

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS CONTEXT AND PRACTICE SERIES

Michael Hunter Schwartz
Series Editor

Administrative Law, Second Edition Richard Henry Seamon

Advanced Torts, Second Edition
Alex B. Long and Meredith J. Duncan

Animal Law—New Perspectives on Teaching Traditional Law
Kathy Hessler, Joyce Tischler, Pamela Hart,
and Sonia S. Waisman

Antitrust Law
Steven Semeraro

Civil Procedure, Third Edition

Gerald F. Hess, Theresa M. Beiner, Scott R. Bauries,
and Saurabh Vishnubhakat

Civil Procedure for All States Benjamin V. Madison, III

Complex Litigation
James M. Underwood

Constitutional Law, Third Edition David Schwartz and Lori Ringhand

A Context and Practice Global Case File: An Intersex Athlete's Constitutional Challenge, Hastings v. USATF, IAAF, and IOC Olivia M. Farrar

A Context and Practice Global Case File:

Rossi v. Bryce, An International Embryo and Surrogacy Dispute
Olivia M. Farrar

A Context and Practice Global Case File:

Thorpe v. Lightfoot, A Mother's International Hague Petition
for the Return of Her Child

Olivia M. Farrar

Contracts, Third Edition

Michael Hunter Schwartz and Adrian J. Walters

Criminal Law, Second Edition

Steven I. Friedland, Catherine L. Carpenter, Kami N. Chavis, and Catherine Arcabascio

Current Issues in Constitutional Litigation, Third Edition

Sarah E. Ricks and Evelyn M. Tenenbaum

Employment Discrimination, Fourth Edition

Sandra F. Sperino and Jarod S. Gonzalez

Energy Law, Second Edition

Joshua P. Fershee

Evidence, Third Edition

Pavel Wonsowicz

International Business Transactions

Amy Deen Westbrook

International Women's Rights, Equality, and Justice

Christine M. Venter

The Lawyer's Practice

Kris Franklin

Professional Responsibility

Barbara Glesner Fines

Property Law

Alicia Kelly and Nancy Knauer

Sales, Second Edition

Edith R. Warkentine

Secured Transactions, Second Edition

Edith R. Warkentine and Jerome A. Grossman

Torts

Paula J. Manning

Workers' Compensation Law, Third Edition

Michael C. Duff

Your Brain and Law School

Marybeth Herald

Civil Procedure

A Context and Practice Casebook

THIRD EDITION

Gerald Hess

EMERITUS PROFESSOR OF LAW
FOUNDER OF THE INSTITUTE FOR LAW SCHOOL TEACHING
GONZAGA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Theresa M. Beiner

PROFESSOR OF LAW AND FORMER DEAN UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS AT LITTLE ROCK WILLIAM H. BOWEN SCHOOL OF LAW

Scott R. Bauries

PROFESSOR OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA SCHOOL OF LAW

Saurabh Vishnubhakat

PROFESSOR OF LAW
DIRECTOR, IP AND INFORMATION LAW PROGRAM
BENJAMIN N. CARDOZO SCHOOL OF LAW



Copyright © 2024 Carolina Academic Press, LLC All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-5310-2741-4 eISBN: 978-1-5310-2742-1 LCCN: 2023943258

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent Street Durham, NC 27701 (919) 489-7486 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To my family of teachers and writers: Layne Stromwall, Michael Stromwall Hess, and Amanda Stromwall Hess.

GERRY HESS

To my first teachers, my parents, Marylin and Raymond Beiner. ${\tt THERESA~BEINER}$

For my wife, Dana, and my daughter, Meredith.

SCOTT BAURIES

For my father, Satyanarayan, who would have celebrated this book, and for my mother, Aruna, who would have read it cover to cover.

SAURABH VISHNUBHAKAT

Contents

Table of Principle Cases	XXV
Series Editor's Preface	xxix
Chapter 1 Introduction and Overview	3
Civil Procedure—A Context and Practice Casebook	3
2. The Nature of Civil Procedure	5
Exercise 1-1. The Importance of Civil Procedure	6
3. Sources of Law	6
a. Constitutions	7
b. Statutes	7
c. Rules and Regulations	8
d. Judicial Decisions: Common Law and Equity	8
4. United States Court System	9
a. United States District Courts	9
b. United States Circuit Courts of Appeals	10
c. The United States Supreme Court	10
5. Characteristics of Civil Litigation	10
a. Goals of the Civil Litigation System	11
Exercise 1-2. Articulating and Achieving the Goals of the	
Civil Litigation System	11
b. Volume and Types of Civil Cases in Federal and State Court	11
c. Adversary System	12
Exercise 1-3. Evaluating the Adversary System	12
6. Alternative Dispute Resolution	13
a. Civil Litigation	13
b. Negotiation	13
c. Mediation	14
d. Arbitration	14
Exercise 1-4. Selecting Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	14

x CONTENTS

7. Professionalism	15
Exercise 1-5. Professionalism	16
8. Legal Reasoning	16
a. Case Analysis	17
b. Statutory Analysis	18
Exercise 1-6. Statutory Analysis	19
9. Timeline of a Civil Lawsuit	20
a. Considerations before Filing Suit	20
b. Plaintiff Files the Complaint	21
c. Defendant Responds	21
d. Discovery	22
e. Summary Judgment Motion	22
f. Trial	22
g. Judgment	23
h. Appeal	23
i. Preclusion	23
Chapter 2 Personal Jurisdiction	25
1. Chapter Problem	25
-	25
2. Introduction	25 27
2. Introduction3. Personal Jurisdiction Foundations	27
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills 	27 28
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff 	27 28 29
 2. Introduction 3. Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff 	27 28
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction 	27 28 29 35
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits 	27 28 29 35 37
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory 	27 28 29 35 37 38
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction <i>Diagramming Lawsuits</i> Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited Note on Long Arm Statutes 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited Note on Long Arm Statutes Exercise 2-5. Shaffer v. Heitner 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45 46
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction <i>Diagramming Lawsuits</i> Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45 46 47
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited Note on Long Arm Statutes Exercise 2-5. Shaffer v. Heitner Shaffer v. Heitner Exercise 2-6. Shaffer v. Heitner Revisited 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45 46 47 54
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited Note on Long Arm Statutes Exercise 2-5. Shaffer v. Heitner Shaffer v. Heitner Exercise 2-6. Shaffer v. Heitner Revisited Note on Personal Jurisdiction in the Federal Court System 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45 46 47 54
 Introduction Personal Jurisdiction Foundations Exercise 2-1. Pennoyer v. Neff and Case Reading Skills Pennoyer v. Neff Notes Regarding Pennoyer v. Neff Exercise 2-2. Applying In Rem, Quasi In Rem, and In Personam Jurisdiction Diagramming Lawsuits Modern Personal Jurisdiction Theory Exercise 2-3. International Shoe and Modern Personal Jurisdiction International Shoe Co. v. Washington Exercise 2-4. International Shoe Revisited Note on Long Arm Statutes Exercise 2-5. Shaffer v. Heitner Shaffer v. Heitner Exercise 2-6. Shaffer v. Heitner Revisited Note on Personal Jurisdiction in the Federal Court System Exercise 2-7. Reading Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 	27 28 29 35 37 38 38 39 40 43 45 46 47 54 55

	Note on Consent to Jurisdiction	67
	Exercise 2-10. Modern Specific Jurisdiction Cases and	
	International Parties: J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro	69
	J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro	69
	Note on Walden v. Fiore and Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court	77
	Exercise 2-11: Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.	79
	Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.	79
	Exercise 2-12. Nicastro and Ford Revisited	87
5.	General Jurisdiction	88
	Exercise 2-13. Daimler Applied to a U.SBased Corporation—	
	BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell	91
	BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell	91
	Exercise 2-14. Daimler and BNSF Revisited	95
6.	Personal Jurisdiction and the Internet	96
	Exercise 2-15. Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer GMBH	97
	Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer GMBH	98
	Exercise 2-16. Synthesis Exercise	107
7.	Professional Development Reflection	107
C	hapter 3 Notice and Opportunity to Be Heard	109
	1 / /	
1.	Chapter Problem	109
2.	Introduction	110
3.	Due Process Limits on Notice	111
3.	Due Process Limits on Notice Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust	111 111
3.		
3.	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust	111
3.	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.	111 111
3.	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey	111 111 116
3.	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey	111 111 116 116
3.	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States	111 111 116 116 121
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers	111 111 116 116 121 122
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy	111 111 116 116 121 122 123
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice	111 111 116 116 121 122 123
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements	111 111 116 116 121 122 123 124
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements PRACTICE POINTER	111 111 116 116 121 122 123 124 124
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements PRACTICE POINTER Exercise 3-7. Rule 4(e)—Service on Individuals	111 116 116 121 122 123 123 124 124
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements PRACTICE POINTER Exercise 3-7. Rule 4(e)—Service on Individuals Kolker v. Hurwitz	111 111 116 116 121 122 123 124 124 128
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements PRACTICE POINTER Exercise 3-7. Rule 4(e)—Service on Individuals Kolker v. Hurwitz Exercise 3-8. Rule 4(e)(2)(B) Analysis	111 111 116 121 122 123 123 124 124 128 131
	Exercise 3-1. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co. Exercise 3-2. Greene v. Lindsey Greene v. Lindsey Exercise 3-3. Due Process Notice Analysis—Dusenbery v. United States Exercise 3-4. Due Process Notice Analysis—Jones v. Flowers Exercise 3-5. Due Process Notice Policy Statutory Limits on Notice Exercise 3-6. Statutory Analysis—Rule 4 Service Requirements PRACTICE POINTER Exercise 3-7. Rule 4(e)—Service on Individuals Kolker v. Hurwitz Exercise 3-8. Rule 4(e)(2)(B) Analysis Exercise 3-9. National Development Corp. v. Triad	111 116 116 121 122 123 123 124 128 128 131

Exercise 3-11. Serving a Corporation	136
Exercise 3-12. Waiver of Service	136
5. Opportunity to Be Heard	137
Connecticut v. Doehr	137
Opportunity to Be Heard—Notes and Exercises	146
Exercise 3-13. Opportunity to Be Heard—Mackey v. Montrym	147
Exercise 3-14. Opportunity to Be Heard—Cleveland Bd. of Educ.	
v. Loudermill	147
Exercise 3-15. Opportunity to Be Heard—City of Los Angeles v. David	148
Exercise 3-16. Constitutionality of Wisconsin Prejudgment	
Attachment Statutes	148
6. Professional Development Reflection	150
Exercise 3-17. Service Professionalism	150
Chapter 4 Subject Matter Jurisdiction	151
1. Chapter Problem	151
2. Introduction	151
3. Statutory and Constitutional Bases for Federal Court	
Subject Matter Jurisdiction	154
4. Diversity Jurisdiction	155
Exercise 4-1. Understanding and Applying the Federal Diversity Statute	157
Exercise 4-2. Mas v. Perry	158
Mas v. Perry	159
Exercise 4-3. Mas v. Perry Revisited	162
Exercise 4-4. Hertz Corp. v. Friend and the Problem of Corporations	162
Hertz Corp. v. Friend	163
Exercise 4-5. Applying Hertz	168
Exercise 4-6. Domicile of Other Business Entities	170
Exercise 4-7. Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp. & Carroll v. Stryker Corp.	171
Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp.	171
Carroll v. Stryker Corp.	173
Exercise 4-8. Harshey and Carroll Revisited	176
Note on Minimal Diversity Jurisdiction Statutes	176
Note on Exceptions to Diversity Jurisdiction	177
5. Cases "Arising under the Constitution, Laws, or Treaties of	
the United States"	177
Exercise 4-9. Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley	179
Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley	179
Exercise 4-10. Mottley Revisited	181

Note on State Law Claims That Incorporate Federal Standards—	
An Exercise in Reconciling Conflicting Cases	182
Exercise 4-11. Reconciling Seemingly Conflicting Case Law	183
Exercise 4-12. Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering &	
Manufacturing and Its Progeny	184
Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing	185
Empire HealthChoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh	189
Gunn v. Minton	193
Exercise 4-13. Synthesizing Grable, Empire, and Gunn	198
Note on Other Situations That Implicate (or Do Not Implicate)	
Arising under Jurisdiction	200
Supplemental Jurisdiction	202
a. The History of Supplemental Jurisdiction	202
b. Modern Supplemental Jurisdiction	204
Exercise 4-14. Applying 28 U.S.C. §1367	205
Exercise 4-15. Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.	206
Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc.	207
Note on Tolling under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(d)	213
Removal	214
Exercise 4-16. Understanding the Removal Statutes	215
Exercise 4-17. Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction Revisited: Putting It	
All Together	215
Professional Development Reflection	217
hapter 5 Venue and Forum Non Conveniens	219
Chapter Problem	219
Chapter Problem Introduction	219 220
Introduction	220
Introduction State Venue	220 221
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison	220 221 221
Introduction State Venue	220 221 221 222
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited	220 221 221 222 226
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules	220 221 221 222 226 226
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute	220 221 221 222 226 226 227
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute Exercise 5-4. Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc. and Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer	220 221 221 222 226 226 227 228
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute	220 221 221 222 226 226 227
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute Exercise 5-4. Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc. and Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc. Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer	220 221 221 222 226 226 227 228 228
Introduction State Venue Exercise 5-1. Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison Exercise 5-2. Reasor-Hill Revisited Federal Venue Rules Exercise 5-3. Reading and Applying the Federal Venue Statute Exercise 5-4. Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc. and Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc.	220 221 221 222 226 226 227 228 228
	Exercise 4-11. Reconciling Seemingly Conflicting Case Law Exercise 4-12. Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing and Its Progeny Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing Empire HealthChoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh Gunn v. Minton Exercise 4-13. Synthesizing Grable, Empire, and Gunn Note on Other Situations That Implicate (or Do Not Implicate) Arising under Jurisdiction Supplemental Jurisdiction a. The History of Supplemental Jurisdiction b. Modern Supplemental Jurisdiction Exercise 4-14. Applying 28 U.S.C. § 1367 Exercise 4-15. Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc. Note on Tolling under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(d) Removal Exercise 4-16. Understanding the Removal Statutes Exercise 4-17. Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction Revisited: Putting It All Together Professional Development Reflection

5. Forum Non Conveniens	235
Exercise 5-6. Piper Aircraft v. Reyno	236
Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno	236
Exercise 5-7. Piper Aircraft Revisited	243
6. Transfer and Dismissal Based on Venue Issues	244
Exercise 5-8. Applying the Transfer Statutes	245
Exercise 5-9. Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. District Court	
for the Western District of Texas	246
Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the	
Western District of Texas	247
Exercise 5-10. Atlantic Marine Revisited	252
Note on Law That Applies to Transferred Cases	253
Note on Multidistrict Litigation Transfers	253
Exercise 5-11. Multi-District Litigation Transfers	254
Exercise 5-12. Chapter Problem Revisited: Putting It All Together	
and Applying It	254
7. Professional Development Reflection	255
Chapter 6 What Law Governs?	257
1. Chapter Problem	257
 Chapter Problem Introduction 	257 258
-	
2. Introduction	258
2. Introduction3. Basic Choice of Law Concepts	258 258
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem 	258258261
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins 	258 258 261 261
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins 	258 258 261 261 261
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine 	258 258 261 261 261 267
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 267 268
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 267 268 272
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 268 272 272
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer Hanna v. Plumer 	258 258 261 261 267 267 267 268 272 272 273
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer Hanna v. Plumer Note: Understanding Hanna and Its Progeny 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 267 268 272 272 273 281
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer Hanna v. Plumer Note: Understanding Hanna and Its Progeny Exercise 6-6. Testing Your Understanding 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 268 272 273 281 284
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer Hanna v. Plumer Note: Understanding Hanna and Its Progeny Exercise 6-6. Testing Your Understanding Exercise 6-7. Three Current Approaches—Shady Grove v. Allstate 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 267 268 272 273 281 284 288
 Introduction Basic Choice of Law Concepts The Rules of Decision Act Problem Exercise 6-1. Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins Exercise 6-2. Understanding the Erie Doctrine Exercise 6-3. Application Exercise 6-4. Application Note: Cases Applying Erie The Rules Enabling Act Problem Exercise 6-5. Hanna v. Plumer Hanna v. Plumer Note: Understanding Hanna and Its Progeny Exercise 6-6. Testing Your Understanding Exercise 6-7. Three Current Approaches—Shady Grove v. Allstate Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v. Allstate Ins. Co. 	258 258 261 261 261 267 267 268 272 273 281 284 288 288

Craig v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.	305
Exercise 6-10. What Law Applies If the "Law" Is Choice-of-Law Rules?	311
Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co.	312
Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the	312
Western District of Texas	315
Exercise 6-11. Choice of Law and Contracts	316
7. Professional Development Reflection: Horizontal Choice of Law	317
Exercise 6-12. Choice of Professionalism Law	317
Exercise 6-13. Chapter Problem and Discussion	318
Exercise 6 25. Chapter Problem and Discussion	310
Chapter 7 Pleading	319
1. Chapter Problem	319
2. Introduction	319
3. The Complaint	322
Exercise 7-1. Swierkiewicz v. Sorema	322
Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A.	324
Notice Pleading and Heightened Pleading	328
Exercise 7-2. Situating Swierkiewicz	329
Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly	329
Exercise 7-3. Understanding Twombly	338
Exercise 7-4. From Twombly to Iqbal	339
Exercise 7-5. Understanding Iqbal	339
Ashcroft v. Iqbal	340
Exercise 7-6. Understanding Rule 8(a) and Rule 12(b)(6)	350
Pleading Your Legal Theory	351
Exercise 7-7. Applying "Twiqbal"	352
4. The Answer, Defenses, and Affirmative Defenses	353
Exercise 7-8. Improper Denials	356
Infiniti Group International, Inc. v. Elk Lighting, Inc.,	
d/b/a Sterling Industries, Inc.	357
Exercise 7-9. "Negative Pregnants" and Other Improper Denial Forms	358
Exercise 7-10. Defenses	360
5. Amending and Supplementing Pleadings	360
Exercise 7-11. Beeck v. Aquaslide	361
Beeck v. Aquaslide 'n' Dive Corp.	361
Exercise 7-12. Beeck v. Aquaslide: An Appeal	365
Exercise 7-13. Beeck's Options	365
Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A.	365
Exercise 7-14. Applying Rule 15 and Relation-Back	374

6. Truthfulness, Good Faith, and Professionalism in Pleading	376
Ridder v. City of Springfield	378
Exercise 7-15. Rule 11 versus §1927	388
Exercise 7-16. Professionalism Exercise	389
Exercise 7-17. Putting the Standards Together	389
Chapter 8 Aggregating Claims and Parties	391
1. Chapter Problem	391
2. Introduction	394
Exercise 8-1. Interrogating the Purpose of Joinder	396
3. Basic Claim Joinder	397
Exercise 8-2. Compulsory and Permissive Joinder of Claims	398
Related Claims and Joinder	400
Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co.	400
Ginwright v. Exeter Finance Corp.	404
Exercise 8-3. "Same Transaction or Occurrence"	409
4. Basic Party Joinder	410
King v. Pepsi Cola Metropolitan Bottling Co.	411
Exercise 8-4. Misjoinder and Rule 21	413
John S. Clark Co., Inc. v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of Ill.	414
Exercise 8-5. Using Rules 20 and 21	423
Santana Products, Inc., Plaintiff, v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment, Inc.,	
Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, v. Formica Corp.,	
Third-Party Defendant	424
Exercise 8-6. Indemnity or Indemnity?	429
Exercise 8-7. Contribution and Impleader	429
Exercise 8-8. Review of Basic Joinder Practice	430
5. Complex Joinder	432
a. Required Joinder of Parties	432
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v.	40.
Peabody Western Coal Co.; Navajo Nation	434
Exercise 8-9. The Complexity of Required Joinder	447
Exercise 8-10. Alternatives to Required Joinder	447
b. Interpleader	448
Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Price	448
c. Intervention	452
Arakaki v. Cayetano Eversica 8-11 Framing a Motion to Intervene	453 461
EVARCICA X. I I FRAMING A MINTION TO INTORMAN	461

CONTENTS	xvii	

6. Class Actions	461
Exercise 8-12. Statutory Analysis—Rule 23 Certification Requirements	462
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes	463
Exercise 8-13. Applying Wal-Mart v. Dukes	477
Exercise 8-14. Chapter Problem Revisited	477
7. Professionalism and Joinder	478
Exercise 8-15. Fraudulent Joinder and Professionalism	479
Chapter 9 Discovery	481
1. Chapter Problem	481
2. Introduction	482
3. General Scope of Discovery and Its Limits	484
a. General Scope	484
Relevance Box—Broad Scope of Discovery—Rule 26(b)(1)	485
Limits on Scope of Discovery	485
Exercise 9-1. Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist.	486
Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School Dist.	486
Exercise 9-2. Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free	
School Dist. Revisited	491
b. Proportionality and Limits on Discovery	492
Exercise 9-3. Reading Rules That Limit Discovery	492
Exercise 9-4. Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons	493
Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons	493
Exercise 9-5. Marrese Revisited	499
c. Special Limits on Discovery	500
(1) Work Product Doctrine	500
Exercise 9-6. Hickman v. Taylor	500
Hickman v. Taylor	501
Exercise 9-7. Hickman v. Taylor Revisited	508
(2) Attorney-Client Privilege	509
Exercise 9-8. When Does the Attorney-Client Privilege Apply?	510
Exercise 9-9. Who Is the Client for Purposes of the Privilege?	=-0
Upjohn Co. v. United States	510
Upjohn Co. v. United States	511
Exercise 9-10. Upjohn Revisited	516
4. The Tools of Discovery	517
a. Initial Disclosures	517
Exercise 9-11. Initial Disclosures	517

b. Depositions	518
Exercise 9-12. Reading and Applying Rule 27	520
Exercise 9-13. Reading Rule 30	521
Note on Objections During Depositions	522
c. Interrogatories to Parties	524
Exercise 9-14. Rule 33 and Interrogatories	524
d. Requests for Documents, Tangible Things, and Entering Land	525
Exercise 9-15. Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC	525
Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC	525
Exercise 9-16. Zubulake Revisited	532
Note on Implications of 2015 Amendments and e-Discovery	532
e. Physical and Mental Examinations	535
Exercise 9-17. Schlagenhauf v. Holder	535
Schlagenhauf v. Holder	535
Exercise 9-18. Schlagenhauf v. Holder Revisited	541
f. Requests for Admission	541
Exercise 9-19. Reading Rule 36	542
Note on Failure to Admit Something That the Requesting	
Party Later Proves	542
5. Motions to Compel, Sanctions, and the Duty to	
Supplement Responses	543
a. Motions to Compel and Sanctions for Discovery Non-Compliance	543
Insurance Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites	
de Guinee	544
b. Duty to Supplement Discovery Responses	550
Exercise 9-20. The Chapter Problem Revisited	550
6. Professional Development Question	551
Chapter 10 Disposition without Trial	553
1. Chapter Problem	553
2. Introduction	554
3. Default and Default Judgment	554
Exercise 10-1. Reading Rule 55	555 556
Stephenson v. El-Batrawi	556
Exercise 10-2. Reading Stephenson v. El-Batrawi	564
Exercise 10-3. "Efficient" Default	565
4. Dismissal and Judgment on the Pleadings	565
a. Motion Practice in General	565
Exercise 10-4. Responding to a Motion (or a Pleading)	566

CONTENTS	xix
----------	-----

	b. The Motion to Dismiss and the Motion for Judgment	
	on the Pleadings	567
	Wee Care Child Center, Inc. v. Lumpkin	568
	Exercise 10-5. Motion to Dismiss vs. Motion for Judgment	
	on the Pleadings	574
	c. Waiver of Defenses under Rule 12	574
	Exercise 10-6. Applying Rule 12(g) & (h)	576
	Marrero-Gutierrez v. Molina	578
	Exercise 10-7. Waiver, Exceptions, and Litigation Strategy	583
	d. Voluntary Dismissal	584
	Exercise 10-8. Voluntary Dismissal	585
	Exercise 10-9. The Effects of Dismissal	585
	Exercise 10-10. Researching Dismissal Without Prejudice	586
	Exercise 10-11. Researching Failure to Prosecute	587
5.	Summary Judgment	588
	Celotex Corp. v. Catrett	589
	Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.	593
	Exercise 10-12. Codifying the Summary Judgment Trio and	
	Other Decisions	600
	Garner v. City of Ozark	606
	Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC	609
	Exercise 10-13. Denying Additional Discovery	612
	Exercise 10-14. The Summary Judgment Burden Shift	612
6.	Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief	613
7.	Professionalism and Summary Adjudication	615
	Exercise 10-15. Default and Professionalism	615
C	hapter 11 Trial and Post-Trial	617
1.	Chapter Problem	617
	Overview	618
	Right to a Jury	620
	PRACTICE POINTER	620
	Exercise 11-1. Jury Trial Policy and Strategy	621
	Exercise 11-2. Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry	621
	Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry	622
	Exercise 11-3. Beacon Theaters, Inc. v. Westover	630
	Beacon Theaters, Inc. v. Westover	630
	Exercise 11-4. Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Healthand Safety	
	Review Comm'n	633

	Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Health and Safety	
	Review Comm'n	633
	Exercise 11-5. Tull v. United States	638
	Tull v. United States	638
	Exercise 11-6. Right to a Jury Quiz	642
4.	Jury Selection	643
	Exercise 11-7. J.E.B. v. Alabama	644
	J.E.B. v. Alabama	644
	Note on Batson Challenges	654
5.	Jury Instructions	654
	Exercise 11-8. Jury Instruction Policy	658
6.	Jury Verdicts	659
7.	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	660
8.	Motions During and After Trial	662
	Form for a Judgment in a Case Tried to a Jury	662
	a. Judgment as a Matter of Law	663
	Exercise 11-9. Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.	663
	Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.	664
	JMOL Notes and Questions	670
	Exercise 11-10. Lavender v. Kurn	672
	b. New Trial	674
	Washington Civil Rule 59(a) Grounds for a New Trial	675
	Exercise 11-11. Dadurian v. Underwriters at	
	Lloyd's of London	675
	Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London	676
	New Trial Notes and Questions	681
	McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood	685
	c. Relief from Judgment	687
	(1) Mistake, Inadvertence, Surprise, or Excusable Neglect	687
	(2) Newly Discovered Evidence	688
	(3) Fraud, Misrepresentation, or Misconduct by	
	an Opposing Party	689
	(4) Judgment Is Void	690
	(5) Judgment Is Based on an Earlier Judgment That Has	
	Been Reversed, or Applying Judgment Prospectively Is No Longer Equitable	690
	(6) Any Other Reason That Justifies Relief	691
0		
٦.	Professional Development Reflection	693

Chapter 12 Appeal	697
1. Chapter Problem	697
2. Introduction and Overview	698
3. The Final Judgment Rule and Its Exceptions	699
Exercise 12-1. Final Judgment Rule Policy	700
Exercise 12-2. Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter	700
Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter	700
Notes on Collateral Order Doctrine and the Final Judgment Rule	705
Exceptions to the Final Judgment Rule	707
a. 28 U.S.C. §1292(a)(1)—Injunctions	707
b. 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)—Certified Interlocutory Orders	707
In re City of Memphis	708
c. Rule 23(f)—Class Action Certification	709
Exercise 12-3. Compare and Contrast Rule 23(f) and 28 U.S.C. §1292(b)	710
Note on Class Action Certification and the Final Judgment Rule	710
d. Rule 54(b)—Multiple-Claim, Multiple-Party Cases	711
Exercise 12-4. Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co.	711
Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co.	711
e. 28 U.S.C. § 1651—Writs of Mandamus and Prohibition	715
Exercise 12-5. Kerr v. United States District Court	715
Kerr v. United States District Court	716
Exercise 12-6. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel	717
Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel	718
Note on Appellate Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court	720
Exercise 12-7. Appellate Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court	721
4. Standards of Review and Harmless Error	721
Exercise 12-8. Pierce v. Underwood	722
Pierce v. Underwood	722
Note—Abuse of Discretion Applied to Rule 11 Sanctions Decisions	725
Exercise 12-9. Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C.	727
Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C.	727
Note on the <i>de novo</i> Standard of Review	733
Exercise 12-10. Harmless Error	734
Cochenour v. Cameron Savings & Loan, F.A.	734
5. Professional Development Reflection	736
Exercise 12-11. Frivolous Appeals	736

Chapter 13 Preclusion	737
1. Chapter Problem	737
2. Introduction	738
3. Claim Preclusion	740
a. Valid Judgment	742
b. Final Judgment	742
c. On the Merits	743
d. Same Claim	743
PRACTICE POINTER	744
Exercise 13-1. Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights	745
Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights	745
Note on Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt	748
Exercise 13-2. Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights Revisited	749
e. Same Parties	750
Note on "Virtual Representation"	751
Note on Potential Exceptions to Claim Preclusion	753
Exercise 13-3. Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie	753
Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie	753
Exercise 13-4. Applying the Basic Concepts of Claim Preclusion	757
4. Issue Preclusion	758
a. A Valid Final Judgment	760
b. Same Issue Was Actually Litigated	761
PRACTICE POINTER	762
Exercise 13-5. O'Neal v. Remington Arms	762
O'Neal v. Remington Arms Company, LLC	762
Exercise 13-6. Was the Same Issue Actually Litigated?	766
c. Necessary to the Court's Judgment	766
Exercise 13-7. National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Eliadis, Inc.	766
National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Elliadis, Inc.	767
d. Same Parties	772
Exercise 13-8. Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore	774
Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore	774
Note on Brainerd Currie, Mutuality of Collateral Estoppel:	
Limits of the Bernhard Doctrine	780
Exercise 13-9. Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore and Applying	
the Elements of Issue Preclusion	781
Exercise 13-10. Solving the Chapter Problem	783
5. Professional Development Reflection	783

Chapter 14 Alternatives to Litigation	785
1. Chapter Problem	785
2. Introduction	786
3. Settlement and Offers of Judgment	788
UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Shelter Capital Partners LLC	788
Exercise 14-1. Rule 68 Offers and Safeguards	792
4. Mediation and Other Non-Adjudicatory Procedures	792
Exercise 14-2. Reading Rule 16 for ADR Provisions	794
Exercise 14-3. Local Rules Relating to Mediation and Settlement	796
Disputes over Mediation	796
Turner v. Young	797
Exercise 14-4. Turner v. Young	800
5. Arbitration	801
Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson	803
Exercise 14-5. The "Substantive Federal Law of Arbitration"	808
AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion	809
Exercise 14-6. Negotiating an Arbitration Clause	817
Oxford Health Plans L.L.C. v. Sutter	818
Exercise 14-7. Reviewing the Agreement and Defensive Drafting	823
Exercise 14-8. Unintended Consequences	823
Exercise 14-9. Contracting for Arbitration's Effect	824
6. Professionalism and Alternative Dispute Resolution	824
Exercise 14-10. Mediation and Professionalism	824
Exercise 14-11. Ethical Offers of Judgment	825
Chapter 15 Integration and Review	827
1. Client Interview—Plaintiffs	827
Exercise 15-1. Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	831
Exercise 15-2. Diversity Jurisdiction	831
2. Legal Research—Substantive Law	831
Exercise 15-3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	833
Exercise 15-4. Personal Jurisdiction—Basis	833
Exercise 15-5. Venue	834
Exercise 15-6. Personal Jurisdiction—Service	834
3. Complaint	834
4. Responses to Complaint	835

xxiv CONTENTS

	Exercise 15-7. Default	835
	Exercise 15-8. Motion to Dismiss	836
	Exercise 15-9. Waiver of Defenses	836
	Exercise 15-10. Voluntary Dismissal	836
5.	Amended Complaint	836
	Exercise 15-11. Amended Pleadings	836
6.	Fran Bell's Notes of Initial Interviews with Defendants	839
	Exercise 15-12. Rule 11	839
	Exercise 15-13. Joinder of Claims and Parties	839
7.	Answer and Reply	841
	Exercise 15-14. Joinder by Defendant	842
8.	Right to a Jury	844
	Exercise 15-15. Right to a Jury	844
9.	Discovery	845
	Exercise 15-16. Initial Disclosures	845
	Exercise 15-17. Requests for Production of Documents	846
	Exercise 15-18. Experts	849
10.	Motion for Summary Judgment	850
	Exercise 15-19. Motion for Summary Judgment	851
11.	Trial	851
12.	Jury Verdict	852
13.	Post-Judgment Motions and Appeal	853
	Exercise 15-20. Motions for a New Trial and for Judgment	
	as a Matter of Law	853
	Exercise 15-21. Appeal	853
	Exercise 15-22. What Law Governs?	854
14.	Preclusion	854
	Exercise 15-23. Preclusion	855
15.	Professionalism	855
	Exercise 15-24. Agreeing to Represent Clients; Settlement	855
Ind	ex	857

Table of Principle Cases

- Anderson v. City of Bessemer City, N.C., 727
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 591, 593, 601, 613, 669
- Arakaki v. Cayetano, 453
- Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 339, 340, 350–352, 354, 377, 389, 555, 567, 572, 606, 608
- AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion, **809**
- Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the Western District of Texas, 246, 247, 260, 315
- Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Health and Safety Review Commission, **633**, 638, 642
- Bates v. C & S Adjustors, Inc., 228, 233 Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover, 624,
- Beeck v. Aquaslide 'n' Dive Corp., **361**, 362, 364–365, 374

630, 642

- Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, **329**, 330, 338–339, 342–348, 350–352, 555, 567, 570, 583, 606
- BNSF Railway Co. v. Tyrrell, 88, 91
- Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court, 77–87
- Burger King Corporation v. Rudzewicz, 55, 57, 58, 59, 66–67, 76, 81, 85, 90, 101, 102, 105, 259
- Carroll v. Stryker Corp., 171, 173, 176 Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 589, 601, 613

- Chauffeurs Local 391 v. Terry, 391, 621, 622
- City of Los Angeles v. David, 148
- Cleveland Board of Education v. Loudermill, 147–148
- Cochenour v. Cameron Savings & Loan, F.A., 734, 735, 736
- Connecticut v. Doehr, 137, 146, 556
- Craig v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., 304, 305, 306, 309
- Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Electric Co., 711
- Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's of London, 675, **676**
- Daimler AG v. Bauman, 81–84, 88, 90–95, 107, 373
- Empire Healthchoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh, **189**
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Peabody Western Coal Company; Navajo Nation, 434
- Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins, 260, 261, 262, 267, 268–270, 272, 275, 277–281, 290, 294, 299, 300–304, 311, 313
- Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Allapattah Services, Inc., 172, 206, **207**, 289
- Federated Department Stores, Inc. v. Moitie, 753, 754
- Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct., 77, 79

- Garner v. City of Ozark, **606**, 607, 608 Giacchetto v. Patchogue-Medford Union Free School District, **486**, 491
- Ginwright v. Exeter Finance Corp., 404, 410
- Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v. Darue Engineering & Manufacturing, 184, 185, 190–191, 194, 195–199, 833
- Greene v. Lindsey, 116, 122, 123
- Gunn v. Minton, 184, 193, 198
- Hanna v. Plumer, 270, 272, **273**, 281, 282, 283, 286, 287, 290, 294, 296, 298, 301, 304
- Harshey v. Advanced Bionics Corp., 171, 176
- Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 162, 163, 164, 166, 168
- Hickman v. Taylor, 17, 500, **501**, 508, 515, 516, 537
- In re City of Memphis, 708
- Infiniti Group International, Inc. v. Elk Lighting, Inc., d/b/a Sterling Industries, Inc., 356, 357, 360
- Insurance Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee, 544, 545, 576
- International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 39, 40, 43, 45–52, 54, 58–62, 67, 71, 75, 77, 78, 80, 81, 85, 88–90, 92, 94, 100, 118, 547
- J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro, 69, 101
- J.E.B. v. Alabama, 644
- Jenkins Brick Co. v. Bremer, 228, 230, 233
- John S. Clark Co., Inc. v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of Ill., 414, 479
- Jones v. Flowers, 122, 123
- Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co., 400
- Kerr v. United States District Court, 495, 715, 716

- King v. Pepsi Cola Metropolitan Bottling Co., 411, 413, 414, 423
- Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co., 260, 279, 311, 312, 314, 315, 316
- Kolker v. Hurwitz, 128, 131, 132
- Krupski v. Costa Crociere S. p. A., **365**, 374, 375, 376
- Lavender v. Kurn, 672
- Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wetzel, 717, 718
- Lisboa v. City of Cleveland Heights, 745, 749
- Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley, 179, 181, 450
- Mackey v. Montrym, 147
- Marrero-Gutierrez v. Molina, 578
- Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, **493**, 499, 739, 746
- Mas v. Perry, 158, 159, 162, 163, 171
- McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood, 685
- Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Price, 448
- Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Carpenter, 700
- Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 111, 117–120, 122, 123, 137
- National Development Corp. v. Triad Holding Corp., 132
- National Satellite Sports, Inc. v. Elliadis, Inc., 766, 767, 769
- O'Neal v. Remington Arms Co., LLC, 761, 762, 766, 772
- Oxford Health Plans L.L.C. v. Sutter, 818
- Parklane Hosiery Co., Inc. v. Shore, 774, 780, 781
- Pennoyer v. Neff, 28, 29, 35, 36, 37, 41, 42, 46, 48, 52, 54, 118, 565
- Pierce v. Underwood, 722, 726, 727

- Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno, 136, 236, 243, 253, 318, 697
- Plixer International, Inc. v. Scrutinizer GMBH, 97, **98**
- Reasor-Hill Corp. v. Harrison, 221, **222**, 226, 227
- Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc., 663, 664, 671, 672
- Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson, **803**, 811
- Ridder v. City of Springfield, 378, 388, 389
- Santana Products, Inc., Plaintiff, v.
 Bobrick Washroom Equipment, Inc.,
 Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs, v. Formica Corp., Third-Party
 Defendant, 424
- Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 535, 541, 715
- Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates v. Allstate Ins. Co., 282, 287, 288, 303, 304, 311
- Shaffer v. Heitner, 46, 47, 54, 55, 59, 77, 116, 118
- Stephenson v. El-Batrawi, 556, 564

- Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC, **609**, 610
- Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A., 322, 323, 324, 329, 337, 338, 339, 484
- Swift v. Tyson & Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins (see Erie R. Co. v. Tyson)
- Tull v. United States, 624, 626, 629, 638, 642
- Turner v. Young, 797, 800
- UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Shelter Capital Partners LLC, 788
- Upjohn Co. v. United States, 510, 511, 516
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 463, 477
- Walden v. Fiore, 77, 79, 81, 85–87, 101, 103
- Wee Care Child Center, Inc. v. Lumpkin, **568**, 569, 574
- Whole Women's Health v. Hellerstedt, 748
- Worthington v. Wilson, 376
- Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC, **525**, 532

Series Editor's Preface

Welcome to a new type of casebook. Designed by leading experts in law school teaching and learning, Context and Practice casebooks assist law professors and their students to work together to learn, minimize stress, and prepare for the rigors and joys of practicing law. Student learning and preparation for law practice are the guiding ethics of these books.

Why would we depart from the tried and true? Why have we abandoned the legal education model by which we were trained? Because legal education can and must improve.

In Spring 2007, the Carnegie Foundation published *Educating Lawyers: Preparation for the Practice of Law* and the Clinical Legal Education Association published *Best Practices for Legal Education*. Both works reflect in-depth efforts to assess the effectiveness of modern legal education, and both conclude that legal education, as presently practiced, falls quite short of what it can and should be. Both works criticize law professors' rigid adherence to a single teaching technique, the inadequacies of law school assessment mechanisms, and the dearth of law school instruction aimed at teaching law practice skills and inculcating professional values. Finally, the authors of both books express concern that legal education may be harming law students. Recent studies show that law students, in comparison to all other graduate students, have the highest levels of depression, anxiety and substance abuse.

More recently, the NextGen Bar Exam reflects a genuine effort to dramatically increase the focus on assessing more than mere knowledge, and on assessing law practice skills. "Set to debut in July 2026, the NextGen bar exam will test a broad range of foundational lawyering skills, utilizing a focused set of clearly identified fundamental legal concepts and principles needed in today's practice of law." *About the NextGen Bar Exam*, NextGen: The Bar Exam of the Future, https://nextgenbarexam.ncbex.org.

The problems with traditional law school instruction begin with the textbooks law teachers use. Law professors cannot implement *Educating Lawyers* and *Best Practices* or prepare students for the NextGen Bar Exam using texts designed for the traditional model of legal education. Moreover, even though our understanding of how people learn has grown exponentially in the past 100 years, no law school text to date even purports to have been designed with educational research in mind.

The Context and Practice Series is an effort to offer a genuine alternative. Grounded in learning theory and instructional design, authored by teaching and learning experts who believe in practice-focused legal education, and written with Educating Lawyers and Best Practices (and now the NextGen Bar Exam) in mind, Context and Practice casebooks make it easy for law professors to modernize their law school classrooms.

I welcome reactions, criticisms, and suggestions; my e-mail address is mschwartz@ pacific.edu. Knowing the authors of these books, I know they, too, would appreciate your input; we share a common commitment to student learning. In fact, students, if your professors care enough about your learning to have adopted this book, I bet they would welcome your input, too!

MICHAEL HUNTER SCHWARTZ

Series Designer and Editor

Consultant, Institute for Law Teaching and Learning Dean and Professor of Law, University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law