

Questions & Answers

Evidence

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
QUESTIONS & ANSWERS SERIES

- Questions & Answers: Administrative Law,
Fourth Edition**
Linda D. Jellum, Karen A. Jordan
- Questions & Answers: Antitrust**
Shubha Ghosh
- Questions & Answers: Bankruptcy, Second Edition**
Mary Jo Wiggins
- Questions & Answers: Business Associations,
Third Edition**
Douglas M. Branson, Seth C. Oranburg,
John Towers Rice
- Questions & Answers: Civil Procedure,
Fifth Edition**
William V. Dorsaneo, III, Elizabeth G. Thornburg,
Brooke D. Coleman
- Questions & Answers: Constitutional Law,
Fourth Edition**
Paul E. McGreal, Charles W. Rhodes
- Questions & Answers: Contracts,
Third Edition**
Scott J. Burnham
- Questions & Answers: Copyright Law,
Second Edition**
Dave Fagundes, Robert C. Lind
- Questions & Answers: Criminal Law, Fifth Edition**
Paul Marcus
- Questions & Answers: Criminal Procedure—
Police Investigation, Fourth Edition**
Wayne A. Logan
- Questions & Answers: Criminal Procedure—
Prosecution and Adjudication, Fourth Edition**
Wayne A. Logan
- Questions & Answers: Employment Discrimination**
Marcia L. McCormick
- Questions & Answers: Environmental Law**
Dru Stevenson
- Questions & Answers: Evidence, Fifth Edition**
Paul C. Giannelli
- Questions & Answers: Family Law, Fourth Edition**
Mark Strasser
- Questions & Answers: Federal Estate & Gift
Taxation, Third Edition**
James M. Delaney, Elaine Hightower Gagliardi
- Questions & Answers: Federal Income Tax,
Second Edition**
James M. Delaney
- Questions & Answers: The First Amendment,
Fourth Edition**
Russell L. Weaver, William D. Araiza
- Questions & Answers: Intellectual Property,
Third Edition**
Gary Myers, Lee Ann Wheelis Lockridge
- Questions & Answers: International Law**
Rebecca Bratspies
- Questions & Answers: Patent Law**
Cynthia M. Ho
- Questions & Answers: Payment Systems,
Second Edition**
Timothy R. Zinnecker
- Questions & Answers: Professional Responsibility,
Fifth Edition**
Patrick Emery Longan
- Questions & Answers: Property,
Third Edition**
John Copeland Nagle, Donald J. Kochan
- Questions & Answers: Remedies,
Second Edition**
Rachel M. Janutis, Thomas Orin Main
- Questions & Answers: Secured Transactions,
Fourth Edition**
Bruce A. Markell, Timothy R. Zinnecker
- Questions & Answers: Torts,
Fifth Edition**
Anita Bernstein
- Questions & Answers: Trademark and
Unfair Competition**
Vince F. Chiappetta
- Questions & Answers: Wills, Trusts, and Estates,
Fourth Edition**
Thomas M. Featherston, Jr., Victoria J. Haneman

Questions & Answers
Evidence

*Multiple-Choice and Short-Answer
Questions and Answers*

Fifth Edition

Paul C. Giannelli

DISTINGUISHED UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR EMERITUS
WEATHERHEAD PROFESSOR OF LAW EMERITUS
CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2024
Paul C. Giannelli
All Rights Reserve

ISBN 978-1-5310-2745-2
eISBN 978-1-5310-2746-9
LCCN forthcoming

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
(919) 489-7486
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To David Leonard—A great teacher, scholar, and person.

Contents

Preface	ix
About the Author	xi
Questions	1
Topic 1: Preserving Error; Appellate Review	3
Topic 2: Objections to the Form of the Question	7
Topic 3: Witness Competency	11
Topic 4: Logical Relevance; Exclusion for Reasons of Prejudice or Other Dangers	15
Topic 5: Preliminary Questions of Admissibility	21
Topic 6: Classification of Evidence as Hearsay or Not Hearsay	25
Topic 7: Exceptions to (and Exemptions from) the Hearsay Rule	41
Topic 8: Evidence of Character, “Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Acts,” Habit, and Similar Events	69
Topic 9: Evidence of Subsequent Remedial Measures, Compromise, Humanitarian Assistance, Criminal Pleas, and Liability Insurance	81
Topic 10: Impeachment and Cross-Examination of Witnesses	89
Topic 11: Authentication	103
Topic 12: The Best Evidence Rule	107
Topic 13: Judicial Notice	111
Topic 14: Burdens of Proof and Presumptions	115
Topic 15: Evidentiary Privileges	119
Topic 16: Lay and Expert Opinion; Scientific Evidence	125
Practice Final Exam	131
Part I: Multiple Choice Questions	131
Part II: Short Answer Questions	156
Answers	165
Topic 1: Preserving Error; Appellate Review	167
Topic 2: Objections to the Form of the Question	171
Topic 3: Witness Competency	175

Topic 4: Logical Relevance; Exclusion for Reasons of Prejudice or Other Dangers	179
Topic 5: Preliminary Questions of Admissibility	187
Topic 6: Classification of Evidence as Hearsay or Not Hearsay	191
Topic 7: Exceptions to (and Exemptions from) the Hearsay Rule	207
Topic 8: Evidence of Character, “Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Acts,” Habit, and Similar Events	237
Topic 9: Evidence of Subsequent Remedial Measures, Compromise, Humanitarian Assistance, Criminal Pleas, and Liability Insurance	249
Topic 10: Impeachment and Cross-Examination of Witnesses	257
Topic 11: Authentication	271
Topic 12: The Best Evidence Rule	275
Topic 13: Judicial Notice	281
Topic 14: Burdens of Proof and Presumptions	285
Topic 15: Evidentiary Privileges	291
Topic 16: Lay and Expert Opinion; Scientific Evidence	297
Practice Final Exam Answers	303
Part I: Multiple Choice Questions	303
Part II: Short Answer Questions	327
Index	337

Preface

The law of evidence is complex, but if you work hard at it, you can develop an understanding of the individual rules and of the way the rules interact to form a coherent system. The key to learning evidence law is to ask several questions about each problem:

- (1) What is the questioned evidence? (This is a very important step; isolating the exact evidence at issue often provides clues to the rules that must be satisfied for the evidence to be admissible.)
- (2) What is the evidence offered to prove?
- (3) Is the evidence relevant when offered for that purpose?
- (4) If the evidence is relevant, are there any other rules of evidence that might require its exclusion?

These simple steps will always get you off to a good start. You can also develop a series of more specific questions for each area of evidence law. For example, if you suspect that the problem might deal with witness impeachment, here are the questions you should ask after you have answered those listed above:

- (1) Is the evidence offered to impeach or support the credibility of a witness?
- (2) If the evidence is offered to impeach or support a witness's credibility, what method of impeachment is involved? (Among many possibilities: Is this impeachment by contradiction? Is it impeachment by showing a bad character for truthfulness? Is it impeachment by showing that the witness did not have a good opportunity to observe the event about which she has testified, or by showing that her capacity to observe, remember, or narrate is impaired?)
- (3) Are there any specific rules that govern the use of the evidence for that method of impeachment? If so, have those rules been followed? To determine whether the rules have been followed, ask:
 - (a) Is the evidence admissible only after the opponent has offered evidence to the contrary?
 - (b) Are there limits on the form the evidence may take?
- (4) If there are no rules that specifically govern this method of impeachment, would admission of the evidence violate any other rules, such as FRE 403?
- (5) Do any foundational requirements apply, such as giving the witness an opportunity to explain or deny the statement or showing the evidence to the witness, apply? If so, have those rules been followed?

If you work methodically through each problem, and if you *read the rules* with care, you will succeed.

Though the explanations in this book contain a good deal of information you will find useful, the purpose of this book is not to teach you the rules of evidence. The book's main purposes are to test what you have learned from reading your primary course materials and from the classroom, to broaden your understanding of that material, and to help you to see where you might need to devote additional study time. For a comprehensive discussion of the subject, see Giannelli & Epstein, *Understanding Evidence* (6th ed. 2023).

In this book, you will find questions and answers in sixteen main subject areas that correspond to the basic topics you are likely to cover in an evidence course. There is also a practice final exam that tests your knowledge of rules from most of these subject areas. The practice final also contains questions that require you to apply two or more different rules. Though the book is organized in roughly the same way as some of the popular texts, it will work for you even if your class covers the material in a different order.

The problems in this book are based almost entirely on the Federal Rules of Evidence. The Federal Rules have been extremely influential in the development of state evidence codes, and where there are differences between federal practice and the law of particular states, those differences are usually quite easy to learn once you have mastered the Federal Rules. Because the Multistate Bar Examination tests federal evidence law, you need to learn the Federal Rules in any event. Throughout the book, "Federal Rules of Evidence" is abbreviated "FRE."

One final thought: Take the time to answer every question as carefully as possible. If the question calls for a short answer, write out the entire answer. It is easy to look at a problem, think briefly about how you would answer the question, and then read the answer printed in the book. Try to avoid this shortcut. It is a much better test of your understanding, and much more beneficial to the learning process, for you to write out an answer to each short answer question before you look at the response printed in the book.

About the Author

Paul C. Giannelli is a Distinguished University Professor Emeritus at Case Western Reserve University. He received his J.D. degree from the University of Virginia, where he served as Articles Editor of the *Virginia Law Review*. His other degrees include an LL.M. from the University of Virginia and an M.S. in Forensic Science from George Washington University. He has authored or co-authored twelve books, including *Scientific Evidence* (6th ed. 2020), and has written over 200 articles, book chapters, reports, book reviews, and columns, including articles in the *Columbia*, *Virginia*, *Cornell*, and *Vanderbilt* law reviews. Other articles have been published in specialty journals at Northwestern, Georgetown, Texas, and N.Y.U. He is also co-author of a chapter on forensic science in *Federal Judicial Center/National Academy of Sciences, Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence* (3d ed. 2011). Professor Giannelli's work has been cited in numerous judicial opinions in this country, including eight decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court. In addition, he has testified before the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee and served as: Commissioner, National Commission on Forensic Science; Reporter for the ABA Standards on DNA Evidence; co-chair of the ABA Ad Hoc Committee on Innocence; and member, National Academy of Sciences, Bullet Lead Elemental Composition Comparison Committee. He taught evidence for over forty years.

The late David Leonard of Loyola Law School, Los Angeles, was the author of the first two editions of this book. Leonard was the author of numerous law review articles as well as two volumes of *The New Wigmore: A Treatise on Evidence*. Along with Roger C. Park and Steven H. Goldberg, he was co-author of the hornbook *Evidence Law: A Student's Guide to the Law of Evidence as Applied in American Trials*.

Suggestions and comments are welcome: pcg@case.edu.

