# Elder Law

# Elder Law

#### Cases, Materials, and Problems

SEVENTH EDITION

Lawrence A. Frolik

Professor of Law Emeritus University of Pittsburgh School of Law



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2024 Lawrence A. Frolik All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-2861-9 eISBN 978-1-5310-2862-6 LCCN 2023951923

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent Street Durham, NC 27701 (919) 489-7486 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To Winnefred and Cornelius — L.A.F.

## Contents

Table of Cases	xxi
Preface	xxv
Chapter 1 $\cdot$ An Aging Population: The Challenge to the Law	3
A. Introduction	3
B. Who Is Elderly?	4
Problem # 1	6
C. Growth in the Population Over Age Eighty-Five	6
D. Aging Patterns by Gender	7
Problem # 2	7
E. Aging Patterns by Race	8
F. LGBTQ+ Elderly	8
G. The Dependency of the Old on the Young	9
H. The Physical Effects of Aging	9
Problem # 3	11
I. The Challenge to the Law: The Unique Circumstances of the Elderly	11
1. Mortality: The Need for Haste	11
2. Complications Resulting from Declining Physical	
and Mental Capacity	11
a. Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders	12
b. Chronic Illness	13
Problem # 4	13
c. Economic Vulnerability	14
3. The Old Often Depend on the Young	15
a. Formal Assistance	15
b. Family and Social Networks	16
c. Family Support Statutes	17
Problem # 5	18
J. Conflicting Values: Autonomy Versus Protection	18
Problem # 6	21
K. Generational Justice	22
L. Age Discrimination	23
1. Financial Justifications for Positive Age Discrimination	25
2. Health Justifications for Positive Age Discrimination	25
M. The Elderly and Lawyers	26

Chapter 2 · Age Discrimination in Employment	27
A. Legislative History of the Act	27
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Wyoming	27
B. The Failure of a Constitutional Solution	30
Note: "Reverse" Age Discrimination in Employment	31
C. Who Is Protected?	31
1. The Twenty-Employee Requirement	31
2. Partners	32
Problem # 1	32
Kaenel v. Armstrong Teasdale, LLP	32
Caruso v. Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co.	34
3. Government Employees	36
4. Foreign Employers and United States Employees out of Country	36
5. Unions and Employment Agencies	36
Note: Aging, Disability, and Discrimination	37
D. Exceptions	37
1. Fire Fighters and Law Enforcement Officers	37
2. Executives and Policymakers	38
E. Proving the Case of Discrimination in Hiring	40
Cramer v. NEC Corporation of America	41
F. Proving Termination on Account of Age Discrimination	43
1. Direct Evidence of Discrimination	43
Glanzman v. Metropolitan Management Corporation	44
2. Prima Facie Case	48
3. Pretextual Reasons for Termination	49
Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc.	49
Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc.	54
Owens v. Circassia Pharmaceuticals, Incorporated	56
4. Summary Judgment	56
Howell v. Raymours Furniture Co., Inc.	57
Collins v. Compass Group, Inc.	59
Problem # 2	61
5. Relevance of Age of Replacement Employee	61
6. Retaliation as a Violation of the ADEA	62
Trainor v. HEI Hospitality LLC	62
G. Reduction in Force	66
1. Proving the Claim of Age Discrimination	66
McMichael v. Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling	67
2. Termination of Higher Paid Employees	69
Hazen Paper Co. v. Biggins	69
H. Disparate Impact	71
Problem # 3	72
I. Reasonable Factor Other Than Age (RFOA) Defense	73
Hilde v. City of Eveleth	73

Meacham v. Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory	74
Problem # 4	75
J. The Bona Fide Occupational Qualification (BFOQ) Exception	75
Western Air Lines v. Criswell	76
K. Employee Benefit Plan Defense	77
L. Early Voluntary Retirement Plans	77
Jankovitz v. Des Moines Independent Community School District	78
M. Waiver and Release	80
Problem # 5	81
N. Enforcement Procedures	82
O. Remedies	82
P. State Law Remedies	83
Chapter 3 · Income Maintenance	85
A. Social Security: Past and Present	85
1. History	86
Larry DeWitt, The Development of Social Security in America	86
Martha A. McSteen, Fifty Years of Social Security	87
David Schwartz & Herman Grundman, Social Security Programs	
in the United States	88
2. The Current Need for Social Security	90
a. Economic Security in Retirement	90
b. Lack of Personal Savings	90
Problem # 1	91
3. Current Status	91
a. The Statute	91
b. Program Policy Sources	91
c. Overview of Benefits	92
d. Coverage	92
e. Financing	92
f. Eligibility	96
i. Earnings Record	96
ii. Quarters of Coverage	96
g. Insured Status	97
h. Benefit Recipients	97
i. Retired Workers	97
I. Retirement Ages	97
II. Earnings Test	98
ii. Spouses and Dependents of Retired Workers	99
iii. Divorced Spouses of Retired Workers	101
Problem # 2	102
iv. Dependents of Disabled or Deceased Workers	102
i. Benefit Computation	103
j. Application for Social Security Benefits	103

k. Representative Payees	104
Statement of LaTina Burse Greene, Assistant Deputy	
Commissioner for Retirement and Disability Policy, Before	
the House Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee	
on Social Security	105
l. Overpayments	107
m. Taxation of Benefits	107
4. Administrative and Judicial Review Process	108
a. Initial Determination	108
b. Reconsideration	108
c. Right to a Hearing	109
d. Appeals Council Review	110
e. Judicial Review in Federal District Court	110
f. Nonacquiescence Policy	111
5. Representation and Attorney's Fees	111
6. Social Security Disability Benefits	112
David Schwartz & Herman Grundmann, Social Security	
Programs in the United States	113
Garcia v. Colvin	114
B. Evaluating Social Security	116
Problem # 3	118
C. Gender and Race Issues	119
Problem # 4	120
D. When to Claim Social Security Benefits	120
Problems # 5, 6 & 7	120
E. Other Public Pension Programs	121
1. Railroad Retirement	121
2. Public Employee Programs	121
a. Federal Civilian Employee Retirement	121
b. State and Local Public Employee Pension Plans	122
c. Military Retirement	122
3. Veterans' Benefits	122
Wilmer L. Kerns, Social Security Programs in the United States	123
F. Supplemental Security Income	124
G. Employer-Provided Retirement Plans	126
1. Qualified and Nonqualified Plans	126
2. Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans	127
H. ERISA Requirements	129
1. Vesting	130
2. Retirement Age	130
3. Spousal Benefits	131
4. Treatment of Distributions	131

Chapter 4 · Medicare	135
A. A Brief History of U.S. Health Care Policy	136
B. Medicare	136
1. Finance	137
2. Eligibility	138
3. Medicare Benefits	139
a. Traditional Medicare Benefits: Parts A and B	140
b. Level of Care	142
c. Spell of Illness	143
Problem # 1	143
Note: Medicare Observation Status	144
d. Medically Necessary Care and Exceptions	145
Wood v. Thompson	145
4. Medicare C: Medicare Advantage Plans	148
Note on Managed Care	148
Problem # 2	149
5. Home Health Care	149
6. Hospice Care	150
7. Mental Health Benefits	151
8. Medicare Part D: Prescription Drugs	152
a. Coverage, Premiums, and Enrollment	152
b. Formularies	153
c. Costs	154
9. Payments and Cost Containment for Physicians and Hospitals	154
a. The Hospital Prospective Payment System:	
Diagnostic-Related Groups	154
b. Physician Reduced-Fee Payment: The Resource-Based	
Relative Value Scale	156
C. Medigap Supplemental Insurance	156
D. Administration and Appeals	158
E. Dual Eligibility: Medicare and Medicaid Interaction	161
F. Medicare as Secondary Payer	161
Zinman v. Shalala	162
Note on Medicare and Medicaid Fraud	166
Note on Veterans Health Benefits	168
G. Health Care Quality Assurance	168
1. Individual Credentials	169
2. Institutional Credentials	170
Note on Manuals, Transmittals, and Letters	172
H. Employer Provided Retiree Health Benefits	172

Chapter 5 · Paying for Long-Term Care	175
A. The Development of Long-Term Care	175
B. The Cost of Long-Term Care	176
1. Long-Term Care Insurance	176
a. Availability and Cost	176
b. Benefits	177
c. The Pros and Cons of Long-Term Care Insurance	178
d. Tax Treatment of Long-Term Care Insurance	180
Problem # 1	181
e. Government Initiatives to Cover Long-Term Care	181
i. Public Private Partnerships	181
ii. The Failure of Voluntary National Insurance	182
iii. Whole Life Insurance to Lifetime Income:	
Whole Life Riders and Viatical Settlements	183
C. Medicaid	184
1. Federal and State Administration and Finance	184
2. Benefits	184
3. Categorically Needy Eligibility	185
a. Income Eligibility	185
b. Resource Eligibility Requirements	186
4. Medically Needy Eligibility	186
a. Income Eligibility	186
i. Income Spend-Down	186
ii. Income Cap	187
iii. Countable and Available Income	187
Mulder v. South Dakota Department of Social Services	188
b. Resource Eligibility	191
Brewer v. Shalansky and Hellebuyck	192
i. Exempt Resources	196
ii. Resources: Valuation and Spend Down	196
5. Spousal Protection	196
a. Income	197
b. Resources	198
6. Transfers (Gifts) of Assets	199
Weiss v. Suffolk County Dept. of Social Services	201
Matter of Tarrytown Hall Care Center v. McGuire	202
Geston v. Olson	203
Lemmons v. Lake, Director of Oklahoma Department	
of Human Services	207
Baker v. Brown	209
Problem # 2	210
7. Medicaid Planning	210
Problems # 3, 4 & 5	211
8. Estate Recovery of Medicaid Expenditures	212

9. Medicaid Appeals	213
10. The Provision of Long-term Care Outside of the United States	213
Chapter 6 · Nursing Homes	215
A. Quality Assurance in Nursing Home Care	216
1. Nursing Home Services	217
2. The Noncompliant Nursing Home	217
3. Nursing Home Culture Change	218
4. The Minimum Data Set and Government Payment	218
B. Licensing for Facilities and Staff	219
1. Nursing Facility Administrator Licensure	219
Whittington v. Office of Professional Regulation	220
2. Nursing Homes Licensure	222
C. Nursing Home Quality Assurance Act of OBRA '87	223
1. Nursing Facility Staffing	224
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Staffing Study	
to Inform Minimum Staffing Requirements	
for Nursing Homes	225
2. Nurse Aides Training	228
3. Nursing Home Residents Bill of Rights	229
Grammer v. John J. Kane Regional Centers — Glen Hazel	231
Kalan v. Health Center Commission of	
Orange County, Virginia	235
Problem # 1	238
4. Intermediate Sanctions	238
Cedar Lake Nursing Home v. U.S. Dept. of Health	
and Human Services	239
Problem # 2	241
Note on the Use of Physical and Chemical Restraints	241
D. Discrimination Against Medicaid Residents, Bedhold Policies,	
and Evictions	242
1. The Long-Term Care Ombudsman	243
2. Contracts for Nursing Home Care	244
3. Arbitration Clauses	244
Northport Health Services of Arkansas, LLC v. United States	
Department of Health and Human Services	246
Dougherty v. Roseville Heritage	250
Problem # 3	253
4. Third-Party Guarantees	253
5. Solicitation of Contributions	255
6. Unfair Trade Practices	256
E. Nursing Home Quality of Care Litigation	256
1. Common Negligence	257
Padgett v. Baxley & Appling County Hospital Authority	257

2. Medical Malpractice and Nursing Home Negligence Distinguished	259
McLeod v. Plymouth Court Nursing Home	259
3. Negligence Per Se	260
Problem # 4	260
Chapter 7 · Housing	263
A. Choices in Housing: The Continuum of Care	264
Problem # 1	264
B. Aging in Place: Services and Resources at Home	264
1. Elder Care Agreements	265
2. Employment of Home Care Workers and Companions	266
3. Geriatric Care Managers	267
Note: Law Firms That Provide Life Care Planning	267
4. Technological Assistance and Monitoring	268
Problem # 2	268
C. Shared Housing	269
Problem # 3	269
D. Zoning, Group Living, and Add-On Housing	270
1. A Brief Retrospective on Zoning Cases and Issues	270
2. Zoning and Mobile Homes	272
3. Accessory Dwelling Units for Single Family Homes	273
Rowatti v. Gonchar	274
E. Payment Sources for In-Home Services	276
1. Reverse Mortgages	276
2. Family Financing: Sale and Leaseback	277
3. Government Subsidies	278
a. State Property Tax Relief	279
b. Low Income Housing Energy Assistance	
Program (LIHEAP)	279
F. Communities for Older Persons	279
1. Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities	
& Planned Unit Developments	280
a. Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities (NORCs)	280
b. Single-Room Occupancy (SRO)	280
c. Planned Unit Developments PUDs.	281
G. Age Restricted Communities	281
Problem # 4	283
H. Supportive Housing	283
1. Assisted Living	284
a. Assisted Living Residents	285
b. How Assisted Living Is Financed	285
c. The Contract of Admission	286
d. Negotiated Risk	287

Storm v. NSL Rockland Place, LLC	288
Problem # 5	292
2. Board and Care Homes	292
I. Continuing Care Retirement Communities	294
Morris v. Deerfield Episcopal Retirement Community, Inc.	295
Problem # 6	299
Chapter 8 · Guardianship	301
A. The Need for Guardianship for the Elderly	301
B. The Development of Guardianship Law	302
In re Dandridge	304
C. Guardianship and Personal Autonomy	305
Note on the Vocabulary of Guardianship	305
D. Due Process in Guardianship Proceedings	306
1. Notice	307
Note – Due Process Requirements for Temporary	
or Emergency Guardianship	309
2. Presence and Participation at the Hearing	309
Problem # 1	310
3. Burden and Standard of Proof	310
In re the Guardianship of Samson	311
4. Representation and Advocacy	312
Problem # 2	313
Note on Guardianship Costs and Fees	313
5. The Uniform Adult Guardianship and Protective Proceedings	
Jurisdiction Act	314
E. The Determination of Incapacity	314
1. Statutory Requirements	315
2. Expert Testimony	317
In the Matter of the Guardianship of Waters	318
Losh v. McKinley	321
Problem # 3	324
3. Mentally Incapacitated or Merely Eccentric?	325
F. Mediation in Guardianship	327
G. Types of Guardianships	328
In re Guardianship and Conservatorship of Leitner	330
Problem # 4	333
H. The Least Restrictive Alternative and Limited Guardianship	333
In the Matter of the Guardianship Gerhardt and Susan	333
I. Representative Payee for Government Benefits	337
J. Guardianship Diversion and Voluntary Guardianship	338
CitiFinancial, Inc. v. Balch	338
Problem # 5	341

K. Who Should Serve as Guardian?	342
1. Priority of Appointment	342
In re Estate of Jenkins	344
2. Professional and Public Guardians	347
L. Scope of the Guardian's Authority	348
1. Substituted Judgment and Best Interest Standards	349
In re Medworth	351
Problem # 6	352
2. Supported Decision Making	352
3. Financial Duties and Property Management	353
4. Specific Powers Requiring Court Order	354
In re Guardianship of L.N.	355
M. Ongoing Oversight by the Courts	357
N. Termination of Guardianship	358
Chapter 9 · Property Management	361
A. Power of Attorney	361
1. Capacity to Create a Power of Attorney	363
Thames v. Daniels	363
2. Formalities of Execution	367
Problem # 1	368
3. Revocation	369
In re Guardianship of Hollenga	369
4. Determining Incapacity: "Springing" Powers	374
Problem # 2	375
5. Scope and Use of the Power	375
In re Estate of Kurrelmeyer	376
a. Gifts by the Agent	381
Stehlik v. Rakosnik	381
b. Safe Deposit Box Access	384
c. Other Issues	384
Problem # 3	385
B. Joint Ownership	385
Kitchen v. Guarisco	387
Forbis v. Neal	390
Problem # 4	394
C. Revocable Trusts	395
Problem # 5	399
Chapter 10 · Health Care Decision Making	401
A. The Doctrine of Informed Consent	401
Schloendorff v. Society of New York Hospital	401
B. The Right of a Competent Patient to Die	403
1. The Common-Law Right to Die	404
Superintendent of Belchertown State School v. Saikewicz	404

YV11	
77 V 11	

2. The Constitutional Basis for Refusing Treatment	405
C. Surrogate Consent for the Incapacitated Patient	406
Problem # 1	407
Payne v. Marion General Hospital	408
Sumner v. Riverview Medical Center	411
Kevin R. Wolff, Note, Determining Patient Competency	414
D. When the Patient Makes a "Wrong" Health Care Decison	416
In re Milton	416
Problem # 2	417
E. Advance Health Care Directives	418
1. Living Wills	418
Problem # 3	419
2. Health Care Substitute Decisionmakers	419
a. Health Care Powers of Attorney	420
In re Estate of R. Border	421
Problem # 4	424
b. Appointment of an Agent by Statute	424
F. Do Not Resuscitate Orders	426
G. Physician's Order for Life Sustaining Treatment (POLST) Forms	428
H. Assisted Suicide	429
1. No Constitutional Right to Assisted Death	429
Washington v. Glucksberg	430
2. State Reponses to Changing Medical Technology	434
The Oregon Death with Dignity Act	435
Request for Medication to End My Life in a Humane	
and Dignified Manner	439
3. Developments in Other States	441
4. The Right to Assistance in Dying Outside the United States	441
Chapter 11 · Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Crime	443
A. Introduction	443
B. Defining Abuse and Neglect	443
Elder Abuse: A Decade of Shame and Inaction	444
C. Who Are the Victims of Elder Abuse?	445
Problem # 1	446
D. Who Abuses Older People and Why?	446
1. Domestic Caregivers	447
Plank v. Mount	448
Williams v. Valleycare Medical Center	450
Problem # 2	456
2. Institutional Caregivers	457
Hong v. Department of Social and Health Services	457
E. Self-Neglect	460
Davis v. Cuyahoga County Adult Protective Services	461

Florida Department of Children and Family Services v. McKim	464
Problem # 3	466
F. Adult Protective Services Systems	467
1. Procedures	467
2. Consent to Protective Services	467
<i>In the Matter of the Mental Commitment of Helen E.F. v. Helen E.F.</i>	469
Problem # 4	471
Law v. State	472
3. Mandatory Reporting	477
Oregon v. Judd	477
4. Confidentiality of Abuse Report Records	480
G. Older Persons and the Criminal Justice System	480
1. The Elderly as Targets of Crime	480
2. "Vulnerable Victim" Sentencing Standards	482
United States v. Feldman	483
United States v. Kentz	485
United States v. Rumsavich	487
3. Elderly Criminals	491
Problem # 5	491
4. Reduced Sentences Due to Age and Physical Condition	492
United States v. Brooke	492
5. Elderly Prisoners	494
Chapter 12 · Elder Law Practice and Ethics	495
A. The Legal Needs of the Elderly	495
B. Elder Law Practice	496
1. Practice Structure and Management	497
2. The Elder Law Office	497
a. Location, Amenities, and Communications	498
b. Legal fees	499
Cincinnati Bar Association v. Mezher and Espohl	499
Problem # 1	502
3. Multidisciplinary Practice	502
4. Discrete Task Representation	503
Problem # 2	504
5. Unauthorized Practice of Law	504
6. Paralegal Employees	505
7. Non-Lawyer Professional Employees	506
8. Dual Licensure	506
C. Reduced Cost Legal Assistance	507
1. Government Subsidized Legal Assistance	508
D. Ethical Issues	510
1. Who Is the Client?	511
a. Joint and Common Representation	511
a joint and common representation	~

Problem # 3	514
b. Dual Representation of Spouses	514
c. Withdrawal from Representation	515
In re Guardianship of Lillian P.	516
d. Attorney Self-Interest	522
Dayton Bar Association v. Parisi	522
e. Mediation	525
Problem # 4	526
E. The Client of Questionable Mental Capacity	526
1. The Ethics Rules	527
2. Determining Client Capacity	528
Steve Fox, Is It Personal Autonomy or a Personality Disorder?	529
3. Confidentiality and the Incapacitated Client	531
Index	533

## Table of Cases

Alphin v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 44 American Exch. Bank, Collinsville, v. Cessna, 209 Andover Retirement Community v. Cole, 254 Ansley, In re Guardianship of, 313 Anthony v. National Bank of Commerce, 353 Baker v. Brown, 209 Barnes v. Southwest Forest Industries, Inc., 44 Baxter v. Montana, 441 Belle Terre v. Boraas, 270 Berquist v. Wash. Mut. Bank, 67 Blessing v. Freestone, 233, 236 Bohrer v. Hanes Corp., 48 Boler v. Security Health Care, 245 Borrows v. Becerra, 145 Bouvia v. Superior Court, 405 Boyer, In re, 326, 336 Brewer v. Shalansky and Hellebuyck, 192 Brooke, United States v., 492 Browning, In re Guardianship of, 406 Bryan v. Century National Bank, 340 Carlo v. Americana Healthcare Corp., 260Carpenter v. American Excelsior Co., 44Caruso v. Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co., 34 Casa Marie, Inc. v. Superior Court of Puerto Rico for Dist. of Arecibo, 271

Cedar Lake Nursing Home v. U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., 239 Cincinnati Bar Association v. Mezher and Espohl, 499 CitiFinancial, Inc. v. Balch, 338 City of (See Name of City) Cleburne, City of, v. Cleburne Living Center, 272 Collins v. Compass Group, Inc., 59 Colyer, In re Welfare of, 406 Compassion in Dying v. Washington, 430 Cramer v. NEC Corporation of America, 41 Crowne Investments, Inc. v. Reid, 260 Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dep't of Health, 406, 435 Dale v. Hahn, 305 Damon v. Fleming Supermarkets of Fla., Inc., 44 Dandridge, In re, 304 Davis v. Cuyahoga County Adult Protective Services, 461 Dayton Bar Association v. Parisi, 522 Denio v. Asplundh Tree Expert Company, 71 Dougherty v. Roseville Heritage, 250 Edmonds, City of, v. Oxford House, 272 EEOC v. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 82 EEOC v. Wyoming, 27, 36 Feldman, United States v., 483 Fiers v. La Crosse County, 238 Florida Bar v. Doherty, 506

Florida Dep't of Children and Family Servs. v. McKim, 464 Forbis v. Neal, 390 Francis Barg, In re Estate of, 212 Gagne v. Northwestern Nat'l Ins. Co., 44 Garcia v. Colvin, 114 Gazder v. Air India, 36 General Dynamics Land Systems, Inc. v. Cline, 31 Gerhardt and Susan, In the Matter of the Guardianship of, 333 Geston v. Olson, 203 Glanzman v. Metropolitan Management Corp., 44 Gomez-Perez v. Potter, Postmaster General, 62 Gonzaga University v. Doe, 237 Graefenhain v. Pabst Brewing Co., 66 Grammer v. John J. Kane Regional Centers — Glen Hazel, 231, 237 Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 71 Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc., 54 Hauser v. Fort Hudson Nursing Center, Inc., 460 Hazen Paper Co. v. Biggins, 51, 55, 69, 71 Health Care & Retirement Corp. of America v. Pittas, 18 Hedin. In the Matter of the Guardianship of, 303 Helen E.F., In the Matter of the Mental Commitment of, v. Helen E.F., 469 Hilde v. City of Eveleth, 73 Hollenga, In re Guardianship of, 369 Hong v. Department of Social and Health Services, 457 Horizon House Developmental Servs., Inc. v. Upper Southampton, 271 Howell v. Raymours Furniture Co., Inc., 57 Idaho Dep't of Health v. McCormick, 212 In re (See Name of Party or Matter)

In re Estate of (See Name of Decedent) In re Guardianship and Conservatorship of (See Name of Ward) In re Guardianship of (See Name of Ward) In re Welfare of (See Name of Party) In the Matter of the Guardianship of (See Name of Ward) In the Matter of the Mental Commitment of (See Name of Party) Jankovitz v. Des Moines Indep. Community School District, 78 Jenkins, In re Estate of, 344 Johnson v. Cook Inc., 75 Kaenel v. Armstrong Teasdale, LLP, 32 Kalan v. Health Center Commission of Orange County, Virginia, 235 Kentz, United States v., 485 Kimel v. Fla. Bd. of Regents, 36 Kitchen v. Guarisco, 387 Kurrelmeyer, In re Estate of, 376 L.N., In re Guardianship of, 355 La Montagne v. American Convenience Products, Inc., 44 Lane v. Candura, 326, 327 Law v. State, 472 Leitner, In re Guardianship and Conservatorship of, 330 Lemmons v. Lake, Dir. of Okla. Dep't of Human Servs., 207 Lillian P., In re Guardianship of, 516 Losh v. McKinley, 321 Marmet Health Care Center v. Brown, 245 Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia, 30 Matter of (See Name of Matter) Maynes-Turner, In re, 324 McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green, 48, 50, 58 McDonnell, In re, 324 McJunkin v. McJunkin, 323 McLeod v. Plymouth Court Nursing Home, 259

McMichael v. Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, 67 Meacham v. Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, 74 Medworth, In re, 351 Meridian Nursing Home and Rehabilitation Inc. v. Skwara, 255 Miller v. Cotter, 245 Miller v. Ibarra, 187 Milton, In re, 416 Mora v. Jackson Memorial Foundation, Inc., 44 Morris v. Deerfield Episcopal Retirement Community, Inc., 295 Moss v. BMC Software, Inc., 68 Muenich v. United States, 112 Mulder v. S.D. Dep't of Social Servs., 188 Northport Health Servs. of Ark., LLC v. U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., 246 O'Connor v. Consolidated Coin Caterers Corp., 62 Oregon v. Judd, 477 Oubre v. Entergy Operations, 81 Owens v. Circassia Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 56 Padgett v. Baxley & Appling County Hospital Authority, 257 Palasota v. Haggar Clothing Co., 68 Payne v. Marion General Hospital, 408, 413 Plank v. Mount, 448 Pruett v. State, 447 Public Employees Retirement Sys. v. Betts, 77 Quinlan, In re, 435 R. Border, In re Estate of, 421 Rachid v. Jack In The Box, Inc., 68 Ragsdale v. Kennedy, 392 Rasmussen v. Fleming, 406 Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc., 49, 50, 51, 52, 53 Riley, In re, 315

Rowatti v. Gonchar, 274 Rowe, United States v., 484 Rumsavich, United States v., 487 Russ v. Russ, 394 Sable v. Velez, 209 Salgo v. Leland Stanford Jr. University Board of Trustees, 402 Samson, In re the Guardianship of, 311 Sandstad v. CB Richard Ellis, Inc., 56 Satz v. Perlmutter, 405 Schloendorff v. Society of New York Hospital, 401 Shears v. Myers, 454 Smith v. City of Jackson, 72 Smith, In re Estate of, v. Heckler, 216 Smith, State v., 427 Sounhein v. City of San Dimas, 276 Sprague v. GMC, 173 St. Clara's Manor v. Mayo, 255 St. Mary's Honor Ctr. v. Hicks, 49 State v. (See Name of Defendant) Stehlik v. Rakosnik, 381 Storm v. NSL Rockland Place, LLC, 288 Sumner v. Riverview Medical Center, 411 Superintendent of Belchertown State School v. Saikewicz, 404 Tant v. Heckler, 112 Tarrytown Hall Care Center v. McGuire, 202 Tennessee Dep't of Medical Services v. Northern, 326 Thames v. Daniels, 363 Totten, In re, 395 Trainor v. HEI Hospitality LLC, 62 Union Pac. Ry. v. Botsford, 404 United States v. (See Name of Defendant) Van Voorhis v. Hillsborough County Bd. of County Comm'rs, 44 Washburn v. Beverly Enterprise-Georgia, Inc., 245 Washington v. Friedlund, 454

Washington v. Glucksberg, 430
Waters, In the Matter of the Guardianship of, 318
Weiss v. Suffolk County Dept. of Social Services, 201
Wellman, In re Estate of, 358
Wendeln v. Beatrice Manor, Inc., 479
Western Air Lines v. Criswell, 76
Whittington v. Office of Professional Regulation, 220

Williams v. Valleycare Medical Center, 450
Williamson v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., 44
Wisconsin Dep't of Health & Family Servs. v. Blumer, 206
Wood v. Jamison, 456
Wood v. Thompson, 145
Woods v. Com., 357
Zborowski, In re Guardianship of, 357
Zinman v. Shalala, 162

### Preface

ELDER LAW CASES, MATERIALS, AND PROBLEMS, Seventh Edition, integrates new developments in case law, statutory law and policy into the familiar framework of past editions. It also introduces a new feature, "Problems," that enables students to analyze and apply the law in realistic hypotheticals that mirror what elder law lawyers face in their practices. These problems do not yield a single answer. Rather they are open to several solutions, which reflect the multiple values and concerns that should be brought to bear when assisting a client.

I have reorganized the chapters by moving the materials on Elder Law Practice and Ethics, formerly Chapter 2, to the end of the book, now Chapter 12. This reflects my belief that the practice of elder law is best discussed after coverage of the substantive issues that together make up what is called elder law.

Chapter 1 discusses why an aging population has led to the development and recognition of elder law. The remaining chapters reflect the three major themes of elder law. The first theme is ensuring adequate income for the elderly. The need to protect the employment rights of older workers is provided by the Age Discrimination in Employment Act that is covered in Chapter 2. Income for retirees is the subject of Chapter 3 that covers Social Security and other public pension programs as well as employer provided retirement plans.

The second theme is health care. For older persons, paying for health care is a paramount concern. That is discussed in Chapter 4, Medicare, which pays for acute health care for the elderly. Payment for long-term care is the subject of Chapter 5, Paying for Long-Term Care, which examines Medicaid, the primary payor of the costs of longterm care. Nursing homes provide much of the long-term care for the elderly and are discussed in Chapter 6, Nursing Homes. Most elderly reside in the community, however, and that is the topic of Chapter 7, Housing.

The third theme of the book is the possible loss of mental capacity. Chapter 8, Guardianship, discusses the legal response to mental incapacity. That response is continued in Chapter 9, Property Management. How mental incapacity affects an individual's right to control their health care is the subject of Chapter 10, Health Care Decision Making.

Unfortunately, some elderly are victims of abuse and neglect. Some even become criminals. Those topics are discussed in Chapter 11, Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Crime. Finally, Chapter 12, Elder Law Practice and Ethics, introduces the student to what is meant by the "Practice of Elder Law" and how to practice it in an ethical manner.

Sadly, my previous co-author, Professor Alison Barnes died in 2022. Her contributions to previous editions of this book are her legacy. She strongly believed in the need to educate future lawyers about the substance, practice, and ethics of elder law. She is missed.

NOTE ON THE TEXT — I have edited the cases and, in order to maintain the readability of the text, have often not indicated the deletions. Readers are advised to consult the official reporters if they wish to read the cases in their entirety.

Rather than use "he or his" as a gender free nouns, I have used "they or their" throughout the text and problems.

LAWRENCE A. FROLIK frolik@pitt.edu