

Civil Procedure

Civil Procedure

Cases, Materials, and Questions

NINTH EDITION

Richard D. Freer

DEAN AND CHARLES HOWARD CANDLER PROFESSOR OF LAW
EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Wendy Collins Perdue

DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND SCHOOL OF LAW

Robin J. Effron

PROFESSOR OF LAW
BROOKLYN LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2024
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING IN PUBLICATION INFORMATION

LCCN 2023946739
ISBN 978-1-5310-2627-1
Looseleaf 978-1-5310-2943-2
eISBN 978-1-5310-2628-8

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
(919) 489-7486
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

*We dedicate this work to Sherman Cohn — model scholar,
teacher, mentor, and friend — in celebration of his fifty years on
the faculty at Georgetown University Law Center.*

Contents

Online Materials	xxi
Preface	xxiii
Notes on Form	xxvii
Acknowledgments	xxix
Chapter 1 · An Introduction to the Civil Action and Procedure	3
A. The Study of Procedure	3
B. Federalism	5
C. Overview of the Structure of a Court System	7
1. Trial Courts	8
2. Intermediate Appellate Courts	8
3. Supreme Courts	9
4. Appellate Practice and the Doctrine of Precedent	9
D. The Adversary System	10
E. Alternatives to Litigation	13
F. A Brief History of Our English Judicial Roots	15
G. General Topics of Civil Procedure	18
1. Selecting the Forum (Chapters 2–5, 10)	19
2. Obtaining Provisional Remedies (Chapter 6)	20
3. Learning About the Opponent’s Case (Chapters 7 & 8)	20
4. Adjudication With or Without a Jury (Chapter 9)	21
5. Preclusion, Joinder, and Supplemental Jurisdiction (Chapters 11–13)	21
6. Appeal (Chapter 14)	22
7. Litigation Alternatives (Chapter 15)	22
8. A Quick Note on Materials	22
Chapter 2 · Subject Matter Jurisdiction	25
A. Introduction and Integration	25
B. State Courts and General Subject Matter Jurisdiction	26
C. Federal Courts and Limited Subject Matter Jurisdiction	26
1. The Constitutional Grants and Role of Congress	26
Notes	27
2. Plaintiff’s Burden to Establish Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	27

3.	Diversity of Citizenship and Alienage Jurisdiction	28
a.	Introductory Note	28
b.	The Complete Diversity Rule	31
	<i>Strawbridge v. Curtiss</i>	31
	Notes and Questions	31
c.	Determining Citizenship of Individuals	33
	<i>Mas v. Perry</i>	33
	Notes and Questions	35
d.	Determining Citizenship of Entities	39
i.	Corporations	39
	<i>Randazzo v. Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.</i>	40
	Notes and Questions	42
	<i>Hertz Corporation v. Friend</i>	43
	Notes and Questions	50
ii.	Unincorporated Associations	51
	<i>Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign Market Place L.L.C.</i>	52
	Notes and Questions	54
e.	Suits by or Against Representatives and Assignments of Claims	55
f.	The Domestic Relations and Probate Exceptions	56
g.	The Amount in Controversy Requirement	58
	Notes and Questions	58
	The Aggregation Rules	59
	Equitable Relief	61
4.	Federal Question Jurisdiction	61
a.	Introductory Note	61
b.	Narrow Interpretations of the Jurisdictional Statute	63
i.	The Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule	64
	<i>Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co. v. Mottley</i>	64
	Notes and Questions	66
	Postscript	67
ii.	Well-Pleaded Complaint Problems Raised by Claims for Declaratory Judgment	68
iii.	Centrality of the Federal Issue to the Claim	69
	<i>Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing</i>	71
	Notes and Questions	78
5.	Supplemental Jurisdiction	80
6.	Removal Jurisdiction	81
	Background	81
	Effecting Removal	81
	Notes and Questions	82
	Remand to State Court	86
D.	Challenging Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	87

Chapter 3 · Personal Jurisdiction	91
A. Introduction and Integration	91
B. Constitutional Limits on Personal Jurisdiction	92
1. The Fountainhead — <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	92
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	92
Notes and Questions	98
2. Interim Developments	100
3. The Modern Era	101
<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	101
Notes and Questions	106
Note on <i>McGee and Hanson</i>	107
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson</i>	109
Notes and Questions	119
Note on Personal Jurisdiction in Intentional Torts: <i>Keeton, Calder, and Walden</i>	120
Why Litigants Care about Where Litigation Occurs	122
Note on Personal Jurisdiction in Contracts Cases: <i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i>	123
Note on <i>Asahi</i> and the “Stream of Commerce”	126
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	128
Notes and Questions	143
4. General Jurisdiction	144
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	145
Notes and Questions	153
5. Specific Jurisdiction in the Era of Limited General Jurisdiction	155
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California</i>	155
Notes and Questions	164
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial District</i>	166
Notes and Questions	182
6. In Rem and Quasi-in-Rem Jurisdiction: Note on <i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	184
Notes and Questions	187
7. Transient Presence (“Tag” Jurisdiction): Note on <i>Burnham v. Superior Court of California</i>	188
Notes and Questions	191
8. Consent to Jurisdiction	193
a. Express Consent	193
b. Implied Consent	194
c. Corporate Registration Statutes	194
9. Personal Jurisdiction and the Internet	201
<i>Telemedicine Solutions LLC v. Woundright Technologies, LLC</i>	202
Notes and Questions	208
C. Statutory Limits on Personal Jurisdiction	209
1. State Statutes	209

Official Code of Georgia Annotated	210
Notes and Questions	211
2. Personal Jurisdiction in Federal Court	212
D. Challenging Personal Jurisdiction	214
1. The Traditional and Modern Approaches to Challenging Personal Jurisdiction	214
2. Collateral and Direct Attacks on Personal Jurisdiction	216
<i>Baldwin v. Iowa State Traveling Men’s Association</i>	217
Notes and Questions	218
E. A Different Perspective	219
1. The Purposes of Personal Jurisdiction	219
2. Personal Jurisdiction in Other Countries	221
Chapter II, Jurisdiction	222
Chapter 4 · Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard	225
A. Introduction and Integration	225
B. Notice	225
1. The Constitutional Requirement	225
<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.</i>	225
Notes and Questions	231
<i>Note on the Development of Constitutional Notice</i>	232
2. Statutory Requirements	234
a. What must be served?	235
b. Who may serve process?	235
c. Upon whom may process be served?	236
d. Where must process be served?	236
<i>National Development Co. v. Triad Holding Corp.</i>	237
Notes and Questions	240
e. How must process be served?	241
f. When must process be served?	243
3. Immunity, Evasion, and “Sewer Service”	243
C. Opportunity to Be Heard	244
Chapter 5 · Venue	247
A. Introduction and Integration	247
B. Local and Transitory Actions	248
C. State Venue Provisions	249
Maryland Code Annotated	249
Notes and Questions	250
D. Venue in Federal Court	251
The Basic Rules	251
Notes and Questions	252
<i>Bates v. C & S Adjusters, Inc.</i>	254
Notes and Questions	257

E. Change of Venue	257
1. Transfer of Civil Cases in State Courts	258
2. Transfer of Civil Actions in Federal Court	258
a. What Must be True of the Transferee District? (The <i>Hoffman</i> Issue)	259
b. Personal Jurisdiction in the Transferee District (The <i>Goldlawr</i> Issue)	259
c. Choice of Law (The <i>Van Dusen</i> Issue)	260
d. Standard for Ordering Transfer Under §§ 1404 and 1406	261
e. The Effect of a Forum Selection Clause	263
f. Multidistrict Litigation	264
F. Forum non Conveniens	265
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	265
Notes and Questions	274
Chapter 6 · Provisional Remedies and Other Remedies Topics	279
A. Introduction and Integration	279
B. Maintaining the Status Quo	280
1. Attachment, Garnishment, Replevin	280
<i>Connecticut v. Doehr</i>	282
Notes and Questions	291
2. Temporary Restraining Orders and Preliminary Injunctions	294
<i>Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell</i>	295
Notes and Questions	304
Chapter 7 · Pleadings and Judgments Based on Pleadings	307
A. Introduction and Integration	307
B. Historical Overview of the Evolution of Pleadings	308
C. The Complaint	310
1. Requirements	310
a. Elements of the Complaint	310
i. A “short and plain statement of the grounds for the court’s jurisdiction”	311
ii. A “short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief”	311
iii. A “demand for the relief sought, which may include relief in the alternative or different types of relief”	311
b. Form of Pleadings	312
c. Legal Sufficiency	313
Notes and Questions	314
d. Factual (or “Formal”) Sufficiency: The Debate Over Specificity	315
i. Code Pleading	315
ii. Notice Pleading	316

Note on <i>Dioguardi v. Durning</i> and <i>Conley v. Gibson</i>	316
iii. Federal Rules Pleading and the Plausibility Standard	319
<i>Bell Atlantic Corporation v. Twombly</i>	320
Notes and Questions	328
<i>The Iqbal Case</i>	330
Notes and Questions	333
<i>Swanson v. Citibank, N.A.</i>	335
Notes and Questions	341
iv. The Common Counts	342
e. Heightened Specificity Requirements in Certain Cases	342
f. Pleading Inconsistent Facts and Alternative Theories	344
2. Voluntary Dismissal	345
Notes and Questions	346
3. Involuntary Dismissal	346
Notes and Questions	348
D. Defendant's Options in Response	348
1. Motions and Rule 12	349
2. The Answer	351
a. Responses to the Plaintiff's Allegations	351
i. Admissions	352
ii. Denials	352
iii. Denials for Lack of Knowledge or Information	353
b. Affirmative Defenses	353
Notes and Questions	354
3. Claims by the Defendant	355
4. Failure to Respond: Default and Default Judgment	355
Notes and Questions	355
E. Amended Pleadings	357
1. Basic Principles under Rule 15(a)	357
Notes and Questions	357
2. The Problem of Variance under Rule 15(b)	359
Notes and Questions	359
3. Amendment and the Statute of Limitations under Rule 15(c)	361
a. Amendment to Claims or Defenses	361
<i>Marsh v. Coleman Company</i>	361
Notes and Questions	365
b. Amendment Changing a Party	365
F. Supplemental Pleadings	366
G. Veracity in Pleading: Rule 11 and Other Devices	367
1. Rule 11 and the Model Rules of Professional Conduct	367
Model Rules of Professional Conduct	368
<i>Mata v. Avianca, Inc.</i>	370
Notes and Questions	376
2. Other Sanctions	380

Chapter 8 · Discovery	383
A. Introduction and Integration	383
B. Required Disclosures	387
1. Required Initial Disclosures (Rule 26(a)(1))	387
Questions	388
2. Required Disclosures Concerning Expert Witnesses (Rule 26(a)(2))	388
3. Required Pretrial Disclosures (Rule 26(a)(3))	388
C. Discovery Tools	389
1. Depositions (Rules 30, 31)	389
Questions	391
2. Interrogatories (Rule 33)	391
Questions	392
3. Production of Documents and Things (Rule 34)	392
Questions	393
4. Medical Examination (Rule 35)	393
Questions	394
5. Requests for Admission (Rule 36)	394
Questions	394
6. Practice Problem	395
D. Scope of Discovery	395
1. General Scope	395
a. “Relevant to a claim or defense”	395
<i>United Oil Co. v. Parts Associates, Inc.</i>	395
Notes and Questions	401
b. “Nonprivileged”	402
c. “Proportional”	405
2. Issues Concerning Discovery of ESI	406
<i>Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC</i>	406
Notes and Questions	411
3. Work Product	413
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	413
Notes and Questions	420
Applying Rule 26(b)(3)	422
4. Experts	423
<i>Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hospital & Training School for Nurses</i>	424
Notes and Questions	430
5. Discovery in the International Context	432
6. Review Problem	435
E. Timing and Pretrial Disclosures, Conferences, and Orders	436
1. Timing	436
2. Pretrial Conferences and Orders	436
F. Sanctions	439

	<i>Washington State Physicians Insurance Exchange & Association v. Fisons Corp.</i>	440
	Notes and Questions	453
Chapter 9 · Adjudication With and Without a Trial or a Jury		457
A.	Introduction and Integration	457
B.	Trial and the Right to a Jury	457
1.	Scope of the Constitutional Right	457
a.	“Actions at Common Law” and the Historical Test	459
	<i>Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry</i>	459
	Notes and Questions	467
b.	Mechanics of Making a Jury Demand	472
c.	Juries in Non-Article III Federal Courts	473
d.	Juries in State Courts	473
2.	Selection and Size of the Jury	474
a.	The Venire and Voir Dire	474
b.	Peremptory Challenges	475
	Notes and Questions	477
c.	Two Views of Voir Dire and Peremptory Strikes	478
	<i>The Mapplethorpe Obscenity Trial</i>	478
	<i>10 Trial Mistakes</i>	482
d.	Jury Size	482
3.	Jury Nullification and Its Limits	483
C.	Summary Judgment — Adjudication without Trial	484
1.	Operation of Rule 56	484
	Questions	487
2.	The Supreme Court and Summary Judgment	487
	Notes and Questions	490
	<i>Coble v. City of White House</i>	492
	Notes and Questions	497
D.	Controlling and Second-Guessing Juries	500
1.	Judgment as a Matter of Law (Directed Verdict and JNOV)	500
	Questions	501
	<i>Lavender v. Kurn</i>	502
	Notes and Questions	506
2.	New Trials	509
	<i>Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd’s of London</i>	509
	Notes and Questions	515
	Problems with Size of a Jury Award	517
3.	Other Techniques for Controlling Juries	519
a.	Admissibility of Evidence	519
b.	Jury Instructions	520
c.	Form of the Verdict	521
d.	Judicial Comment	522

e. Juror Misconduct	523
4. Motions to Set Aside a Judgment or Order (Rule 60)	526
Chapter 10 · What Law Applies in Federal Court	529
A. Introduction and Integration	529
B. Determining What Law Applies	532
1. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	532
<i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i>	532
Notes and Questions	537
Note: Constitutional Bases of <i>Erie</i>	540
2. Early Efforts to Describe When State Law Applies	542
<i>Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electrical Cooperative, Inc.</i>	545
Notes and Questions	548
3. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	550
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	550
Note on Understanding <i>Hanna</i>	559
a. What Happens When There Is a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure on Point — The Rules Enabling Act Prong	559
i. Determining Whether a Federal Directive Is on Point	560
ii. Determining Whether a Federal Directive Is Valid	562
b. What Happens When There Is No Federal Rule of Civil Procedure on Point — The Rules of Decision Act Prong	563
Notes and Questions	563
c. Applying the <i>Hanna</i> Structure	564
<i>Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc.</i>	565
Notes and Questions	577
Note on “SLAPP” Suits	578
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Association v. Allstate Insurance Co.</i>	579
Notes and Questions	600
C. Determining the Content of State Law (the “ <i>Erie</i> Guess”)	601
<i>Deweerth v. Baldinger</i>	602
Notes and Questions	605
D. Federal Common Law	606
E. Federal Law in State Court (“Reverse <i>Erie</i> ”)	607
Chapter 11 · The Preclusion Doctrines	609
A. Introduction and Integration	609
B. Claim Preclusion	611
1. Scope of a Claim	611
a. In General	611
<i>Carter v. Hinkle</i>	612
Notes and Questions	615
b. Contract Cases	617
2. Parties or Persons in Privity	618

a.	Who Can Be Bound?	618
	<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i>	618
	Notes and Questions	623
b.	Configuration of the Parties	624
	Notes and Questions	625
3.	Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits	625
a.	Validity	625
b.	Finality	626
c.	On the Merits	626
	Notes and Questions	627
4.	“Defense Preclusion”	629
5.	Exceptions to the Operation of Claim Preclusion	629
	Notes and Questions	630
C.	Issue Preclusion	632
1.	Same Issue Litigated and Determined	632
	<i>Cromwell v. County of Sac</i>	633
	Notes and Questions	636
2.	Issue Determined Was Essential to the Judgment	638
	<i>Rios v. Davis</i>	638
	Notes and Questions	640
3.	Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits	641
4.	Against Whom Can Issue Preclusion Be Asserted?	641
	Notes and Questions	642
5.	By Whom Can Issue Preclusion Be Asserted?	643
a.	Mutuality and Exceptions	643
b.	Rejection of Mutuality for Defensive Use	644
	Notes and Questions	646
c.	Rejection of Mutuality for Offensive Use	648
	<i>Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore</i>	648
	Notes and Questions	651
6.	Exceptions to the Operation of Issue Preclusion	653
	Notes and Questions	654
D.	Problems of Federalism	656
1.	State-to-State	656
2.	State-to-Federal	656
3.	Federal-to-State	658
4.	Federal-to-Federal	658
Chapter 12 · Scope of Litigation — Joinder and Supplemental Jurisdiction	661	
A.	Introduction and Integration	661
B.	Real Party in Interest, Capacity, and Standing	663
	Notes and Questions	664
C.	Claim Joinder by Plaintiffs	665
1.	Procedural Aspects	665

2. Jurisdictional Aspects	666
<i>United Mine Workers v. Gibbs</i>	666
Notes and Questions	670
<i>Power Versus Discretion</i>	672
D. Permissive Party Joinder by Plaintiffs	672
1. Procedural Aspects	672
<i>Schwartz v. Swan</i>	673
Notes and Questions	677
2. Jurisdictional Aspects	681
Notes and Questions	683
E. Claim Joinder by Defendants	685
1. Counterclaims	685
a. Compulsory Counterclaims	686
i. Procedural Aspects	686
<i>Dindo v. Whitney</i>	686
<i>Carteret Savings & Loan Assn. v. Jackson</i>	687
Notes and Questions	689
ii. Jurisdictional Aspects	691
Notes and Questions	692
b. Permissive Counterclaims	693
i. Procedural Aspects	693
Notes and Questions	693
ii. Jurisdictional Aspects	694
2. Crossclaims	695
a. Procedural Aspects	695
Notes and Questions	695
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	697
Notes and Questions	697
F. Overriding Plaintiff's Party Structure	698
1. Impleader (Third-Party Practice)	699
a. Procedural Aspects	699
<i>Markvicka v. Brodhead-Garrett Co.</i>	699
Notes and Questions	701
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	703
<i>Owen Equipment & Erection Co. v. Kroger</i>	704
Notes and Questions	711
2. Compulsory Joinder (Necessary and Indispensable Parties)	713
a. Procedural Aspects	713
<i>Haas v. Jefferson National Bank</i>	713
<i>Temple v. Synthes Corp.</i>	717
Notes and Questions	718
b. Jurisdictional Aspects	721
Notes and Questions	722
3. Intervention	724

a.	Procedural Aspects	724
	Notes and Questions	725
b.	Jurisdictional Aspects	727
	Hypothetical	728
Chapter 13	· Special Multiparty Litigation: Interpleader and the Class Action	729
A.	Introduction and Integration	729
B.	Interpleader	729
1.	Background	729
2.	The Two Types of Interpleader in Federal Court	730
3.	The Limits of Interpleader to Avoid Duplicative Litigation	734
	<i>State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. v. Tashire</i>	734
	Notes and Questions	738
C.	The Class Action	739
1.	Background	739
2.	Policy and Ethical Issues	739
3.	Constitutional Considerations	742
	Note on <i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	743
4.	Practice under Federal Rule 23	746
a.	Background	746
b.	Filing and Certification of a Class Action	747
c.	Requirements for Certification under Rule 23(a) and 23(b)	748
	Rule 23(a)	749
	Rule 23(b)	750
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i>	754
	Notes and Questions	767
d.	Notice to Class Members of the Pendency of the Action	770
	Notes and Questions	771
e.	Court's Role in Dismissal and Settlement	772
5.	Subject Matter Jurisdiction	774
	<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services</i>	775
	Notes and Questions	784
	Note on Jurisdiction under CAFA	784
6.	Personal Jurisdiction	786
	Note on <i>Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts</i>	786
	Notes and Questions	787
D.	Multidistrict Litigation	788
	Notes and Questions	789
Chapter 14	· Appellate Review	791
A.	Introduction and Integration	791
B.	Appellate Jurisdiction in the Federal Courts	792
1.	Section 1291	792

2. Collateral Order Doctrine	794
<i>Cunningham v. Hamilton County</i>	795
Notes and Questions	800
3. Section 1292	804
Notes and Questions	805
4. Rule 23(f)	805
5. Rule 54(b)	807
Notes and Questions	807
6. Extraordinary Writs (Mandamus and Prohibition)	808
7. Appealability of Discovery Orders	810
8. Mechanics and Timing of Filing an Appeal	811
9. Appellate Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court	812
C. Appeals in State Courts	813
D. Standards of Review	813
Notes and Questions	816
Chapter 15 · Alternative Models of Dispute Resolution	819
A. Introduction and Integration	819
B. Models of Non-Judicial Resolution	819
1. Brief Overview	819
2. Arbitration	820
a. Court-Annexed versus Contractual ADR	821
b. Expansion of Contractual Arbitration	821
<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i>	824
Notes and Questions	834
3. Litigation and Arbitration in the International Realm	836
C. Other Models of Judicial Control: A Comparative Approach	837
<i>The German Advantage in Civil Procedure</i>	837
Notes and Questions	847
<i>Sempier v. Johnson & Higgins</i>	848
Notes and Questions	854
D. Dispute Resolution without Adjudication	857
<i>The Implications of Apology: Law and Culture in Japan</i> <i>and in the United States</i>	857
Notes and Questions	862
Table of Cases	869
Table of Scholarly Commentary	885
Index	903

Online Materials

Additional content for *Civil Procedure: Cases, Materials, and Questions* (Ninth Edition) is available on Carolina Academic Press's *Core Knowledge for Lawyers* (CKL) website.

Core Knowledge for Lawyers is an online teaching and testing platform that hosts practice questions and additional content for both instructors and students.

To learn more, please visit:

coreknowledgeforlawyers.com

Instructors may request complimentary access through the “Faculty & Instructors” link.

Preface

Civil Procedure is a challenging course both for students and teachers. Of all the first-year subjects, it is the most alien to students' pre-law school lives. As a result, the course sometimes seems to students to be unconnected to the "real world." Ironically, of all the first-year courses, Civil Procedure is the most connected to the "real world" of what lawyers do. Graduates routinely report that Civil Procedure is central to their work.

Thus, one challenge for professors (and casebook authors) is to bridge the gap in student experience. The book addresses this issue by including many problems and hypotheticals which are intended to make the material more concrete. We also include significant amounts of original text to provide background, as well as notes and questions that explore the strategic and ethical choices that real lawyers face.

A second challenge is that the course includes significant amounts of detail, but at the same time raises fundamental questions about justice, fairness, and efficiency in the adjudication of rights. Students sometimes miss the richness of the course because they fail to see how its various aspects fit together — they may come away with a knowledge of individual trees but not an overall sense of the forest. This book seeks to avoid that result by stressing integration. The chapters are arranged in related blocks and each chapter begins with a section called "Introduction and Integration" which provides an overview and indicates how the subject fits with other topics. This integrative text allows the professor to assign chapters in any order she chooses.

In some areas, we have arranged material differently from what seems to be the common approach. We do this to facilitate the integrative function. The first part of the book addresses forum selection, and includes subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction, and venue. We have included notice and service of process in this part because of its close relationship to personal jurisdiction.

Chapter 6 addresses remedies, and focuses on provisional remedies, including temporary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions. This topic provides a nice pivot to the next part of the book, which addresses phases of litigation: pleading, discovery, and adjudication (with and without a jury). Joinder is covered later, because we do not believe this topic is necessary to understanding the basic

steps of litigation and, by delaying it, we can cover it with the related issues of preclusion and supplemental jurisdiction. Covering pleading and discovery back-to-back highlights that they are both methods of information exchange. The chapter on adjudication includes both summary judgment and judgment as a matter of law. We place the *Erie* chapter after the chapter on adjudication. (We believe students may better understand *Gasperini* if they have studied Rule 59.)

Next are three chapters on preclusion and joinder. We view them as a unit on “packaging” of litigation. We begin with preclusion. That chapter, which explores the goals of efficiency and finality, lays the foundation for the joinder chapters. Although we introduce supplemental jurisdiction briefly in the chapter on subject matter jurisdiction, we defer detailed analysis until the joinder chapters. This seems particularly necessary, because students cannot understand §1367 without first studying the joinder rules. Following joinder, we address appeals.

This course stresses civil procedure as part of the litigation process — a publicly funded system of dispute resolution. We feel that students should consider whether the litigation system is a good way to resolve disputes. The last chapter of the book raises questions about alternative dispute resolution and comparative law. We feel that these issues are well treated at the end of the course, after the students have seen the litigation process fully.

The Supreme Court has been remarkably active in Civil Procedure over the past decade. In 2011, it returned to personal jurisdiction after a 21-year absence with *J. McIntyre Machinery Ltd. v. Nicastro*, 564 U.S. 873 (2011), which embraced a cramped view of specific jurisdiction, and *Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown*, 564 U.S. 915 (2011), which suggested major retrenchment in general jurisdiction. The Court confirmed the retrenchment of general jurisdiction in *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 571 U.S. 117 (2014), and *BNSF Ry. Co. v. Tyrell*, 137 S. Ct. 1549 (2017). The gap created by the restriction of general jurisdiction (at least with regard to corporations) has required plaintiffs to attempt to rely increasingly on specific jurisdiction. This reliance sometimes raises the issue of relatedness: whether the plaintiff’s claim is sufficiently related to the defendant’s contacts with the forum. The Court issued an important (and seemingly cramped) view of relatedness in *Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Superior Court*, 137 S. Ct. 1773 (2017). Moreover, it issued its first discussion since 1984 of “effects” jurisdiction with *Walden v. Fiore*, 471 U.S. 462 (2014). More recently, the Court contributed *Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial District Court*, 141 S. Ct. 1017 (2021), a significant opinion expanding specific jurisdiction, and *Mallory v. Norfolk Southern Railway*, 143 S. Ct. 2028 (2023), which brings the potential to expand general jurisdiction over businesses dramatically, on the basis of registration statutes.

In subject matter jurisdiction, the Court has refined federal question jurisdiction over state-law claims in *Gunn v. Minton*, 568 U.S. 251 (2013), and clarified removal

procedure in *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC, v. Owens*, 574 U.S. 81 (2014). *Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. District Court*, 571 U.S. 49 (2013), is a major decision concerning enforceability of forum selection clauses through transfer under 28 U.S.C. §1404(a). In pleadings, the Court makes an important distinction between legal and factual sufficiency in *Johnson v. City of Shelby*, 574 U.S. 10 (2014), which may moderate the impact of *Twombly* and *Iqbal*. In *Tolan v. Cotton*, 572 U.S. 650 (2014), the Court appears to give force to the hackneyed saying that a judge ruling on summary judgment must view evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party.

The Court has been especially interested over the past decade in the class action. *Comcast Corp. v. Behrend*, 569 U.S. 27 (2013) is an important decision concerning certification of damages classes under Rule 23(b)(3). On the heels of *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*, 564 U.S. 338 (2011), it front-loads a great deal of litigation into the certification stage. Yet, in securities fraud cases, the Court has rejected some efforts to require substantive showings at the certification stage. See, e.g., *Amgen v. Connecticut Retirement Plans*, 568 U.S. 455 (2013). The Court has also continued its embrace of arbitration. In *American Express Co. v. Italian Colors Restaurant*, 570 U.S. 228 (2013), it upheld a form contractual waiver of class arbitration even though the cost of pursuing individual litigation would be prohibitive. The decision, following *AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion*, 563 U.S. 333 (2011), which reached the same conclusion in a consumer class action, raises significant questions of access to justice.

The Rules Advisory Committee has been active as well. This edition covers amendments through December 1, 2023.

Notes on Form

We indicate textual deletions from opinions and other materials by “* * *.” We have not noted deletions of citations from opinions. Our additions to cases are enclosed in brackets. Our footnotes are denoted by asterisks. We have retained the original numbering of footnotes appearing in opinions. We have adopted a short form of citing the classic treatises to which we refer throughout the book. With apologies to the contributing authors on the two standard multi-volume treatises, we refer to them, respectively, as MOORE’S FEDERAL PRACTICE and WRIGHT & MILLER, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE. CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT & MARY KAY KANE, LAW OF FEDERAL COURTS (7th ed. 2011) is cited WRIGHT & KANE, FEDERAL COURTS; and RICHARD D. FREER, CIVIL PROCEDURE (5th ed. 2022) is cited FREER, CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments by Professor Freer

My friends and Emory colleagues Tom Arthur, Robert Schapiro, and George Shepherd have contributed greatly to the development of this book. Beyond the Emory community, we are in the particular debt of Colleen Murphy, Mike Vitiello, and Jim Duane, who have gone far beyond the call of friendship in offering suggestions. And we have benefited greatly from contributions by Vince Alexander, John Beckerman, Lenni Benson, Debra Cohen, Stan Cox, Jeff Dobbins, Charlotte Goldberg, Heather Kolinsky, Glenn Koppel, Ben Madison, and Rocky Rhodes.

I am grateful for the continued support of Emory University School of Law. And, as with all my work, I remain indebted to Louise, Collin, and Courtney.

Acknowledgments by Dean Perdue

My deepest thanks go to my family, David, Bill, and Ben. Although my sons occasionally questioned my choice of topic (“Why don’t you do something useful—like write a book about baseball?”), and my efficiency (“You’re not done yet?”), their love and good humor were essential to keeping my sanity and perspective.

Acknowledgments by Professor Efron

I am indebted to my colleagues at Brooklyn Law School for their support. I benefited from many productive conversations with a number of scholars and friends while working on this edition, particularly Alexandra Lahav, Maria Glover, Samuel Bray, and Pam Bookman. And although Dan Markel was taken from us before I had the opportunity to work on this book, he remains a source of inspiration in my life as a teacher and scholar.

Most of all, I am grateful for the love and support of my family. I thank Sam for his patience and encouragement, and I thank Maura, Eleanor, and Owen who have taught me that it is possible to master the art of forum shopping before one can even speak in full sentences.

We acknowledge with gratitude the numerous helpful suggestions from users of prior editions. We also acknowledge the permission of the following copyright holders to quote material contained in the book. Any errors that occurred in editing or reprinting are our responsibility, not that of the copyright holder:

Hoffman, Morris, *Ten Trial Mistakes*, THE DOCKET, Spring 1994 at 10. Copyright © 1994 by the National Institute for Trial Advocacy. Reprinted by permission.

Langbein, John, *The German Advantage in Civil Procedure*, 52 U. CHI. L. REV. 823–866 (1985). Copyright © 1985 by the University of Chicago. Reprinted by permission.

Mezibov, Marc, & H. Louis Sirkin, *The Mapplethorpe Obscenity Trial*, LITIG., Summer 1992, at 12, 13–15, 71. Copyright © 1992 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted by permission.

Wagatsuma, Hiroshi, & Arthur Rosett, *The Implications of Apology: Law and Culture in Japan and the United States*, 20 LAW AND SOCIETY REVIEW 461–495 (1986). Copyright © 1986 by the Law and Society Association. Reprinted by permission.