</i>	367
<i>State v. City of Daytona Beach</i>	371
3. Constitutional Limitations on How Those Taxing May Tax	373
a. Ad Valorem Taxation	373
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 2	373
<i>Hayes v. Walker</i>	374
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 4	377
<i>Miami Atlantic Development Corp. v. Blake</i>	381
<i>Schultz v. TM Florida-Ohio Realty Ltd. Partnership</i>	382
<i>Appleby v. Nolte</i>	385
<i>Oyster Pointe Resort Condominium Assoc., Inc. v. Nolte</i>	387
<i>Straughn v. GAC Properties, Inc.</i>	392
<i>Straughn v. K & K Land Management, Inc.</i>	393
<i>Orange County Property Appraiser v. Sommers</i>	396
4. Constitutional Limitations: Exemptions and Who Cannot Be Taxed or Taxed beyond a Certain Point	400
a. Ad Valorem Tax Exemption	400
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 3	400
<i>Canaveral Port Authority v. Department of Revenue</i>	401
<i>Markham v. Broward County</i>	410
<i>City of Sarasota v. Mikos</i>	411
<i>Capital City Country Club, Inc., v. Tucker</i>	414
<i>Colding v. Herzog</i>	417
<i>Walden v. Berkeley Preparatory School, Inc.</i>	420
<i>Underhill v. Edwards</i>	421

b. Restrictions on Estate, Inheritance, and Income Taxes	425
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 5	425
<i>Department of Revenue v. Golder</i>	426
<i>Department of Revenue v. Leadership Housing, Inc.</i>	428
c. Homestead Exemption from the Ad Valorem Tax	430
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 6	430
<i>Robbins v. Welbaum</i>	432
<i>Garcia v. Andonie</i>	433
<i>Wells v. Haldeos</i>	441
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 4	443
<i>Smith v. Krosschell</i>	444
<i>Zingale v. Powell</i>	448
d. Limitation on Which Property Can Be Taxed to Provide Services in Unincorporated Areas	454
Fla. Const. art. VIII, § 1(h)	454
<i>City of St. Petersburg v. Briley, Wild & Associates, Inc.</i>	454
<i>Alsdorf v. Broward County</i>	457
<i>Alsdorf v. Broward County</i>	461
B. Finance	465
1. Limitations on State and Local Aid to the Private Sector	467
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 10	467
<i>Nohrr v. Brevard County Educational Facilities Authority</i>	469
<i>State v. Jacksonville Port Authority</i>	472
<i>Orange County Industrial Development Authority v. State</i>	475
<i>Linscott v. Orange County Industrial Development Authority</i>	477
<i>Northern Palm Beach County Water Control District v. State</i>	479
2. Controls on the Pledging of the Credit of the State	487
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 11	487
<i>State v. Division of Bond Finance of Department of General Services</i>	488
<i>Division of Bond Finance v. Smathers</i>	490
3. Local Bonds	491
Fla. Const. art. VII, § 12	491
<i>County of Volusia v. State</i>	491
<i>Murphy v. City of Port St. Lucie</i>	495
<i>Wilson v. Palm Beach County Housing Authority</i>	497
4. Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Bonds	497
<i>Strand v. Escambia County</i>	498
5. Arbitrage Bonds	506
<i>State v. City of Orlando</i>	506
Chapter 7 • The Declaration of Rights and the Taking of Property	509
A. Introduction	509
<i>Traylor v. State</i>	510
<i>Florida v. Powell</i>	514
B. Basic Rights	516
Fla. Const. art. I, § 2	516
1. Introduction	516
2. “To Acquire, Possess and Protect Property”	517

<i>Shriners Hospitals for Crippled Children v. Zrillic</i>	517
3. “No Person Shall be Deprived of Any Right Because of Race, Religion, National Origin, or Physical Disability”	522
<i>Schreiner v. McKenzie Tank Lines, Inc.</i>	523
4. “All Natural Persons, Female and Male Alike, Are Equal before the Law”	526
<i>State v. J.P.</i>	526
<i>Florida Department of Children and Families v. Adoption of X.X.G.</i>	532
C. Florida Rational Basis Test	536
<i>Rollins v. State</i>	536
<i>Shriners Hospital For Crippled Children v. Zrillic</i>	539
D. Right to Assemble	541
Fla. Const. art. I, § 5	541
E. The Right to Work and the Right to Bargain Collectively	542
Fla. Const. art. I, § 6	542
<i>Hillsborough County Governmental Employees Association, Inc. v. Hillsborough County Aviation Authority</i>	542
F. Prohibited Laws	547
Fla. Const. art. I, § 10	547
1. Obligation of Contract	547
<i>Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc.</i>	547
<i>Cohn v. Grand Condominium Association, Inc.</i>	556
2. Ex Post Facto Laws	557
<i>Shenfeld v. State</i>	557
G. Substantive Due Process	561
Fla. Const. art. I, § 9	561
1. In General	561
<i>L. Maxcy, Inc. v. Mayo</i>	561
<i>State v. Saiez</i>	566
<i>Lite v. State</i>	568
<i>State v. Adkins</i>	570
2. A Higher Level of Scrutiny	578
3. Due Process and Conclusive Presumptions	578
<i>Hall v. Recchi America, Inc.</i>	578
4. Distinguishing Substantive from Procedural Due Process	581
H. Imprisonment for Debt	582
Fla. Const. art. I, § 11	582
<i>Del Valle v. State</i>	583
I. Search and Seizure	588
Fla. Const. art. I, § 12	588
<i>Jardines v. State</i>	589
J. Administrative Penalties	601
Fla. Const. art. I, § 18	601
<i>Florida Elections Commission v. Davis</i>	601
K. Access to Courts	604
Fla. Const. art. I, § 21	604
<i>Kluger v. White</i>	604
<i>Warren v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company</i>	607
<i>Kennedy v. Guarantee Management Services, Inc.</i>	612

L. Privacy	613
1. In General	613
Fla. Const. art. I, § 23	613
<i>Winfield v. Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering, Department of Business Regulation</i>	613
2. The Existence of a Reasonable Expectation of Privacy	616
<i>Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services v. Cox</i>	617
<i>Cox v. Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services</i>	619
<i>City of North Miami v. Kurtz</i>	620
3. Privacy and Public Trials	623
<i>Barron v. Florida Freedom Newspapers, Inc.</i>	623
4. Privacy and Abortion	627
<i>In re T.W.</i>	627
<i>State v. Presidential Women's Center</i>	636
5. Privacy and the Refusal of Medical Treatment	642
<i>In re Guardianship of Browning</i>	642
<i>Burton v. State</i>	652
6. Privacy and Physician Assisted Suicide	654
<i>Krischer v. McIver</i>	654
7. Privacy, Statutory Rape and Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	664
<i>J.A.S. v. State</i>	664
<i>A.H. v. State</i>	670
8. Privacy and Grandparent Visitation Statutes	675
<i>Beagle v. Beagle</i>	675
<i>Von Eiff v. Azicri</i>	681
<i>Saul v. Brunetti</i>	687
M. The Taking of Property	689
Fla. Const. art. X, § 6	689
<i>City of Hollywood Community Redevelopment Agency v. 1843, LLC</i>	690
Chapter 8 • Homestead	695
Fla. Const. art. X, § 4	695
A. Introduction	695
B. Establishment of a Homestead	696
1. Natural Person Requirement	696
2. Permanent Residence Requirement	696
3. Owner Requirement	697
4. Size and Contiguity Requirements	697
C. Duration of and Protection Afforded to a Homestead	698
1. Duration of Protection from Creditors	698
<i>McGann v. Halker</i>	698
<i>Orange Brevard Plumbing & Heating Company v. La Croix</i>	699
2. Extent of Protection from Creditors	701
<i>Havoco of America, LTD v. Hill</i>	701
D. Exceptions from Homestead Exemption	708
E. Inured Homestead Exemptions — Who Is an Heir?	709
<i>Public Health Trust of Dade County v. Lopez</i>	709
<i>Snyder v. Davis</i>	712

F. Restrictions on Inter Vivos Transfers of Homestead Property	720
<i>Jameson v. Jameson</i>	720
<i>Clemons v. Thornton</i>	724
G. Restriction on the Devise of Homestead Property	726
1. Homestead Owner Is Survived by Spouse and Minor Children	726
2. Homestead Owner Is Survived by Spouse and No Minor Children	727
<i>In re Estate of Finch</i>	727
H. Jointly Held Property	729
<i>Marger v. DeRosa</i>	729
I. Termination of Homestead	731
<i>In re Estate of Scholtz</i>	732
J. Homestead Summary	734
Constitution of the State of Florida	737
Article I	738
Article II	744
Article III	747
Article IV	759
Article V	764
Article VI	779
Article VII	781
Article VIII	794
Article IX	803
Article X	805
Article XI	819
Article XII	823
Index	847

Table of Cases

- A.H. v. State**, 670–675
A Community Health, Inc. v. Department of Health & Rehabilitative Services, 190
Achord v. Osceola Farms Co., 604
Adams v. Gunter, 18
Admiral Development Corp. v. City of Maitland, 349
Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General Re Florida Marriage Protection Amendment, 19–25
Aetna Insurance Company v. LaGasse, 697
Agency for Health Care Administration v. Mount Sinai Medical Center of Greater Miami, 189
Alachua County v. Adams, 360–366
Albury v. City of Jacksonville Beach, 335–337
Allen v. Butterworth, 189
Allstate Insurance Company v. Kaklamanos, 240
Alsdorf v. Broward County (Fla. 1976), 457–461
Alsdorf v. Broward County (Fla. 4th Dist. App. 1979), 461–465
American Bankers Insurance Company v. Chiles, 44
AmeriSteel Corp. v. Clark, 146
Amos v. Matthews, 282
Anderson v. Anderson, 708
Anoll v. Pomerance, 189
Appleby v. Nolte, 385–387
Armstrong v. City of Tampa, 141
Armstrong v. Harris, 25
Bailey v. Hough, 155–156
Barron v. Florida Freedom Newspapers, Inc., 623–627
Bartelt v. Bartelt, 735
Basic Energy Corporation v. Hamilton County, 304–306
Beagle v. Beagle, 675–680
Beaty v. State, 160
Beltran v. Kalb, 731
Bemis v. State, 160
Besoner v. Crawford, 185, 189
Bessemer Props., Inc. v. Gamble, 697
Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 522
Board of County Commissioners of Hillsborough County v. Casa Development, Ltd., 243–245, 194
Bowen v. Bowen, 582
Broward County v. City of Fort Lauderdale, 293–296
Brown v. Lewis, 731
Brown v. Saint City Church of God of the Apostolic Faith, 39
Brown v. State, 54–57
Bryant v. Gray, 261–264
Burns v. State, 155
Burnsed v. Seaboard Coastline Railroad Company, 36–37, 140
Burton v. State, 652–654
Bush v. Schiavo, 78–86
Butterworth v. Caggiano, 701
Byrd v. State, 145
Caldwell v. Mann, 116
Canaveral Port Authority v. Department of Revenue, 401–410
Cannery, Citrus, Drivers, Warehousemen & Allied Employees of Local 444 v. Winter Haven Hospital, Inc., 542

- Capital City Country Club, Inc., v. Tucker**, 414–418
- Carlile v. Game & Fresh Water Fish Commission**, 48
- Carroll v. State**, 4, 6
- Carter v. Sparkman**, 604
- Cawthon v. Town of De Funiak Springs**, 11
- Cherokee Crushed Stone, Inc. v. City of Miramar**, 202–205, 245, 261
- Chiles v. Children A, B, C, D, E, & F**, 46
- Chiles v. United Faculty of Florida**, 556
- City National Bank of Florida v. Tescher**, 726, 729
- City of Boca Raton v. State**, 300, 301–304, 356–359
- City of Casselberry v. Orange County Police Benevolent Ass’n**, 292
- City of Center Hill V. McBryde, et al.**, 314–316
- City of Cleburne, Texas v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc.**, 541
- City of Deerfield Beach v. Vaillant**, 195–197, 202, 234
- City of Dunedin**, 349
- City of Hollyhock Community Redevelopment Agency v. 1843, LLC**, 690–693
- City of Jacksonville v. Continental Can Co.**, 711
- City of Jacksonville v. Florida First National Bank of Jacksonville**, 152–153
- City of Long Beach Resort v. Collins**, 308–310
- City of Miami Beach v. Frankel**, 114, 116
- City of Miami v. Lewis**, 281
- City of New Smyrna Beach v. County of Volusia**, 340–344
- City of North Miami v. Kurtz**, 617, 620–622, 662
- City of Ormond Beach v. County of Volusia**, 287
- City of Sarasota v. Mikos**, 411–413
- City of Sarasota v. Windom**, 274
- City of St. Petersburg v. Briley, Wild & Associates, Inc.**, 454–457
- City of Tallahassee v. Florida Public Service Commission**, 146
- City of Tallahassee v. Mann**, 189
- City of Tampa v. Tampa Waterworks Co.**, 9
- City of Temple Terrace v. Hillsborough Association for Retarded Citizens, Inc.**, 327–334
- Clayton v. School Board of Volusia County**, 269, 271–274
- Clemons v. Thornton**, 724–726
- Coastal Petroleum Company v. Chiles**, 555
- Cochran v. Harris**, 522
- Cohn v. Grand Condominium Association, Inc.**, 556–557
- Colding v. Herzog**, 417–420
- Commission on Ethics v. Barker**, 140
- Connor v. Southwest Florida Regional Medical Center, Inc.**, 52–54
- Contractors & Builders Association of Pinellas County v. City of Dunedin**, 346–350
- Corn v. State**, 517
- County of Volusia v. State**, 491–495
- Couse v. Canal Authority**, 175, 186, 189
- Cox v. Florida Department of Health & Rehabilitative Services**, 532, 617, 619–620
- Curry v. State**, 174–175
- Dade County Classroom Teachers Association, Inc. v. Legislature**, 14, 61–62
- Daniels v. Katz**, 720
- Davis v. State**, 139, 189
- Davis v. Mandau**, 172–173
- Dean v. Heimbach**, 734
- Decker v. University of West Florida**, 207–209
- Del Valle v. State**, 583–588
- Delano v. Dade County**, 141
- Deltona Corporation v. Bailey**, 387
- Department of Education v. Lewis**, 107–108
- Department of Environmental Protection v. Millender**, 44
- Department of Health & Rehabilitative Services v. Cox**, 617–619
- Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles v. Nader**, 234
- Department of Insurance, State of Florida v. Teachers Insurance Company**, 176–180
- Department of Law Enforcement v. Real Property**, 581, 661
- Department of Revenue of State v. GTE Mobilnet of Tampa, Inc.**, 360

- Department of Revenue v. Golder**, 426–427
 Department of Revenue v. Kuhnlein, 256
Department of Revenue v. Leadership Housing Inc., 428–430
 Diamond v. E. R. Squibb & Sons, Inc. 604
Division of Bond Finance v. Smathers, 490–491
Dodi Publishing Company v. Editorial America, S.A., 158
 Dresner City of Tallahassee, 216, 233
 Dreyer v. Illinois, 8, 46
Dunbar Electric Supply, Inc. v. School Board of Dade County, 319–320

 Eastern Air Lines, Inc. v. Department of Revenue, 359
 Eberle v. Nielson, 7
Education Development Center, Inc. v. City of West Palm Beach Zoning Board of Appeals, 199–201
Eldred v. North Broward Hospital District, 320–322
 Engelke v. Estate of Engelke, 697
English v. McCrary, 183–185
 Estate of Murphy, 728
 Ex parte Smith, 190

 Finley v. State, 192
 Fitzsimmons v. City of Pensacola, 152
 Flannery v. Green, 696
 Flast v. Cohen, 277
 Florida Association of Professional Lobbyists, Inc. v. Division of Legislative Information Services, 46
Florida Attorney General Opinion 2008-02 (January 17, 2008), 317–319
 Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation v. Gulfstream Park Racing Association, Inc., 112
Florida Department of Children and Families v. Adoption of X.X.G., 532–535, 620
 Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles v. Critchfield, 90
Florida Elections Commission v. Davis, 601–603
 Florida Elks Children’s Hospital v. Stanley, 522
 Florida Home Builders Association v. Department of Labor & Employment Security, 277–278
Florida Hospital Waterman, Inc. v. Buster, 11–14
Florida House of Representatives v. Crist, 62–69
 Florida Insurance Guaranty Association, Inc. v. Devon Neighborhood Association, Inc., 154
Florida Parole & Probation Commission v. Baker, 191
 Florida Power & Light Co. v. Canal Authority of the State of Florida, 10
 Florida Power Corporation v. Pinellas Utility Board, 29
 Florida Senate v. Graham, 188
Florida Society of Ophthalmology v. Florida Optometric Association, 29, 130–131
Florida State Board of Health v. Lewis, 148–149
Florida v. Powell, 514–516
 Florida Welding & Erection Service, Inc. v. American Mutual Insurance Company of Boston, 89
 Floridians Against Casino Takeover v. Let’s Help Florida, 18
Floridians For A Level Playing Field v. Floridians Against Expanded Gambling, 170–172
Folks v. Marion County, 27–28
Ford Motor Company v. Kikis, 160–161
Ford v. Browning, 18, 29–35
Franklin v. State, 90–97
 Frase v. Branch, 696
 Friends of the Everglades, Inc. v. Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, 277

 G.B.B. Investments, Inc. v. Hinterkopf, 604
Gallant v. Stephens, 367–371, 460
Garcia v. Andonie, 433–440
 Gibson v. State, 92
 Geary Distributing Company, Inc. v. All Brand Importers, Inc., 556
 Geraci v. Sunstar EMS, 697
 Gilbreath v. Westgate Daytona, LTD, 382
 Godales v. Y.H. Investments, Inc., 48

- Grace v. Town of Palm Beach**, 254–255
Graham v. Vann, 189
Gray v. Bryant, 14
Greater Loretta Improvement Association v. State ex rel. Boone, 41–44, 370
GTE Florida, Inc. v. Clark, 146
Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. Johnson, 146
Gulf Oil Co. v. Bevis, 146
Gulf Power Company v. Bevis, 517
G-W Development Corporation v. Village of North Palm Beach Zoning Board of Adjustment, 146, 218, 241–243
- Haines City Community Development v. Heggs**, 216–224, 234
Hall v. Recchi America, Inc., 578–581
Hancock v. Board of Public Instruction of Charlotte County, 26
Hanft v. Phelan, 145
Harrell's Candy Kitchen, Inc. v. Sarasota-Manatee Airport Authority, 140
Harrison v. Hyster Company, 164–165
Harvard v. Singletary, 190
Hayes v. Walker, 374–377
Havoco of America, LTD v. Hill, 701–707
Hechtman v. Nations Title Ins. of New York, 539
Heiman v. Capital Bank, 697
Henry v. Santana, 191
Hill v. First National Bank of Marianna, 697
Hillsborough County Governmental Employees Association, Inc. v. Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, 542–547
Hillsborough County Hospital & Welfare Board v. Taylor, 322
Hillsborough County v. Florida Restaurant Association, Inc., 278, 283, 323–327
Hoffman v. Jones, 152
Holley v. Adams, 264
Hollywood, Inc. v. Broward County, 287–290, 349
- In re Advisory Opinion to the Governor, 9
In Re Advisory Opinion to the Governor Request of June 29, 1979, 132–134
In re Cooke, 697
In re Dubreuil, 652
- In re Emergency Amendments to the Rules of Appellate Procedure, 136, 140, 145, 147, 167, 172, 175, 179, 188
In re Estate of Cleeves, 729
In re Estate of Finch, 727–728, 735
In re Estate of Kant, 147
In re Estate of Scholtz, 732–733
In re Estate of Van Meter, 697
In re Guardianship of Browning, 642–652, 661
In re T.A.C.P., 48, 662
In re T.W., 627–636, 642
In re V, 14
Irvine v. Duval County Planning Commission, 201
- J.A.S. v. State**, 664–670
Jackson v. Consolidated Government of the City of Jacksonville, 26
Jackson v. State (Fla. 2006), 141–144
Jameson v. Jameson, 720–724
Jardines v. State, 589–600
Jenkins v. State (Fla. 1980), 136, 145, 156–158
Jenkins v. State (Fla. 3d Dist. App. 1994), 166
Johns v. Johns, 166
Johnson v. United States, 89
Jollie v. State, 160, 161–164
Jones v. Chiles, 73–76
Jones v. Jones, 729
- Kansas v. Colorado**, 5
Kelo v. City of New London, 689
Kennedy v. Guarantee Management Services, Inc., 612
Key Haven Associated Enterprises, Inc. v. Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund, 210
Kitchen v. K-Mart Corp., 49
Kluger v. White, 604–607
Kohn v. Coats, 699
Krischer v. McIver, 654–664
Krivanek v. Take Back Tampa Political Committee, 541
- L. Maxcy, Inc. v. Mayo**, 561–566
Lake County v. Water Oak Management Corporation, 350–355

- Lake v. Lake, 193
Lawnwood Medical Center, Inc. v. Seeger, 117–127
 Lawrence v. Florida East Coast Railway Company, 146
 Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation, Inc. v. Clark, 146, 279
 Lehman Brothers v. Schein, 180
 Leichtman v. Singletary, 192
 Levine v. Whalen, 89
Linscott v. Orange County Industrial Development Authority, 467, 477–478
Lite v. State, 568–569
 Loan Assn. v. Topeka, 4
 Lowe v. Broward County, 291
 Loxahatchee River Environmental Control District v. School Board of Palm Beach County, 102
- M.O. Logue Sod Service, Inc. v. Logue, 731
Marger v. DeRosa, 729–731
Martin County v. Yusem, 246–253
 Massey v. David, 82
 Mathieu v. City of Lauderdale Lakes, 708
 McCulloch v. Maryland, 3, 5
McGann v. Halker, 698–699, 731
 McGowan v. Maryland, 541
McGrath v. City of Miami, 366–367
 McKean v. Warburton, 720
McLeod v. Orange County, 290–291
 McNamara v. State, 147
McNeil v. Canty, 76–78
 Menendez v. Rodriguez, 697
Miami Atlantic Development Corp. v. Blake, 381–382
 Miller v. Higgs, 359
 Miller v. Highlands Insurance Company, 151
 Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company of Texas v. May, 45
Misty's Cafe, Inc. v. Leon County, 285–287
 Mizrahi v. North Miami Medical Center, Ltd., 578
Moffitt v. Willis, 180–182
Montgomery v. Department of Health & Rehabilitative Services, 258–261
 Mosher v. Speedstar Division of AMCA International, Inc., 180
Murphy v. City of Port St. Lucie, 495–496
- Nader v. Florida Dept. of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles**, 234–239
 Nichols v. State ex rel, Bolon, 40–41
 Niemann v. Niemann, 154
 Nohrr v. Brevard County Educational Facilities Authority, 469–472
 North Broward Hospital District v. Fornes, 265–269, 271
 North Ridge General Hospital, Inc. v. City of Oakland Park, 105–106
 Northern Palm Beach County Water Control District v. State, 479–487
- O'Neal v. State, 167
Office of the State Attorney for the Eleventh Judicial Circuit v. Polites, 70–73
Ogden Aviation Services v. Dar, 167
 Ogle v. Pepin, 140
 Olive v. Maas, 264
Orange Brevard Plumbing & Heating Company v. La Croix, 699–701
Orange County Industrial Development Authority v. State, 475–476, 479
 Oregon v. Hass, 509
Oyster Pointe Resort Condominium Assoc., Inc. v. Nolte, 387–391
- Palm Beach Savings & Loan Ass'n v. Fishbein, 708
 Partridge v. Partridge, 708
Pearce v. State, 86–87
 Pesci v. Maistrellis, 279
Peters v. Meeks, 6–7, 8–9
Petrik v. New Hampshire Insurance Company, 169
Pinellas County Veterinary Medical Society, Inc. v. Chapman, 113
 Pleasures II Adult Video, Inc. v. City of Sarasota, 255
 Poe v. Hillsborough County, 478
Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc., 547–555
 Price v. State, 167
 Psychiatric Associates v. Siegel, 604
Public Health Trust of Dade County v. Lopez, 695, 709–712
 Putnam County Environmental Council, Inc. v. Board of County Commissioners of Putnam County, 277

- Raulerson v. Peeples, 697
 Rich v. Ryals, 140
 Riddoch v. State, 5
 Roberts v Brown, 189
Robbins v. Welbaum, 432–433
Rollins v. State, 536–538
 Rosen v. Fla. Ins. Guar. Ass'n, 154
 Ross v. State, 99
Rupp v. Jackson, 168
- Salters v. State, 97–102**
Santos v. State, 102, 103
 Sas v. Postman, 185
Saul v. Brunetti, 687–689
SCA Services of Florida, Inc. v. City of Tallahassee, 310–312
 School Board of Pinellas County v. District Court of Appeal, 151
School Board of Volusia County v. Clayton, 269–271
Schreiner v. McKenzie Tank Lines, Inc., 523–525
 Schultz v. Crystal River Three Participants, 468
Schultz v. TM Florida-Ohio Realty Ltd. Partnership, 382–385
 Seaboard Air Line R.R. v. Branham, 155
Seminole County Board of County Commissioners v. Long, 197–199
 Service Insurance Company v. Chiles, 35
Shands Teaching Hospital & Clinics, Inc. v. Smith, 49-52, 658
 Shevin ex rel. State v. Public Service Commission, 188
Shriners Hospitals For Crippled Children v. Zrillic, 517–522
 Simmons v. Faust, 136
Skinner v. State, 155
 Smith v. Fisher, 604
Smith v. Krossschell, 444–448
 Smith v. State, 115
 Smith v. Unkefer, 697
 Snyder v. Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County, 522
Snyder v. Davis, 712-719
 Sockol v. Kimmins Recycling Corp., 355
Southeastern Utilities Service Company v. Redding, 256–257
 Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company v. Broward County, 387
 Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company v. Markham, 387
Speer v. Olson, 283-285
Spradley v. State, 149–151
 Springer v. Government of the Philippine Islands, 45
 St. Johns County v. Northeast Florida Builders Association, Inc., 349
St. Johns River Water Management District v. Deseret Ranches of Florida, Inc., 110–112
St. Paul Title Insurance Corporation v. Davis, 186
State Commission on Ethics v. Sullivan, 210–215
 State ex rel. Bruce v. Kiesling, 190
 State ex rel. Chiles v. Pub. Employees Rel. Commn., 188
State ex rel. Cotterill v. Bessenger, 114–115
 State ex rel. Dade County v. Dickinson, 29
 State ex rel. Gibbs v. Couch, 300
State ex rel. Lee v. City of Cape Coral, 297–300
 State ex rel. Municipal Bond & Investment Company, Inc. v. Knott, 4
 State ex rel. Peacock v. Latham, 187
 State ex rel. Pettigrew v. Kirk, 190
 State ex rel. Reynolds v. Roan, 26
 State ex rel. Vance v. Wellman, 190
State v. Adkins, 570–578
 State v. Allen, 172, 194
 State v. Ashley, 48
 State v. Atlantic Coast Line R.R., 47
 State v. Barquet, 526
State v. City of Daytona Beach, 371–373, 497
 State v. City of Miami, 478
State v. City of Orlando, 306, 506–508
State v. Combs, 102, 104
 State v. Conforti, 616
 State v. Creighton, 172
State v. Division of Bond Finance of Department of General Services (Fla. 1971), 488–490
State v. Division of Bond Finance of Department of General Services (Fla. 1973), 26–27, 41
 State v. Furen, 194, 198
 State v. Grawien, 136
 State v. Hamilton, 49

- State v. Housing Finance Authority of Polk County, 478
- State v. J.P.**, 526–532
- State v. Jacksonville Port Authority**, 472–474
- State v. Lee, 90, 188
- State v. Osceola County, 467
- State v. Palmer, 47
- State v. Pettis**, 224–233
- State v. Presidential Women’s Center**, 636–642
- State v. Saiez**, 566–568
- State v. Sarasota, 466
- State v. Sarmiento, 588
- State v. Stalder** 57–61
- State v. Thompson, 97, 99–100
- State v. Town of Davie**, 38–39
- State v. Vickery**, 173–174
- Stevens v. Jefferson**, 159–160
- Strand v. Escambia County**, 498–506
- Straughn v. GAC Properties, Inc.**, 392–393
- Straughn v. K & K Land Management, Inc.**, 393–396
- Stupack v. Winter Park Leasing, Inc.**, 165
- Sturdivant v. Blanchard, 189
- Sullivan v. Volusia County Canvassing Board**, 307–308
- Sun Ins. Office v. Clay, 47
- Sunbeam Television Corporation v. State, 257
- Sunspan Engineering and Construction Company v. Spring-Lock Scaffolding Company, 147
- Sweetwater Utility Corp. v. Hillsborough County**, 209–210
- Tallahassee Mem’l Reg’l Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Tallahassee Med. Ctr., Inc., 292
- Taylor v. Dorsey, 40
- The Florida Star v. B.J.F., 160
- Thornber v. City of Ft. Walton Beach, 48
- Town of Baldwin v. Consolidated City of Jacksonville**, 337–340
- Town of Palm Beach v. Palm Beach Local 1886 of International Association Fire Fighters**, 127–129
- Town of Palm Beach v. Vlahos, 297
- Tribune Co. v. School Bd. of Hillsborough County, 127
- Trapp v. State, 97
- Traylor v. State**, 510–513
- Trepal v. State**, 137–139
- Troy v. State, 539
- Tucker v. Underdown, 371
- Tullis v. Tullis, 731
- Underhill v. Edwards**, 421–424
- United States Steel Corporation v. Save Sand Key, Inc.**, 275–277
- United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corporation, 3
- Upper Keys Citizens Association, Inc. v. Wedel, 278
- Valdez v. Chief Judge of Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida, 257
- Von Eiff v. Azicri**, 681–686
- Wald Corporation v. Metropolitan Dade County, 349
- Walden v. Berkeley Preparatory School, Inc.**, 420–421
- Wale v. Barnes, 153
- Walker v. Bentley, 7, 10, 14, 47
- Wallace v. Dean, 154
- Warren v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company**, 607–612
- Warning Safety Lights of Georgia, Inc. v. State Department of Revenue, 360
- Weber v. Smathers, 18
- Weinberger v. Board of Public Instruction of St. Johns County, 8
- Weitzner v. United States, 708
- Werner v. State Department of Insurance and Treasurer, 69
- Williston Highlands Development Corporation v. Hogue, 141
- Wilson v. Florida Nat. Bank & Trust Co. at Miami, 696, 698
- Wilson v. Palm Beach County Housing Authority**, 497
- Winfield v. Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering**, Department of Business Regulation, 613–616
- Y.H. Investments, Inc. v. Godales, 48
- Zerquera v. Centennial Homeowners’ Assn., Inc., 708
- Zorc v. City of Vero Beach, 327

Preface to the First Edition

This book is designed to serve as an introduction of the Florida Constitution to the law student. Although state constitutional law has always been important, it has recently assumed a more prominent role. In recent years the federal courts have reduced or restricted expansive interpretations of the Federal Constitution. This has resulted, particularly in the area of individual rights, with the state courts after expanding their state constitutional provisions beyond federal minimums. Florida has been a leader in the area, particularly in regards to the right of privacy guaranteed by the state constitution.

In addition, this work can be valuable to the practitioner. As Florida constitutional law increases in importance, the competent practitioner must be familiar with this expanding area. This book contains most all important cases, currently available, in this area.

The current Florida Constitution was adopted by the electorate in 1968. Prior to that time Florida was governed by 5 separate constitutions enacted respectively in 1838, 1861, 1865, 1868, and 1885. Although the 1885 Constitution is occasionally referenced in the text, all references to the Florida Constitutions are intended to be references to 1968 Constitutions unless otherwise specifically indicated.

We wish to express our appreciation to the people who have helped to make these teaching materials a reality: to Harold L. Sebring and Everett Cushman who pioneered work in this field at Stetson; to our colleagues whose friendship and support nurtured this work; to our research assistants, whose conscientious and enthusiastic efforts have improved the quality of this work; to our students who have made helpful suggestions; to the faculty secretaries, who put up with us during the production of this work and without whom it could not have been accomplished; and to our wives and families for their love and understanding throughout the preparation of this manuscript. Special thanks go to Louise Petren who bore the primary responsibility for manuscript preparation.

John F. Cooper
Thomas C. Marks, Jr.
St. Petersburg, FL
October 1991

Preface to the Second Edition

The theory and organization of the Second Edition remains basically unchanged. New cases have been added and, in some instances, old cases deleted. Also, there does appear some new subject matter e.g., 1) the relationship between judicial review of agency action and an original action for declaratory and injunctive relief and 2) evolving material concerning the right of privacy guaranteed by the state constitution.

The names have changed to some extent. Our appreciation of the efforts of our research assistants would now include Michael J. Bertolini, Tabatha Liebert, and Shawn C. Nagel. The same appreciation to faculty secretaries would now include Choung-Mi Akehurst, Connie Evans, Sharon Gisclair, Barbara Lernihan, Marge Masters, Shad Mullins and Sue Stinson. While our students have changed our appreciation of their interest in the Florida Constitution remains the same. As before, special thanks to Louise Petren for preparation of most of the manuscript. And finally, what should have been in the original preface, to our friends at Carolina Academic Press. Better late than never.

The book remains dedicated to our wives in the terms expressed in the First Edition.

John F. Cooper
Thomas C. Marks, Jr.
St. Petersburg and Gulfport, FL
April 1996

Preface to the Third Edition

Close to ten years have passed since the publication of the first edition of this text. During this decade the Florida Constitution has been frequently amended, and the Florida appellate courts have repeatedly found the need to interpret and construe the provisions of the organic law of Florida.

While these developments have caused a lengthening of the text, the theory and organization of the first and second editions remain unchanged. While all chapters of the text have evolved, the materials involving the courts, individual rights, and the legal sufficiency of initiative petitions have experienced the greatest expansion and evolution.

There is one significant change in the way the text is organized. In earlier versions, brackets were placed around footnotes that accompanied cases included in the material. This was done to distinguish these footnotes from footnotes prepared by the authors. In this edition that distinction was not possible. As a result, occasionally author footnotes are commingled with footnotes in cases. These instances can be identified where footnotes do not appear sequentially, and the footnotes can be distinguished by context.

Once again we wish to express our appreciation for the outstanding efforts of our research assistants Joelle Aboytes, David Blum, Victoria Cecil, Dennis Hudson, Carol McCrory, Shakonda McDaniel, Susan Mitchell, Neil Morales, Patrice Parker, Thomas Townsend, and Lisa Wilcox.

We would also like to acknowledge the outstanding administrative and secretarial support we have received from the Faculty Support Staff at Stetson University College of Law. We would particularly like to thank Connie Evans, the director of the faculty support staff, for her thoroughness, efficiency, diligence, and good humor. We would also like to thank Sharon Gisclair who was responsible for the preparation of most of the text of the third edition. Through endless changes, rewrites, and edits, Sharon remained even tempered and committed to the completion of the project. Once again, our thanks to the folks at Carolina Academic Press for their support.

Finally, and certainly not least, this book remains dedicated to our spouses, as expressed in the First and Second Editions.

John F. Cooper
Thomas C. Marks
St. Petersburg, FL
December 2000

Preface to the Fourth Edition

As one who compares the Fourth Edition to the Third will see, our attempt to shorten the book met with some success, but not as much as we had hoped for. Some cases were more heavily edited to make them easier to deal with. Some duplicative cases were left out and several new cases were added.

The main function of this Preface is to thank people. First and foremost, our gratitude goes to what we call our team of editors. Pamela Buha, a Stetson student, was our Editor-in-Chief, and did a fantastic job. She was ably assisted by Shannon Mullins, Petra Risco-Adam, and Stephanie Jones. Petra and Stephanie are also Stetson students. Shannon, Coordinator of the Faculty Support Services, typed the manuscript in her usual flawless way. She could also be described as a co-Editor-in-Chief. With the retirement of Connie Evans in May, Louise Petren became Director of Faculty Support Services. Both Connie and Louise deserve worlds of credit for heading up the world class group of people who make up Stetson Law School's Faculty Support Services. We would like to thank our colleague, Brooke J. Bowman, Assistant Professor of Legal Skills and Special Assistant to the Dean, and her team of student Teaching Fellows, Erick Cruz, Katherine Jane Hurst, Jennifer Tanck, and Wilnar Jeanne Paul, for their assistance with checking the citations. Thanks is also due to so many fine people in the Stetson Law Library that it would be impossible to name them all. We could not conclude the list of people at Stetson without thanking our students for their suggestions. Finally, last but certainly not least, our thanks go to Dean Darby Dickerson for her unfaltering support.

Outside the Stetson family, thanks is due to Tom's friend, Professor Vin Bonventre of the Albany Law School for the permission to reprint the article found in Chapter 7. Thanks is also due to Tom's friends, Tom Graves and Charles Reischmann, who explained the change in the federal tax code that affected Florida's estate tax as discussed in Chapter 6. And, we cannot forget our friends at Carolina Academic Press. Last, but far from least, our thanks to Rohan Kelley, Esq. for his kind permission to use the Kelley's Homestead Paradigm diagram.

The book remains gratefully dedicated to our wives, Denise and Nancy, as has been the case since the First Edition.

John F. Cooper
Thomas C. Marks, Jr.
Gulfport, FL
July 2006

Preface to the Fifth Edition

The theory and organization of the Fifth Edition remains basically unchanged from the Fourth Edition. It has been seven years since the publication of the Fourth Edition and our primary goal in writing this edition was to update the book, while at the same time, make it more user friendly. In doing so, the authors have added more explanatory commentary; used a blend of lengthy and short cases to emphasize significant state constitutional principles and concepts; and re-edited select cases. While all chapters of the text have evolved, there have been important constitutional and statutory amendments to the materials involving the takings clause, homestead, taxation and finance.

This project could not have been completed without the assistance of many people. We wish to express our sincere thanks and appreciation for the exceptional efforts of our research assistants Margaret Carland, Rebecca Csikos, Zouzouko Doualehi, Shaquana Harper, Courtney Norris and Howard Williams, all of whom are either current or former students at Stetson University College of Law (“Stetson”).

Further, we would like to acknowledge the outstanding administrative and secretarial support we received from the Faculty Support Staff at Stetson, especially Shannon Edgar, Janice Strawn and Jessica Fehr. We particularly would like to thank members of the departments of Academic Success and Bar Preparation Services at Stetson and Florida A&M University College of Law (“FAMU”) and the legal staff at Orlando Utilities Commission for their suggestions and support. We further wish to express our sincere gratitude to Stetson University College of Law Dean Christopher Pietruszkiewicz, former Dean Darby Dickerson and former Interim Dean Royal Gardner. We also would like to thank the Faculty and Associate Deans at Stetson and FAMU for their support.

We could not conclude without thanking Carolina Academic Press for their guidance and support. We also would like to thank Rohan Kelley, Esq. for his kind permission to use the Kelley’s Homestead Paradigm diagram.

Finally, but certainly not least, Tishia and Carlos especially thank John Cooper and Thomas Marks, Jr., for the opportunity to continue the legacy of the preeminent casebook on Florida Constitutional Law.

Tishia A. Dunham
Carlos L. Woody
July 2013

