Federal Habeas Corpus

Federal Habeas Corpus

Cases and Materials

THIRD EDITION

Andrea D. Lyon

Principal, Lyon Law LLC, Professor Of Law, Emerita, Valparaiso University Law School

Emily Hughes

Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, and Edward F. Howrey Professor of Law, University of Iowa College of Law

Justin Marceau

Professor of Law, and Brooks Institute Faculty Research Scholar, University of Denver, Sturm College of Law

E. Kate Cohn

Associate, Lyon Law LLC



Copyright © 2024 Carolina Academic Press, LLC All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-5310-2599-1 eISBN: 978-1-5310-2600-4 LCCN: 2023944016

Carolina Academic Press, LLC 700 Kent Street Durham, NC 27701 (919) 489-7486 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

We dedicate this book to: Samantha, Will, Ella, Zach, Moses, Adela, Aden, and Maya And to the many colleagues and clients who have generously shared their knowledge and their stories with us.

Table of Contents

Table of Cases	xi
Permissions	xvii
Preface	xix
Part One	
Introduction	
Chapter 1 · The Great Writ and an Introduction to AEDPA	3
A. What Is Habeas Corpus?	3
B. When Is Habeas Corpus?	5
1. The Stages of a Criminal Case	5
2. The Three Phases of Review	5
C. Habeas in Context: The History and Evolution of the Writ	8
1. The Origins of Habeas Corpus	8
2. Habeas Corpus Recognized in the United States	9
Frank v. Mangum	10
Notes	17
3. The Warren Era and the Habeas Trinity	18
Brown v. Allen	19
Notes	22
4. The Anti-Warren Counter-Revolution	23
Finality in Criminal Law and Federal Habeas Corpus	
for State Prisoners	24
Notes	39
5. Introduction to AEDPA	40
a. Statute of Limitations	41
Notes	42
b. 28 U.S.C. § 2254	42
Notes	47
Williams v. Taylor	48
Notes	63
Carey v. Musladin	65
Notes	69
Woodford v. Visciotti	71

viii CONTENTS

Notes	73
c. Questions of Deference	77
Chapter 2 · The Statute of Limitations	85
A. The One-Year Statute of Limitations	86
Notes	86
B. Statutory Tolling of the Statute of Limitations	88
Pace v. DiGuglielmo	89
Notes	93
C. Equitable Tolling of the Statute of Limitations	94
Holland v. Florida	94
Notes	105
McQuiggin v. Perkins	105
Part Two	
Cognizable Claims	
Chapter 3 · When Is a Claim Cognizable?	117
Carafas v. LaVallee	118
Notes	120
Sibron v. New York and Peters v. New York	121
Notes	125
Lane v. Williams	125
Notes	128
Chapter 4 · Types of Cognizable Claims	137
A. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	137
Williams v. Taylor	138
Notes	144
Wiggins v. Smith	144
Notes	154
B. Withholding Exculpatory Evidence	157
Smith v. Cain	158
Wearry v. Cain	160
Notes	165
Chapter 5 · Limiting Access to Federal Review	169
Stone v. Powell	169
Notes	177
Kimmelman v. Morrison	179
Notes	188
Withrow v. Williams	189
Notes	196

CONTENTS ix

Chapter 6 · Innocence	199
Herrera v. Collins	200
Notes	211
Schlup v. Delo	214
Notes	223
House v. Bell	225
Notes	249
In re Troy Anthony Davis	250
Notes	254
Is Innocence Irrelevant? Collateral Attack on	
Criminal Judgments	255
Notes	265
Part Three	
Access to Federal Courts	
Chapter 7 · Exhaustion of State Remedies	269
Fay v. Noia	270
Notes	280
Rose v. Lundy	282
Notes	290
Granberry v. Greer	292
Notes	294
Chapter 8 · Procedural Default	297
Wainwright v. Sykes	298
Notes	308
Coleman v. Thompson	310
Notes	327
Shinn v. Ramirez	329
Notes	348
Chapter 9 · Successive Petitions	351
Sanders v. United States	351
A. Successive Motions on Grounds Previously Heard and	
Determined	354
B. The Successive Application Claimed to be an Abuse of	
Remedy	355
Notes	357
McCleskey v. Zant	358
Notes	371
Bourgeois v. Watson	372
Notes	374

x CONTENTS

Part Four Federal Habeas Procedure

Chapter 10 · Litigating Questions of Fact	377
A. The Habeas Rules	378
Harris v. Nelson	378
Notes	383
B. The Right to a Federal Hearing	384
Townsend v. Sain	385
Notes	397
C. AEDPA's Role in Limiting Evidentiary Hearings and Requiring	
Deference to State Court Findings of Fact	399
Michael Williams v. Taylor	400
Notes	408
Cullen v. Pinholster	409
Notes	415
Chapter 11 · Harmless Error	417
Greer v. Miller	418
Notes	426
Arizona v. Fulminante	426
Notes	439
Brecht v. Abrahamson	441
Notes	450
Brown v. Davenport	452
Notes	464
Chapter 12 · Systemic Failures	467
A Broken System: Error Rates in Capital Cases, 1973–1995	467
A Broken System, Part II: Why There Is So Much Error in	
Capital Cases, and What Can Be Done About It	469
Notes	473
McCleskey v. Kemp	474
Notes	491
Miller-El v. Cockrell	492
Notes	509
Death by Stereotype: Race, Ethnicity, and California's Failure	
to Implement Furman's Narrowing Requirement	513
Whom The State Kills	516
Index	525

Table of Cases

Adams v. New York, 174
Aguilar v. Texas, 171
Ake v. Oklahoma, 316
Alderman v. United States, 175
Anderson v. Charles, 421
Arizona v. Fulminante, 192, 418, 426, 439, 444
Arizona v. Rumsey, 429
Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan
Housing Dev. Corp., 478
Artuz v. Bennett, 89, 90
Ashcraft v. Tennessee, 194
Avery v. Alabama, 184

Barefoot v. Estelle, 446, 498 Batson v. Kentucky, 164, 484, 492, 494, 505, 508, 511 Bazemore v. Friday, 478 Blackburn v. Alabama, 394, 429, 431 Bourgeois v. Watson, 372 Bowen v. Johnston, 274, 275 Boyd v. United States, 174 Boyde v. California, 143 Brady v. Maryland, 83, 157, 158, 162, 165, 201, 217, 359, 402, 406 Bram v. United States, 192, 428 Brecht v. Abrahamson, 333, 418, 439, 441, 452, 454, 465 Brown v. Allen, 18-20, 22, 23, 30-33, 35-40, 57, 172, 177, 256, 257, 260, 261, 264, 274, 300, 301, 303, 306, 307, 316, 324, 380, 391, 392, 395, 456 Brown v. Davenport, 332, 418, 452, 464,

465

Brown v. Mississippi, 192 Bruton v. United States, 431, 433 Brynes v. Walker, 250 Bullock v. South Carolina, 278 Burgett v. Texas, 124

Calderon v. Coleman, 458 Caldwell v. Mississippi, 313 California v. Ramos, 489 Carafas v. LaVallee, 117, 118, 120, 122 Carey v. Musladin, 64, 65-69 Chaapel v. Cochran, 250, 254 Chambers v. Florida, 432 Chapman v. California, 170, 417, 419, 420, 422, 423, 429, 433, 436, 441, 443, 445, 448, 453 Clay v. United States, 102 Clemons v. Mississippi, 143, 436 Clewis v. Texas, 194 Coker v. Georgia, 209, 480, 482 Coleman v. Alabama, 437 Coleman v. Thompson, 78, 103, 109, 282, 297, 310, 329, 342, 447 Colorado v. Connelly, 194 Cruz v. New York, 431 Cullen v. Pinholster, 333, 409, 459 Cuyler v. Sullivan, 186

Daniels v. Allen, 172, 316 Darden v. Wainwright, 422 Darr v. Burford, 273, 285, 334 Davis v. North Carolina, 428 Davis v. United States, 301, 302, 305, 317 Donnelly v. DeChristoforo, 421, 422 Douglas v. California, 322, 447
Doyle v. Ohio, 419, 420, 422, 423, 441, 442, 444
Duckworth v. Eagan, 444
Duncan v. Louisiana, 484
Duncan v. Walker, 499

Early v. Packer, 75 Eddings v. Oklahoma, 153, 491 Edelman v. Jordan, 445 Elkins v. United States, 174 Engle v. Isaac, 233, 318, 319, 332, 340, 446, 447 Enmund v. Florida, 480, 483 Estelle v. Williams, 66 Evitts v. Lucey, 184, 322 Ex parte Abernathy, 255 Ex parte Hawk, 284 Ex parte Kearney, 299 Ex parte McCardle, 273 Ex parte Milligan, 484 Ex parte Royall, 284, 285, 300, 312, 313 Ex parte Siebold, 300 Ex parte Spencer, 301 Ex parte Watkins, 172, 299, 300, 456

Fay v. Noia, 18, 172, 178, 196, 269, 270, 282, 301-303, 305, 307, 308, 312, 316, 318, 353, 355, 362, 364, 391, 395, 397, 408, 447

Fikes v. Alabama, 194

Fiske v. Kansas, 394

Fiswick v. United States, 119, 123, 124

Fletcher v. Weir, 421, 444

Ford v. Wainwright, 483

Fox Film Corp. v. Muller, 276, 311

Francis v. Henderson, 196, 301, 303, 305, 317

Frank v. Mangum, 10, 18, 31, 68, 172, 177, 300, 391, 394

Frantz v. Hazey, 74

Furman v. Georgia, 480, 486, 513, 514,

Frisbie v. Collins, 275

517

Gardner v. Florida, 293, 490
Gerstein v. Pugh, Gerstein, 175
Gideon v. Wainwright, 182, 432, 437
Giglio v. United States, 162, 165, 359
Ginsberg v. New York, 119
Godfrey v. Georgia, 482, 487
Gouled v. United States, 174
Granberry v. Greer, 270, 292, 294
Greenwald v. Wisconsin, 194
Greer v. Miller, 418, 424, 426
Gregg v. Georgia, 479, 480, 482, 483, 485-487, 513, 517
Griffith v. Kentucky, 447

Haley v. Ohio, 194 Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 456 Harris v. Reed, 309, 311, 314 Harris v. Nelson, 4, 378, 384 Hawk v. Olson, 392 Haynes v. Washington, 192, 194 Henry v. Mississippi, 175, 303 Hernandez v. New York, 500, 509 Herrera v. Collins, 108, 199, 200, 217, 233, 244, 252, 340 Hill v. Texas, 488 Hitchcock v. Dugger, 478 Hohn v. United States, 498 Holland v. Florida, 94, 108, 346 Hopkins v. Lynn, 5 House v. Bell, 105, 199, 225, 252 Huffman v. State, 496 Hunter v. Underwood, 489

Imbler v. Pachtman, 479 In re Medley, 276 In re Troy Anthony Davis, 250 In re Winship, 431 INS v. St. Cyr, 5, 10

Jackson v. Denno, 259, 260, 298, 299, 302, 429, 431 Jackson v. Virginia, 191, 203, 220, 224, 234, 430, 447 Jenkins v. Anderson, 421, 444 Johnson v. New Jersey, 194 Johnson v. Zerbst, 279, 300, 301, 307, 308, 380

Katz v. United States, 182 Kaufman v. United States, 173, 318 Kentucky v. Whorton, 430, 437 Kimmelman v. Morrison, 169, 179, 188, 192

Knowles v. Mirzayance, 75 Kotteakos v. United States, 441, 445, 450 Kyles v. Whitley, 159, 163, 168

Lakeside v. Oregon, 425
Lane v. Williams, 117, 125, 128, 133
Lee v. Washington, 488
Leyra v. Denno, 194
Linkletter v. Walker, 175
Lockett v. Ohio, 153, 478, 482
Lockhart v. Fretwell, 140, 141
Lonchar v. Thomas, 41, 85
Lynumn v. Illinois, 429

Malloy v. Hogan, 192
Mapp v. Ohio, 118, 173, 174, 191, 447
Marbury v. Madison, 52, 76
Massiah v. United States, 358, 359, 437, 438
McCleskey v. Kemp, 474, 518
McCleskey v. Zant, 109, 324, 351, 358, 446, 447, 457
McDonald v. Pless, 478

McQuiggin v. Perkins, 105, 224, 329 Michael Williams v. Taylor, 399, 400, 408

Michigan v. Long, 313, 323 Michigan v. Tucker, 194 Miller v. Fenton, 192, 194, 428 Miller-El v. Cockrell, 492, 509

Milton v. Wainwright, 431, 434, 437, 438, 445

438, 445 Minnesota v. Brundage, 273 Miranda v. Arizona, 189, 190, 262, 298, 431 Mooney v. Holohan, 380 Moore v. Dempsey, 22, 68, 211, 300 Moore v. Michigan, 394 Morgan v. Hall, 425 Morrison v. Kimmelman, 169, 179, 188, 192 Murphy v. Garrett, 5 Murphy v. Hunt, 127 Murphy v. Waterfront Comm'n of New York Harbor, 193 Murray v. Carrier, 108, 221, 233, 319, 320, 327, 334, 342, 364-366

N.A.A.C.P. v. Alabama ex rel. Patterson, 276 North Carolina v. Pearce, 127 North Carolina v. Rice, 127

Murray v. Giarratano, 321

O'Neal v. McAninch, 440 Ohio Adult Parole Authority v. Woodard, 5

Pace v. DiGuglielmo, 89, 94
Palko v. Connecticut, 279
Parker v. Ellis, 118, 119
Payne v. Arkansas, 429, 439
Pennsylvania v. Finley, 320, 322, 326, 446, 447
Personnel Administrator of Massachusetts v. Feeney, 479
Peters v. Kiff, 484
Peyton v. Rowe, 119
Pollard v. United States, 124
Price v. Johnston, 279, 353, 382, 395
Price v. Vincent, 74

Purkett v. Elem, 500, 506, 509, 510

Rawlings v. Kentucky, 182 Reck v. Pate, 194, 439 Reed v. Ross, 363, 364 Rice v. Olson, 279

Proffitt v. Florida, 482

Pulley v. Harris, 483, 485

Rogers v. Richmond, 391, 393, 394, 429, 431 Rose v. Clark, 432, 436, 437, 445 Rose v. Lundy, 102, 270, 282, 290, 292, 293, 312, 324, 422, 447 Rose v. Mitchell, 191, 488 Ross v. Moffitt, 322 Roy v. Lampert, 93 Rummel v. Estelle, 486 Rushen v. Spain, 437, 448

Sanders v. United States, 287, 351, 363, 368, 446 Sawyer v. Whitley, 108, 204, 214, 217, 222, 223, 232 Schlup v. Delo, 105, 199, 214, 225, 244, 254 Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 173, 191, 364, 428, 439 Schneidewind v. ANR Pipeline Co., 446 Shinn v. Ramirez, 297, 329, 415 Sibron v. New York, 117, 121 Singer v. United States, 485 Skipper v. South Carolina, 482 Slack v. McDaniel, 102, 493, 495, 505 Smith v. Cain, 158, 163, 167 Solem v. Helm, 485 Southern Pacific Terminal Co. v. ICC, Spano v. New York, 431 Spaziano v. Florida, 491 Speller v. Allen, 20 Spinelli v. United States, 171 State v. Brecht, 443 Stone v. Powell, 169, 179, 181, 189, 191, 197, 288, 306, 423, 447, 457 Strauder v. West Virginia, 484 Strickland v. Washington, 48, 50, 56, 59, 67, 79, 129, 137-140, 143, 148, 181, 182, 248, 293, 320, 327, 348, 411, 415

Swain v. Alabama, 493

Taylor v. Louisiana, 484
Teague v. Lane, 51, 52, 140, 363, 365, 447
Terry v. Ohio, 121
Townsend v. Sain, 18, 202, 355, 363, 380, 385, 399, 446
Trop v. Dulles, 480
Turner v. Murray, 484, 490

United States ex rel. Kennedy v. Tyler, 284 United States ex rel. Miller v. Greer, 424, 426 United States ex rel. Smith v. Baldi, 279 United States ex rel. Williams v. Morris, United States v. Agurs, 159, 163, 165, 421 United States v. Bagley, 165, 421 United States v. Baynes, 181 United States v. Calandra, 175, 183 United States v. Chavez-Palacios, 128 United States v. Cronic, 182 United States v. Dickey, 128 United States v. Frady, 334, 447 United States v. Hale, 424 United States v. Lane, 446, 449 United States v. Mechanik, 448 United States v. Morgan, 119 United States v. Peltier, 174 United States v. Shaw, 424 United States v. Verdugo-Urquidez, 193

Vasquez v. Hillery, 41, 85, 432, 437

Wainwright v. Greenfield, 421, 423, 444
Wainwright v. Sykes, 78, 233, 293, 297, 298, 309, 312, 318, 324, 333, 364, 368, 412, 446, 447, 457
Wainwright v. Torna, 320
Wainwright v. Witt, 496, 500
Walder v. United States, 175
Waley v. Johnston, 300, 380
Walker v. Wainwright, 119

Waller v. Georgia, 432, 437

Wayte v. United States, 478

Wearry v. Cain, 160, 168

Weeks v. Angelone, 79

Weeks v. United States, 174

Weems v. United States, 480

Weinstein v. Bradford, 127

Welch v. Texas Dept. of Highways and

Public Transportation, 432

White v. Maryland, 432

Whitus v. Georgia, 478

Wiggins v. Smith, 137, 144

Williams v. Taylor, 48, 66, 67, 71, 74,

137, 138, 147, 335, 344, 399, 400, 408, 499

Williams v. Zahradnick, 424

Witherspoon v. Illinois, 484

Withrow v. Williams, 169, 189

Wolf v. Colorado, 174

Woodford v. Visciotti, 71

Woodson v. North Carolina, 482, 490

Wright v. West, 53, 57, 446

Yates v. Evatt, 445

Younger v. Harris, 317

Zant v. Stephens, 485, 490

Permissions

Thanks to the following authors and copyright holders for permission to use their materials: Various case opinions, contained within the text, are reprinted and/or adapted with the permission of LexisNexis.

- Bator, Paul M., Finality in Criminal Law and Federal Habeas Corpus for State Prisoners, 76 Harv. L. Rev. 441 (1963). Reprinted with permission.
- Friendly, Henry J., *Is Innocence Irrelevant? Collateral Attack on Criminal Judgments*, 38 U. Chi. L. Rev. 142 (1970). Reprinted with permission.
- Grosso, Catherine M., Jeffrey Fagan, Michael Laurence, David Baldus, George Woodworth & Newell, Richard, *Death By Stereotype: Race, Ethnicity, and California's Failure to Implement Furman's Narrowing Requirement*, 66 U.C.L.A. L. Rev. 1394 (2019). Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Hertz, Randy, & James S. Liebman, Federal Habeas Corpus Practice and Procedure app. A (4th ed. 2001), Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the Lexis-Nexis Group. Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.
- Liebman, James S., Jeffrey Fagan & Valerie West, *A Broken System: Error Rates in Capital Cases*, 1973–1995 i–iii (Columbia L. Sch. Pub. L. & Legal Working Paper, Paper No. 15, 2000). Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Liebman, James S. Jeffrey Fagan, Andrew Gelman, Valerie West, Garth Davies & Alexander Kiss, *A Broken System, Part II: Why There Is So Much Error in Capital Cases and What Can Be Done About It* i–vi (Columbia L. Sch. Pub. Research Paper, 2002). Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Marceau, Justin, *Whom the State Kills*, 55 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 585 (2020). Reprinted with permission of the author.

Preface

We undertook this book in hopes of helping students understand and critically examine the political, legal, and pragmatic effects of the role of habeas corpus in our criminal justice system. At the same time, we hope this book may also be useful to attorneys who wish to familiarize themselves with habeas corpus jurisprudence. While we have tried to fairly present the competing concerns that inform this complex and evocative area of the law, because we are defense attorneys, our experiences representing individual—rather than governmental—interests have undoubtedly shaped our personal perspectives. As we finished reading each case, we would often wonder what happened to the person behind the case, the person whose life and liberty the Court's decision most immediately affected. Many of the cases are thus followed by a brief synopsis of what happened to the defendant after the case was over.

We want to acknowledge the support and assistance our schools provided us while writing this book: University of Denver Sturm College of Law and the University of Iowa College of Law. We also acknowledge the important work of Professors James Liebman, Randy Hertz, Eric Freedman, Larry Yackle, and many others whose treatises, articles, books, and research continue to be both groundbreaking and foundational.