

**The Moot Court
Advisor's Handbook**

The Moot Court Advisor's Handbook

A Guide for Law Students,
Faculty, and Practitioners

The Legal Writing Institute

James Dimitri

Melissa Greipp

Susie Salmon



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2015
Carolina Academic Press
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The moot court advisor's handbook : a guide for law students, faculty, and practitioners / James Dimitri, Melissa Greipp, Susie Salmon, and The Legal Writing Institute.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-61163-473-0 (alk. paper)

1. Moot courts--United States. I. Dimitri, James, author. II. Greipp, Melissa, author. III. Salmon, Susie, author. IV. Legal Writing Institute, author.

KF281.A2M66 2015

340.071'173--dc23

2014041663

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

To Annie, Mom, and Mom D.
for their unwavering love, support, and encouragement.

—J.D.

To my family—you mean everything to me.
Thank you for your love, humor, and lessons in advocacy.

—M.G.

To my parents, Michael and Nan. Thank you for your love and for encouraging your daughter to “lean in” long before Sheryl Sandberg made it a thing. To my partner and best friend, Kevin. Your humor, love, and patience sustain me. And to my pups, Phoebe and Moose, who provided excellent company (and occasional distractions) during long days and evenings of writing.

—S.S.

Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Acknowledgments | xiii |
| About the Authors | xv |
| Contributors | xvii |
| Chapter 1 · Introduction | 3 |
| A. What is Moot Court? | 3 |
| B. History of Moot Court | 4 |
| C. Why Have a Moot Court/Legal-Skills Competition Program? | 8 |
| 1. Moot court helps students build skills in collaboration and teamwork. | 10 |
| 2. Moot court helps students build confidence in their skills and independent judgment. | 11 |
| 3. Moot court fosters nimble thinkers. | 12 |
| 4. Moot court helps students exercise critical thinking skills and develop professional judgment. | 12 |
| 5. Moot court deepens learning in substantive areas. | 13 |
| 6. Moot court is a chance to practice professionalism. | 14 |
| 7. Moot court is a networking opportunity. | 15 |
| 8. Moot court is a law-school rite of passage. | 15 |
| 9. And, of course, more legal writing never hurt anyone. | 16 |
| Chapter 2 · Administering a Moot Court/Legal Skills Competition Program | 19 |
| A. Overall Organization and Bylaws | 19 |
| 1. Bylaws and other governing documents | 22 |
| 2. Assembling and managing a moot court board | 24 |
| a. Potential roles for a moot court board | 24 |
| b. Board selection process and considerations in determining composition | 26 |
| c. Other features of your moot court board | 30 |
| B. Funding | 31 |
| 1. Creating a budget | 32 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| a. External competitions | 32 |
| b. Internal competitions | 34 |
| c. Hosting external competitions | 34 |
| d. Social events / awards ceremonies | 34 |
| e. Alumni association events | 35 |
| f. Other expenses | 35 |
| 2. Fundraising | 35 |
| C. Enlisting Alumni Support | 38 |
| D. Conclusion | 41 |
| Chapter 3 · Managing an Internal Moot Court Competition | 43 |
| A. Building the Framework | 44 |
| 1. Creating the competition format and rules | 44 |
| a. The competition format | 44 |
| b. The competition rules | 48 |
| 2. Creating the competition problem | 57 |
| a. Should the problem present an open universe or a closed universe? | 57 |
| b. Who will create the problem? | 58 |
| c. What attributes and components should the problem have? | 60 |
| d. Where may problem topics be found? | 64 |
| e. How should the problem's factual scenario be created? | 66 |
| f. In which jurisdiction should the problem be set? | 66 |
| g. Borrowing or purchasing a problem | 67 |
| 3. Creating scoring rubrics | 68 |
| a. Brief-scoring rubrics | 68 |
| b. Oral-argument-scoring rubrics | 71 |
| 4. Creating the bench brief | 73 |
| a. Purpose and content | 73 |
| b. Length and format | 75 |
| c. Drafting and editing | 76 |
| B. Managing the Process | 76 |
| 1. Recruiting and preparing judges | 76 |
| a. Recruiting effective judges | 77 |
| b. Your judge-recruitment plan | 79 |
| c. Judge preparation | 83 |
| 2. Conducting competitor orientation | 85 |
| 3. Administering the brief scoring | 86 |
| a. Brief submission | 86 |
| b. Brief distribution to judges | 87 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| c. Sharing the scoring load | 87 |
| d. Submission of brief scores | 87 |
| 4. Conducting the oral arguments | 88 |
| a. Reserving rooms | 88 |
| b. Setting the schedule | 89 |
| c. Oral argument pairings | 90 |
| d. Running the oral rounds | 100 |
| e. Handling protests and other complications | 102 |
| 5. Announcements and Awards | 103 |
| a. Announcements | 103 |
| b. Cash and scholarships | 104 |
| c. Awards and recognition | 104 |
| C. Conclusion | 105 |
| Chapter 4 · Advising External Competition Teams | 107 |
| A. Choosing a Roster of Competitions | 108 |
| 1. Choosing among the three major competitions | 108 |
| 2. Choosing subject-specific competitions | 111 |
| 3. Choosing competitions based on coaching availability | 113 |
| B. Scouting and Selecting Team Members | 115 |
| 1. Scouting new team members | 115 |
| 2. Selecting students for a team | 116 |
| a. Selecting students from the first-year class | 116 |
| b. Selecting students from the second-year class | 117 |
| c. Assigning and informing the teams | 118 |
| C. Preparing Your Team for the Competition | 119 |
| 1. First steps before the competition starts | 119 |
| 2. Assigning team responsibilities | 120 |
| 3. The initial meeting | 122 |
| D. Promoting Effective Brief Writing | 123 |
| 1. Building the foundations for good brief writing | 123 |
| a. No assistance policies | 123 |
| b. Developing a team of strong brief writers | 124 |
| 2. A primer on good brief writing | 125 |
| a. Identifying the issues | 125 |
| b. Researching the law | 127 |
| c. Outlining the arguments | 128 |
| d. Drafting the argument section | 128 |
| e. Crafting the other portions of the brief | 129 |
| f. Reviewing and revising the first draft | 130 |
| g. Polishing the final draft | 131 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| E. Practicing Oral Arguments | 133 |
| 1. Oral argument basics | 133 |
| a. The opening statement | 133 |
| b. The body of the argument | 134 |
| c. The closing statement | 135 |
| d. The rebuttal | 135 |
| 2. Fielding questions | 136 |
| 3. Proper etiquette at counsel's table | 137 |
| 4. Practicing the oral argument | 138 |
| a. Planning the practices | 138 |
| b. The frequency of practices | 139 |
| c. The quality of practices | 140 |
| d. Practice judges | 140 |
| e. Practicing at home | 141 |
| e. Troubleshooting | 142 |
| f. Notes or no notes | 142 |
| F. On-Site: The Oral Argument Rounds | 143 |
| 1. Whom to send | 144 |
| 2. On arrival | 145 |
| a. Coaches meeting /check-in | 145 |
| b. Practice the night before | 145 |
| 3. The competition | 146 |
| a. Before the round | 146 |
| b. During the round | 147 |
| c. After the round | 148 |
| 4. Staying positive | 149 |
| G. Arbitrary and Capricious: Teachable Moments at the Competition | 150 |
| H. Post-Competition: Evaluating Your Team's Experience and Performance | 152 |
| I. Unique Issues with International Competitions | 153 |
| J. Conclusion | 158 |
| Chapter 5 · Developing and Managing (or Hosting) an External Moot Court Competition | 159 |
| A. Initial Inquiries: Why Start (or Host) an External Moot Court Competition? | 159 |
| 1. Timing | 161 |
| 2. Facilities | 162 |
| 3. Location | 164 |
| 4. Costs | 164 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| B. Founding Your Own National Moot Court Competition | 165 |
| 1. First steps: Key decisions | 165 |
| 2. Marketing your new competition | 167 |
| 3. Planning and logistics: Before the competition | 168 |
| a. Setting your competition timeline | 169 |
| b. Competition rules | 170 |
| c. Sponsors | 173 |
| d. Hotels | 174 |
| e. Processing registrations | 175 |
| f. Recruiting volunteers | 175 |
| g. Releasing briefs | 176 |
| h. Brief scoring | 176 |
| i. Preparing oral argument judges | 177 |
| j. Seeding teams | 178 |
| 4. Planning and logistics: Right before and during the competition | 178 |
| a. Pre-competition communications | 178 |
| b. Competitors' meeting | 178 |
| c. Judge orientation | 180 |
| d. Running oral argument rounds | 180 |
| e. Handling scoring disputes / sanctions | 181 |
| f. Food and beverage / other amenities | 181 |
| g. Awards | 182 |
| 5. Planning and logistics: After the competition | 183 |
| D. Conclusion | 184 |
| | |
| Appendix A · Materials for Chapter 2: Administering a Moot Court Program | 187 |
| Appendix B · Materials for Chapter 3: Managing an Internal Moot Court Competition | 239 |
| Appendix C · Materials for Chapter 4: Advising External Competition Teams | 299 |
| Appendix D · Materials for Chapter 5: Developing and Managing (or Hosting) an External Moot Court Competition | 311 |
| | |
| Index | 327 |

Acknowledgments

Writing this book has been one of the most rewarding experiences of our careers. We could not have accomplished this without the generosity and support of many people, and we would like to recognize them here.

First, this has truly been a collaborative process. Each of us is grateful to have worked with such capable and tireless colleagues, both on this book and on the Legal Writing Institute's Moot Court Committee. The experience of collaborating on this book together has made us better writers, mentors, and teachers.

None of us springs from the earth fully formed—not even law professors—and much of the wisdom in this book originated outside its authors. Professor Melissa Tatum not only helped draft the bylaws in Appendix A and inspired ideas shared in Chapter Five, she has been an invaluable mentor and colleague. Professor Julie Ferdon shared years of institutional memory about moot court and moot court competitions when Professor Salmon first joined Arizona Law. JJ Arevalo made last-minute edits and formatting a breeze. Arizona Law Dean Marc Miller has consistently supported legal-skills competitions and Professor Salmon's work on this book. The Marquette Moot Court Executive Board and Jessica Slavin helped draft the bylaws and handbook, and the students, faculty, and administration at Marquette are to be thanked for their unfailing support. Dean Joseph Kearney is a true champion of appellate advocacy, moot court, and legal writing at Marquette Law School. IU McKinney's Moot Court Board also helped draft several documents in the appendices—including bylaws, internal competition rules, and scoring rubrics—for which we are quite thankful. And Professor Dimitri owes a debt of gratitude to IU McKinney's faculty and administration for their steady and robust support of his work on this book and of IU McKinney's Moot Court Society.

Many people also read portions of this book and provided invaluable advice and support. Professor Suzanne Rabe has not only been a longtime mentor and advisor, she also reviewed drafts of Chapters One, Two, and Five, and provided insightful feedback and encouragement. Professor Sarah Ricks en-

couraged us to write the book in the first place and helped us get started. And Professor Allison Martin provided indispensable input to Professor Dimitri during his work on Chapter Three, giving suggestions on how to simplify that Chapter.

None of this would have been possible without the students. Your struggles, triumphs, humor, intelligence, and persistence inspired virtually everything in this book. Every year, we have the privilege of meeting a new group of you. The diverse viewpoints and experiences you bring to the law-school endeavor enrich us and shape who we are as teachers, mentors, coaches, and human beings. In particular, we would like to thank Arizona Law's ABA National Appellate Advocacy Competition teams, Arizona Law's Moot Court Boards, the Marquette Law School Moot Court Association and teams, and IU McKinney's Moot Court Society.

Of course, we owe a great debt to the Legal Writing Institute's Board of Directors for their support of the Moot Court Committee's formation in 2008 and for their support of this book. Without the Board's encouragement, the writing of the Moot Court Advisor's Handbook simply would not have been possible.

Finally, thanks to Carolina Academic Press, and particularly Linda Lacy, for your indulgence and for being a dream and delight to work with over these past two years.

About the Authors

The Legal Writing Institute (LWI) is a non-profit organization dedicated to improving legal writing by providing a forum for discussion and scholarship about legal writing, analysis, and research. LWI promotes these activities through its publications, workshops, specialty conferences, and the national biennial conferences held in even-numbered years.

The Legal Writing Institute has over 2,800 members and includes representatives from 38 different countries. We are the second largest organization of law professors in the United States. Only the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) involves more members of the legal academic community. LWI sponsors many different working committees and projects, including the Moot Court Committee.

James Dimitri is a Clinical Professor of Law at Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law. Drawing on his experience as an appellate attorney for the Office of the Indiana Attorney General, Professor Dimitri has advised IU McKinney's Moot Court Board for nearly fifteen years, guiding the Board in its running of the school's internal appellate advocacy competition, the Honorable Robert H. Staton Intramural Moot Court Competition. He has also advised several award-winning interscholastic teams, including teams representing IU McKinney in the ABA National Appellate Advocacy Competition. Professor Dimitri co-founded the Legal Writing Institute's Moot Court Committee and is a past co-chair of the Committee.

Melissa Greipp is an Associate Professor of Legal Writing at Marquette Law School, where she teaches Appellate Writing and Advocacy in addition to other legal writing courses. Professor Greipp advises the moot court program at Marquette, which includes the Law School's Jenkins Honors Moot Court Competition and the National Moot Court Competition's Region VIII Round. She also coaches the New York City Bar Association's National Moot Court Competition teams and the William B. Spong Moot Court Tournament team. Prior to teaching, Professor Greipp gained experience in appellate practice as a clerk to the Honorable N. Patrick Crooks of the Wisconsin Supreme Court and later

in private practice in Milwaukee as a litigator. She continues to consult on appellate cases and is a member of the State Bar of Wisconsin's Appellate Practice Section Board. Professor Greipp co-founded the Legal Writing Institute's Moot Court Committee and is a past Committee co-chair.

Susie Salmon is Assistant Director of Legal Writing and Associate Clinical Professor of Law at The University of Arizona, James E. Rogers College of Law, where she runs the first-year Legal Process, Analysis, and Writing Program and chairs the Legal Skills Competition Committee. She also runs the College's annual Fegtly 2L Moot Court Competition, coaches Arizona Law's ABA National Appellate Advocacy Competition teams, and supervises the Moot Court Board. Professor Salmon currently co-chairs the Legal Writing Institute's Moot Court Committee. Before joining Arizona Law, Professor Salmon spent nine years as a litigator at large private law firms in California and Arizona, and she still occasionally dips her toes in practice, including representing immigration detainees in appellate proceedings.

Contributors

Chapter 1: Introduction

Lead Author and Editor: Susie Salmon

Contributor:

Melissa Greipp

Chapter 2: Administering a Moot Court/ Legal Skills Competition Program

Lead Author and Editor: Susie Salmon

Contributor:

Allison Martin

Clinical Professor of Law

Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law

Chapter 3: Managing an Internal Moot Court Competition

Lead Author and Editor: James Dimitri

Contributors:

Gail Mullins

Assistant Professor of Legal Research and Writing

The University of Oklahoma College of Law

Connie S. Smothermon

Director of Competitions

Assistant Director and Assistant Professor of Legal Research and Writing

The University of Oklahoma College of Law

Chapter 4: Advising External Competition Teams

Lead Author and Editor: Melissa Greipp

Contributors:

Todd Bruno

Associate Professor of Law

Director of Legal Research, Analysis, and Writing

Charleston School of Law

Christopher G. Evers

Legal Writing Instructor

Northern Illinois University College of Law

Victoria Lowery

Director of Advocacy

Mississippi College School of Law

Allison Martin

Clinical Professor of Law

Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law

Edward H. Telfeyan

Professor of Lawyering Skills and Director of Moot Court Program

University of the Pacific McGeorge School of Law

***Chapter 5: Developing and Managing (or Hosting)
an External Moot Court Competition***

Lead Author and Editor: Susie Salmon