

Policing Cybercrime and Cyberterror

Policing Cybercrime and Cyberterror

Thomas J. Holt

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

George W. Burruss

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CARBONDALE

Adam M. Bossler

GEORGIA SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2015
Carolina Academic Press
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Holt, Thomas J., 1978-

Policing cybercrime and cyberterror / Thomas J. Holt, George W. Burruss,
and Adam M. Bossler.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-61163-256-9 (alk. paper)

1. Computer crimes. 2. Cyberterrorism. 3. Police. I. Burruss, George W.
II. Bossler, Adam M. III. Title.

HV6773.H653 2015

363.25'968--dc23

2015000194

Carolina Academic Press
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

For my wonderful wife Dr. Karen Holt, and our spectacular daughter Scarlett.

TJH

For my mother, Linda.

GWB

*For my amazing wife Jordan and our two wonderful children,
Kate and Bennett.*

AMB

Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Chapter 1 • Introduction to Cybercrime and Cyberterror	3
Defining Cybercrime and Cyberterror	6
Cybercrime	6
Cyberterror	9
Situating Local Law Enforcement in the Management of Cyberspace	10
Internet Users	11
Internet Service Providers	12
Corporate Security and Industry	13
Non-Governmental Non-Police Organizations	14
Governmental Non-Police Organizations	14
Public Policing Agencies	15
Local Law Enforcement and Cybercrime Investigation	17
Chapter 2 • Cybercrime Statistics and Officer Perspectives	21
Data Sources for Cybercrime and Cyberterror	22
UCR and NIBRS	22
NCVS	24
IC3	24
Business Estimates	26
Officer Perceptions of Cybercrime	27
Officer Perceptions of Typical Cybercriminals and Victims	28
Perceptions of Cybercrime Uniqueness, Seriousness, and Frequency	29
Assessing Specialized Officers' Perceptions of Cybercrime	34
Summary and Conclusion	38
Chapter 3 • Examining the Attitudes of Local Law Enforcement toward Cybercrime Training	39
Investigative Resources for Cybercrime Cases	40
Officer Interest in Cybercrime Training	43

Officer Attitudes toward Training	48
Summary and Conclusion	58
Chapter 4 • Police Officer Attitudes toward the Law Enforcement Response to Cybercrime	59
Perceptions of Traditional Strategies to Combat Cybercrime	60
Support of Cybercrime Investigations within Police Departments	63
Innovative Strategies	66
Interest in Working with High-Tech Industries and Service Providers	71
Summary and Conclusions	88
Chapter 5 • Stress, Strain, and Satisfaction among Cybercrime Investigators	89
Job Stress in Policing	90
Occupational Experiences in Law Enforcement	90
Cybercrime, Forensic Investigation, and Stress	91
Assessing Stress, Satisfaction, and Coping Mechanisms	94
Exploring the Predictors of Stress and Satisfaction	98
Coping Mechanisms Reported By Digital Forensic Investigators	103
Exploring Trauma among Digital Forensic Investigators	105
Summary and Conclusions	109
Chapter 6 • Implications for Policing Policy and Practice	115
Implications of Findings for CJ Policy	115
1) Public Awareness	115
2) Data Reporting	117
3) Uniform Training and Certification Courses	118
4) Onsite Management Assistance for Electronic Crime Units and Task Forces	119
5) Updated Laws	120
6) Cooperation with the High-Tech Industry	122
7) Special Research and Publications	123
8) Management Awareness and Support	124
9) Investigative and Forensic Tools	126
10) Structuring a Computer Crime Unit	126
Limitations and Conclusions	130
References	133
Index	149

Acknowledgments

As the field of criminological research on cybercrime continues to evolve, we are grateful to the many individuals whose assistance and contributions facilitated its creation. We are extremely grateful to April Wall-Parker, Deputy Director Mark Gage, Gerry Cliff, and all of the trainers of the National White Collar Crime Center. This study would not have been possible without their creativity and assistance in allowing the researchers to survey trainees in their various courses. We would also like to thank the Charlotte Mecklenburg Police Department and the Savannah Chatham County Metropolitan Police Department for their willingness to allow the researchers to survey line officers in both agencies. They provided us with an opportunity few have had in researching cybercrime; we are greatly appreciative. We would also like to sincerely thank the publishing team at Carolina Academic Press, especially Beth Hall for her encouragement and flexibility throughout the creation and submission of this work. There were times I am sure we tried your patience. It is also important that we thank the faculty and staff of the Department of Criminology and Criminal Justice at the University of Missouri–Saint Louis. Dr. Scott Decker is still a mentor despite our having graduated many years ago. Finally, we would like to thank our families and friends for all of their support throughout this process.

