An Introduction to American Law

An Introduction to American Law

THIRD EDITION

Dan Rosen

Professor of Law Chuo Law School

Bruce Aronson

Professor of Law Graduate School of Corporate Strategy Hitotsubashi University

David G. Litt

Professor of Law Keio University Law School

Gerald Paul McAlinn

Professor of Law Emeritus Keio University Law School

John P. Stern

Professor of Law Emeritus Nihon University Law School



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017 Carolina Academic Press, LLC All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-61163-845-5 eISBN 978-1-53100-688-4 LCCN 2017942687

Carolina Academic Press, LLC 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

This book is dedicated generally to the many students who have studied American law with us.

For Daniel and Allison
Dan Rosen

For Daphne, Duncan, and Sasha Bruce Aronson

For Geoffrey and Henry
David G. Litt

To Sachiko, Ken & Miki Gerald Paul McAlinn

To Sakumi, George and Ken John P. Stern

Contents

Preface	xix
A Note on Reading Cases	xxi
Table of Cases	xxiii
Chapter 1 · Basic Principles of American Law	3
The Civil Law and Common Law Traditions	3
The Constitution of the United States of America	6
The Structure of the Constitution	7
Article I	7
United States v. Morrison	8
Topics for Further Discussion	10
Reno v. Condon	10
Topics for Further Discussion	12
Mutual Pharmaceutical Co, Inc. v. Bartlett	14
Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.	14
Williamson v. Mazda Motor of America, Inc.	16
Topics for Further Discussion	19
Article II	20
Hirabayashi v. United States	21
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld	24
Topics for Further Discussion	27
Zivotofsky v. Kerry	28
Topics for Further Discussion	31
Article III	31
Bush v. Gore	32
Topics for Further Discussion	34
United States v. Windsor	35
Topics for Further Discussion	37
Amendments	37
Key Terms and Concepts	37
Chapter 2 · Constitutional Law: Individual Rights	39
Due Process and Equal Protection: An Overview	39
Due Process	41
Lawrence v. Texas	44

viii CONTENTS

	Topics for Further Discussion	48
	Obergefell v. Hodges	48
	Topics for Further Discussion	52
	Equal Protection	52
	Grutter v. Bollinger	55
	Topics for Further Discussion	57
	Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin	58
	Topics for Further Discussion	59
	Statutory Protection of Individual Rights	60
	McCormick v. School Dist. of Mamaroneck	60
	Topics for Further Discussion	62
	Ricci v. DeStefano	63
	Topics for Further Discussion	67
	Freedom of Religion	67
	Establishment Clause	68
	McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky	69
	Van Orden v. Perry	70
	Topics for Further Discussion	72
	Free Exercise Clause	72
	Employment Div., Oregon Dep't of Human Resources v. Smith	73
	Topics for Further Discussion	75
	Tension between the Free Exercise and Establishment Clauses	76
	Santa Fe Indep. School Dist. v. Doe	76
	Topics for Further Discussion	80
	Locke v. Davey	80
	Topics for Further Discussion	82
	Freedom of Speech and of the Press	82
	Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association	85
	Topics for Further Discussion	87
	United States v. The Progressive, Inc.	89
	Topics for Further Discussion	91
	Snyder v. Phelps	91
	Topics for Further Discussion	94
	Other Individual Rights	94
	Key Terms and Concepts	96
Cł	napter 3 · Civil Procedure and the Federal Courts	97
	Horizontal Federalism	98
	Personal Jurisdiction	99
	Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court	100
	Topics for Further Discussion	102
	Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy	104
	Topics for Further Discussion	106
	Revell v. Lidov	106
	Topics for Further Discussion	107

CONTENTS ix

Federal Court Subject Matter Jurisdiction	109
Federal Question Jurisdiction	109
Diversity Jurisdiction	110
Federal or State Law	111
Where to Sue or Defend	112
Sovereign Immunity	112
Conflict of Laws	113
Forum Non Conveniens	114
Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.	115
Topics for Further Discussion	116
Pretrial Procedure	117
The Complaint and Service of Process	117
Sample Complaint for Money Lent	117
The Defendant's Response to the Complaint	118
Class Actions	119
Discovery	120
Major Forms of Discovery	122
Exceptions to Discovery	123
Abuses of Discovery	124
Summary Judgment	124
The Trial	124
Complexity and Civil Juries	125
SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Electric Corp. of America	i 125
Topics for Further Discussion	126
Scope of the Right to Jury Trial in Civil Lawsuits	127
Ross v. Bernhard	127
Topics for Further Discussion	128
Conduct of Trial	128
Rules of Evidence	129
Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael	130
Topics for Further Discussion	131
Jury Instructions	131
Costs	133
After the Verdict	133
After the Trial	133
Appeals in the Federal System	133
Agreements to Arbitrate and the Federal Arbitration	Act 135
Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments	135
U.S. Civil Procedure Goes Global	136
Key Terms and Concepts	137
Chapter 4 · Criminal Law and Procedure	139
Basic Principles of Criminal Law	140
White Collar and Organized Crime	142
Due Process of Law	143

x CONTENTS

The Presumption of Innocence and the Burden of Proof	145
Search and Seizure	147
The Exclusionary Rule	147
The Expectation of Privacy	149
United States v. Jones	149
Search Incident to Arrest and Exigent Circumstances	152
Topics for Further Discussion	153
Indictment, Arrest, and the Role of the Grand Jury	154
Miranda Rights	155
Arraignment and Probable Cause	157
Bail	158
Plea Bargaining	159
Prosecution and Trial	159
The Right against Self-Incrimination	159
The Right to Counsel and to Confront Hostile Witnesses	160
The Right to a Speedy and Public Trial	162
The Right to Trial by Jury	163
Selection of the Trial Jury — <i>Venire</i>	163
Selection of the Trial Jury — <i>Voir Dire</i>	164
Split Juries and Hung Juries	165
Announcing the Verdict	166
After the Verdict	166
Jury Nullification	166
Defenses	167
Justification, Necessity, and Duress	167
Defense of Property or Persons	168
Alibi	168
Entrapment	168
Insanity and Diminished Capacity	169
Kansas v. Crane	170
Topics for Further Discussion	171
Habeas Corpus	172
Crime and Punishment	172
The Prohibition of Cruel and Unusual Punishment	173
The Death Penalty	174
Coker v. Georgia	175
Topics for Further Discussion	178
Parole, Probation, and Mandatory Sentencing (Three Strikes Law)	179
Ewing v. California	180
Topics for Further Discussion	186
Key Terms and Concepts	187
Chapter 5 · The Legal Profession	189
Lawyers and the Public	190
Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc.	191

CONTENTS xi

Topics for Further Discussion	194
Duties and Obligations of Lawyers	195
Competence	195
Lawyer Disciplinary Bd. v. Turgeon	195
Topics for Further Discussion	198
Diligence and Communication	198
In the Matter of Garnett	198
Topics for Further Discussion	199
Confidentiality	200
In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against O'Neil	200
Topics for Further Discussion	204
Conflicts of Interest	204
Doe ex rel. Doe v. Perry Community School Dist.	205
Topics for Further Discussion	208
Simpson Performance Products, Inc. v. Robert W. Horn, P.C.	208
Topics for Further Discussion	212
Counseling and Mediation	213
Advocacy	213
In re Discipline of Eicher	214
Topics for Further Discussion	218
Prosecutors and Judges	218
In re Kinsey	219
Topics for Further Discussion	221
Recusal	221
Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia	221
Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.	224
Topics for Further Discussion	226
Key Terms and Concepts	227
Chapter 6 · Contracts	229
Sources of Contract Law	229
Federal Law	229
Restatement of the Law of Contracts	230
Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)	230
Convention on the International Sale of Goods	230
What Is a Contract?	231
Classification of Contracts	231
Lucy v. Zehmer	232
Topics for Further Discussion	234
Elements of an Enforceable Contract	234
Offer	235
Lefkowitz v. Great Minneapolis Surplus Store	237
Topics for Further Discussion	238
Acceptance	238
Silence as Acceptance	239

xii CONTENTS

Battle of the Forms	239
Defects in Mutual Acceptance	241
Frigaliment Importing Co. v. B.N.S. Int'l Sales Corp.	242
Topics for Further Discussion	243
Consideration	243
Hamer v. Sidway	244
Topics for Further Discussion	245
Consideration and the UCC	245
Promissory Estoppel	245
Evidence of Contractual Terms	246
The Parol Evidence Rule	246
The Statute of Frauds	247
Performance of Contractual Obligations	249
Chodos v. West Publishing Co.	249
Topics for Further Discussion	252
Remedies for Breach of Contract	252
Contract Damages vs. Tort Damages	252
Limits on Contract Damages	253
Liquidated Damages	254
When Monetary Damages Are Inadequate	254
Chodos v. West Publishing Co.	255
Topics for Further Discussion	256
Key Terms and Concepts	257
Chapter 7 · Tort and Product Liability	259
Tort and Criminal Law Compared	259
Elements of a Tort	260
Intentional Torts	261
Informed Consent	262
Lugenbuhl v. Dowling	262
Topics for Further Discussion	266
PMC, Inc. v. Saban Entertainment, Inc.	266
Topics for Further Discussion	269
Unintentional Torts (Negligence)	269
Duty Owed	270
Weirum v. RKO General	270
Topics for Further Discussion	272
Special and Limited Duties	272
Benejam v. Detroit Tigers, Inc.	273
Topics for Further Discussion	274
Reasonable Person Standard	274
Causation	275
Cyr v. Adamar Assocs.	276
Topics for Further Discussion	277
Joint and Several Liability	277

CONTENTS xiii

Defenses	278
Remedies	278
Punitive Damages	279
Ford Motor Co. v. Stubblefield	280
Topics for Further Discussion	281
Contingency Fee System	282
Mass Torts	282
In re School Asbestos Litigation, School Dist. of Lancaster v.	
Lake Asbestos of Quebec, Ltd.	284
Topics for Further Discussion	286
Product Liability	286
Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. of Fresno	287
Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc.	290
Topics for Further Discussion	291
Tort Reform	292
Key Terms and Concepts	293
Chapter 8 · Property	295
Ownership of Property	296
Estates in Land and Deeds	296
Acquisition of Property	298
The Contract of Sale	298
The Closing	299
Mortgages	300
Foreclosure Proceedings	301
Options over Property	302
Cipriano v. Glen Cove Lodge	302
Topics for Further Discussion	307
Remedies	307
Condominiums and Cooperatives	308
Acquisition by Adverse Possession and Prescription	308
Landlord and Tenant	309
Wade v. Jobe	310
Topics for Further Discussion	312
Fair Housing	313
Eminent Domain and the Takings Clause	313
Kelo v. City of New London, Conn.	314
Topics for Further Discussion	318
Regulation of Land Use	319
Zoning	319
Regulatory Taking	321
Tahoe-Sierra Pres. Council v. Tahoe Reg'l Planning Agency	322
Topics for Further Discussion	326
Key Terms and Concepts	326

xiv CONTENTS

Chapter 9 ·	Intellectual Property	329
Överview	- ,	330
	Eldred v. Ashcroft	331
	Topics for Further Discussion	334
Copyrigh	t	335
	Bright Tunes Music Corp. v. Harrisongs Music, Ltd.	337
	Topics for Further Discussion	339
	Sony Corp. v. Universal City Studios	341
	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios v. Grokster, Ltd.	344
	Topics for Further Discussion	345
	Seltzer v. Green Day, Inc.	346
	Topics for Further Discussion	348
Patent		348
	Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l	350
	U.S. Patent No. 5,960,411 (filed Sept. 28, 1999)	351
	Amazon.com v. Barnesandnoble.com	353
	Topics for Further Discussion	354
Trade Sec	eret	355
	Art of Living Foundation v. Does 1-10	355
	Topics for Further Discussion	358
Trademai	rk	359
	Wal-Mart Stores v. Samara Bros.	360
	Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue	362
	Topics for Further Discussion	363
	Brother Records v. Jardine	364
	Topics for Further Discussion	366
Key Term	as and Concepts	366
Chapter 10 ·	Business Law	369
What Is E	Business Law?	369
Forms of	Business Organization	370
Sole	Proprietorship	370
Gen	eral Partnership and Limited Partnership	370
Tru	st	371
Cor	poration	371
Lim	ited Liability Company	372
Corporat	e Law — Sources	372
Fed	eral Law	372
Dela	aware General Corporate Law (DGCL)	373
	ised Model Business Corporation Act (MBCA)	373
Cor	porate Governance Principles	373
Fiduciary	Duties — Duty of Loyalty and Duty of Care	374
•	porate Governance	374
	reholder Derivative Suits	375

CONTENTS xv

Shlensky v. Wrigley	376
Topics for Further Discussion	378
Securities Regulation	378
Purpose and Sources of Law	378
What Is a Security?	380
Basic Provisions of the Securities Act	380
Basic Provisions of the Exchange Act	381
Securities Class Action Lawsuits and Practice	381
Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.	382
Topics for Further Discussion	385
The International Reach of U.S. Securities Laws	385
Morrison v. National Australia Bank, Ltd.	386
Topics for Further Discussion	388
Antitrust Laws	389
The Sherman Act and the Clayton Antitrust Act	389
Exemptions from the Antitrust Laws	390
Interpretation of the Antitrust Laws	390
What Is the Market?	392
United States v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.	392
Topics for Further Discussion	393
Conscious Parallelism	393
Mergers and Acquisitions	393
Other Antitrust Laws	394
The International Reach of U.S. Antitrust Laws	394
F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran S.A.	395
Topics for Further Discussion	396
Bankruptcy	397
Historical Background	397
Bankruptcy Law — Source and Organization	398
The Bankruptcy Setting	399
Chapter 7 Bankruptcies	399
Chapter 11 Bankruptcies	400
Recent Trends in Bankruptcy Law	401
The International Reach of U.S. Bankruptcy Law	401
In re Petition of Board of Directors of Compañia de	
Combustibles, S.A.	402
Topics for Further Discussion	405
Key Terms and Concepts	405
Chapter 11 · Marriage and the Family	407
Marriage	408
Traditional Values	408
Loving v. Virginia	410
Topics for Further Discussion	411

xvi CONTENTS

Cor	nmon Law Marriage	412
	Estate of Scott Gregory Summers, Deceased	412
	Topics for Further Discussion	414
San	ne-Sex Marriage and Civil Union	415
	In re Opinions of the Justices to the Senate	415
	Topics for Further Discussion	417
	Obergefell v. Hodges	418
	Topics for Further Discussion	421
Divorce		421
Div	ision of Property, Alimony, and Prenuptial Agreements	422
	Wehrkamp v. Wehrkamp	422
	Topics for Further Discussion	425
Aliı	mony	425
Pre	nuptial Agreements	426
	Marvin v. Marvin	427
	Topics for Further Discussion	430
Chi	ld Custody and Support	430
	Painter v. Bannister	431
	Topics for Further Discussion	434
Visi	itation	436
	Nuzzaci v. Nuzzaci	437
Ado	pption	438
Sur	rogate Mother Contracts	439
	In the Matter of Baby M	440
	Topics for Further Discussion	440
Illeş	gitimacy	442
Rep	productive Rights	442
	Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerman	443
	Topics for Further Discussion	446
Key Term	ns and Concepts	447
Chapter 12	· Administrative Law	449
Delegatio	on	450
	A.L.A. Schechter Poultry v. United States	450
	National Cable Television Assoc. v. United States	453
	Whitman v. American Trucking Assn.	453
	Topics for Further Discussion	454
	INS v. Chadha	455
	Topics for Further Discussion	457
Rulemak	ing: Informal and Formal	458
	Proposed Rules, Federal Communications Commission	459
	Topics for Further Discussion	461
	United States v. Florida East Coast Ry.	461
	National Rifle Ass'n v. Brady	463

CONTENTS	xvii
001111111111111111111111111111111111111	

Topics for Further Discussion	464
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power v. Natural Res. Def. Council	464
Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Ass'n	466
Topics for Further Discussion	468
Adjudication	468
National Labor Relations Board, San Francisco Branch Office,	
Vae Nortrak North America, Inc. and United Steelworkers	
of America, Local 3405	469
Topics for Further Discussion	472
Judicial Review	472
Massachusetts v. E.P.A.	473
Darby v. Cisneros	474
Topics for Further Discussion	476
Judicial Review of Agency Interpretations of Law	477
Barnhart v. Thomas	477
Topics for Further Discussion	480
Freedom of Information	
Nat'l Archives and Records Admin. v. Favish	481
United States Dep't of Justice v. Landano	483
Topics for Further Discussion	485
Open Meetings	486
Key Terms and Concepts	486
Appendix A · The Declaration of Independence of the Thirteen Colonies	489
Appendix B · The Constitution of the United States	493
Appendix C · Map of Federal Circuits	511
Index	513

Preface

If necessity is the mother of invention, efficiency is the father of the textbook. Native speakers of American English, enrolled in a traditional three-year American law school, have scores of legal textbooks from which to choose. The authors' experience, however, is mainly with the "have-nots" of legal textbook readership: students of American law outside of the United States, including those for whom English is not a native language; American undergraduates taking courses in pre-law programs; paralegal professionals handling American law materials; and graduate students in majors other than American law. It is our experience that few English-language textbooks currently in print offer the mix of coverage, instruction, and vocabulary to appeal to this readership. We decided to create a textbook to serve these non-traditional American law textbook readers better than our existing set of photocopied materials.

This book is meant for readers who want to understand the contemporary American legal system at a more than superficial level, but who are not yet studying to become American lawyers. Our approach has been to present the fundamental rules, court cases, concepts, and trends of each key subject in American law in a narrative tailored to the reader without an American legal background. Each chapter covers a major area of law; summarizes the leading doctrines; analyzes recurring, current and developing trends; highlights areas of contemporary debate; offers streamlined versions of precedent-setting cases; raises questions for further discussion; and lists important vocabulary words. Since we have tried to make it possible to finish the entire textbook in one semester, we have necessarily shortened the treatment of some subjects and left out other subjects entirely. However, there is ample opportunity for debate and extended discussion as a result of the materials and cases on such controversial and timely topics as same-sex marriage, reproductive rights, intellectual property, privacy, the jury system, and the role of the courts in a democratic society. Of course the teacher is welcome to supplement the textbook and expand its treatment of any subject.

We have departed significantly from existing practice in editing cases and in the use of footnotes. We have deleted virtually all references to governing authority and cut out much supplementary material. In order to achieve our goals, we have at times heavily edited court cases without use of formal editing marks such as brackets and ellipses, and have frequently left out subsections and headnotes included in the opinion. Our experience has been that these marks are distracting to most students and incomprehensible to non-native readers of English. These editorial marks have a purpose: to make sure that when counsel quotes precedent to a court the precedent is quoted precisely, without misleading quotation out of context. However, brackets,

xx PREFACE

ellipses and the like are not needed when a case is being introduced, in shortened form, to a student who can, if desired, usually view the entire text of the case opinion for free on the Internet. Our students have also found footnotes distracting, particularly since many of the journals cited are not yet easily available for reading outside of a well-stocked law library. So, we have cited cases, reference works, and quotations with enough attribution to allow a student to find the original source if desired, but without full *Bluebook* details.

Law is an instrument of society and an agent of change. American society in particular is in constant motion. The authors fully expect that some cases and doctrines of American law will change in importance in the years following publication of this textbook. However, we are confident that this textbook will give students the necessary background in process and substance to understand future changes in American law.

Tokyo, June 2010

Gerald Paul McAlinn Dan Rosen John P. Stern

Preface to the Third Edition

The story of American Law is one of continuity and change. That also is the story of this book. Since the Second Edition, two of the original authors—Jerry McAlinn and John Stern—have passed away. Two other scholars have joined the team. Like the original group of three, the new members are Americans who are teaching law in Japan.

We have retained the names of our two departed colleagues as authors because many of their ideas and words remain within the covers of this book. Nevertheless, the Third Edition contains quite a lot of new material, reflecting developments over the past several years. The purpose of An Introduction to American Law has never been to be exhaustive or encyclopedic. Rather, the book has been designed to allow students to engage with important concepts, not necessarily the newest expression of those concepts. However, recent cases often explain the evolution of these ideas and—sometimes—change their trajectory. Thus, we have included excerpts from many of them in this update.

Tokyo, March 2017

Dan Rosen Bruce Aronson David Litt

A Note on Reading Cases

Embarking on the study of American law without a preliminary understanding of the case law system is an impossible task. Cases are what set the common law apart from other legal traditions and systems, most notably the civil law. They are the grist for the mill of common law. This book will introduce many general principles of American law throughout the text, but the reader will develop the greatest sense and feel for the American legal process through reading the excerpted cases. Careful readers will also observe that central themes permeate the book, and that certain cases are cross-referenced in one or more chapters.

In the common law system, every case serves at least two separate and distinct functions: satisfying the requirements of justice and helping predict future results. The justice function is served when the case decides the matter disputed between the litigants and provides them with a statement of the reasons for the decision. People bring lawsuits because they want to assert a right or to affirm the legality of their point of view. This function could conceivably be served by a simple decision announcing the winner of the suit. However, this would leave the parties feeling uncertain as to why they won or lost and would ultimately undermine the integrity and stature of the courts as the principal forum for justice and the rule of law. To avoid such dissatisfaction, judges render reasoned (some more so than others) decisions explaining why one party has won and the other has lost. Losing parties are rarely satisfied with the results, but at least they can go away feeling they have had their proverbial day in court and their positions have been heard. Giving a fair and balanced statement of the facts, setting forth the applicable rules of law, and then the decision in a single written opinion enhances transparency, allows for focused appeals, and promotes the fundamental value of fairness.

Second, a well-reasoned opinion will also serve as a guidepost to resolving future disputes. Cases make law. A lawyer who reads the opinions in his or her area of expertise and jurisdiction develops a keen awareness of the rules, policies, and material facts that lead to certain results. When consulted by a client about a specific problem, the lawyer can use the existing case law to make an informed judgment about the strengths and weaknesses of the proposed case. This can then be translated into advice intended to prevent unnecessary litigation and to avoid lawsuits without merit.

The common law system is based on two core principles, namely, *stare decisis* (meaning, "let the decision stand") and respect for precedent. The principle of *stare decisis* holds that lower courts within the jurisdiction of a higher court are bound to follow the legal rulings of the higher court unless the facts of the case at hand are

distinguishable to a degree to justify divergence. A lower court can rest assured that its efforts to break free of binding precedent will be reviewed by the appellate courts and either accepted as a new rule or reversed in light of the existing rules. Learning to distinguish cases is an important skill for an American lawyer.

Respect for precedent means that even a court such as the Supreme Court will adhere to its own precedent unless it finds some strong reason to diverge. The idea is that people have come to conform their behavior to the prior decision, so changing it—even if change might be appropriate—would be disruptive. Respect for precedence does not directly apply to decisions from other court systems within the United States, such as a state court considering cases from a different state. In such situations, a court will make use of such precedent only to the extent that it is considered "persuasive."

The cases contained in this book have been selected out of hundreds and sometimes even thousands of possible choices for their representative nature. They are not presented because they are the last and final word on the principles of law for which they are being cited. What the authors hope to achieve is for the lay reader to be able to get the flavor of the American legal process. This means coming to understand how the facts relate to and merge with the general principles of applicable law to form new rules capable of addressing the contemporary issues of society.

The cases have been severely edited to make them more accessible to the non-law school reader. In some cases, for the sake of clarity, some references to multiple parties—plural—have been changed to singular. We have deleted virtually all references to governing authority and deleted much extraneous material. Citations have been provided in the text for all of the cases for those readers who desire to read the full text of any of the opinions we have selected. Most of the federal materials can now be found on the Internet at a variety of free sites. A partial list of useful sites is as follows:

Findlaw: http://www.findlaw.com

Law Library of Congress: http://www.loc.gov/law

Legal Information Institute: http://www.law.cornell.edu/

Library of Congress: https://www.congress.gov

Oyez: https://www.oyez.org

U.S. Supreme Court: http://www.supremecourt.gov

Many state and local bar associations, as well as state and federal district and appellate courts maintain free online databases.

Finally, it is important to remember when reading the cases in this book that each represents a slice of real life. The disputes were between real people with real concerns, often such that they were willing to pursue their cases through multiple levels of trial and appeal. Extract the principles of law that are to be learned from reading the cases and develop a sense of judicial reasoning by all means, but do not neglect to consider the human conditions and foibles that led the parties to go to court in the first place. It is said that the common law is "living law" because of its ability to adapt to the changing conditions in society. We hope that the reader will share our excitement about the law as a result of reading through the cases we have selected.

Table of Cases

Primary case names are in **bold font** followed by a comma and the page numbers separated by a hyphen where the excerpted case appears. Decisions are those of the Supreme Court of the United States unless otherwise noted.

Barker v. Wingo (1972), 162 Barnhart v. Thomas (2003), 477–79 A & M Records v. Napster, Inc. (9th Cir. Basic Inc. v. Levinson (1988), 382 2001), 346 Batson v. Kentucky (1986), 165 Abrams v. United States (1919), 83 Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly (2007), Adoptions of B.L.V.B. and E.L.V.B. (Vt. 1993), 439 Benejam v. Detroit Tigers, Inc. (Mich. A.L.A. Schechter Poultry v. United Ct. App. 2001), 273–74 States (1935), 450-53 Berghuis v. Thompkins (2010), 156–57 Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l Biediger v. Quinnipiac University (2d (2014), 350-51Cir. 2012), 63 Amazon.com v. Barnesandnoble.com Bilski v. Kappos (2010), 349 (Fed. Cir. 2001), 353–54 Blakely v. Washington (2004), 186 American Broadcasting Companies, BMW v. Gore (1996), 279 Inc. v. Aereo, Inc. (2014), 346 Bolling v. Sharpe (1954), 67 Apodaca v. Oregon (1972), 165 Bowers v. Hardwick (1986), 44, 141 Art of Living Foundation v. Does 1–10 Boyde v. California (1990), 146 (U.S. Dist. Ct., N.D. Cal., 2012), Brady v. Maryland (1963), 161 355 - 58Brandenburg v. Ohio (1969), 84 Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Bright Tunes Music Corp. v. Harri-Court (1987), 100-102 songs Music, Ltd. (S.D.N.Y. 1976), Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties 337-39 Union (2004), 87–88 Brother Records v. Jardine (9th Cir. Ashcroft v. Iqbal (2009), 117, 119 2003), 364–66 Atkins v. Virginia (2002), 179 Brown v. Entertainment Merchants AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion **Association** (2011), 85–87 (2011), 135Burch v. Louisiana (1979), 165 В Burke v. Rivo (Mass. 1990), 279 Baehr v. Lewin (Haw. 1993), 415 Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. Bain v. Metropolitan Mortgage Group, (2014), 76Inc. (Wash. 2012), 301 Bush v. Gore (2000), 32–34

C

Cage v. Louisiana (1990), 146
Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.
(2009), 224–26
Cardwell v. Bechtol (Tenn. 1987), 266
Carter v. Carter Coal Co. (1936), 13
Chafin v. Chafin (2013), 436
Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire (1942),
85
Chaptry v. U.S. Diet, Court for Diet, of

Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia (2004), 221–24

Cheney v. U.S. District Court (2004), 28, 486

Chodos v. West Publishing Co. (9th Cir. 2002), 249–52, 255–56

Christian Legal Soc. v. Martinez (2010), 72

Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye v. City of Hialeah (1993), 75, 82, 320

Cipriano v. Glen Cove Lodge (N.Y. 2003), 302–7

Citizens United v. Federal Election Comm'n (2010), 94

City of Boerne v. Flores (1997), 75, 76 City of Chicago v. Morales (1999), 144

City of Cleburne, Tex. v. Cleburne Living Center (1985), 320

City of Oakland v. Oakland Raiders (Cal. Ct. App. 1985), 319

Coker v. Georgia (1977), 175–78 Conley v. Gibson (1957), 118–19

County of Riverside v. McLaughlin (1991), 158

Crawford v. Washington (2004), 161 Creative Leather Prods. v. PSKS, Inc. (2007), 391

Cyr v. Adamar Assocs. (Me. 2000), 276–77

D

Daimler AG v. Bauman (2014), 103 Daniel v. Days Inn of America, Inc. (S.C. Ct. App. 1987), 277 Darby v. Cisneros (1993), 474–76 Dennis v. United States (1950), 83 Detter v. Schreiber (2000), 212 District of Columbia v. Heller (2008), 94–95

Doe ex rel. Doe v. Perry Community School Dist. (Iowa 2002), 205–8

Dow Jones & Company Inc. v. Gutnick (2002), 108

Draper v. United States (1959), 157–58 Duncan v. Louisiana (1968), 163

E

Eisenstadt v. Baird (1972), 442 Eldred v. Ashcroft (2003), 331–34 Employment Div., Oregon Dep't of Human Resources v. Smith (1990), 73–75

Encino Motorcars, LLC v. Navarro (2016), 468

Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins (1938), 111 Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. of Fresno (Cal. 1944), 287–90

Estate of Scott Gregory Summers, Deceased (Tex. App.–Houston 2015), 412–14

Estelle v. McGuire (1991), 146 Ewing v. California (2003), 180–85 Exxon Shipping v. Baker (2008), 281–82

F

F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran S.A. (2004), 395–96

FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc. (2012), 477

FDA v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. (2000), 480

First English Evangelical Lutheran Church v. County of Los Angeles (1987), 321

Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin (2016), 58–59

Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc. (1995), 191–94 Ford Motor Co. v. Stubblefield (Ga. Ct. App. 1984), 280-81 Foster v. Chatman (2016), 165 Frigaliment Importing Co. v. B.N.S. Int'l Sales Corp. (S.D.N.Y. 1960), 242 - 43

Furman v. Georgia (1972), 174

G

Gant v. Gant (W.Va. 1985), 426-27 Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (2000), 14-16 Georgia v. Randolph (2006), 154 Gibbons v. Ogden (9 Wheat.) (1824), 12 Gideon v. Wainwright (1963), 160 Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp. (1991), 135 Gitlow v. New York (1925), 83 Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente Uniao do Vegetal (2006), 75-76 Goodridge v. Dep't of Pub. Health (Mass. 2003), 415 Goodyear Dunlop Tires v. Brown (2011), 103Gratz v. Bollinger (2003), 57–58 Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc. (Cal. 1963), 290-91 Gregg v. Georgia (1976), 173-74 Griswold v. Connecticut (1965), 43, 442 Grutter v. Bollinger (2003), 55–57

Η

Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. (2014), 382–85 Hamdan v. Rumsfield (2006), 27 Hamdi v. Rumsfeld (2004), 24–27 Hamer v. Sidway (N.Y. 1891), 244–45 Harmelin v. Michigan (1991), 186 Harris v. Nelson (1969), 172 Hawkins v. McGee (N.H. 1929), 252-53 Hertz Corp. v. Friend (2010), 116 Hirabayashi v. United States (1943), 21 - 24

Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project (2010), 144Holt v. Hobbs (2015), 76 Hulbert v. Hines (Iowa 1970), 434–35

Illinois v. Caballes (2005), 153-54 In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against O'Neil (Wis. 2003), 200-204 In re Discipline of Eicher (S.D. 2003), 214 - 18In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litig. (D. Del. 2008), 121 In re Kinsey (Fla. 2003), 219–20 In re Marriage Cases (Cal. 2008), 417 In re Opinions of the Justices to the Senate (Mass. 2004), 415-17 In re Petition of Board of Directors of Compañia de Combustibles, S.A. (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2001), 402–4 In re School Asbestos Litigation v. Lake Asbestos of Quebec, Ltd. (3rd Cir. 1986), 284–86 In the Matter of Baby M (N.J. 1988), 440 In the Matter of Garnett (Ga. 2004), 198-99 INS v. Chadha (1983), 455–57 International Shoe Co. v. Washington (1945), 100Iowa v. Tovar (2004), 160-61

J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro (2011), 103Jacobson v. United States (1992), 168 James Baird Co. v. Gimbel Bros., Inc. (2d Cir. 1933), 229 Johnson v. Calvert (Cal. 1993), 441

K

Kansas v. Crane (2002), 170-71 Kelo v. City of New London, Conn. (2005), 314-18

Keystone Bituminous Coal v. DeBenedictis (1987), 321

Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael (1999), 130–31

Kyllo v. United States (2001), 153

L

Lawrence v. Texas (2003), 44–48, 141 Lawyer Disciplinary Bd. v. Turgeon (W. Va. 2000), 195–97 Lefkowitz v. Great Minneapolis Surplus Store (Minn. 1957), 237–38 Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971), 68 Lingle v. Chevron USA Inc. (2005), 326 Locke v. Davey (2004), 80–82 Lockyer v. City and County of San Francisco (Cal. 2004), 417

Lofton v. Secretary of Dept. of Children and Family Services (11th Cir. 2004), 439

Loving v. Virginia (1967), 48, 410–11 Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council (1992), 322

Lucy v. Zehmer (1954), 232–34 Lugenbuhl v. Dowling (La. 1997), 262–65

Lujan v. Def. of Wildlife (1992), 473

M

Mahoney v. Mahoney (N.J. 1982), 425

Mapp v. Ohio (1961), 147–48
Marvin v. Marvin (Cal. 1976), 427–30
Massachusetts v. E.P.A. (2007), 473–74
McCormick v. School Dist. of Mamaroneck (2d Cir. 2004), 60–62
McCreary County v. American Civil
Liberties Union of Kentucky (2005), 69–70
McDonald v. Chicago (2010), 95
McDonnell v. United States (2016), 145
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios v.
Grokster, Ltd. (2005), 344–45
Metromedia v. City of San Diego (1981), 320

Michael H. v. Gerald D. (1989), 442
Michigan v. E.P.A. (2015), 480
Miranda v. Arizona (1966), 155–56
Mississippi University for Women v.
Hogan (1982), 53
Missouri v. Siebert (2004), 156
Mistretta v. United States (1989), 457
Morrison v. National Australia Bank,
Ltd. (2010), 386–88
Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue (2003),
362–63
Mugler v. Kansas (1887), 321

Mutual Pharmaceutical Co, Inc. v.

Bartlett (2013), 14

N

National Archives and Records Admin.
v. Favish (2004), 481–83

National Cable Television Assoc. v.
United States (1974), 453

National Federation of Independent
Business v. Sebelius (2012), 12

National Organization of Women v.
Scheidler (1994), 143

National Rifle Ass'n v. Brady (4th Cir.
1990), 463–64

New York Times Co. v. Tasini (2001),
340

New York Times Co. v. United States

(1971), 84 Newdow v. United States Congress (9th

Nixon v. Administrator of General Services Administration (1977), 27–28

Cir. 2003), 80

NLRB San Francisco Vae Nortrack North America, Inc. and United Steelworkers of America, Local 3405 (2004 NLRB Lexis 475), 469–72

Norway Plains Co. v. Boston & Maine Railroad (1854), 3

Nuzzaci v. Nuzzaci (1995), 437–38

0

OBB Personverkehr v. Sachs (2015), 113 **Obergefell v. Hodges** (2015), 48–51, 418–21 O'Brien v. O'Brien (1985), 425 Orr v. Orr (1979), 425

P

Painter v. Bannister (Iowa 1966), 431–34 Paralyzed Veterans of Am. v. D.C. Arena L.P. (D.C. Cir. 1997), 468 Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy (9th Cir. 2006), 104–6 Penn Central Transp. v. New York City (1978), 321 Pennsylvania Coal v. Mahon (1922), 321 Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Ass'n (2015), 466–68

PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin (2001), 63 Pierz v. Gorski (Wis. Ct. App. 1979), 309

PMC, Inc. v. Saban Entertainment, Inc. (1996), 266–69 Printz v. United States (1997), 19

0

Quanta Computer v. LG Electronics (2008), 355

R

Republican Party of Minnesota v.
White (2002), 221, 227

Revell v. Lidov (5th Cir. 2002), 106–7

Reynolds v. United States (1878), 408–9,
411

Ricci v. DeStefano (2009), 63–66

Riley v. California (2014), 152–53

Roe v. Wade (1973), 43, 442–43

Roper v. Simmons (2005), 179

Rosenblatt v. Baer (1966), 42

Ross v. Bernhard (1970), 127–28

Reno v. Condon (2000), 10–12

Rowe Entertainment Inc. v. William Morris Agency (S.D.N.Y. 2002), 121

S

Santa Fe Indep. School Dist. v. Doe (2000), 76-80Schenck v. United States (1919), 83-84 Schooner Exchange v. Mc'Faddon (1812), 113Scott v. Sandford (1856), 40 Seltzer v. Green Day, Inc. (9th Cir. 2013), 346–48 Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates, PA v. Allstate Ins. Co. (2010), 111 Shlensky v. Wrigley (1968), 376–78 Simpson Performance Products, Inc. v. Robert W. Horn, P.C. (Wyo. 2004), 208 - 12Skilling v. United States (2010), 145 Snyder v. Phelps (2011), 91–94 Sony Corp. v. Universal City Studios (1984), 341-44SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Electric Corp. of America (Fed. Cir. 1985), 125–26 State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell (2003), 281 Stenberg v. Carhart (2000), 447 Strauss v. Horton (Cal. 2009), 418 Sutton v. United Airlines (1999), 63 Swift v. United States (1905), 12–13

Т

Tahoe-Sierra Pres. Council v. Tahoe Reg'l Planning Agency (2002), 322–26 Thermatool Corp. v. Dep't of Revenue Services (Conn. Super. Ct. 1994), 389

Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District (1969), 91

Townsend v. Sain (1963), 160 Troxel v. Granville (2000), 435

U

United States Dep't of Justice v. Landano (1993), 483–85

United States v. American Library Ass'n (2003), 88

United States v. Booker (2005), 186 United States v. Dougherty (D.C. Cir. 1972), 167

United States v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. (1956), 392–93

United States v. Eichman (1990), 91

United States v. Florida East Coast Ry. (1973), 461–63

United States v. Jones (2012), 149–51, 153

United States v. Lee (1982), 72

United States v. Morrison (2000), 8-10

United States v. Patane (2004), 156

United States v. Ruiz (2002), 159

United States v. The Progressive, Inc. (W.D. Wis. 1979), 89–91

United States v. Willow River Power Co. (1945), 295

United States v. Windsor (2013), 34, 35–36

V

V Secret Catalogue, Inc. et al. v. Moseley, et al. (6th Cir. 2010), 363 Van Orden v. Perry (2005), 70–72 Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power v. Natural Res. Def. Council (1978), 464–66 Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development (1977), 320

W

Wade v. Jobe (Utah 1991), 310–12 Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011), 119–20

Wal-Mart Stores v. Samara Bros. (2000), 360–62

Weeks v. United States (1914), 147, 152 Wehrkamp v. Wehrkamp (S.D. 1984), 422–24

Weirum v. RKO General, 539 P.2d 36 (Cal. 1975), 270–72

Whitman v. American Trucking Assn. (2001), 453–54

Whitney v. California (1927), 83, 84 Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerman

(2016), 443–46

Williamson v. Mazda Motor of America, Inc. (2011), 16–18

Wisconsin v. Yoder (1972), 72

Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co. (2d Cir. 2000), 115–16

Y

Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer (1952), 29, 31

\mathbf{Z}

Zelman v. Simmons-Harris (2002), 68 Zivotofsky v. Kerry (2015), 28–31