MASTERING CIVIL PROCEDURE

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS MASTERING SERIES

RUSSELL WEAVER, SERIES EDITOR

For other titles, please go to caplaw.com.

Mastering Administrative Law, Second Edition

Linda D. Jellum

Mastering Adoption Law and Policy

Cynthia Hawkins DeBose

Mastering Alternative Dispute Resolution

Kelly M. Feeley, James A. Sheehan

Mastering Appellate Advocacy and Process, Second Edition

Donna C. Looper, George W. Kuney

Mastering Art Law, Second Edition

Herbert Lazerow

Mastering Bankruptcy

George W. Kuney

Mastering Civil Procedure, Fourth Edition

David Charles Hricik

Mastering Constitutional Law, Second Edition

John C. Knechtle, Christopher J. Roederer

Mastering Contract Law

Irma S. Russell, Barbara K. Bucholtz

Mastering Corporate Tax, Second Edition

Gail Levin Richmond, Reginald Mombrun, Felicia Branch

Mastering Corporations and Other Business Entities, Second Edition

Lee Harris

Mastering Criminal Law, Third Edition

Ellen S. Podgor, Cynthia E. Jones, Peter J. Henning, Neil P. Cohen, Sanjay K. Chhablani

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 1: The Investigative Stage, Third Edition

Peter J. Henning, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor,

Karen McDonald Henning, Sanjay K. Chhablani

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 2: The Adjudicatory Stage, Third Edition

Peter J. Henning, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor,

Karen McDonald Henning, Sanjay K. Chhablani

Mastering Elder Law, Third Edition

Ralph C. Brashier

Mastering Employment Discrimination Law, Third Edition

Jeffrey M. Hirsch, Joseph A. Seiner, Sandra F. Sperino

Mastering Environmental Law

Joel A. Mintz, Tracy D. Hester

Mastering Evidence

Ronald W. Eades

Mastering Family Law

Janet Leach Richards

Mastering First Amendment Law

John C. Knechtle

Mastering Income Tax, Second Edition

Gail Levin Richmond, Christopher M. Pietruszkiewicz

Mastering Intellectual Property

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

Mastering International Sales Law

Herbert Lazerow

Mastering Interviewing and Counseling

Kelly M. Feeley, Rebecca C. Morgan

Mastering Labor Law

Paul M. Secunda, Anne Marie Lofaso, Joseph E. Slater, Jeffrey M. Hirsch

Mastering Legal Analysis and Communication

David T. Ritchie

Mastering Legal Analysis and Drafting, Second Edition

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

Mastering Legislation, Regulation, and Statutory Interpretation, Third Edition Linda D. Jellum

Mastering Native American Law, Third Edition

Angelique Wambdi EagleWoman, Stacy L. Leeds

Mastering Negotiable Instruments (UCC Articles 3 and 4) and Other Payment Systems, Second Edition

Michael D. Floyd

Mastering Negotiation

Michael R. Fowler

Mastering Partnership Taxation

Stuart Lazar

Mastering Products Liability, Second Edition

Tim Kaye

Mastering Professional Responsibility, Second Edition

Grace M. Giesel

Mastering Property Law, Second Edition

Darryl C. Wilson

Mastering Sales

Colin P. Marks, Jeremy Kidd

Mastering Secured Transactions: UCC Article 9, Third Edition

Grace M. Giesel

Mastering Tort Law, Third Edition

Russell L. Weaver, Edward C. Martin, Andrew R. Klein, Paul J. Zwier, II, John H. Bauman

Mastering Trademark and Unfair Competition Law, Second Edition

Lars S. Smith, Llewellyn Joseph Gibbons

Mastering Trusts and Estates, Second Edition

Gail Levin Richmond

MASTERING CIVIL PROCEDURE

FOURTH EDITION

David Charles Hricik

PROFESSOR
MERCER UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF LAW



Copyright © 2025 David Charles Hricik All Rights Reserved

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA

Names: Hricik, David, author.

Title: Mastering civil procedure / David Charles Hricik.

Description: Fourth edition. | Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, 2025. | Series: Mastering series | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2025014862 | ISBN 9781531033620 (paperback) | ISBN 9781531033637 (ebook)


Subjects: LCSH: Civil procedure—United States. | Procedure (Law)—United States.

Classification: LCC KF8841 .H75 2025 | DDC 347.73/—dc23/eng /20250401

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2025014862

Carolina Academic Press 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 (919) 489-7486 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America



CONTENTS

Table of Cases	xxxix
Series Editor's Foreword	lvii
Introduction and Acknowledgments	lix
Chapter 1 · Courts Decide Claims	3
CLAIMS ROADMAP	3
A. Lawsuits Begin with a Client	3
B. What Is a "Claim"?	5
C. Affirmative Defenses Compared to Claims	7
D. Destroying a Myth and an Important Note About Studying	
Procedure	8
CHECKPOINTS	9
PART A To Anchor a Civil Action in a Federal Court, a Plaintiff Must Plead at One Claim Where; 1. Subject Matter Jurisdiction, 2. Personal Jurisdic	
and, 3. Venue are Proper.	•
Chapter 2 · A Preview of Part A of This Book	13
A. The Three Requirements to Adjudicate an Anchor Claim:	
Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Personal Jurisdiction, and Venue	13
Chapter 3 · The Foundations of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	17
SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION ROADMAP	17
A. A Divided System of Government: States and the Federal	
Government	18
B. Power to Resolve a Claim: Subject Matter Jurisdiction	19
C. Which Law Applies Is Distinct from Subject Matter Jurisdiction	22
CHECKPOINTS	22

Chapter 4 · Federal Question and Diversity Subject Matter	
Jurisdiction	23
FEDERAL QUESTION AND DIVERSITY SUBJECT	
MATTER JURISDICTION ROADMAP	23
A. Does a Statute Give District Courts the Power to Adjudicate	
the Claim?	25
Federal Question Subject Matter Jurisdiction under	
Section 1331	25
a. Federal Question Subject Matter Jurisdiction	26
i. The Most Common Federal Claim Is When a Federal	2.6
Statute Creates the Claim	26
ii. Plaintiff's Well-Pleaded State Law Claim Necessarily	
Turns on a Substantial Question of Federal Law	27
iii. Federal Question Jurisdiction for State Law Claims	
Completely Preempted by Federal Law	33
2. Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction	34
a. Section 1332 Requires Complete Diversity and More Than	
\$75,000 Exclusive of Costs and Interests Be in Controversy	35
i. Section 1332(a) Requires Complete Diversity	35
(a) Determining Citizenship of Each Party	35
(1) Timing of the Determination	35
(2) How to Determine Citizenship	36
aa. A Natural Person Is a Citizen of Her State of Domicile	36
bb. Corporations Are Citizens of Both the State	00
of Incorporation and the State of Principal	
Place of Business, Which Is Where It Has Its	
"Nerve Center"	38
cc. Citizenship of Other Business Entities	39
dd. Representative Actions: Citizenship of Real	37
Party in Interest	41
ii. Section 1332 Requires More Than \$75,000 in	
Controversy	42
(a) When Is the Amount in Controversy Calculated?	42
(b) The Legal Certainty Test Applies to the Amount	12
Pled by Plaintiff	42
(c) Multiple Claims, Multiple Plaintiffs, and the Amount	14
in Controversy	46
in controvers,	10

(1) A Plaintiff May Aggregate Claims Against a	
Defendant	46
(2) A Plaintiff Cannot Aggregate Claims Against	
More Than One Defendant Unless the Defendants	
Are Jointly Liable	47
(3) Multiple Plaintiffs May Not Aggregate Their Claims	
Unless They Are Pursuing a Unified Remedy	47
b. Mastering Diversity Jurisdiction by Diagraming Claims	
and Parties	48
B. Must a Claim Be Filed in Federal Court?	49
1. Claims Arising Under Federal Law	49
2. State Law Claims That Meet Diversity Requirements	51
3. This Discussion Applies to the First Claim and All Other	
Claims but as to Additional Claims, if There Is No Diversity	
or Federal Question, Supplemental Subject Matter Jurisdiction	
May Be Available for that Claim	51
CHECKPOINTS	52
Chapter 5 · The Concept of Personal Jurisdiction	53
PERSONAL JURISDICTION ROADMAP	53
CHECKPOINTS	56
Chapter 6 · Mastering Personal Jurisdiction	57
PERSONAL JURISDICTION ROADMAP	57
A. If Suit Is Filed in the Defendant's Home State, the Court Has	
General Personal Jurisdiction	61
B. If Suit Is Not in the Defendant's Home State, a Claim-by-Claim	
Analysis Is Required	63
C. Did the Defendant Consent to Personal Jurisdiction?	63
1. Did the Parties Contractually Agree the Claim Could or Must	
Be Filed in the Forum State?	64
2. For Corporate Defendants, Is the Suit Filed in a State Where	
a State Statute Required the Corporation to Consent to Be	
Sued Over Any Claim?	64
3. Operating a Motor Vehicle Consent Statutes	65
D. Without Consent: The Three Steps to Evaluate In Personam	
Jurisdiction	66
1 A Long-Arm Statute Must Authorize Service of Process	66

a. State Long-Arm Statutes Apply to Both State and	
Federal Claims	67
b. A Few Federal Long-Arm Statutes Govern Specific	
Federal Claims	69
2. Analyzing Claims Within Reach of the State's Long-Arm	
Statute	70
a. Was the Individual Defendant "Tagged" with Process	
While Present in the Forum State After the Suit was Filed?	70
b. Did the Defendant Create Minimum Contacts and Would	
Asserting Personal Jurisdiction Be Fair?	71
(1) Did the Defendant Purposefully Avail Itself of or	
Direct Contacts into the Forum?	71
(2) Is There a Relationship, Affiliation, or Nexus Between	
the Contacts and the Claim	77
(3) Can Defendant Show that, Even if Defendant	
Established Minimum Contacts Related to the Claim,	
Assertion of Personal Jurisdiction Would be Unfair?	79
E. Special Issues in <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	80
1. Which Contacts by a Corporate Defendant "Count"?	80
2. Does an Internet Website Count?	81
3. Rule 4(k)(2) and Foreign-Country Defendants	82
4. The Few Claim-Specific Federal Long-Arm Statutes	83
CHECKPOINTS	84
Chapter 7 · In Rem and Quasi In Rem Jurisdiction	85
IN REM AND QUASI IN REM JURISDICTION ROADMAP	85
A. The Three Types of <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	85
1. True <i>In Rem</i>	86
2. Quasi In Rem	87
a. Quasi In Rem Type 1	87
b. Quasi In Rem Type 2	88
B. The Same Standards for <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction Apply to	
<i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	88
C. How Is Notice Given?	89
CHECKPOINTS	90
Chapter 8 · The Foundations of Venue	91
VENUE ROADMAP	91
CHECKPOINTS	92

Chapter 9 · Proper Venue	93
PROPER VENUE ROADMAP	93
A. Three Circumstances for <i>Proper</i> Venue	93
1. Residential Venue	94
2. Transactional Venue	97
3. Catch-All Venue	98
4. Establishing Proper Venue Based on Specific Federal	
Venue Statutes	99
B. There Must Be Proper Venue, or Pendent Venue, Over Each	
Claim by a Plaintiff	99
CHECKPOINTS	100
Chapter 10 · Removal of a Case by Defendants from State	
to Federal Court	101
REMOVAL ROADMAP	101
A. The Foundations of Removal	102
B. What Circumstances Make a Case Removable?	103
1. Removal on the Basis of a Federal Question	104
a. Single Federal Claim in a State Court Complaint	104
b. Federal Claim Joined with Non-Removable State Claim	
in the State Court Complaint	104
2. Removal on the Existence of a Removal-Type Diversity	
Claim	104
a. Citizenship of Fraudulently Joined Defendants Is Ignored	105
i. Fraudulent Jurisdictional Allegations	105
ii. No Possibility of Recovery	106
iii. Fraudulent Procedural "Misjoinder"	106
iv. The No Forum-Defendant Rule	107
b. Determining the Amount in Controversy	108
i. Plaintiff Pleads no Specific Amount	108
ii. Plaintiff Seeks Less Than \$75,000	108
iii. Plaintiff Seeks Only Injunctive Relief	109
C. Deadlines, Waiver by Conduct, and Removal Procedure	109
1. Deadlines	109
a. The 30-Day Deadline	110
i. Initial Pleading: What Is "Receipt Through Service or	
Otherwise"?	110
ii. Subsequent Papers: "Receipt"	111
b. One-Year Deadline for Diversity-Type Removal	113

	114
3. Procedure for Removal	115
a. Consent of All Properly Joined and Served Defendants	115
b. Required Filings	116
D. Plaintiff's Motion to Remand to State Court	116
E. Removed or Remanded: What Next?	117
F. Post-Removal Actions That Destroy or Create Subject Matter	
Jurisdiction	118
G. Limited Appealability of Orders Granting or Denying Remand	119
1. Orders Granting Remand	119
2. Orders Denying Remand	119
H. Removal by Parties Other Than Defendants	120
CHECKPOINTS	120
PART B	
Judicial Resolution of; 1. Personal and Subject Matter Jurisdiction	
and Venue, 2. the Merits of a Dispute Without Discovery, with	
Discovery but Without Trial, and only After Discovery and Trial,	
and 3. Appeals	
Chapter 11 · A Preview of Part B of This Book	123
Chamber 12 . Plaintiff's Beautined Bule 11 Dre Suit Investigation	
Chapter 12 · Plaintiff's Required Rule 11 Pre-Suit Investigation	125
PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP	125 125
•	
PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP	
PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and	125
PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers	125
PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including	125 126
A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11	125126126
 PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 	125 126 126 126
 PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 	125 126 126 126 126
 PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 2. The Other Four Implied Representations 	125 126 126 126 126 128
 PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 2. The Other Four Implied Representations a. No Improper Purpose 	125 126 126 126 128 128
A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 2. The Other Four Implied Representations a. No Improper Purpose b. No Frivolous Legal Arguments, Claims, or Defenses	125 126 126 126 128 128 128
A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 2. The Other Four Implied Representations a. No Improper Purpose b. No Frivolous Legal Arguments, Claims, or Defenses c. No Unfounded Allegations	125 126 126 126 128 128 128 128
 PLAINTIFF'S PRE-SUIT INVESTIGATION ROADMAP A. The Purpose of Rule 11 is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Papers B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11 C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11 1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry 2. The Other Four Implied Representations a. No Improper Purpose b. No Frivolous Legal Arguments, Claims, or Defenses c. No Unfounded Allegations d. No Unfounded Denials of Allegations 	125 126 126 126 128 128 128 128 129

Chapter 13 · Pleadings and The Complaint	131
COMPLAINT ROADMAP	131
A. Historical Role of Pleadings	132
B. The Modern Limited Role of Pleadings	132
C. Requirements for Pleadings	133
1. Technical Requirements	133
2. Substantive Requirements	134
a. Short and Plain Statement of the Court's Jurisdiction	134
b. Short and Plain Statement of a Claim	135
i. Inconsistent Claims (or Defenses)	140
ii. Pleading Unsupported Allegations	140
iii. Rule 9(b) as an Exception	140
c. Demand for Relief	141
D. Who Must Be a Named Plaintiff and a Named Defendant?	142
E. Examples and Forms	143
F. Corporate Disclosure Statement and Civil Cover Sheet	146
G. Conclusion	146
CHECKPOINTS	147
Chapter 14 · Preview of the Four Steps to Analyze Joinder	
of Claims and Parties	149
A. The Anchor Claim	151
B. The Four Steps for Analyzing Joining Each Additional Claim	
and Each Party	153
Chamber 15 Leinder Sten Oner Authorite in a Dule for Jeining	
Chapter 15 · Joinder Step One: Authority in a Rule for Joining Each Claim or Party	155
•	156
A. Introduction to the Concept of Authority	130
Chapter 16 · Joinder by a Plaintiff of Claims and Co-Plaintiffs	
or Co-Defendants	159
AUTHORITY TO JOIN CLAIMS AND PARTIES	
BY PLAINTIFF	159
A. Joinder of Claims by a Plaintiff	160
1. Joinder of Additional Claims by an Existing Plaintiff Against	160
	100
an Existing Defendant	
an Existing Defendant a. Permissive Joinder of Claims by a Plaintiff	160 160 161

B. Permissive Party Joinder by a Plaintiff: Joinder of Co-Plaintiffs	
or Co-Defendants	164
1. Permissive Joinder by a Plaintiff of Co-Plaintiffs	165
a. What Is the "Same Transaction or Occurrence"?	166
b. What Is a "Common Question of Law or Fact"?	167
2. Permissive Joinder by a Plaintiff of Co-Defendants	167
C. Joinder of Additional Claims Under Rule 18(a)	167
D. Procedure for Joining Claims and Parties	168
CHECKPOINTS	168
Chapter 17 · Joinder by Defendants of Claims Against Plaintiffs and Co-Defendants, Joinder of Parties to Those Claims,	
and Impleader	169
PARTY JOINDER ROADMAP	169
A. Counterclaims: Claims by a Defendant Against a Plaintiff	170
Compulsory Counterclaims	170
2. Permissive Counterclaims	174
3. Availability of Rule 18(a)	174
B. Crossclaims Against Co-Parties	175
C. Joining Non-Parties to Counterclaims and Crossclaims	176
D. Procedure for Joining Counterclaims, Crossclaims, and	
Parties to Them	178
E. Impleader of Third-Party Defendants	179
1. The Gap-Filling Role of Rule 14(a)(1)	179
2. Time for Filing and Serving a Third-Party Complaint	181
3. Special Personal Jurisdiction Over a Third-Party Complaint	182
4. Joinder of Claims and Parties by the Third-Party Defendant	182
CHECKPOINTS	182
Chapter 18 · Mandatory Joinder of Parties	185
MANDATORY JOINDER ROADMAP	185
A. Summary of Joinder and Rule 19 as a Narrow Exception	185
1. How Rule 19 Typically Gets Litigated	186
2. Rule 19: Three Steps with a Venue-Related Twist	187
a. Is the Absentee a "Necessary" Party?	187
i. Is Joinder of the Absentee Necessary to Accord	
Complete Relief Among Existing Parties?	188
ii. Does the Absentee Claim an Interest in the Subject of	
the Suit and Either Its Interest Will Be Impaired or	

Its Absence Will Leave a Party With Multiple or	
Inconsistent Obligations	189
b. If the Absentee Is a Necessary Party, Joinder Must Be	
Ordered if Feasible	190
i. Joinder Cannot Be Ordered if Personal or Subject	
Matter Jurisdiction Is Lacking, but Must Be Ordered	
Even if Venue Is Improper	190
c. Determining Whether a Necessary Absentee Who Cannot	
Be Joined Is Indispensable	192
CHECKPOINTS	195
Charter 10 Internal and an A New Books Country of Sale	
Chapter 19 · Interpleader: A Non-Party Creates a Suit Between Two Parties	197
INTERPLEADER ROADMAP	197
	197
A. What the Two Kinds of Interpleader Share B. Statutory Interpleader	198
C. Rule Interpleader	199
D. Adjudication of Interpleader Actions	199
CHECKPOINTS	199
Chapter 20 · Intervention: Ways for a Non-Party to	
Force Its Joinder	201
INTERVENTION ROADMAP	201
A. The Types of Intervention	202
1. Two Types of Intervention as of Right	202
a. Rule 24(a)(1): Unconditional Statutory Right	203
b. Rule 24(a)(2): Rule-Based Right	203
2. Two Types of Permissive Intervention	204
a. Rule 24(b)(1)(A): Conditional Statutory Right	204
b. Rule 24(b)(1)(B): Rule-Based Conditional Right	204
B. Impact of Intervention on Subject Matter Jurisdiction	204
C. Appellate Review	205
CHECKPOINTS	205
Chapter 21 · Joinder Step Two: Original or Supplemental	
Subject Matter Jurisdiction Must Exist	207
JOINDER STEP TWO ROADMAP	207
A. Is There Original Subject Matter Jurisdiction Over the	
Additional Claim?	208

B. Is There Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	208
1. Step One: Is the Additional Claim Part of the Same "Case or	
Controversy" as an Anchor Claim?	209
2. Step Two: Even if the Additional Claim Is Part of the Same	
Case or Controversy, Does Section 1367(b) Bar Supplemental	
Jurisdiction Over It?	213
a. Is the Additional Claim Related to a Federal Question	
Claim?	213
i. What's Not Excluded by Section 1367(b)?	214
(a) No Claim Within Subsection 1367(a) Is Excluded	
if There Is at Least One Federal Question Anchor	
Claim	214
(b) No Claim Within Subsection 1367(a) by a Defendant	
or a Party Sought to Be Joined as a Defendant Is	
Excluded	214
(c) No Claim Within Subsection 1367(a) by a Single	
Plaintiff Against a Single Defendant Is Excluded	215
ii. What Claims Within Subsection 1367(a) Are Excluded?	215
3. Step Three: Does the District Court Have Discretion to	
Decline to Exercise Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the	
Additional Claim?	217
a. The Four Triggers to Exercise of Discretion in the	
Subparagraphs of Subsection 1367(c)	218
i. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Raises a Novel	
or Complex Issue of State Law	218
ii. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Substantially	
Predominates Over the Claims Over Which the Court	
Has Original Jurisdiction	218
iii. Dismissal of Additional Claims After the Court Has	
Dismissed all Claims Over Which It Had Original	
Jurisdiction	219
iv. Dismissal of an Additional Claim in Exceptional	
Circumstances	219
b. If One Subparagraph Is Met, What Informs the District	
Court's Discretion?	220
C. What Happens if the Court Dismisses Additional Claims?	220
CHECKPOINTS	221

Chapter 22 · Joinder Step Three: Personal Jurisdiction or	000
Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Exist Over Most Claims JOINDER STEP THREE ROADMAP	223
	223
A. Must There Be, and if so, Is There, Regular Personal Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	224
B. If Needed and There Is No Regular Personal Jurisdiction, Is	224
There Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	225
C. Assertion of Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Comport with	223
Due Process	226
CHECKPOINTS	227
Chapter 23 · Joinder Step Four: Venue or Pendent Venue	
Must Exist Over Some Claims	229
JOINDER STEP FOUR ROADMAP	229
A. Is Venue Proper Under the General Federal Venue Statute?	230
 Is Proper Venue Unnecessary Over the Additional Claim? a. Venue Is Not Required for a Counterclaim Against an 	230
Existing Plaintiff	230
b. Venue Is Not Required for a Claim Against a Party Joined	
Under Rule 13(h)	230
c. Venue Is Not Required for a Claim Against a Third-Party	
Defendant Joined Under Rule 14(a)	230
2. All Other Claims Require Proper Venue or, for Additional	
Claims, Pendent Venue	231
CHECKPOINTS	232
Chapter 24 · A Recap and Flow Chart of the Four Steps for	
Adding Claims or Parties	233
A. A Flow Chart for Applying Section 1367	233
B. The Charts Revisited	235
C. Some Examples with Explanations	236
1. Compulsory Counterclaims	236
2. Permissive Counterclaims	237
3. Joinder of Plaintiffs Under Rules 19 and 20	238
4. Joinder of a Defendant Under Rules 19 or 20	240
5. Joinder Under Rule 13(h) of a Counterclaim Defendant to a	
Counterclaim	241

6. Third-Party Practice Under Rule 14	242
7. Rule 18(a) Joinder of Claims	244
Chapter 25 · Notifying the Defendant of the Filing of Suit through	
Service, Waiver of Service of Process, or Substituted Service	245
NOTIFYING THE DEFENDANT ROADMAP	245
A. Formal Service or Request for Waiver of Formal Service	245
1. Formal Service of Process	246
a. Plaintiff's Counsel Fills Out the Forms	246
b. The Court Clerk Signs and Seals the Forms	246
c. Plaintiff's Lawyer Has Process Properly and Timely Served	247
d. The Process Server Completes Proof of Service	248
e. Plaintiff Files the Proof of Service	248
2. Request for Waiver of Service of Process	248
B. Constructive Service as an Alternative to Formal Service or	
Waiver of Formal Service	249
C. What's Next?	250
CHECKPOINTS	250
Chapter 26 · Summary of the Defendant's Options in	
Response to Being Sued	251
nosponso to zomy cood	
Chapter 27 · The Defendant's Initial Options	255
DEFENDANT'S INITIAL OPTIONS ROADMAP	255
A. Filing a Pre-Answer Motion Under Rule 12	256
B. Filing an Answer	256
C. Doing Nothing	257
D. Limits on the Defendant's Options	258
CHECKPOINTS	258
Chapter 28 · The Importance of Motions	259
MOTIONS ROADMAP	259
A Technical Requirements for Motions	260
A. Technical Requirements for Motions 1. Writing Caption, Grounds	260 260
1. Writing, Caption, Grounds	260
 Writing, Caption, Grounds Filing the Motion 	
 Writing, Caption, Grounds Filing the Motion Briefs, Affidavits, and Other Materials Filed with Motions, 	260 261
 Writing, Caption, Grounds Filing the Motion Briefs, Affidavits, and Other Materials Filed with Motions, Responses, or Replies 	260 261 262
 Writing, Caption, Grounds Filing the Motion Briefs, Affidavits, and Other Materials Filed with Motions, 	260 261

E. The Clerk Dockets Each Filing and the Judge Issues an Order	
Disposing of the Motion	265
CHECKPOINTS	266
Chapter 29 · When and Why Must a Defendant File an Answer	
or Rule 12 Motion?	267
FILE AN ANSWER OR A RULE 12 PRE-ANSWER	
MOTION ROADMAP	267
A. When and Why Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	268
B. What Must or Can Be Raised in a Rule 12 Motion?	268
CHECKPOINTS	270
Chapter 30 · Rule 12(b)(1) Motions to Dismiss for Lack of	
Subject Matter Jurisdiction	271
RULE 12(B)(1) ROADMAP	271
A. Two Forms of Motions	272
1. Facial Attacks	272
2. Factual Attacks	272
B. The Impact of the Court's Order	273
C. Doctrines Related to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	273
1. A Chart of Other Limits on Judicial Power	274
2. Requirements Originating from Article III's Case or	
Controversy Clause	275
a. Constitutional Standing	277
b. Ripeness	278
c. Mootness	279
i. The Exception to Mootness: Claims Capable of	
Repetition Yet Evading Review	279
3. Prudential Standing Requirements	280
4. Other Doctrines Related to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	280
a. Rooker-Feldman: Review of State Court Orders Go to the	
Supreme Court, Not Federal District Courts	281
b. Younger and Colorado River "Abstention"	282
c. Pullman Abstention	283
CHECKPOINTS	285
Chapter 31 · Rule 12(b)(2) Motions to Dismiss for Lack of Personal	
Jurisdiction	287
RULE 12(B)(2) ROADMAP	287

A. Promptly and Timely Objecting to Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	288
1. Two Types of Motions to Dismiss for Lack of Personal	
Jurisdiction	288
a. Facial Challenges	288
b. Factual Challenges	288
2. Waiving Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	289
a. Intentional Waiver	289
i. Pre-Dispute Forum Selection Clauses	289
ii. Post-Filing Deliberate Inaction	290
iii. Filing a Suit Waives Personal Jurisdiction over	
Compulsory Counterclaims	290
b. Inadvertent Waiver: Pleading Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	
in an Answer Is Not Enough	290
B. The Impact of Granting the Motion	291
C. Not Appearing and Later Collaterally Attacking the Judgment	
for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	291
CHECKPOINTS	292
Chapter 32 · Rule 12(b)(3) Motions to Dismiss for Improper	
Venue	293
RULE 12(B)(3) ROADMAP	293
A. Objections to Improper Venue Must Be Made Promptly or	
Are Waived	293
1. Inadvertent Waiver	293
a. Failing to Timely File a Pre-Answer Motion to Dismiss	
for Improper Venue	293
b. Including the Objection to Venue in a Pleading but	
Participating in Litigation Before Moving to Dismiss	294
2. Intentional Waiver	294
a. Post-Suit Deliberate Choice to Waive Objection	294
b. Pre-Dispute Forum Selection Clauses	294
B. Deciding Motions to Dismiss for Improper Venue	295
1. Burdens of Proof	295
2. If Venue Is Improper, the Court Must Either Dismiss or	
Transfer the Claim	296
3. Where Can the Court Transfer the Claim?	296
4. What if Venue Over Some Claims Is Proper?	297
5. No Second-Guessing by Transferee Court	297
CHECKPOINTS	297

Chapter 33 · Rule 12(b)(4) and (5) Motions to Dismiss for	
Insufficient Process	299
RULE 12(B)(4) ROADMAP	299
A. The Scope of These Two Rules	299
B. Procedure to Move to Dismiss Under Rule 12(b)(4) or (b)(5)	300
C. Waiver of Insufficient Service	300
D. The Impact of Adjudication	301
CHECKPOINTS	301
Chapter 34 · Rule 12(b)(6) Motions to Dismiss for Failing to	
State and Under Rule 12(c) for Judgment on the Pleadings	303
RULE 12(B)(6) ROADMAP	303
A. The Limitations on What a Court Can Consider	304
1. If the Defendant Submits Materials Outside the Complaint	
and the Court Considers Them, a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	
Must Be Treated as a Rule 56 Motion for Summary	
Judgment	304
a. What Is "Outside the Pleading"?	305
b. What if the Court Does Not Exclude, but Does Not	
Consider, Matters Outside the Complaint?	305
2. Rule 12(b)(6)'s Burden of Persuasion Requires Taking	
the Factual Allegations as True	306
a. An Example Applied to a Claim	306
b. Rule 12(b)(6) and Affirmative Defenses	307
B. Determining Whether the Pleading States a Claim	307
C. Procedure for Moving Under Rule 12(b)(6)	308
D. Responding to a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	308
E. The Rules Make It Difficult to Waive Dismissal for Failure to	
State a Claim	309
1. Rule 12(c)	309
a. The Window of Rule 12(c)	309
b. The Same Standards Under Rule 12(b)(6) Apply	
Under Rule 12(c)	310
i. Burden of Proof	310
ii. Conversion to a Rule 56 Motion for Summary	
Judgment	310
2. At Trial	310
F. The Impact of Adjudication of a Rule 12(c) Motion	311
CHECKPOINTS	311

Chapter 35 · Rule 12(b)(7) Motions to Dismiss for Failure to	
Join an Indispensable Party	313
A. Timing of Rule 12(b)(7) Motions	313
B. Facial or Factual Attacks Permitted	314
C. The Impact of Adjudication	314
Chapter 36 · Rule 12's Consolidation Requirement	315
THE CONSOLIDATION REQUIREMENT ROADMAP	315
A. A Party Can Easily Waive Personal Protections	316
B. Special Issues Under Rule 12	318
1. A Second Pre-Answer Motion Is Permitted Only if the	
Second Is to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	318
2. Compelling a Party to Abide by a Pre-Dispute Arbitration	
Clause	319
C. The Court Can Grant, Deny, or Carry a Rule 12 Motion	
with the Case	320
1. Impact of Granting a Rule 12 Motion	320
2. Impact of Denial of a Rule 12 Motion	321
3. Carrying the Motion with the Case	321
D. Should a Party Choose an Answer or a Rule 12 Motion?	321
E. Filing a Rule 56 Motion Should Not Postpone the Answer Date	322
CHECKPOINTS	322
Chapter 37 · The Defendant's Answer	325
ANSWER ROADMAP	325
A. Defense Counsel Must Investigate the Facts and Law	325
B. Defense Counsel Must Address Each Allegation in the Complaint	326
C. The Answer Must Plead Every Affirmative Defense	327
1. What Is an "Affirmative Defense"?	327
2. How Much Detail About an Affirmative Defense Must	
Be Pled in the Answer?	329
3. May the Defendant Be Inconsistent in Its Positions?	329
4. An Example of Pleading Affirmative Defenses in	
Pre-Iqbal/Twombly Fashion	330
D. Defense Counsel Must Plead Any Rule 12(b) Defenses	330
E. Defense Counsel Must Analyze Joinder of Claims and Parties	331
F. Defense Counsel Must Determine if a Jury Trial Is Available	
and Desired	331

G. Defense Counsel Must Timely File the Answer	331
CHECKPOINTS	332
Chapter 38 · The Scope and Requirements of Rule 11	333
RULE 11'S REACH ROADMAP	333
A. Rule 11 Covers All Filed Papers, but Not Discovery	333
B. Rule 11 Covers "Later Advocating" Earlier Statements	335
C. Two Ways to Raise Violations of Rule 11	335
1. Party's Motion for Sanctions	335
2. Court's Own Motion for Sanctions	336
D. The Proper Sanction Under Rule 11	336
1. A Violation Permits but Does Not Require Imposing	
Any Sanction	336
2. Who Can the Court Sanction?	337
3. Determining the "Appropriate" Sanction	338
E. Other Sources of the Power to Sanction	339
1. Section 1927	339
2. Inherent Power to Sanction	339
F. Sanctions Related to Discovery	340
CHECKPOINTS	340
Charles 20. Tomate for the property of the property of	
Chapter 39 · Transfer from a Proper Venue to a Proper and More Convenient Venue	2.41
VENUE TRANSFER ROADMAP	341
	341
A. How to Analyze a Section 1404(a) Motion to Transfer Venue	342
1. Venue Must Be Proper in the Transferor District	342
2. Venue in the Proposed Transferee District Must Be Proper	
and the Defendant Must Be Subject to Personal	2.42
Jurisdiction There	342
3. The Party Seeking to Transfer the Case Bears the Burden	
to Establish the Transferee District Is Clearly More	
Convenient	343
B. Procedure to Transfer Under Section 1404(a)	344
1. A Rule 12(b)(3) Motion Should Not Be Required to Transfer	
Under Section 1404(a)	345
2. Transfer, Not Dismissal, Is the Only Option	345
C. Intra-District, Inter-Divisional Transfer Under Section 1404(a)	345
CHECKPOINTS	346

Chapter 40 · Special Venue Issues	347
SPECIAL VENUE ISSUES ROADMAP	347
A. The Impact of Forum Selection Clauses	347
1. Enforceability and Interpretation	347
2. Interplay Between Forum Selection Clauses and Rule 12(b)(3)	348
B. Forum Non Conveniens	349
1. International Application of Forum <i>Non</i>	349
2. Narrow Domestic Application of Forum Non	351
3. Appellate Review	351
4. Waiver of Forum <i>Non</i>	351
C. Venue in Removed Actions	351
CHECKPOINTS	352
Chapter 41 · Amending Pleadings	353
AMENDING PLEADINGS ROADMAP	353
A. The General Rule Governing Amendment of Pleadings in	
Rule 15(a)	353
1. The Right to Amend	354
2. Agreement to Amend From the Opposing Parties	354
3. Moving for Leave to Amend	355
a. Rule 15(a)(2): The General Rule	355
B. The Procedure to Amend a Pleading	356
C. After Amendment Occurs	357
D. Rule 15(c) Relation Back of an Otherwise Time-Barred Claim	358
1. Rule 15(c)(1) Governs Relation Back	359
a. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(A)	359
b. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(B)	359
c. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(C)	361
i. Changing the Defendant or Defendant's Name	361
ii. Changing the Plaintiff	363
2. How the Relation Back Is Typically Litigated	364
CHECKPOINTS	364
Chapter 42 · Lawyer Responsibility for and Judicial	
Involvement in Case Management	365
Chapter 43 · The First Steps After Pleadings: Planning the Suit	367
AFTER PLEADINGS CLOSE ROADMAP	367

A. Rule 26(a)(1) Required Ini	itial Disclosures	368
B. The Rule 26(f) Conference		369
1. When	and written report	369
2. What		369
3. Why		373
C. Limited Discovery Before	the Rule 26(f) Conference	373
D. The Rule 16(b) Conference		373
E. Exchange of Required Init		375
1. Exemptions		375
2. When		375
3. What		375
4. Sanctions and Exclusion	n from Evidence for Violating	
the Rule		376
5. Supplementation Is Req	quired	376
F. Modifying a Rule 16 Scheo	luling Order Requires Good	
Cause Plus		377
1. Amending Pleadings A	fter the Deadline in the Scheduling	
Order: Good Cause Alc	one?	378
CHECKPOINTS		378
Chapter 44 · Disposition of Cl	laims Before Discovery	379
•	E DISCOVERY ROADMAP	379
A. Default Judgments		380
1. The Three Steps to Obta	ain a Default Judgment	380
-	he Failure to "Plead or Otherwise	
Defend"		380
i. Failure to Plead		380
ii. What Constitutes	"Failure to Otherwise Defend"?	381
b. Entry of Default and	Setting Entry of Default Aside	382
i. Entry of Default		382
ii. Setting Aside Enti	ry of Default	382
c. Entry of Default Judg	gment and Vacating Entry	382
i. Can the Clerk or l	Must the Judge Enter Default	
Judgment?		382
(a) What Is a "Sur	n Certain"?	383
(b) What Is an "Ap	ppearance"?	384
ii. Vacating a Defaul	t Judgment	384
B. Voluntary Dismissal		384

1. Voluntary Dismissal of a Complaint	385
a. Time for Filing Notice of Dismissal	385
b. Impact of Filing a Notice of Dismissal	385
2. One Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice	385
3. Dismissal by Stipulation of the Parties	386
a. Dismissal After Answer or Summary Judgment or	
Without All Parties' Consent: Court Approval Required	386
C. Involuntary Dismissal with Prejudice for Violating Rules or	
Orders	387
D. Dismissal of a Claim as a Result of Granting a Motion Under	
Rules 12(b)(6) or 12(c)	388
E. Settling the Case	388
CHECKPOINTS	389
Chapter 45 · The Basics of Choice of Law	391
BASICS OF CHOICE OF LAW ROADMAP	391
A. Vertical Choice of Law	391
B. Horizontal Choice of Law	392
C. The Complexities of Choice of Law	393
CHECKPOINTS	394
Chapter 46 · Vertical and Horizontal Choice of Law	395
CHOICE OF LAW ROADMAP	395
A. Federal Substantive and Federal Procedural Law Apply to Claims	
Created by Federal Law	395
B. State Substantive Law, but Federal Procedural Law, Applies to Clair	ms
Created by State Law	396
1. The <i>Erie</i> Decision: State Substantive Law Applies to State Law	
Claims Adjudicated in Federal Court	397
2. The Federal Power to Regulate Federal Procedure and	
Its Limitations	399
3. Where We Are Today	402
a. A Validly Enacted Rule Will Control Absent State	
Substantive Law to the Contrary	402
C. Horizontal Choice of Law: Identifying Which State's Law	
Applies and Then Determining the Content of that State's Law	403
1. Which State's Law Applies?	403
a. Choice of Law in Federal Courts: The General Rule	404

b. Choice of Law After Transfer of Venue to a Different Statei. Choice of Law After a Section 1404(a) Transfer to a	404
Proper and More Convenient District	405
ii. Choice of Law After a Section 1406(a) Transfer for	
Improper Venue or Lack of Personal Jurisdiction 2. How Does a Federal Court Determine the "Content" of	406
State Law?	406
3. Constitutional Limitations on Imposition of Substantive	
State Law	407
D. Appellate Review	407
CHECKPOINTS	408
Chapter 47 ⋅ The Purpose of Discovery and Lawyer	
Accountability for and Judicial Supervision of Discovery	409
DISCOVERY ACCOUNTABILITY AND SUPERVISION	409
A. Discovery's Role in Forcing Production of Information	410
B. Greater Lawyer Responsibility for and Judicial Management of	
Discovery	411
C. Lawyer Accountability for the Reasonableness of Discovery	
Requests	412
D. Lawyer Accountability for Reducing Discovery Disputes	412
CHECKPOINTS	412
Chapter 48 · Limits on the Scope of Discovery	413
LIMITS ON DISCOVERY	413
A. Relevancy and Proportionality Limit Discovery	414
B. Limits on Discovery of Even Relevant and Proportional	
Information	417
C. Privilege and Work Product Limit Discovery	418
1. The Rules Protect Privileged Information from Discovery	418
2. The Rules Give Attorney Work Product Qualified Protection	420
D. Enforcing the Limitations	424
CHECKPOINTS	424
Chapter 49 · Forms of Discovery	425
FORMS OF DISCOVERY ROADMAP	425
A. Requests for Production of Documents and Things	426
1. Obligations of the Requesting Party	426

2. Obligations of the Recipient	427
3. Obtaining Documents from Non-Parties by Rule 45	
Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i>	433
B. Requests for Inspection of Land and Other Locations to Parties or	
Non-Parties	434
C. Interrogatories to Parties	434
1. Serving Party's Obligations	434
2. Recipient's Obligations	435
D. Requests for Admission	436
1. Serving Party's Obligations	436
2. Recipient's Obligations	436
3. Withdrawal of an Admission	438
E. Depositions on Written Questions	438
1. Sender's Obligations	438
2. Recipient's Obligations	439
F. Oral Depositions	439
1. Overview	439
2. Procedure for Taking the Deposition of Parties or Non-Parties	439
3. Deposing a Natural Person Who Is Already a Party to the Suit	441
4. Deposing Corporations and Other Entities: Rule 30(b)(6)	442
a. The Procedure for Obtaining a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition	442
b. Issues Concerning the Designee's Testimony	443
5. Taking an Oral Deposition of a Non-Party by Subpoena	443
G. Physical and Mental Examinations	444
1. Obtaining an Order for an Examination	444
a. Who May Be Examined?	445
b. When Is a Condition "in Controversy"?	445
c. What Constitutes "Good Cause"?	445
H. Special Issues	446
1. E-Discovery	446
I. A Big Picture View of Discovery	446
CHECKPOINTS	447
Chapter 50 · Required Disclosures and Discovery From	
Testifying Expert Witnesses and Protection of Non-Testifying	4
Expert Witnesses	449
EXPERT WITNESSES ROADMAP	449

A. The Distinction Between the Opinions of Fact (or "Lay")	
Witnesses and Expert Witnesses	450
B. Disclosure Is Required Only Regarding Expert Testimony	
Covered by the Rules	451
1. Will the Party Call the Witness at Trial to Offer Expert	
Opinion?	451
2. The Two Categories of Testifying Expert Witnesses	451
3. When Required Expert Disclosures Must Be Made	452
4. What Must Be Disclosed for Each Category of Expert	453
a. Disclosure of the Identity of Each Testifying and	
Hybrid Expert	453
b. Disclosure of Reports or Summaries	453
i. What Must any Required Expert Report Contain?	453
ii. What Must any Summary of a Hybrid Expert Contain?	454
5. Disclosure of Rebuttal Experts	455
6. Each Party Must Supplement Expert Reports and Depositions	456
7. Incomplete or Late Disclosures	456
C. Protection of Discovery from Non-Testifying (aka Consulting)	
Experts	457
1. The Rule: No Discovery of Consulting Experts	457
2. The "Exceptional Circumstances" Exception	459
a. The Condition Is No Longer Observable and Could Not	
Have Been Observed by the Party Seeking Discovery	
from the Consulting Expert	459
b. The Event or Condition Can Be Recreated Only at	
Great Expense	460
c. There Is No Other Expert in the Field Available	460
CHECKPOINTS	460
Chamber 51 . Adjudication of Discovery Disputes	461
Chapter 51 · Adjudication of Discovery Disputes ADJUDICATION OF DISCOVERY DISPUTES	401
ROADMAP	461
A. Penalties for Noncompliance with Discovery Requests	401
and Disclosure Obligations	461
The Process for Adjudicating Discovery Disputes	462
2. Adjudicating a Party's Motion to Compel	463
a. The Process for Moving to Compel	463
a. The Frocess for Moving to Comper	103

b. Violating an Order Compelling Discovery3. Adjudication of a Party's or Non-Party's Motion for	464
Protective Order	465
CHECKPOINTS	467
Chapter 52 · Summary Judgment: Adjudication of Claims	
Before Trial but Usually AfterDiscovery Closes	469
SUMMARY JUDGMENT ROADMAP	469
A. When Motions for Summary Judgment Can Be and Typically	
Are Filed	472
B. What a Court May Consider in Adjudicating a Motion	
for Summary Judgment	472
C. The Burden of Persuasion Is on the Moving Party	473
1. The Burden of Persuasion	473
a. No Genuine Issue of Material Fact	473
i. When Is a Fact "Material"?	474
ii. When Is There a "Genuine Issue"?	474
b. When Is a Movant "Entitled to Judgment as a Matter	
of Law"?	475
c. Viewing the Facts in Favor of the Non-Movant	476
2. The Burden of Production, Not Persuasion, Is Usually	
What Matters	477
a. How Much Evidence Is Sufficient?	478
D. The Shifting Burdens of Production	479
1. The Movant's Initial Burden of Production to Shift It to the	
Responding Party	479
a. The Burden of Production if the Movant Does Not	
Have the Burden of Proof at Trial	480
b. The Burden of Production if the Movant Also Has the	
Burden of Proof at Trial	480
2. The Burden of Production Shifts Only if the Movant	
Meets Its Burden of Production	481
E. Explanations and a Flow Chart	482
1. Examples with Explanations	482
CHECKPOINTS	485
Chapter 53 • The Final Required Disclosures in The Pretrial Order	487
PRETRIAL ORDER ROADMAP	487

A. The Final Required Disclosures Under Rule 26(a)(3) B. The Common Procedure to Prepare the Pretrial Order and	487
Commonly Required Additional Materials	489
C. Impact of the Pretrial Order on Subsequent Events	489
CHECKPOINTS	491
Chapter 54 · Adjudication of Claims at Trial	493
ADJUDICATION OF CLAIMS BY TRIAL ROADMAP	493
A. Pretrial Motions in Limine	493
B. Juries	494
1. Can or Must a Jury Decide the Claim?	494
a. Is There a Right to Trial by Jury?	494
b. Was the Right Waived or, if Made, Can It Be Revoked?	495
c. The Court May Use a Jury on Non-Jury Questions, or	
Despite the Parties' Waiver of the Right to One	495
2. Jury Selection	496
a. Jury Pools	496
b. Jury Strikes	496
i. Striking for Cause	497
ii. Striking Peremptorily	497
c. The First Unstruck Twelve Potential Jurors Are Seated	
as the Jury	498
C. Trial	498
1. Turns and Burdens	498
2. Plaintiff's Case in Chief	499
3. Defendant's Turn	500
a. Defendant's Motion Under Rule 50(a): aka "Motion for	
Directed Verdict"	500
i. Timing of Rule 50(a) Motion	500
ii. Two Motions	501
iii. Movant's Burden of Proof	501
iv. Grant or Denial of Motion	503
4. Plaintiff's Rebuttal Evidence and Plaintiff's JMOL Against	
Defendant's Counterclaims or Affirmative Defenses	503
5. JMOL on Issues on Which the Movant Has the Burden	
of Proof	503
6. JMOL Examples with Explanations	504
D. Conferring on the Charge and Verdict Form	506

E. Closing Arguments	507
F. Charging the Jury	508
G. Jury Deliberation and Return of the Verdict	508
CHECKPOINTS	508
Chapter 55 · In the District Court After the Verdict	509
AFTER VERDICT ROADMAP	509
A. Procedures for a New Trial Based on Errors in the Verdict	
or Juror Misconduct	510
B. After the Jury Discharge the Verdict Winner Moves for Entry	
of Judgment	512
1. Process for Entry of Judgment	512
2. Form of Judgment	513
C. Within 28 Days of Entry of Judgment, the Verdict Loser Must	
File Any Motion for New Trial and Rule 50(b) Renewed	
Motion for JMOL	513
1. Post-Judgment Rule 50(b) JMOL Motions (aka "JNOV")	513
a. Predicate: Pre-Submission Rule 50(a) JMOL Motion	513
b. Scope of Post-Judgment Rule 50(b) Motion Is Limited	
to Pre-Verdict Rule 50(a) Motion	514
c. Burden of Proof	514
d. Impact of Rulings	515
2. Rule 59 Motions for New Trial	515
a. The Two Common Bases for New Trial Motions	515
b. New Trials Based Only on the Amount of Damages	517
i. Remittitur	517
ii. Additur: Unconstitutional in Federal Court	518
D. Rule 59(e) Motions to Amend the Judgment	518
E. What Must the Verdict and Judgment Winner Do?	519
1. Did the Verdict Deprive It of Any Relief?	519
2. Respond to the Loser's Motions	519
F. Impact of Entry of Judgment on Execution and Appeals	519
G. Possible Actions by the District Court and the Impact on Appeal	
CHECKPOINTS	523
Chapter 56 · Executing on a Judgment	525
OBTAINING RELIEF ROADMAP	525
A. Execution of Judgment and Discovery in Aid of Execution	525

`	g Appeal	526
CHECKP	OINTS	527
Chapter 57	· Appeals are Limited by the Final Judgment Rule	
and Its No	arrow Exceptions	529
FINAL J	UDGMENT RULE ROADMAP	529
A. Who C	an Appeal?	530
B. The Fin	al Judgment Rule and Its Few Exceptions	531
	ptions to the Final Judgment Rule	532
a. R	ule 54(b)	533
i.	Adjudication of at Least One of Multiple Claims	533
ii.	Express Determination There Is No Just Reason	
	for Delay	534
iii.	Express Entry of Final Judgment	535
iv.	Waiver of the Right to Seek Rule 54(b) Certification	536
	Appellate Review	536
	ollateral Orders	536
i.	Conclusive Determination	537
	An Important Issue Separate from the Merits	537
	Effectively Unreviewable After Appeal	537
c. O	rders Granting or Denying Injunctive Relief: 28 U.S.C.	
\$	1292(a)(1)	538
d. A	ppeals under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)	539
i.	Requirements	540
	(a) Controlling Question of Law	540
	(b) Substantial Ground for Difference of Opinion	540
	(c) Interlocutory Appeal May Materially Advance	
	Litigation	541
ii.	Process in the District Court	541
iii.	Process in the Appellate Court	541
	Waiver	542
e. M	Iandamus	542
C. The Big	Picture	543
CHECKP	OINTS	543
Chapter 58	· A Brief Word on Appeals	545
APPEAL	SROADMAP	545

A. Timing of Filing of Notice of Appeal	545
B. The Appellate Process	546
1. Reversible Error and Harm Must Be Established	546
a. Standards of Review	546
b. Harmful Error Is Required to Reverse	547
2. Affirm, Reverse, Render or Remand	548
3. Panel Reconsideration and Rehearing En Banc	548
C. Petition for Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court	549
CHECKPOINTS	549
Chapter 59 · Attacking a Judgment in District Court under	
Rule 60(b)	551
RULE 60(B) ROADMAP	551
A. Motions to Reopen the Judgment under Rule 60(b)	551
B. The Six Narrow Bases of Rule 60(b) and the Short Time Limits	552
C. Adjudication of Rule 60(b) Motions	552
1. Rule 60(b)(1): Mistake, Inadvertence, Surprise, or	
Excusable Neglect	552
2. Rule 60(b)(2): Newly Discovered Evidence	553
3. Rule 60(b)(3): Fraud	554
4. Rule 60(b)(4): Judgment is Void	554
5. Rule 60(b)(5): Judgment Has Been Satisfied, Released,	
Discharged, Reversed, or Become Inequitable	555
6. Rule 60(b)(6): The Narrow Catch-all	555
CHECKPOINTS	556
Chapter 60 · After Appeal: Claim and Issue Preclusion	557
CLAIM AND ISSUE PRECLUSION ROADMAP	557
A. Choice of Law	558
B. Claim Preclusion	559
1. Purpose of Claim Preclusion	559
2. The Elements of Claim Preclusion	560
a. Could the Later-Asserted Claim Have Been Brought in	
the First Suit?	560
b. Should the Later-Asserted Claim Have Been Asserted in	
the First Suit: Is It the "Same Claim" or Closely Related	
to One in the Earlier Suit?	561

i. Is the Later-Asserted Claim so Identical to or Closely	
Related to One in the Earlier Suit that It "Should	
Have Been" Brought Earlier?	561
ii. Was the Later-Asserted Claim a Compulsory	
Counterclaim in the First Suit?	563
iii. Could the Later-Asserted Claim Have Been a	
Defense to the First Suit That, if Successful,	
Would Nullify Rights Established in the First Suit?	563
c. If the Party Bringing the Later-Asserted Claim Was	
Not a Party to the First Suit, Is It Nonetheless Bound	
by the Prior Judgment Because It Is in "Privity" with	
a Party to the First Suit?	564
d. Did the First Suit End with a Final Judgment "On	001
the Merits"?	566
C. Issue Preclusion	567
Purpose of Issue Preclusion	567
2. Elements of Issue Preclusion	568
a. Was the Same Issue Litigated and Actually Determined	000
in the First Suit?	568
b. Was the Issue Essential to the Judgment in the First Case,	
Such That the Judgment Could Not Have Issued Without	
That Issue Having Been Decided?	569
c. Was There a Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits in the	
First Suit?	571
d. Can Issue Preclusion Be Applied Against the Party in	
the Second Suit?	572
e. Can the Party in the Second Suit Assert Issue Preclusion?	572
D. Procedure for Litigating Claim Preclusion	574
1. Claim Preclusion is "Res Judicata" and So Must Be Pled as an	
Affirmative	574
2. Ordinarily Claim Preclusion Can Be Resolved by Moving for	
Summary Judgment	575
E. Procedure for Litigating Issue Preclusion	575
1. Pleading Requirements	575
a. Because Issue Preclusion Is "Res Judicata" It Must Be	
Pled as an Affirmative Defense Under Rule 8(c)	575
b Offensive Use: Must Plaintiff Plead Issue Preclusion?	575

 2. Litigating Issue Preclusion by Moving for Summary Judgment F. Special Issues 1. Co-Pending Suits with Same Claims CHECKPOINTS 	576 576 576 577		
PART C			
Special Procedures for Resolving Similar Claims among Many Parties			
Chapter 61 · An Introduction to Class and Mass Actions	581		
A. Class Actions	582		
1. The Rules Governing Class Actions	582		
2. Impact of CAFA	583		
B. Multi-District Consolidation: 28 U.S.C. § 1407	584		
Mastering Civil Procedure Master Checklist	585		
Index	593		

TABLE OF CASES

A. Kraus & Son v. Benjamin Moore & Co., 107 Aamot v. Kassel, 386 Abbott Labs. v. Gardner, 278 Acevedo-Garcia v. Vera-Monroig, 526 Achtman v. Kirby, McInerney & Squire, LLP, 211 ACLU of Nev. v. Lomax, 279 Acri v. Varian Assocs., Inc., 219 Action Embroidery Corp. v. Atlantic Embroidery, Inc., 225 Adams v. AlliedSignal General Aviation Avionics, 300 Advance Financial Corp. v. Utsey, 376 Advanced Magnetics v. Bayfront Partners, 534 Aequitron Medical, Inc. v. CBS, Inc., 364 Aetna Health, Inc. v. Davila, 29, 33 Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hosp. & Training School for Nurses, 459 Aguayo v. AMCO Ins. Co., 108, 114 Ahern v. Scholz, 548 Ahrenholz v. Bd. of Trustees of Univ. of Ill., 540 Ajifu v. Int'l Ass'n. of Machinists & Aerospace Workers, 388	Alexander Proudfoot Co. World Headquarters L.P. v. Thayer, 401 Allen v. Wright, 277, 280 Allred v. Moore & Peterson, 288 Allstate Ins. v. Hague, 407 Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hugh Cole Builder, Inc., 180 Alper v. U.S., 443 Alpine View Co. v. Atlas Copco AB, 350 Alvarez v. Simmons Mkt. Research Bureau, Inc., 388 Am. Cyanamid Co. v. McGhee, 385 Am. Express Travel Related Serv. Co., Inc. v. Beaumont, 180 Am. Reliable Ins. Co. v. Navratil, 407 Am. Reliable Ins. Co. v. Stillwell, 281 Amway Corp. v. Procter & Gamble Co., 454 Anderson v. Docuport, Inc., 167 Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 474, 482 Angelo v. Armstrong World Indus., Inc., 500 Apotex, Inc. v. Food & Drug Admin., 562 Arbegast v. Bd. of Ed. Of S. New Berlin Central H.S., 480 Arizonans for Official English v.
Aldrich v. New York, 571	Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona, 279

Armotek Indus., Inc. v. Employers Batts v. Tow-Motor Forklift Co., 555 Ins., 530 Baumgart v. Fairchild Aircraft Corp., Arons v. Lalime, 128 350 Asahi Metal Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Beattie v. U.S., 231 Superior Ct. of Ca., 76 Beeck v. Aquaslide 'n' Dive Corp., ASARCO Inc. v. Kadish, 50 Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 135 Beighley v. Federal Deposit Ins. Atchinson v. Dist. of Columbia, 356 Corp., 114 Belcher v. Basset Furn. Indus., 434 Atlanta Shipping Corp. v. International Modular House., Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 135 Inc., 113 Bell v. City of Kellogg, 530 Bell v. Hood, 25 Atlantic Marine Const. Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Court for Western Dist. Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign of Texas, 348 Market Place L.L.C., 40 Audette v. Isaksen Fishing Corp., Bel-Ray Co. v. Chemrite Ltd., 291 Beneficial Nat'l Bank v. Andersen. Avco Corp. v. Aero Lodge No. 735, Int'l Ass'n of Machinists, 33 Benham v. Rice, 446 Berhard v. Bank of Am., 573 Avita v. Metropolitan Club, 141 Bhaya v. Westinghouse Elec. Corp., B. Fernandez & HNOS, Inc. v. Kellogg USA, Inc., 203, 205 Bias v. Advantage Int'l., Inc., 474 B & B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Bird v. Carteret Mortgage Corp., 107 Indus., Inc., 568, 569 Bird v. Parsons, 81 Bain v. MJJ Prods., Inc., 553 Blake v. Gov't of V.I. Dept. of Baker v. Gold Seal Liquors, Inc., 171 Housing, 537 Bancroft & Masters, Inc. v. Augusta Blakey v. Continental Airlines, Inc., Nat'l, Inc., 76 517 Bank of Am. Nat'l Trust & Savs. Blockbuster, Inc. v. Galeno, 584 Ass'n. v. Nilsi, 192 Blonder-Tongue Labs., Inc. v. Univ. Bank of Augusta v. Earle, 64 of Ill., 573 Banks v. Office of Senate Sergeant-Bockweg v. Anderson, 576 at-Arms, 433 Boeing Co. v. Shipman, 502 Barab v. Menford, 180 Bonerb v. Richard J. Caron Found., Barrett v. Lombardi, 289 355, 360 Base Metal Trading, Ltd. v. OJSC Bowler v. U.S. Immigration and "Novokuznetsky Aluminum Naturalization Serv., 339 Factory", 89 Boyer v. Snap-on Tools Corp., 103

Bradgate Assocs. Inc. v. Fellows, Read & Assocs., Inc., 127 Branch v. Tunnell, 305 Braud v. Transp. Serv. Co. of Ill., 362 Braun v. Lorillard Inc., 458-460 Bremen v. Zapata Off- Shore Co., 289, 295, 347 Breuer Elec. Mfg. Co. v. Toronado Sys., Inc., 382 Brinderson-Newberg Joint Venture v. Pacific Erectors, 297 Brink v. First Credit Resources, 362 Brokopp v. Ford Motor Co., 507 Brooks v. Vassar, 279 Broussard v. Columbia Gulf Transmission Co., 189 Brown v. Capitol Air, Inc., 334 Brown v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 65 Brown v. McBro Planning & Dev. Co., 518 Budinich v. Becton Dickinson & Co., 401, 402 Burden v. Gen'l Dynamics Corp., 103 Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz, 54, Burke v. General Motors Corp., 113 Burke v. Smith, 402 Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct., 430 Burnham v. Superior Court of Cal., Bus. Guides, Inc. v. Chromatic Communs. Enterp., Inc., 126

Byrne v. Nezhat, 339

403

Caiola v. Berkshire Med. Ctr., Inc.,

Cal. Scents v. Surco Prods., Inc., 495 Calder v. Iones, 73, 75 Calderon Rosado v. General Elec. Circuit Breakers, Inc., 576 Calderone v. U.S., 480 Calista Enterprises Ltd. v. Tenza Trading Ltd, 224 Cannon Mfg. Co. v. Cudahy Packing Co., 80 Canny v. Dr. Pepper/Seven-Up Bottling Group, Inc., 514 Carden v. Arkoma Assocs., 40, 262 Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill, 219 Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute, 64, 289 Carson v. Am. Brands, Inc., 538 Carter v. Macon Manor NRC, LLC, 383 Caruso v. Coleman Co., 433 Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 118, 119 Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams, 28 Catlin v. U.S., 532 Celotex v. Catrett, 480 Century Commodity Corp. v. Data-Trend Commodities Inc., 35 Certain Underwriters at Lloyds, London v. Warrantech Corp., 220 Chambers v. Capital Cities/ABC, 428 Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 339 Chapman v. Barry, 40 Chase Manhattan Bank v. Celotex Corp., 564 Chauffers, Teamsters Helpers Local No. 391 v. Terry, 494 Chiquita Int'l Ltd. v. M/V Bolero Reefer, 460

Competitive Tech. Inc. v. Fujitsu

Compuserve, Inc. v. Patterson, 81

Ltd., 290

Concession Consultants, Inc. v. Christian v. Mattel, Inc., 130 Chrysler Corp. v. Carey, 464 Mirisch, 293 Cima v. Wellpoint Healthcare Conk v. Richard & O'Neil LLP, 107 Networks, Inc., 318 Conley v. Gibson, 135 Clark v. Exxon Corp., 355 Continental Cas. Co. v. Am. Nat'l Clark v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co., 319 Ins. Co., 47 Continental Cas. Co. v. Howard, Clements v. Airport Auth. of 518 Washoe County, 576 Controlotron Corp. v. Perry Printing Cliff v. Payco Gen'l Am. Credits, Corp., 295 Inc., 363 Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay, 537 Clough v. Rush, 513 Cooter & Gell v. Hartmarx Corp., Coast Fed. Bank, FSB v. United 126, 127 States, 541 Coover v. Saucon Valley Sch. Dist., Cohen v. Bd. of Trustees of the Univ. 566 of Med. & Dentistry of N.J., 539 Correia v. Fitzgerald, 510 Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Cory v. Aztec Steel Building, Inc., Corp., 536 66,69 Cohen v. Office Depot, Inc., 401 Cottman Transmission Sys., Inc. v. Cohen v. Republic of the Philippines, Martino, 97 203 Coury v. Prot, 38 Coleman v. Conseco, Inc., 107 Coventry Sewage Assocs. v. Dworkin Coleman v. Milwaukee Bd. of School Realty Co., 43, 45 Credit Co. v. Aaron-Lincoln Directors, 248 Collision v. Int'l Chem. Workers Mercury, 113 Union, Local 217, 518 Cullen v. Margiotta, 535 Colorado River Water Conserv. Dist. Cunningham v. Outten, 570 v. U.S., 282 Cunningham v. Rothery, 305 Com/Tech Commn. Tech., Inc. v. Curtis Management Group, Inc. v. Academy of Motion Picture Arts Wireless Data Sys., Inc., 402 Commercial Nat'l Bank v. Demos. & Sciences, 191 Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 81 198 Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. First National Supermarkets, Inc., Daimler AG v. Bauman, 62, 79, 80 422 DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno, 277,

278

DakColl, Inc. v. Grand Central

Graphics, Inc., 300

Dalminter, Inc. v. Jessie Edwards, Inc., 384 Danial v. Daniels, 44 Daniel v. Am. Bd. Of Emergency Med., 97 Dartmouth Review v. Dartmouth College, 308 Datskow v. Teledyne, Inc., Continental Products Div., 300 Davis v. Precoat Metals, 415, 463 D.C. Electronics, Inc. v. Nartron Corp., 385 De Aguilar v. Boeing Co., 108 De Antonio v. Solomon, 381 Debreceni v. Bru-Jell Leasing Corp., Devlin v. Transp. Communications Int'l Union, 518 DeWeerth v. Baldinger, 555 D.H. Blair & Co. v. Gottdiener, 64, 343 Diamond Shamrock Oil & Gas v. Comm'r of I.R.S., 199 Dickson v. Murphy, 188 Digital Equip. Corp. v. Desktop Direct Inc., 536, 538 Digital Props., Inc. v. City of Plantation, 278 Dimick v. Schiedt, 518 Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 281 DiStefano v. Carozzi N. Am., Inc., 288 Dobrick-Peirce v. Open Options,

Inc., 99

Doe v. Kerwood, 115

Don King Productions, 199

Donaldson v. Clark, 127

Donson Stores, Inc. v. American Bakeries Co., 422 Dove v. Wash. Area Metro. Transit Auth., 356 Drexel Heritage Furnishings, Inc. v. Furniture USA Inc., 429 Drooger v. Carlisle Tire & Wheel Co., 392 Duha v. Agrium, Inc., 351 Dupre v. Fru-Con Eng'g, Inc., 531 Dusenberry v. U.S., 246 Eagle v. American Tel. and Tel. Co., 48 Eastway Const. Corp. v. City of New York, 130 ECDC Envtl., L.C. v. New York Marine and Gen. Ins. Co., 422 Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co., Edwards v. Occidental Chem. Corp., E.E.O.C. v. Peabody West. Coal Co., 187 EFCO Corp. v. Iowa Ass'n of Bus. & Indus., 33 Effjohn Int'l Cruise Holdings, Inc. v. A & L Sales, Inc., 382 Effron v. Sun Line Cruises, Inc., 289 Ehorn v. Sunken Vessel Known as "Rosinco", 89 E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Phillips Petroleum Co., 526 Eichenholtz v. Brennan, 344 Elk Grove Unified School Dist. v. Newdow, 280 EMI April Music, Inc. v. 1064 Old River Rd., Inc., 553

296

Empire Healthchoice Assurance, Inc. Fitzgerald v. Seaboard Systems Railroad, Inc., 39 v. McVeigh, 31 Empresa Lineas Maritamas FleetBoston Fin. Corp. v. FleetBostonFinancial.com, 85 Argentinas, S.A. v. Schichau-Unterweser A.G., 350 Fletcher-Harlee Corp. v. Pote English v. Cowell, 314 Concrete Contractors, Inc., 309 Flight Extenders, Inc. v. Lakewood Ennis v. Queen Ins. Co. of Am., 113 Enron Oil Corp. v. Diakuhara, 553 Aircraft Serv., Inc., 182 EP Operating Ltd. Partnership v. Foman v. Davis, 355, 364 Placid Oil Co., 318 Ford v. Elsbury, 534 Equal Employment Opp'y Comm'n. Foster Poultry Farms, Inc. v. Int'l v. Peabody Western Coal Co., 190 Bus. Mach. Corp., 120 Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 398 Franchise Tax Bd. Of Cal. v. Constr. ESAB Group, Inc. v. Centricut, Inc., Laborers Vacation Trust, 29 69, 70, 76, 226 Freeport-McMoRan, Inc. v. KN Energy, Inc., 204 Espinoza v. U.S., 248 Executive Software N. Am., Inc. v. Friedman v. New York Life Ins. Co., U.S. Dist. Ct., 218, 219 48 ExxonMobil Corp. v. Allapattah Frier v. City of Vandalia, 562 Serv., Inc., 35, 46, 153, 154, 209, Fruend v. Nycomed Amersham, 514 238 Fuddruckers, Inc. v. KCOB I, LLC, ExxonMobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp., 281 Fuesting v. Zimmer, Inc., 513 F.D.I.C. v. Bathgate, 177 Gardiner v. V.I. Water & Power F.D.I.C. v. LeGrand, 526 Auth., 192 Fed. Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Moitie, 566 Gargallo v. Merrill Lunch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, 561 Fed. Ins. Co. v. Tyoco Int'l, Ltd., 103 Federated Mut. Ins. Co. v. Garner v. Wolfinbarger, 540 McKinnon Motors, LLC, 45 Garr v. U.S. Healthcare, Inc., 127 Federico v. Order of St. Benedict in Gasperini v. Ctr. for Humanities, Inc., 402 R.I., 547 Ferens et ux. v. John Deere Co., 405 Gen'l Contracting & Trading Co., Ferrell v. Pierce, 569 L.L.C. v. Interpole, Inc., 291 General Electric Co. v. Marvel Rare Fietzer v. Ford Motor Co., 496 Fink v. Foley-Belsaw Co., 515 Metals Co., 230 First of Michigan Corp. v. Bramlet, Gerling Int'l Ins. Co. v. Comm'r of

Internal Rev., 428

Ginett v. Computer Task Group, 534 Glaser v. Enzo Biochem, Inc., 356 Glencore Grain Rotterdam B.V. v. Shivnath Rai Harnarain Co., 88 Global Satellite Commun. Co. v. Starmill U.K. Ltd., 115 Go-Video, Inc. v. Akai Elec. Co., Ltd., 83 Goel v. Heller, 567 Goldlawr, Inc. v. Heiman, 291, 296 Goldwater v. Carter, 275 Gonzalez v. Chrysler Corp., 350 Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown, 62, 71, 80 Grable & Sons Metal Prods., Inc. v. Daure Engineering & Mfg., 29 Grace v. McArthur, 70 Great N. Ry. Co. v. Alexander, 112 Great Rivers Co-op. of S.E. Ia. v. Farmland Indus., Inc., 532 Great-West Life Annuity Ins. Co. v. Woldemicael, 187 Greater Yellowstone Coalition v. Bosworth, 344 Green v. Daimler Benz, A.G., 142 Green v. Lake of the Woods County, Greyhound Exhibit Group, Inc. v. E.L.U.L. Realty Corp., 382 Grindell v. Am. Motors Corp., 459 Grochowski v. Phoenix Constr. Co., Grossheim v. Freightliner Corp., 510 Grupo Dataflux v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 36 Guaranty Trust Co. v. York, 400 Gucci America, Inc., v. Gold Ctr. Jewelry, 553

Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp., 537 Gully v. First Nat'l Bank, 30 Gunn v. Minton, 32

Haas v. Jefferson Nat'l Bank, 189, 193 Hadges v. Yonkers Racing Corp., 127 Hamilton v. Atlas Turner, Inc., 290 Hanna v. Plumer, 399, 401 Hanson v. Denckla, 73, 74, 88 Harleysville Mut. Ins. Co. v. Packer, 272 Harris v. Balk, 86 Harriscom Svenska AB v. Harris Corp., 535 Harrison v. M.S. Carriers, Inc., 175 Hart v. Clayton-Parker and Assocs., Inc., 172 Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection & Ins. Co. v. Quantum Chem. Corp., 214, 233 Hartsel Springs Ranch of Co., Inc. v. Bluegreen Corp., 576 Harvey by Blankenbaker v. United Transp. Union, 575 Hawthorne Land Co. v. Occidental Chem. Corp., 377 Haynes v. Gasoline Marketers, Inc., Hays County Guardian v. Supple, Helzberg's Diamond Shops, Inc. v. Valley West Des Moines Shopping Center, Inc., 189, 193 Henderson v. U.S., 246, 248 Henshell Corp. v. Childerston, 95 Henson v. CSC Credit Servs., 305

128

Hurd v. American Hoist & Derrick Hermeling v. Montgomery Ward & Co., 457 Co., 504 Hermes Consol., Inc. v. United Hurley v. Motor Coach Indus., 117 States, 541 Hermsdorfer v. Am. Motors Corp., Iglesias v. Mut. Life Ins. Co., 171 Ill. Central R. Co. v. Parks, 567, 569 Illinois Central Gulf Railroad v. Herrera v. Reicher, 570 Herrick v. SCS Commun., Inc., 40 Parks, 567 Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 39 In re 60 East 80th St. Equities, Inc., Hess v. Pawloski, 66 Hester Indus., Inc. v. Tyson Foods, In re Advanta Corp. Securities Litig., Inc., 386 In re Air Crash Disaster Near New H.F. Livermore Corp. v. Aktiengesellschaft Gebruder Orleans, La., 351 Loeppfe, 384 In re Arthur Treacher's Franchisee Hickman v. Taylor, 420 Litig., 530 Hidalgo v. Fagen, 498 In re Bank of N.Y. Derivative Litig., Hilton v. Braunskill, 526 204 Hodgson v. Gilmartin, 99, 348 In re Blackwater Security Hoffman v. Blaski, 342 Consulting, LLC, 33 Hogan v. Consolidated Rail Corp., In re Briscoe, 119 In re Cendant Corp. Sec. Litig., 423 Holmes Group, Inc. v. Vornado Air In re Clerici, 526 Circulation Sys., 120 In re Daily, 571 Holmgren v. State Farm Mut. Ins. In re Dierschke, 382 Co., 423 In re First T.D. & Inv., Inc., 536 Holston Investments, Inc. B.V.I. In re Flor, 541 v. LanLogistics Corp., 877 F.3d In re Keegan Mgmt. Co., Sec. Litig., 1068, 39 339 Home Ins. Co. v. Thomas Indus., In re Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc., 454 In re Sealed Case, 417, 419, 433 Inc., 296 Honaker v. Smith, 505 In re Shell Oil Refinery, 460 Horizon Unlimited, Inc. v. Richard In re Silicon Graphics, Inc. Securities Silva & SNA, Inc., 338 Litig., 305 Howard v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., In re Zyprexa Prods. Liability Litig., Hunter v. Earthgrains Co. Bakery, Indianapolis Colts v. Mayor & City

Council of Baltimore, 198

Industrial Hard Chrome, Ltd. v. Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co., 211 Hetran, Inc., 443 Jones v. GNC Franchising, Inc., 347 Ins. Co. of the West v. United States, Jones v. Weibrecht, 289 541 Justice v. Atchison, Topeka and Intamin Ltd. v. Magnetar Tech., Santa Fe Ry. Co., 109 Jyachosky v. Winter, 296 Corp., 128 Intera Corp. v. Henderson, 289 International Shoe Co. v. Kalb v. Feuerstein, 50 Washington, 71 Karibian v. Village Green Mgmt. Interstate Life Assurance Co. v. Co., 219 Sedlak, 197 Kendall v. GES Exposition Servs., Inc., 435 Int'l Science & Technology Institute, Inc. v. Inacom Comms., Inc., 24 Kenneth Leventhal & Co. v. Joyner Wholesale Co., 180 Ivey v. Wilson, 531 Kerobo v. Southwestern Clean Fuels, Corp., 341 J. McIntyre Mach. Ltd. v. Nicastro, Kerr v. United States Dist. Court, J&J Celcom v. AT&T Wireless Serv., 542 Inc., 456 Key Bank v. Tablecloth Textile Co., J.A. Olson Co. v. City of Winona, 39 383 Jackson v. West Telemarketing Corp. King v. Pratt & Whitney, 443 Outbound, 406 Kircher v. Putnam Funds Trust, 119 Jaffe v. Accredited Surety & Cas. Co., Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co., Inc., 567 404 Jaffe v. Redmond, 419 Kline v. Burke Constr. Co., 20 Jean Alexander Cosmetics, Inc. v. Klipsch, Inc. v. WWR Tech., Inc., L'Oreal USA, Inc., 571 576 Jenkins v. National Union Fire Ins. Kneeland v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Co. of Pa., 113 Ass'n, 205 Jewell v. Grain Dealers Mut. Ins. Co., Kugler v. Helfant, 283 Kulko v. Superior Court, 74 Jin v. Ministry of State Security, 212, 218 Laber v. Harvey, 355 John v. Sotheby's Inc., 199 Laborers' Welfare Fund v. Lowery, Johnson v. De Grandy, 281 290 Johnson v. Heublein Inc., 115 Laguna v. Am. Export Isbrandtsen Johnson v. Oroweat Foods Co., 355 Lines, 490

Lake v. Jones, 576

Jones v. Bock, 307

Landon v. Hunt, 128 Lively v. Wild Oats Markets, Inc., Langadinos v. Am. Airlines, Inc., 308 Langsam-Borenstein Partnership by Lomano v. Black, 97 Langsam v. NOC Enterp., Inc., 182 Long Term Capital Holdings v. U.S., Larsen v. Senate of Commonwealth of Penn., 538 Los Angeles Branch NAACP v. Los Latino v. Kazer, 517 Angeles Unified School Dist., 562 Lauro Lines S.R.L. v. Chasser, 537 Louisville & Nashville R.R. v. Lavender v. Kurn, 504 Mottley, 27 Lawler v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co., Lovell v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 48 Lowdermilk v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Layman v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co., 328 Ass'n., 42 Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 278 Leatherman v. Tarrant County Narcotics Intell. & Coord. Unit, Lundquist v. Precision Valley Aviation, 38 Lutomski v. Panther Valley Coin Lee v. Trans Am. Trucking Serv., Inc., 38 Exchange, 384 Legault v. Zambarano, 334 Legg v. Chopra, 402 Malautea v. Suzuki Motor Co., Ltd., Leroy v. Great W. United Corp., 92 Lesnik v. Public Industrials Manguno v. Prudential Property & Cas. Ins. Co., 44 Corporation, 230 Leveto v. Lapina, 307 Marder v. Lopez, 305 Marex Titanic, Inc. v. Wrecked and Lewis v. Cont'l Bank Corp., 279 Lewis v. Herrman's Excavating, Inc., Abandoned Vessel, 86 Markham v. Allen, 280 Lewis v. Time Inc., 495 Markhorst v. Rigid, Inc., 363 Markvicka v. Broadhead-Garrett Lewis v. U.S. Slicing Mach. Co., 135 Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Wetzel, 532, Co., 180 534 Marriott Int'l Resorts, L.P. v. U.S., Lieb v. Topstone Indus. Inc., 127, 339 Lifshitz v. Walter Drake & Sons, Inc., Marsh v. Coleman Co., 361 Martens v. Smith Barney, Inc., 542 514 Lind v. Schenley Indus., Inc., 516 Martin v. Automobili Lamborghini Lindsay v. Gov't Employees Ins. Co., Exclusive, Inc., 340 211, 213, 217 Martin v. Delaware Law School of Widener Univ., 318 Link v. Wabash R.R., 387

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and Martino v. McDonald's Sys., Inc., 563 Smith, Inc. v. ENC Corp., 192 Mas v. Perry, 36 Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Smith, Inc. v. Manning, 28, 32 Zenith Radio Corp., 483 Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 33 Mattel, Inc. v. Bryant, 192 M.F. Patterson Dental Supply v. Matter of Gober, 569, 571 Wadley, 142 Matter of Yagman, 339 Miami Herald Pub. Co. Div. of Matthews v. Brookstone Stores, Inc., Knight-Ridder Newspapers, Inc. 290 v. Ferre, 115 Max Arnold & Sons, LLC v. W.L. Middle Tenn. News Co. v. Charnel of Cincinnati, Inc., 47 Hailey & Co., 306 McCann v. Newman Irrevocable Middlesex County Ethics Comm. v. Garden State B. Ass'n., 282 Trust, 37, 272 McCauley v. Ford Motor Co., 44 Miedema v. Maytag Corp., 584 McClaughlin v. Fellows Gear Shaper Minn. Supply Co. v. Raymond Corp., Co., 507 McCurtain County Production Mitchell v. Forsyth, 538 Corp. v. Cowett, 116 Mitrano v. Hawes, 97, 98 McFarlin v. Conseco Serv., LLC, 542 Moe v. Avions Marcel Dassault-McGee v. Int'l Life Ins. Co., 74 Breguet Aviation, 20 McGinn v. Burlington Northern Mohr v. Margolis, Ainsworth & R.R. Co., 481 Kinlaw Consulting, Inc., 295 McKeel v. City of Pine Bluff, 531 Monahan v. Holmes, 90 McKey v. Fairbairn, 490 Monfore v. Phillips, 491 McKinnon v. City of Berwyn, 501 Montana v. U.S., 559 Med. Mut. of Ohio v. de Soto, 226 Montecatini Edison, S.P.A. v. Ziegler, Meehan v. Snow, 553 174 Moore v. Baker, 360 Mendez v. Teachers Ins. & Annuity Ass'n, 198 Moore v. Dixon, 97, 231 Mennonite Bd. Of Missions v. Moore v. Permanente Med. Group, Adams, 249 Inc., 115 Mercantile Capital Partners v. Moore v. Western Surety Co., 338 Agenzia Sports, Inc., 97 Moreland v. Barrette, 403 Meridian Sec. Ins. Co. v. Sadowski. Morgan v. City of New York, 422 Morongo Band of Mission Indians v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc. v. Ca. St. Bd. of Equalization, 198 Morris v. Princess Cruises, Inc., 105 Thompson, 28

Morris v. Wachovia Sec., Inc., 129
Mosley v. General Motors Corp., 166
Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank &
Trust Co., 245
Munoz v. England, 297
Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe
Stringing, Inc., 110

Musser v. Gentiva Health Serv., 456 N. Ind. Gun & Outdoor Shows, Inc. v. South Bend, 305 NAACP, Detroit Branch v. Detroit Police Officers Assoc., 568 Naghiu v. Inter-Continental Hotels Group, Inc., 142 NAS Elecs., Inc. v. Transtech Elecs. Pte Ltd., 377 Nat'l Dev. Co. v. Triad Holding Corp., 247 Nat'l Equip. Rental, Ltd. v. Szukhent, 289 Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa. v. Aerowhawk Aviation, Inc., 318 National Org. for Women v. Mutual of Omaha Ins. Co., 48 Nat'l Park Hospitality Ass'n. v. Dep't of Interior, 278 Nelson v. Kefer, 44 New Jersey Sports Prods., Inc. v. Don King Prods., Inc., 198 New Mexico v. Trujillo, 534 New York v. Cyco.net, Inc., 99 New York Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 548 New York State Nat. Organization for Women v. Terry, 538

Newman-Green, Inc. v. Alfonzo-

Larrain, 38

Nivens v. Gilchrist, 282–284 Nixon v. U.S., 275 Norris v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 111 Nowak v. Tak How Investments, Ltd., 78 N.Y. Life Ins. Co. v. Brown, 380, 381 NYLife Distribs., Inc., v. Adherence Group, Inc., 199

O'Brien v. City of Syracuse, 562 Ocean Atlantic Dev. Corp. v. Willow Treat Farm L.L.C., 328, 329 Ohio Forestry Ass'n, Inc. v. Sierra Club, 278 Olympia Equipment Leasing Co. v. Western Union Tel. Co., 526 Olympic Sports Prods., Inc. v. Universal Athletic Sales Co., 407 Omni Capital Int'l, Ltd. v. Rudolf Wolff & Co., 246 One Beacon Ins. Co. v. JNB Storage Trailer Rental Corp., 230 Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders, 416 Orb Factory Ltd. v. Design Science Toys, Ltd., 294 Osborn v. Bank of the U.S., 25 Owen Equipment & Erection Co. v.

Pacitti v. Macy's, 416
Palcko v. Airborne Express, Inc., 319
Pan Am. World Airways, Inc. v.
Lopez, 351
Panavision Int'l, L.P. v. Toeppen, 81
Paparelli v. Prudential Ins. Co., 443
Parke-Chapley Constr. Co. v.

Kroger, 242

Cherrington, 551

Parker v. Colombia Pictures Indus., 377 Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore, 567, 572, 573 Patterson v. MacDougall, 191 Payne v. Brake, 382 PdP Parfums de Paris v. Int'l Fragrances, 142 Peabody v. Hamilton, 70 Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy, 72, 81 Pelletier v. Zweifel, 338 Penn Millers Ins. Co. v. U.S., 363 Pennoyer v. Neff, 53 Pennsylvania Railroad v. Chamberlain, 505 People ex rel. Wheeler v. So. Pac. Transp. Co., 452 Percy v. San Francisco Gen. Hosp., 364 Peregrine Myanmar Ltd. v. Segal, Perez v. Posse Comitatus, 336 Perkins v. Benguet Consol. Mining Co., 62 Peterson v. Wilson, 511 Phillips v. Bowen, 514 Phillips v. General Motors Corp., 465 Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts, 407 Picciotto v. Continental Cas. Co., 213 Pierce v. Shorty Small's of Branson, Inc., 295 Pikofsky v. Jem Oil Co., 381 Pinker v. Roche Holdings, Ltd., 83, 84 Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l v. Holden

Found. Seeds, Inc., 220

Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno, 349

PKWare v. Meade, 98, 343 Plant v. Blazer Financial Services. 172 Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc., 574 Poole v. Textron, Inc., 464, 465 Porn v. Nat'l Grange Mut. Ins. Co., 562 Port-Wide Container Co. v. Interstate Maint. Corp., 384 Poulos v. Naas Foods, Inc., 112 Preferred RX, Inc. v. Am. Prescription Plan, Inc., 531 Price v. Alfa Mut. Ins. Co., 28 Price v. CTB, Inc., 179 Pro Billiards Tour Ass'n. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 465 Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc., 128 Protestant Mem. Med. Center, Inc. v. Maram, 279 Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Patterson, 192 Prudential Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Stump, 310 Publicis Communication v. True North Communications Inc., 563 Publicker Indus. Inc. v. U.S., 342 Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Auth. v. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., 538 Pullman Co. v. Jenkins, 103 Puricelli v. CAN Ins. Co., 166 PYCA Indus. v. Harrison County Waste Water Management Dist., 534

Q Int'l Courier, Inc. v. Smoak, 171 Quinn v. Owen Fed. Bank FSB,, 220

S&W Enterp., L.L.C. v. Southtrust Raines v. Byrd, 276 Rainey v. Am. Forest & Paper Ass'n., Bank of Alabama, NA, 378 Inc., 443 Sagent Technology, Inc. v. Micros Randazzo v. Eagle-Picher Indus., Sys., Inc., 294 Inc., 134 Salgado v. Gen'l Motors Corp., 456 Salpoglou v. Schlomo Widder, 99 Rashidi v. Albright, 322, 381 Rates Technology Inc. v. Nortel Salve Regina College v. Russell, 407 Networks Corp., 291 Samuel-Bassett v. KIA Motors Am., Rector v. Approved Fed. Sav. Bank, Inc., 109 336 Santelli v. Electro-Motive, 420 Red Wing Shoe Co. v. B-Jays USA, Saval v. BL Ltd., 44 Inc., 345 Schaefer v. First Nat'l Bank of Redfern v. Sullivan, 562 Lincolnwood, 536 Reid v. San Pedro, Los Angeles & Scherer v. Equitable Life Assurance Salt Lake R.R., 505 Soc'y of U.S., 43 Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 445 Reiter v. Cooper, 535 Revell v. Lidov, 81 Schmitt v. Beverly Health & Rehab. Reyes v. Freebery, 537 Serv., Inc., 490 Richardson v. Nassau County, 553 Schrag v. Simpson, 337 Rich-Mix Products, Inc. v. Quickrete Schultze v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., Companies, Inc., 98 Ricke v. Armco, Inc., 322 Schwarm v. Craighead, 217, 218 Rios v. Davis, 570 Searock v. Stripling, 428 Rivera Castillo v. Autokirey, Inc., 517 Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Mackey, 534 Roberts v. Corrothers, 321 SEC v. Lucent, 309, 310 Robinette v. Jones, 568, 571 Semtek Int'l Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass'n, 542 Corp., 558 Rockwell Int'l Corp. v. H. Wolfe Iron Senate Select Committee v. Nixon, & Metal Co., 429 21 Rodick v. City of Schenectady, 127, Seven Hanover Assocs., LLC v. Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc., 422 130 Shaffer v. Heitner, 88 Romine v. CompuServe Corp., 283 Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 281 Shamrock Oil & Gas Corp. v. Sheets, Rose v. Giamatti, 40, 115 102 Roth v. Green, 336 Sheets v. CTS Wireless Components, Rozier v. Ford Motor Co., 554 Inc., 167 Rush v. Smith, 531 Shell Oil Co. v. Aetna Casualty & Rutherford v. Merck & Co., 107 Sur. Co., 355

Southland Sec. Corp. v. Inspire Ins.

Shoshone Mining Co. v. Rutter, 26 Shuffle Master Inc. v. Progressive Games, Inc., 462 Silver Sage Partners, Ltd. v. U.S. Dist. Court, 543 Singh v. George Washington University, 540 Sinochem Int'l Co. Ltd. v. Malaysia Int'l Shipping Corp., 350 Skidmore Energy, Inc., v. KPMG, 127 Slayton v. Am. Exp. Co., 361 Smart v. Goord, 343 Smelt v. County of Orange, 284 Smith Pet. Serv. Int'l v. Monsanto Chem. Co., 202 Smith v. Colonial Penn Ins. Co., 294, 346 Smith v. Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan, 249 Smith v. Kansas City Title & Trust Co., 30 Smith v. Kirkpatrick, 562 Smith v. Yale Univ., 296 Smothers v. Solvay Chem., Inc., Snell v. Mayor and City Council of Havre de Grace, 562 Snyder v. Harris, 48 So. New England Tel. Co. v. Global NAPS, Inc., 358 Sobley v. So. Natural Gas Co., 502 Sosa v. Airprint Sys., Inc., 377 Souran v. Travelers Ins. Co., 337 Southern Boston Mgmt. Corp. v. BP Prods. N. Am. Inc., 181 Southern States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co., 457

Solutions, Inc., 140 Sovereign Sales, L.L.C. v. New York Accessory Group, Inc., 181 Spearman Indus., Inc. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co., 459 St. Paul Mercury Indem. Co. v. Red Cab Co., 42 Stalnaker v. Kmart Corp., 466 Starlight Int'l Inc. v. Herlihy, 429 State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. Tashire, 34, 198 State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. Century Home Components, 574 Steel Valley Auth. v. Union Switch & Signal Div., 118 Steffan v. Cheney, 415 Stelax Indus., Ltd. v. Donahue, 226 Stock West Corp. v. Taylor, 36 Stone v. Dept. of Aviation, 563 Stradford v. Zurich Ins. Co., 140 Strawbridge v. Curtiss, 34, 262 Studio Art Theatre of Evansville, Inc. v. City of Evansville, Ind., 569 Suber v. Chrysler Corp., 43 Sun Oil Co. v. Wortman, 407 Sunview Condo. Ass'n v. Flexel Int'l. 289 Swierkiewicz v. Sorema, 141 Swift v. Tyson, 397 S.W.S. Erectors, Inc. v. Infax, Inc., 108 Sykes v. Hengel, 314 Sylvester v. City of N.Y., 518

Taylor v. CSX Transp., Inc., 232

Temple v. Synthes Corp., Ltd., 188

Gibbs, 166, 211

Tesser v. Bd. of Ed., 516 United States v. Dist. Council of Tex. Railroad Comm'n. v. Pullman, New York City and Vicinity 283 of the United Brotherhood of Theilmann v. Rutland Hospital, Inc., Carpenters and Joiners of Am., 387 422 Thesleff v. Harvard Trust Co., 42 United States v. J.M. Taylor, 442 United Steelworkers of Am. v. R.H. Thomas v. Capital Security Serv., Inc., 127 Bouligny, Inc., 40 Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-Thompson v. Altheimer & Gray, Eckrich, 513 497 Thompson v. Dep't of Housing & Univ. of Tex. v. Vratil, 435 Urban Dev., 462 Upjohn Co. v. U.S., 419 U.S. ex rel. Bilyew v. Franzen, 548 Thompson v. Duke, 127 Thompson v. Haskell Co., 459 U.S. ex rel. Englund v. Los Angeles Ticketmaster-New York, Inc. v. County, 437 U.S. ex rel. Tiesinga v. Dianon Alioto, 79 Tinius v. Carroll County Sheriff Systems, Inc., 443 Dept., 217 U.S. v. Diabetes Treatment Centers Tongkook Am. v. Shipton of Am., Inc., 532, 543 Sportswear Co., 43 U.S. v. First Nat'l Bank of Circle, 490 Too, Inc. v. Kohl's Dept. Stores, Inc., U.S. v. Konovsky, 569 U.S. v. Mercedes-Benz of North Am., Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr, 386 U.S. v. Reyes, 387 U.S. v. Reynolds, 419 Transclean Corp. v. Jiffy Lube Int'l., Inc., 575 U.S. v. Rice, 119 Tri-Ex Enterprises, Inc. v. Morgan U.S. v. Sioux Nation, 575 U.S. v. Swiss Am. Bank, Ltd., 82 Guaranty Trust Co., 360 Triggs v. John Crump Toyota, Inc., Ushman v. Sterling Drug, Inc., 113 106 Truck-a-Tune, Inc. v. Re, 198 Valentinn v. Hosp. Bella Vista, 36 Tull v. U.S., 495 Van Dusen v. Barrack, 344, 405 Turner v. CF&I Steel Corp., 191 Vance v. U.S., 457 Vereda, Ltda. v. United States, 540 Uffner v. La Reunion Française, S.A., Versa Prods., Inc. v. Home Depot, USA, Inc., 386 United Mine Workers of Am. v. Viacom, Inc. v. Harbridge Merchant

Servs., Inc., 139

Vines v. Univ. of La. at Monroe, 567 Vitalo v. Cabot Corp., 454 VMS/PCA Ltd. Partnership v. PCA Partners Ltd. Partnership, 342 Von Zuckerstein v. Argonne Nat'l Lab., 502 Vt. Teddy Bear Co. v. 1-800 Beargram Co., 380

Walden v. Fiore, 72 Walker v. Armco Steel Corp., 403 Walker v. Norwest Corp., 129 Walkill 5 Assocs. II v. Tectonic Eng'r, P.C., 180, 181 Warger v. Shauers, 511 Washington State Physicians Ins. Exchange & Ass'n. v. Fisons Corp., 432 Wasson v. Riverside County, 299 Watergate Landmark Condo. Unit Owner's Ass'n v. Wiss, Janey, Elstner Ass'n., 180 Watson v. Blankinship, 165 Watson v. City of Newark, 530 Weathington v. United Behavioral Health, 118 Weiss v. Nat'l Westminster Bank, PLC, 422 Whole Women's Health v. Lakey, 454 Wickstrom v. Ebert, 381

Wight v. Bankamerica Corp., 141

Wild v. Subscription Plus, Inc., 296

Wilderness Soc'y v. Alcock, 277 Will v. Hallock, 536, 537 Will v. United States, 542 Williams v. Bd. Of City Comm'rs., 435 Williams v. Kleppe, 44 Williams v. Lehigh Valley R.R. Co., 442 Williams v. Runyon, 514 Williamson v. Consol. Rail Corp., 516 Wilson v. Belin, 69 Wilson v. Lakner, 443 Wise v. Wachovia Securities, LLC, 40 Woods v. Interstate Realty Co, 400 Workman v. Frito-Lay, Inc., 507 World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson, 54, 76

Yannacopoulos v. Gen'l Dynamics Corp., 531 Yavuz v. 61 MM, Ltd., 350 Young & Assocs. Public Relations, LLC v. Delta Air Lines, Inc., 500 Young v. Lepone, 364 Younger v. Harris, 282

Zielinski v. Philadelphia Piers, Inc., 326 Zippo Mfg. Co. v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc., 81 Zubalake v. UBS Warburg LLC, 446

SERIES EDITOR'S FOREWORD

The Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series is designed to provide you with a tool that will enable you to easily and efficiently "master" the substance and content of law school courses. Throughout the series, the focus is on quality writing that makes legal concepts understandable. As a result, the series is designed to be easy to read and is not unduly cluttered with footnotes or cites to secondary sources.

In order to facilitate student mastery of topics, the Mastering Series includes a number of pedagogical features designed to improve learning and retention. At the beginning of each chapter, you will find a "Roadmap" that tells you about the chapter and provides you with a sense of the material that you will cover. A "Checkpoint" at the end of each chapter encourages you to stop and review the key concepts, reiterating what you have learned. Throughout the book, key terms are explained and emphasized. Finally, a "Master Checklist" at the end of each book reinforces what you have learned and helps you identify any areas that need review or further study.

We hope that you will enjoy studying with, and learning from, the Mastering Series.

Russell L. Weaver
PROFESSOR OF LAW &
DISTINGUISHED UNIVERSITY SCHOLAR
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE,
LOUIS D. BRANDEIS SCHOOL OF LAW

INTRODUCTION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is the product of 22 years of teaching civil procedure and over 30 of handling federal civil litigation. It is designed to bring the practical aspects of civil procedure in focus while addressing the policy issue often focused on in law school. Profound thanks to Cindy Schiesel at Palo Verde High School and Ruth Gardner at the University of Arizona for helping me learn to write more clearly.