

**Contracts:
Law in Action**

Contracts: Law in Action

FIFTH EDITION

Stewart Macaulay

PROFESSOR OF LAW EMERITUS
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN LAW SCHOOL

William Whitford

PROFESSOR OF LAW EMERITUS
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN LAW SCHOOL

Jonathan Lipson

HAROLD E. KOHN CHAIR AND PROFESSOR OF LAW
TEMPLE UNIVERSITY BEASLEY SCHOOL OF LAW

Wendy Netter Epstein

PROFESSOR OF LAW AND ASSOCIATE DEAN OF RESEARCH
DEPAUL UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW

Rachel Rebouché

KEAN FAMILY DEAN AND PETER J. LIACOURAS PROFESSOR OF LAW
TEMPLE UNIVERSITY BEASLEY SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2024
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-1-5310-3082-7
eISBN 978-1-5310-3083-4
LCCN 2024937350

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
(919) 489-7486
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

Table of Contents

Preface to the Fifth Edition	xiii
Preface to the Fourth Edition	xv
Preface to the Second Edition	xix
Introduction	3
Chapter 1 · Goals and Scope	7
A. Goals: An Overview of Contract Law in Action	7
1. Studying Law: What Am I Here For?	8
a. Classical Legal Education	8
b. Criticism of Classical Legal Thought: Other Perspectives	11
c. Law School Examinations	19
Notes and Questions	22
B. Scope	23
1. Common Law versus Statutory Law, with Special Emphasis on the Application of Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code	24
2. The Formation of Contracts	34
The Vocabulary of Contract Formation	34
a. An Introduction to the Rules of Offer and Acceptance	34
A Doctrinal Note —Contract Formation	34
b. A Practice Problem	42
c. Problems in Formation: Offers versus Advertisements	43
<i>Leonard v. PepsiCo, Inc.</i>	44
Notes and Questions	53
d. Problems in Formation: Choice, Fault or Something Else	56
<i>Embry v. Hargadine, McKittrick Dry Goods Co.</i>	56
Notes and Questions	60
Stewart Macaulay, <i>Private Legislation and the Duty to Read</i> — <i>Business Run by IBM Machine, the Law of Contracts,</i> <i>and Credit Cards</i>	66
e. Problems in Formation: The Risk of Ambiguity or Misunderstanding	72
Choice and the Careless Use of Language	73

<i>Raffles v. Wichelhaus</i>	74
Notes and Questions	75
f. Problems in Formation: Form Contracts	79
<i>ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg</i>	80
Notes and Questions	85
g. The Battle of the Forms: Magic Through Contract Drafting	91
Chapter 2 · Remedies for Breach of Contract	97
A. The Expectation Interest: The Substitute Contract as the Preferred Means to the End	98
B. The Expectation Interest: Of Inferior Substitutes, Other Ends, and Other Means	100
<i>Parker v. Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp.</i>	101
Notes and Questions	107
<i>Neri v. Retail Marine Corp.</i>	114
Notes and Questions	118
<i>In re Worldcom (Jordan v. Worldcom)</i>	124
Notes and Questions	132
C. The Expectation Interest: Performance Rather Than Damages	134
<i>Copylease Corp. of America v. Memorex Corp.</i>	134
Notes and Questions	137
D. The Expectation Interest: Breach Deterrence Versus Liquidated Damages	146
<i>Lake River Corp. v. Carborundum Co.</i>	146
Notes and Questions	152
E. The Expectation Interest: Lost Anticipated Profits and Consequential Damages	158
1. The Foreseeability Test	158
<i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i>	158
Notes and Questions	160
2. Proof of Damages with Reasonable Certainty: Of New Businesses and Experts	165
<i>Chung v. Kaonohi Center Co.</i>	166
Notes and Questions	172
F. The Reliance Interest	175
<i>Security Stove & Manufacturing Co. v. American Railways Express Co.</i>	177
Notes and Questions	182
<i>L. Albert & Son v. Armstrong Rubber Co.</i>	184
Notes and Questions	188
G. Restitution as an Alternative to Contract Remedies	192
1. Introduction	192

2. Restitution and <i>Quantum Meruit</i> as an Alternative Remedy for Breach of Contract	194
a. The Remedy and Its Impact	194
<i>Paffhausen v. Balano</i>	194
Notes and Questions	198
<i>United States ex rel. Coastal Steel Erectors v. Algernon Blair, Inc.</i>	199
Notes and Questions	201
H. Measuring Damages for Subjective Losses	206
<i>Peevyhouse v. Garland Coal & Mining Co.</i>	207
Notes and Questions	215
<i>Hawkins v. McGee</i>	223
Notes and Questions	226
I. Some Review Problems	233
Chapter 3 · Contract and Continuing Relations	239
A. Introduction	239
B. Contract in the Family Setting	243
1. Which Promises Should the Law Enforce? Illustrations and Issues	243
a. Courts and Contracts Between Spouses	243
<i>Balfour v. Balfour</i>	244
Notes and Questions	246
<i>Ansin v. Craven-Ansin</i>	247
Notes and Questions	250
b. Cohabitation Contracts and the Evolution of Doctrine	256
<i>Marvin v. Marvin</i>	257
Notes and Questions	264
2. Consideration: Which Promises Should the Law Enforce?	276
a. What Is Consideration and to What Does the Doctrine Apply?	276
<i>Hamer v. Sidway</i>	279
Notes and Questions	283
3. Consideration and Varying Theories of Obligation	288
4. The Conditional Gift Revisited	297
<i>Kirksey v. Kirksey</i>	298
Notes and Questions	299
<i>Ricketts v. Scothorn</i>	299
Notes and Questions	302
5. The Statute of Frauds	309
Notes and Questions	319
6. Reaching the Right Result by Manipulating Doctrines of Contract Formation	325
<i>Davis v. Jacoby</i>	326
Notes and Questions	331
7. Contracts to Provide Care: Contract and Restitution as a Substitute for an Extended Family	339

8. If Law Is to Intervene, What Remedies Are Appropriate?	347
a. Reliance and the Expectation Interest	347
b. Specific Performance	348
<i>Brackenbury v. Hodgkin</i>	349
Notes and Questions	351
C. Franchise and Employment Relations	354
1. The Franchise	355
a. Creating the Relationship	355
<i>Hoffman v. Red Owl Stores, Inc.</i>	356
Notes and Questions	365
2. Employment Relations	374
a. Proving Employment Contracts and Gaining Meaningful Remedies	375
<i>McIntosh v. Murphy</i>	375
Notes and Questions	381
3. Employment-at-Will	382
a. Introduction	382
b. Development of the At-Will Doctrine	384
c. Attempts to Provide Meaningful Protections to Employees-At-Will	387
<i>Wagenseller v. Scottsdale Memorial Hospital</i>	392
Notes and Questions	402
d. In Conclusion . . . a Hypothetical	411
D. Alternatives to Litigation in Long-Term Relational (and Other) Contracts	413
1. Negotiation and Mediation	415
a. Calls and Contracts for ADR	418
b. ADR and the Practice of Law	421
Marc Galanter, <i>Worlds of Deals: Using Negotiation to Teach About Legal Process</i>	422
c. Contract Law in Action: Vindicating Rights or Provoking Compromise and Settlement?	424
2. Arbitration	425
a. Introduction	425
Marvin T. Fabyanske & Steven T. Halverson, <i>Arbitration: Is It an Acceptable Method of Resolving Construction and Contract Disputes?</i>	427
b. The Federal Arbitration Act and Pre-Dispute Arbitration Contract Clauses	430
<i>Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis</i>	433
Notes and Questions	435
Chapter 4 · Problems of Interpretation: Performance and Breach	439
A. The Deal Closed — But What Is It?	439

1. Introduction	439
2. Textual or Contextual Interpretation of Contractual Language?	441
Edwin W. Patterson, <i>The Interpretation and Construction of Contracts</i>	441
Notes and Questions	447
<i>Frigalimont Importing Co., Ltd. v. B.N.S. International Sales Corp.</i>	449
Notes and Questions	453
B. Main Themes — Conditions, Breach and the Role of Courts	458
1. The Logic of “Conditions”	459
2. Interpretation and Construction of Conditions	462
<i>Jacobs Associates v. Argonaut Insurance Co.</i>	463
Notes and Questions	468
<i>Van Iderstine Co. v. Barnet Leather Co.</i>	469
Notes and Questions	471
C. Conditions and the Uniform Commercial Code	473
1. The General Pattern	473
2. Section 2-508: The Seller’s Right to Cure	474
<i>T.W. Oil, Inc. v. Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc.</i>	474
Notes and Questions	478
3. A Classic, But Troublesome, Problem	481
Installment Contracts and the Failure to Perform Part of the Deal	481
D. Breach — The Gateway to Remedies	482
1. Perfect Tender and the UCC	482
<i>Colonial Dodge, Inc. v. Miller</i>	483
Notes and Questions	487
<i>Colonial Dodge, Inc. v. Miller</i>	487
Notes and Questions	491
2. Substantial Performance in Building Contracts	493
Notes and Questions	494
E. The Parol Evidence Rule — The Basics	495
John D. Calamari & Joseph M. Perillo, <i>A Plea for a Uniform Parol Evidence Rule and Principles of Contract Interpretation</i>	499
<i>Mitchill v. Lath</i>	506
Notes and Questions	511
<i>Dore v. Arnold Worldwide, Inc.</i>	512
Notes and Questions	520
F. Form Contracts and Choice	521
Stewart Macaulay, <i>Private Legislation and the Duty to Read — Business Run by IBM Machine, the Law of Contracts, and Credit Cards</i>	522
<i>McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd.</i>	523
Notes and Questions	530

<i>Yauger v. Skiing Enterprises, Inc.</i>	531
Notes and Questions	534
<i>C & J Fertilizer, Inc. v. Allied Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	539
Notes and Questions	547
G. Warranty, Disclaimers, and Remedy Limitations: the UCC Pattern	553
1. Warranties as a Tool to Guard Expectations	553
2. Warranties, Express and Implied	555
a. The Buyer's Expectations and Fair Dealing: Creating Warranties	555
b. The Seller's Free Contract and Fair Dealing — Warranty Disclaimers and Remedy Limitations	556
c. The Necessary Steps	558
3. Conspicuous Disclaimers and Conscionable Remedy Limitations	559
<i>Hunt v. Perkins Machinery Co., Inc.</i>	559
Notes and Questions	562
4. Parol Evidence, Warranties, and Standard Forms	567
<i>Boud v. SDNCO, Inc.</i>	568
Notes and Questions	573
H. Changed Circumstances	576
1. A Deal Is a Deal — Enforce the Literal Text of the Written Contract	578
<i>Paradine v. Jane</i>	578
Notes and Questions	579
2. A Deal May Be a Deal — But What Was the Real Deal? Basic but Unspoken Assumptions	581
<i>Taylor v. Caldwell</i>	581
Notes and Questions	585
3. The Changed Circumstances Doctrines All at Once in a Relational Setting	589
<i>In the Matter of Westinghouse Electric Corp. Uranium Contracts Litigation (Florida Power & Light Co. v. Westinghouse Electric Corp.)</i>	589
Notes and Questions	604
Chapter 5 · Social Control of Free Contract	609
A. Social Control and the Public Interest	611
1. Illegal Contracts	611
a. Introduction	611
b. Illegality: Form and Substance	611
<i>Carroll v. Beardon</i>	612
Notes and Questions	614
c. Comparative fault	616
<i>Coma Corp. v. Kansas Department of Labor</i>	617
Notes and Questions	622
2. Contracts Against Public Policy	623
a. Introduction	623

b. Covenants by Employees Not to Compete	624
<i>Fullerton Lumber Co. v. Torborg</i>	625
Notes and Questions	632
B. Social Control, Contract, and Choice	636
1. Introduction	636
2. Capacity to Contract	640
a. Mental Incapacity to Contract	641
Notes and Questions	642
b. Contracts Made Under the Influence of Intoxicating Substances	644
3. Contracts Made with Minors — Infancy	644
4. Duress	646
a. The Search for a Standard	646
<i>Mitchell v. C.C. Sanitation Co.</i>	648
Notes and Questions	654
b. A Wisconsin Rule?	657
<i>The Selmer Co. v. Blakeslee-Midwest Co.</i>	658
Notes and Questions	661
5. Undue Influence	667
6. Misrepresentation	670
<i>Obde v. Schlemeyer</i>	672
Notes and Questions	675
7. Good Faith & Fair Dealing	681
<i>Market Street Associates v. Frey</i>	681
Notes and Questions	688
8. Most of the Theories at Once: A Review	690
<i>Vokes v. Arthur Murray, Inc.</i>	690
Notes and Questions	694
9. Unconscionability	699
a. The Doctrinal Roots and Problems in Application	699
Notes and Questions	702
b. Public Enforcement and Unconscionability:	
The Field of Consumer Financial Protection	709
<i>State of New Mexico ex rel. King v. B&B Investment Group, Inc.</i>	710
Notes and Questions	723
Table of Cases	729
Table of Statutes	737
Index	741

Preface to the Fifth Edition

This fifth edition of *Contracts: Law in Action* sees significant changes from prior editions. While carrying on the law-in-action spirit of earlier editions, we have combined what had previously been a 2-volume set of materials designed for a 6-8 credit, two semester course into a single volume suitable for 3, 4 or 5 credits of Contracts. Major changes include the following:

- Chapter 1 has been substantially revised to include materials on both the scope of contract law and contract formation, which we see as related.
- Chapter 2 remains focused on remedies, with an emphasis on why it is important to learn remedies early in a Contracts course and in one's legal education.
- Chapter 3 remains focused on contract in long-term relationships, such as family, franchising and employment, using these contexts to teach traditional enforceability doctrines of consideration and the statute of frauds. We have also consolidated here materials on alternative dispute resolution, which had previously been scattered throughout prior editions.
- Chapter 4 focuses on issues of interpretation, performance and breach. This combines some of the best of the materials from Volumes 1 and 2 of the 4th edition (for example, on warranties) while also including a richer discussion of both the basics of interpretation as well as problems of parol evidence and adhesion contracts.
- Chapter 5 focuses on the social control of contract through both common law and statutory defenses, with recent examples of both.

As in past editions, these materials include our signature inquiries into the factual backgrounds of many of the cases, in order to provide context and help students situate appellate opinions in the larger legal process. We also include (and have added) problems that we think help students master the material. We remain committed to the belief that remedies and statutory interpretation (via the Uniform Commercial Code) are critical, and so we emphasize them early and often. And we remain committed to the belief that law must be learned from the ground up, and not just from the top down. Reflecting its roots at the University of Wisconsin, the casebook retains a number of cases and examples from Wisconsin courts.

That said, we have also updated the materials to reflect developments in law and society since the last edition, in 2016. For example, our materials account for the effect the COVID pandemic had on contract law and practice as well as contemporary efforts to advance racial justice and evolving norms around diversity, equity and inclusion. We greatly benefitted from a Workshop held at Temple University Beasley School of Law in April 2023 that brought various perspectives about these materials, specifically the role of race and national emergencies on contract law.

We have benefitted most importantly from the tremendous work of those who developed prior editions, including the contributions of Kathryn Hendley, Jean Braucher and John Kidwell. They are (or, in the case of Jean and John, were) tremendous teachers and scholars, whose extraordinary commitment to teaching Contracts enriched the learning of thousands of law students over the years. (One of us, as a former student, can attest to former contributors' teaching acumen.) Special thanks and acknowledgment are due to Kathie Hendley, who made significant and insightful suggestions about the coverage in this fifth edition. Kathie is a gifted teacher and scholar whose contributions to the law-in-action project cannot be overstated. Thanks also to users of prior editions for their insights, including Carl Bjerre, Mitra Sharafi, Gordon Smith, and Bill Woodward.

This fifth edition has also benefitted from the excellent work of various research assistants, including John Brown (Temple Law class of 2025), Patrick George (Temple Law class of 2025), Winnie Lee (Temple Law class of 2025), Gavin Paulshock (Temple Law class of 2025), Genevieve Bergeron (Temple Law class of 2023).

We believe these materials make a unique contribution to Contracts education, bringing a perspective and set of methodological choices that we believe can benefit all students (and teachers) of Contracts, especially those seeking to understand this body of law as it often plays out in the real world.

STEWART MACAULAY

WILLIAM WHITFORD

JONATHAN LIPSON

WENDY NETTER EPSTEIN

RACHEL REBOUCHÉ

June 28, 2024

Preface to the Fourth Edition

New editions of *Contracts: Law in Action* allow us to offer new cases and statutes to keep our materials up to date. In this edition, we continue the philosophy and the coverage of the first three editions; once again, we are republishing the preface to the second edition, in which that philosophy is described.

As in previous editions, the cases have been edited to make them easier to read. We have used ellipses whenever substantive text has been omitted from the body of an opinion, but some case citations and many footnotes have been omitted without indication. The footnote numbers in our text do not match the footnote numbers in the cases, since we number our footnotes sequentially by chapter. When we reproduce footnotes from cases, we have indicated the original footnote number by putting it in brackets: []. When we have added our own footnote to a case, it is indicated by an “Eds. note,” also in brackets.

The list of authors has changed; we have added Kathryn Hendley and Jonathan Lipson to our group. Each of them brings something new to the enterprise. Professor Hendley is one of the foremost scholars of law in the transition from socialism to capitalism in Russia. Professor Lipson has long experience as a corporate lawyer and transaction planner. Each has used earlier editions of *Contracts: Law in Action* for a number of years.

Sadly, two of the authors of our third edition have died since it was published. John Kidwell’s participation in the creation and development of the book dates back to its earliest days. First and foremost, John was a great and award-winning teacher, and he insisted that the materials had to work in class. We knew that if John had a problem teaching something in the book, it had to be fixed.

John also wrote bar exam questions, and he insisted that our course had to play a real part in transforming beginners into skilled lawyers. John was sympathetic to the goal of fashioning a modern contracts course that emphasized law in action, but he worried about losing something important if and when we abandoned what had long been in the traditional course.

He was a wonderful colleague, always prepared to go above and beyond any possible call of duty to get the manuscript to the publisher in a timely manner, to get a jointly composed exam completed, or to help newly minted law school professors

cope with their first classes. John wrote the following about himself for his law school profile: “He enjoys reading, listening to music,¹ idle conversation, and the game of poker. His favorite composer is J.S. Bach, and his favorite writer is John McPhee. He subscribes to too many magazines.”

Jean Braucher first used a photocopied version of *Contracts: Law in Action* in 1992 at the University of Cincinnati Law School, and she continued teaching from it when she moved to the University of Arizona in 1998. We appreciated her kind words about the book when it was first published:²

[*Contracts: Law in Action*] weaves the history, philosophy, sociology, and doctrine of contract into a vibrant if troubling picture, confronting students with the conflicts, complexities, and above all, the limits of the subject. [The authors] challenge students to become “skeptical idealists” in the practice of law. Their approach is both theoretically sophisticated and thoroughly practical.

Jean had done empirical research on the practices of lawyers in consumer bankruptcy,³ and she worked on law reform in many places, including the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission (formerly known as the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws).

In November 2007, Jean accepted our offer to become an author and editor for the third, and all subsequent, editions of *Contracts: Law in Action*. The publisher had insisted that the new edition of the book not be any longer than the previous one: if we added anything, we had to take something out. Jean enforced this rule, pushed us to bring the book up to date, and was always on the lookout for ways in which new statutes, new standard contracts clauses—and especially recent advances in technology—presented new settings for the classic problems dealt with in traditional contracts courses.

Jean used these materials for 22 years and edited them for seven. She concluded from this and other experiences: “The law does not march forward so much as stumble on . . . Law is about social struggle, and we never get neat, perfect conclusions.”⁴

We have missed, and will continue to miss, John and Jean. Both contributed so much to this project. In the preparation of both this edition and the third edition, we also had the extraordinary assistance of Ellen Vinz (J.D., University of Wisconsin

1. John often opened class by playing a song that fit one or more of the cases for that day. For example, when he taught *Vokes v. Arthur Murray*, he treated students raised on rock and roll to an ancient Jimmy Dorsey big band recording of “Arthur Murray Taught Me Dancing in a Hurry.” Jonathan Lipson carries on John’s tradition, albeit with a lower brow. He opens the class on *Hadley v. Baxendale* with the “Theme from Shaft,” for example.

2. Jean Braucher, *The Afterlife of Contract*, 90 N.W. U.L. REV. 49, 52–53 (1995).

3. See Jean Braucher, *Lawyers and Consumer Bankruptcy: One Code, Many Cultures*, 67 AM. BANKR. L.J. 501 (1993).

4. See William Whitford, *Jean Braucher’s Contracts World View*, 58 ARIZ. L. REV. 13, 31 (2016).

Law School, 2011), a professional copyeditor as well as a former lawyer. We were also assisted by Erica Maier at Temple University Beasley School of Law and the following student research assistants: Andrew Brehm (J.D., University of Wisconsin Law School, 2015); Miranda Bullard (J.D., Temple University Beasley School of Law, 2017); and Nicholas Zuiker (J.D., University of Wisconsin Law School, 2015).

STEWART MACAULAY

WILLIAM WHITFORD

KATHRYN HENDLEY

JONATHAN LIPSON

September 15, 2015

Preface to the Second Edition

We revised our book for a number of reasons. Most importantly, our original book and this revision reject the idea that contract law is no more than a small collection of timeless principles. Contracts problems change as the society changes. Corporate lawyers also have been busy, seeking ways to use the form of contract to ward off liability to employees and consumers. Fashions in scholarly work reflect changes in the academy as we move through cycles of classical contract; realist judging in the grand style; dedication to the consumer movement; reductionist pursuits of efficiency, default rules, and formalism; and, perhaps, the coming new realism that reflects a law and society perspective. We have reviewed the entire book to see where we should reflect these changes and new developments, but the major effort has been devoted to bringing up to date our materials on such matters as unconscionability, form contracts printed in fine print or hidden in other ways (particularly in the area of computer programs), and the growing uses of arbitration to repeal the reform statutes of earlier decades. These are the interesting and important matters coming before the courts when this revision was prepared, and we expect these topics to have a fairly long shelf life.

At the same time, those who have used *Contracts: Law in Action* in the past will find much of the book unchanged or only slightly modified. After teaching *Contracts: Law in Action* and earlier photocopied versions for about 20 years, the authors think that the book works. Moreover, it has worked for instructors who emphasize very different approaches in their teaching. The original book and the revision both take the “Law in Action” part of the title seriously. Putting contract problems in context makes the course both more theoretical and more practical at the same time. Whatever a person’s theoretical outlook, there is a high price to be paid if he or she forgets such things as that law is not free; most disputes end in settlement; crafting nice-sounding legal standards is one thing but finding evidence to establish a cause of action is another; and that all institutions, including the market, are flawed. American contract law is messy and often contradictory. Even when the form of the rules stay more or less the same, their application varies from court to court over time. Yet the flaws in our contract law have not blocked great economic progress or caused recessions. We quote Wittgenstein near the beginning of the course: “Is it even always an advantage to replace an indistinct picture by a sharp one? Isn’t the indistinct one often exactly what we need?” At the very least, the answer to this question cannot just be assumed

away. We also have been pleased to discover that many of our former students find that our course prepares them to hit the ground running when they begin practice. We have tried to focus on live contracts problems that our students will face when they become lawyers.

We are heavily in debt to contracts teachers at schools other than Wisconsin who have used *CLA*. We have had an e-mail list for those interested in the book. Our friends at other schools have contributed ideas and suggestions, and they have asked us to explain why we did certain things. Sometimes we have been able to explain choices we made long ago, and when we could not we rethought what we had done. We have learned a great deal from these friends. While we risk leaving out people who deserve mention, we wish to thank particularly Tom Russell, Tom Stipanowich, Bill Woodward, Sandy Meiklejohn, Alan Hunt, Jean Braucher, Peter Linzer, and Carolyn Brown. In addition, we staged a conference in the fall of 2001. We gathered many who had used the book and other friends whose contributions we wanted to hear. The papers were later published in 2001 *Wisconsin Law Review* 525–1006. The papers, discussions, and final articles helped us in the revision process.

The authors are not the only people at Wisconsin who have taught from the book. We have a small-group program in which each first-year student gets one class of around 20 students, and Contracts 1 often has been that class. This means that we have many contracts teachers at Wisconsin. Those teaching the course have met for lunch once a week during the semester. The authors have been challenged by the experiences and questions of their colleagues. In addition to Joe Thome, who was thanked in our original preface and who continued to teach from the materials until recently, we should acknowledge the many contributions of Kathryn Hendley, Lawrence Bugge, Gordon Smith, and Lori Ringhand (now at the University of Kentucky Law School). Lori was a beginning law teacher when she joined us, and she helped us rewrite the employment-at-will material and paid particular attention to the teaching notes that we have made available to those who use the book. She has revised them, pulling together the one set created by John Kidwell and the other by Stewart Macaulay. Our colleague Marc Galanter decided not to participate in the second edition of *Contracts: Law in Action*. He has not taught contracts for some time. However, he did present a paper at our 2001 contracts conference, and the revision still reflects his many contributions to the original version of the book. Also, Nicole Denow (J.D., Wisconsin, 2001) was a talented and hard-working research assistant in the revision of the materials dealing with policing contracts, and Nora Kersten (J.D., Wisconsin, 2002) did many memos that were helpful in expanding some of the notes, or in verifying that no changes were required.

We also owe a debt to the thousands of law students who have worked their way through *Contracts: Law in Action* and its photocopied predecessors. For example, Donovan Bezer, then a student at Rutgers Law School, sent us his reactions, which we found provocative. Other students have known one or more of the parties who appear in the cases in the book, or they have known much about the kinds of transactions

involved. We have been reassured that the book has prompted students to see the hard choices lurking behind what seem to be the simple rules of contract law.

Americans, of course, always want to have their cake and eat it too. One student, who identified herself as a liberal, sent us an e-mail saying, “This class has put me in touch with my inner Republican, and I am not sure that I like *him*.” Students have also reminded us that most of them are twenty-something, and what we see as things “everyone knows” are but ancient history to them. Students stay about the same age while authors age. Thus, we have tried to change examples so that they will not date too fast and explain a little about such “commonplace things” as the Vietnam conflict, OPEC, the consumer movement, and other manifestations of pre-Reagan politics — as well as what were ice houses, dial telephones, and typewriters. While we find it hard to believe, many of our students have never heard of Shirley MacLaine, Lee Marvin, or Bette Davis. We, on the other hand, are not great followers of River Phoenix. All of these stars, of course, play the parts of litigants in contracts cases.

During the past decade or so, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law and the American Law Institute have attempted to revise Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code. We debated what to do with the proposed revisions. Then our friend Richard Speidel found the process intolerable and felt that he had to resign as the Reporter after twelve years of work. At the time this is being written, it seems unlikely that there will be ambitious changes to Article 2, with the possible exception of the addition of a highly controversial separate statute dealing with computer-related transactions. There is a risk that states may end up moving in the direction of creating “Un-Uniform Commercial Codes.” As a result, we decided not to include material on the proposed revisions. Instructors, of course, may want to offer their classes particular proposals as a way to raise policy questions about the current law. However, we think that it is hard enough finding your way around Article 2 without having to navigate two or more versions.

