

# Securities Litigation



# Securities Litigation

Law, Policy, and Practice

**Marc I. Steinberg**

RADFORD PROFESSOR OF LAW  
SMU DEDMAN SCHOOL OF LAW

**Wendy Gerwick Couture**

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO COLLEGE OF LAW

**Michael J. Kaufman**

ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR ACADEMIC AFFAIRS AND PROFESSOR OF LAW  
LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO SCHOOL OF LAW

**Daniel J. Morrissey**

PROFESSOR OF LAW AND FORMER DEAN  
GONZAGA UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2016  
Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
All Rights Reserved

eBook ISBN 978-1-5310-0188-9  
Print ISBN 978-1-61163-575-1  
LCCN 2016934807

Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, North Carolina 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

---

Table of Principal Cases	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
<b>Chapter 1 · Introduction to Securities Litigation</b>	<b>3</b>
§ 1.01 The Role of Securities Litigation	3
§ 1.02 The Players in Securities Litigation	8
[A] Investors	8
[B] Businesses	10
[C] Officers and Directors	10
[D] Underwriters	10
[E] Accountants	11
[F] Attorneys	11
[G] Securities Industry Professionals	12
[H] Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”)	12
[I] Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”)	13
[J] State Securities Regulators	14
[K] United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”)	15
[L] State Prosecutors	16
§ 1.03 The Laws Governing Securities Litigation	16
[A] Federal Securities Statutes	16
[B] State Securities and Business Organization Statutes	20
[C] Case Law	21
§ 1.04 Recent Examples of Securities Litigation	22
§ 1.05 Analytical Framework for the Study of Securities Litigation	24
<b>Chapter 2 · Meaning of “Security”</b>	<b>27</b>
§ 2.01 Overview	27
§ 2.02 Failure to Recognize a “Security” — Counsel’s Exposure	31
<i>Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd.</i>	31
Note	33
§ 2.03 Notes—Are They Securities?	33
<i>Reves v. Ernst &amp; Young</i>	33
Note	39
§ 2.04 Focus on “Investment Contracts”	40
[A] Interests in General Partnerships	41
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Shields</i>	41

[B]	Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) Interests	44
[C]	Limited Partnership Interests	45
[D]	Limited Liability Company (LLC) Interests	48
	<i>United States v. Leonard</i>	48
[E]	The Aggregation Approach—Property Interests Combined with Service Agreements	52
	<i>Alumni v. Development Resources Group, LLC</i>	53
	Note	59
§ 2.05	Scenario	60
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>• Section 11 of the Securities Act</b>	61
§ 3.01	Introduction to the Securities Act of 1933	61
§ 3.02	Civil Liability under the 1933 Act	63
§ 3.03	Overview of Section 11 Liability	64
[A]	“Acquirers” of Securities under Section 11 and “Tracing”	66
	<i>Lee v. Ernst &amp; Young LLP</i>	66
	Note	71
[B]	False Facts, Material Omissions, Opinions and the <i>Omnicare</i> Decision	71
	<i>Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund</i>	71
	Note	80
[C]	Materiality under Section 11	81
§ 3.04	Section 11 Affirmative Defenses	82
[A]	The Due Diligence Defenses	83
	<i>Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation</i>	84
	Note	102
	<i>In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation</i>	107
	Note	126
[B]	The Negative Causation Defense and Damages	127
[C]	The Statute of Limitations Defense	130
§ 3.05	Scenario	131
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>• Section 12 of the Securities Act</b>	133
§ 4.01	Overview of Section 12	133
§ 4.02	The Elements of Liability under Section 12(a)(1)	133
[A]	Section 12 Sellers	134
	<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	134
	Note	142
[B]	Solicitation	143
[C]	Success	143
[D]	Motive	144
§ 4.03	The Elements of Section 12(a)(2) Liability	144
[A]	Offer or Sale of a Security	145

[B] Material Misstatement or Half-Truth	145
[C] Prospectus or Oral Communication	145
<i>Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., Inc.</i>	146
Note	153
<i>Brockton Retirement Board v. Oppenheimer Global</i> <i>Resource Private Equity Fund I, L.P.</i>	154
Note	157
§ 4.04 Crowdfunding	159
§ 4.05 Affirmative Defenses	159
[A] Due Diligence	159
[B] Negative Loss Causation and Damages	160
[C] The Statute of Limitations Period	160
§ 4.06 Procedural Aspects of Section 12 Claims	161
[A] Jurisdiction	161
[B] Pleading Standards	161
§ 4.07 Scenario	162
<b>Chapter 5 • Litigating a Section 10(b) Private Right of Action</b>	165
§ 5.01 The Private Right of Action	165
[A] Availability of Private Right of Action	166
[B] Substantive Elements of the Private Right of Action	168
[C] Procedural Requirements	169
§ 5.02 Connection between the Misrepresentation or Omission and the Purchase or Sale of a Security	171
[A] Purchasers or Sellers	171
<i>Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores</i>	171
Note	179
[B] Holders	179
[C] “In Connection with” Requirement	180
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford</i>	181
Note	184
§ 5.03 Misrepresentation or Omission	186
[A] Manipulation or Deception	186
<i>Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green</i>	186
Note	191
[B] Misrepresentations	193
[C] Omissions	193
[D] Deceptive Conduct	196
§ 5.04 Made by the Defendant	196
§ 5.05 Materiality	199
[A] The Materiality Standard	199
<i>Basic Inc. v. Levinson</i>	200
Note	205
[B] Contextual Inquiry	206

	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i>	207
[C]	Puffery	214
	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i>	217
[D]	Bespeaks Caution	218
[E]	Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements	219
[F]	Truth-on-the-Market Doctrine	223
[G]	The Hypothetical “Reasonable Person”	223
[H]	Timing of the Materiality Determination	225
§ 5.06	Scienter	226
[A]	Definition of Scienter	226
	<i>Ernst &amp; Ernst v. Hochfelder</i>	228
[B]	Pleading Scienter under the PSLRA	236
	<i>Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues &amp; Rights, Ltd.</i>	236
	Note	244
[C]	Pleading and Proving Scienter in Practice	244
§ 5.07	Reliance	248
[A]	The Presumption of Reliance from Material Omissions	249
[B]	The <i>Basic</i> Presumption of Reliance and the Fraud-on-the-Market Theory	250
	<i>Basic Inc. v. Levinson</i>	250
	Note	257
	<i>Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. (“Halliburton II”)</i>	261
	Note	273
[C]	<i>Halliburton II</i> and Reliance in Practice	274
§ 5.08	Loss Causation	276
	<i>Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo</i>	276
	Note	281
§ 5.09	Damages	282
[A]	Actual Damages and the Statutory Damages Cap	282
[B]	Rescission	283
[C]	Special Damages	283
[D]	Prejudgment Interest	284
[E]	Punitive Damages	284
§ 5.10	Loss Causation and Damages in Practice	284
§ 5.11	Defenses	287
[A]	Potential Affirmative Defenses in Securities-Fraud Litigation	287
[B]	Timeliness Bars	287
	<i>Merck &amp; Co., Inc. v. Reynolds</i>	288
	Note	297
[C]	The <i>In Pari Delicto</i> Defense	299
	<i>Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner</i>	299
[D]	The Extraterritorial Reach of Rule 10b-5	300
	<i>Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.</i>	301
	Note	310



§ 5.12	Scenario	312
	[A] Scenario One	312
	Note	313
	[B] Scenario Two	314
	Note	316
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>· Secondary Liability and the Reach of Primary Liability</b>	
	<b>under Section 10(b)</b>	317
§ 6.01	Overview	317
§ 6.02	Aiding and Abetting	317
	<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	318
	Note	328
§ 6.03	Distinguishing Primary from Secondary Conduct	330
	[A] Rejection of Flexible “Scheme to Defraud Theory”	330
	<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.</i>	330
	Note	339
	[B] “Makers” of Statements	340
	<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	340
	Note	348
	[C] Primary Liability under Rule 10b-5(a) and (c)	350
	<i>In the Matter of John P. Flannery and James D. Hopkins</i>	350
	Note	354
§ 6.04	Conspiracy Liability	355
§ 6.05	Control Person Liability	356
	<i>Lusgraaf v. Behrens</i>	356
	Note	359
§ 6.06	Section 20(b) of the Exchange Act	360
§ 6.07	Respondeat Superior	361
	<i>In re Parmalat Securities Litigation</i>	361
	Note	363
§ 6.08	Duty to Supervise	363
	<i>In the Matter of Gutfreund, Strauss, and Meriwether</i>	363
§ 6.09	Scenario	363
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>· Insider Trading</b>	365
§ 7.01	Introduction	365
§ 7.02	“Material” and “Nonpublic” Information	366
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mayhew</i>	367
	Note	369
§ 7.03	Case Examples	372
	<i>United States v. Blackwell</i>	373
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo</i>	375
§ 7.04	The Classical Theory	377
	<i>Chiarella v. United States</i>	377

§ 7.05	The Misappropriation Theory	383
	<i>United States v. O'Hagan</i>	384
	Note	392
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cuban</i>	393
	Note	398
	<i>United States v. McGee</i>	399
	Note	404
§ 7.06	Tipper-Tippee Liability under Section 10(b)	405
	<i>Dirks v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	405
	Note	412
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Switzer</i>	414
	<i>United States v. Newman</i>	419
§ 7.07	Rule 14e-3—Tender Offer Insider Trading	423
	<i>United States v. O'Hagan</i>	424
§ 7.08	Miscellaneous Issues	429
	[A] “Possession,” “Use,” or “Aware”	429
	[B] Contemporaneous Traders—Section 20A of the Securities Exchange Act	430
	[C] Damages	430
	[D] Government Remedies and Penalties	431
	[E] Blackout Periods	431
	[F] The STOCK Act	431
	[G] Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act	432
§ 7.09	Scenario	432
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>• Alternative Federal Remedies</b>	439
§ 8.01	The Landscape of Securities Remedies	439
§ 8.02	Section 17(a) of the 1933 Act	440
	<i>United States v. Naftalin</i>	441
	Note	445
	<i>Maldonado v. Dominguez</i>	447
§ 8.03	The 1934 Act Remedies for Proxy Misconduct, Tender Offer Fraud, and Short Swing Trading Profits	449
	[A] Proxy Misconduct	449
	[B] Tender Offer Fraud	452
	[C] Section 16(b) of the 1934 Act: The Elements of Section 16(b) Liability	453
	[D] The Measure of Recoverable Profit	455
	[E] Section 16(b) Defendants	455
§ 8.04	Section 9(e) of the 1934 Act's Express Remedy for Manipulation	456
	[A] The Elements of Section 9(e) Liability	456
	[B] What Is Manipulation?	457
	<i>Sullivan &amp; Long, Inc. v. Scattered Corp.</i>	457
	Note	464

[C]	Causation under Section 9(e)	470
§ 8.05	Section 18(a) of the 1934 Act’s Express Remedy for Misstatements in Filed Reports	471
[A]	The Elements of Section 18(a) Liability	471
[B]	Actual Reliance	472
[C]	Causation and Damages	472
[D]	Affirmative Defenses	473
[E]	Transnational Dealings	473
§ 8.06	The Express Remedies for Voiding Unlawful Contracts	473
[A]	The Anti-Waiver Provisions	473
[B]	The Contract Voidance Provision of the 1934 Act <i>GFL Advantage Fund, Ltd. v. Colkitt</i>	475 476
	Note	480
§ 8.07	RICO	481
§ 8.08	Indemnification, Contribution and Insurance	482
[A]	Indemnity	482
[B]	Contribution	485
[C]	Contribution from Settling Defendants	486
[D]	Indemnification and Contribution Claim Procedure	487
[E]	Insuring Securities Fraud Litigation Risk	487
§ 8.09	Charting the Landscape of Securities Remedies	489
§ 8.10	Scenario	490
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>• State Securities (“Blue Sky”) Litigation</b>	<b>493</b>
§ 9.01	Introduction	493
§ 9.02	SLUSA Preemption of State Law	494
	<i>Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner &amp; Smith, Inc. v. Dabit</i>	494
	Note—“Holders” Actions	501
	<i>Chadbourne &amp; Parke LLP v. Troice</i>	502
§ 9.03	State Securities Laws—An Overview	509
[A]	Primary Liability under the Uniform Securities Acts	509
1.	Statutory Framework	509
2.	Definition of “Seller”	510
[B]	Secondary Liability under the Uniform Securities Acts	510
1.	No Participation Required for Liability	511
a.	Status Liability—Partners, Officers, and Directors	511
b.	Control Person Liability	511
2.	Participation Required for Liability—Material Aiders	512
[C]	Liability under More “Flexible” State Securities Statutes	512
§ 9.04	Private State Securities Litigation—Key Issues	513
[A]	Meaning of “Seller”	513
[B]	Reliance and Loss Causation	515
	<i>E.F. Hutton &amp; Company, Inc. v. Rousseff</i>	515
	Note—“Fraud on the Market” Theory under State Law	518

[C]	“Collateral” Actor or Secondary Liability	519
	<i>Bennett v. Hunter Durham</i>	519
	<i>Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP</i>	524
	<i>Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley</i>	532
§ 9.05	State Common Law	538
§ 9.06	Scenario	539
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>· Accountant and Attorney Liability</b>	<b>541</b>
§ 10.01	Introduction	541
§ 10.02	Liability under Section 12 of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act	541
	<i>Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver</i>	542
	<i>Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders</i>	542
	<i>Pinter v. Dahl</i>	542
	<i>Pacific Investment Management Company LLC v.</i> <i>Mayer Brown LLP</i>	543
§ 10.03	SEC Enforcement	550
	<i>In the Matter of Carter and Johnson</i>	551
	Note	557
§ 10.04	State Securities Laws	560
	<i>Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP</i>	560
	<i>Bennett v. Hunter Durham</i>	560
	Note	560
§ 10.05	Attorney Negligence	561
	<i>Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation v. O’Melveny &amp; Meyers</i>	561
§ 10.06	Negligent Misrepresentation	565
§ 10.07	Common Law—Aider and Abettor Liability	568
	<i>Eurycleia Partners, LP v. Seward &amp; Kissel, LLP</i>	568
§ 10.08	Scenario	570
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>· Securities Class Action Practice and Procedure</b>	<b>573</b>
§ 11.01	Securities Claims Asserted As Class Actions	573
§ 11.02	Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Personal Jurisdiction, and Venue	575
	[A] Subject Matter Jurisdiction	575
	[B] Personal Jurisdiction	576
	[C] Venue	577
§ 11.03	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (PSLRA)	577
	[A] Stay of Discovery	579
	[B] Complaint Certifications	580
	[C] The Selection of Lead Plaintiffs in Securities Class Actions	580
	[D] The Notice Requirements of Class Actions	581
	[E] Selection of Lead Counsel	581
	[F] The Notice Requirements of Securities Class Action Settlements	581
	[G] Court Oversight of Class Counsel’s Attorneys’ Fees and Costs	582

[H] Mandatory Rule 11 Findings	582
§ 11.04 Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act (SLUSA)	583
[A] Covered Security	585
[B] Covered Class Action	586
[C] Based on the Statutory or Common Law of Any State	587
[D] “In Connection with the Purchase or Sale” of a Covered Security	587
[E] Exceptions to SLUSA Preclusion	588
§ 11.05 Satisfying Rule 23’s Requirements	589
[A] Satisfying Rule 23(a)	590
[B] Satisfying Rule 23(b)	591
[C] Class Certification Issues in Securities Fraud Litigation	591
§ 11.06 Mechanics of Selecting the Lead Plaintiff	592
[A] The 60-Day Deadline to Move for Lead Plaintiff Status Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP Files Class Action Suit against Five Below, Inc.	593
[B] PSLRA’s Presumption for the Investor with the Largest Financial Interest	593
[C] Satisfying the Typicality and Adequacy Requirements of Rule 23	594
[D] Objecting to the Lead Plaintiff Decision	595
§ 11.07 Mechanics of Selecting Plaintiffs’ Lead Counsel	595
[A] Setting Lead Counsel’s Fees	596
[B] Objecting to the Selection of Lead Counsel	597
§ 11.08 Class Action Tolling	597
<i>American Pipe &amp; Construction Co. v. Utah</i> Note	598 602
§ 11.09 Class Action Settlements	603
[A] Opportunity for Class Members to Opt Out of Settlement	604
[B] Notice to Class Members of Proposed Settlement	604
[C] Court Approval of Settlement Amount <i>In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation</i>	608 609
[D] Court Approval of Lead Counsel’s Attorneys’ Fees and Costs <i>In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation</i>	612 613
§ 11.10 The Rise of Opt-Out Plaintiffs	616
Department of Law Announces \$19 Million Settlement in Securities Fraud Claim against Qwest Communications International Note	617 617
§ 11.11 Mandatory Individual Arbitration	618
Will Arbitration End Securities Litigation? Note	618 621
§ 11.12 Scenario	622

<b>Chapter 12 · Derivative Suits</b>	625
§ 12.01 Overview	625
[A] Nature of Derivative Suits	625
[B] Origins	625
[C] The Objectives of Derivative Suits in the Modern Era	626
[D] Typical Causes of Actions	627
Note	628
§ 12.02 Derivative Suits Distinguished from Direct Actions by Shareholders	630
<i>Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin, &amp; Jenrette, Inc.</i>	630
Note	632
§ 12.03 Scenario	633
§ 12.04 The Proper Plaintiff in a Derivative Suit	633
Federal Rule of Civ. Pro. 23.1 Derivative Actions	633
<i>In re Fuqua Industries Shareholder Litigation</i>	633
Note	636
§ 12.05 Scenario	636
§ 12.06 Restrictions on Derivative Suits	637
[A] The Contemporary Ownership Rule	637
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1	637
<i>Grosset v. Wenass</i>	637
[B] Non-Shareholders at Time of Wrongdoing Who Bring Actions	639
West's Ann. Cal. Corp. Code § 800	639
Note	640
[C] The Demand Requirement	640
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1. Derivative Actions	641
<i>Aronson v. Lewis</i>	641
Note	647
[D] Universal Demand	648
<i>Cuker v. Milalaukas</i>	649
[E] Special Litigation Committees	652
<i>Zapata v. Maldonado</i>	652
Note	658
§ 12.07 Forum Selection	660
<i>Boilermakers v. Chevron</i>	660
Note	663
§ 12.08 Settlement and Attorneys' Fees	664
Federal Rule of Civil Pro. 23.1	664
<i>In re Apple Computer Derivative Litigation</i>	664
Note	667
M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware's Murky Jurisprudence	668
<i>American Mining Corp. v. Theriault</i>	669
Note	674
§ 12.09 Scenario	674

<b>Chapter 13 · Enforcement of the Securities Laws</b>	677
§ 13.01 Overview	677
§ 13.02 The Securities and Exchange Commission	678
[A] The SEC's Description of Its Mission	678
How the SEC Protects Investors	678
[B] The Commission's Effectiveness	681
After the Meltdown	681
Note	683
§ 13.03 Scenario	685
§ 13.04 SEC Investigations	686
[A] The Conduct of Investigations	686
Rules and Regulations for the Securities and Exchange	
Commission	686
[B] SEC Subpoenas	686
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc.</i>	686
Note	691
[C] Privileges That Can Be Invoked in SEC Investigations	692
<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	692
Note	699
§ 13.05 Scenario	701
§ 13.06 The SEC's Decision to Prosecute	702
Rules and Regulations for the Securities and Exchange	
Commission	703
A Practitioner's Guide to the SEC's Investigative and	
Enforcement Process	703
§ 13.07 Scenario	704
§ 13.08 The Commission's Enforcement Tools	705
[A] Injunctions	705
SEC Injunctions	705
[B] The Cease and Desist Order	709
SEC Injunctions	709
Note	711
[C] Other SEC Administrative Proceedings	711
<i>In the Matter of John H. Gutfreund, Thomas W. Strauss,</i>	
<i>and John W. Meriwether</i>	714
Note	720
§ 13.09 Issues in Litigation with the SEC	720
[A] State of Mind	720
<i>Aaron v. SEC</i>	721
[B] Aiding and Abetting Charges by the Commission	725
[C] Issue Preclusion Arising from SEC Actions	725
Note	725
§ 13.10 Disciplinary Actions by Self-Regulatory Organizations (SROs)	726

	FINRA Provides Guidance on Its Enforcement Proceedings (2015)	726
	Note	729
§ 13.11	Criminal Proceedings under the Securities Laws	729
	[A] Criminal Referrals by the SEC	729
	United States Securities and Exchange Commission	729
	[B] Parallel SEC and Grand Jury Investigations	730
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i>	730
	Note	735
§ 13.12	Scenario	736
§ 13.13	State Securities Enforcement	737
	Enhanced “Blue Sky” Enforcement: A Path to Help Solve Our Public School Funding Dilemma	737
§ 13.14	Scenario	738
<b>Chapter 14</b>	<b>Criminal Liability</b>	739
§ 14.01	Introduction	739
§ 14.02	Key Federal Criminal Statutes	740
	[A] Criminal Securities Fraud	740
	<i>United States v. Dixon</i>	742
	Note	744
	<i>Prousalis v. Moore</i>	749
	Note	753
	[B] “Willful” Violations of Other Securities Laws	755
	<i>United States v. Lindo</i>	756
	[C] Mail and Wire Fraud	758
	<i>Carpenter v. United States</i>	762
	<i>Skilling v. United States</i>	766
	Note	769
	[D] False Statements	770
	<i>United States v. Bilzerian</i>	770
	Note	772
	[E] Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”)	773
	[F] Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”)	775
	[G] Aiding and Abetting and Conspiracy	776
§ 14.03	Prosecution of Corporations	779
	[A] Imputing Criminal Conduct to a Corporation	779
	<i>New York Central &amp; Hudson River Railroad Co. v. United States</i>	779
	Note	780
	[B] Charging Considerations	781
	Note	782
§ 14.04	Federal Sentencing	786
	[A] Overview of Federal Sentencing	786
	[B] Structure of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines	788



[C]	Federal Fraud Offense Level	790
§ 14.05	State Criminal Enforcement	791
[A]	State Criminal Statutes	791
	<i>State v. Andresen</i>	792
[B]	States' Criminal Jurisdiction	796
§ 14.06	Considerations In Parallel Litigation	797
[A]	Pleading Scienter	797
[B]	Collateral Estoppel	797
[C]	Discovery	799
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nicholas</i>	800
	Note	802
[D]	Fifth Amendment Privilege against Self-Incrimination	802
	<i>United States v. Stringer</i>	803
§ 14.07	Scenario	807
<b>Chapter 15</b>	<b>· Litigation Involving Broker-Dealers and Investment Advisers</b>	<b>809</b>
§ 15.01	Overview	809
[A]	The Role of Broker-Dealers and Investment Advisors	809
	Note	810
[B]	Statutory and Common Law Liability	810
[C]	Federal Regulation	811
	Marc I. Steinberg & Ralph C. Ferrara, <i>Securities Practice: Federal and State Enforcement</i>	812
[D]	State Regulation	813
[E]	Self-Regulatory Organizations (SROs)—FINRA	814
§ 15.02	Disciplinary Proceedings	814
	Financial Industry National Regulatory Authority (FINRA)	814
	Note	815
§ 15.03	Customer Claims against Broker-Dealers	815
[A]	Compelling Arbitration	815
	<i>Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon</i>	816
	Note	826
[B]	Carving out Judicial Class Actions from Arbitration	828
	<i>Department of Enforcement v. Charles Schwab &amp; Company, Inc.</i>	828
	Note	837
[C]	FINRA Arbitration	838
	FINRA Provides Guidance on Its Enforcement Proceedings (2015)	838
	Note	840
	After the Ball Is Over: Investor Remedies in the Wake of the Dot-Com Crash and Recent Corporate Scandals	840
	<i>Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen &amp; Hertz, Inc.</i>	846
	<i>Nesbit v. McNeil</i>	853

§ 15.04 Scenario	857
§ 15.05 Suits against Investment Advisers	858
<i>Harris v. Jones</i>	858
Note	863
<b>Chapter 16 · Deal Litigation</b>	<b>865</b>
§ 16.01 Business Reasons for Mergers and Acquisitions	865
For Immediate Release	867
§ 16.02 Mechanics of Mergers and Acquisitions	868
[A] Acquisition of Target’s Assets	868
[B] One-Step Merger	868
[C] Two-Step Tender Offer and Merger	869
[D] Deal Protection Devices	870
[E] Anti-Takeover Defenses	870
[F] Federal Rules Regarding Proxy Solicitations and Tender Offers	871
[G] Example	872
Offer to Purchase for Cash	873
Note	877
§ 16.03 Concerns about Mergers and Acquisitions	878
§ 16.04 State Anti-Takeover and Constituency Statutes	880
Note	881
§ 16.05 Appraisal Rights Actions	881
[A] Prerequisites to an Appraisal Rights Action	882
[B] Determining “Fair Value” in an Appraisal Rights Action	884
<i>Owen v. Cannon</i>	885
Note	889
[C] Opportunities for Appraisal Arbitrage	891
[D] Exclusivity of Appraisal Rights	892
<i>Rabkin v. Philip A. Hunt Chemical Corp.</i>	892
Note	896
<i>Glassman v. UNOCAL Exploration Corp</i>	897
Note	899
<i>Berger v. PUBCO Corp.</i>	899
Note	902
§ 16.06 Fiduciary Duties in Mergers and Acquisitions	903
[A] Officers and Directors	903
M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware’s Murky Jurisprudence	903
Note	907
<i>Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Ryan</i>	910
Note	914
[B] Controlling Shareholders	915
<i>Kahn v. M &amp; F Worldwide Corp.</i>	916
Note	922
[C] Other Participants	922

M&A Fiduciary Duties: Delaware’s Murky Jurisprudence	922
Note	925
§ 16.07 Section 14(a) and Rule 14a-9 Claims	925
<i>Lane v. Page</i>	926
Note	929
§ 16.08 Tender Offers—Section 14(e) Claims	930
<i>Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc.</i>	930
§ 16.09 Scenario	934
<b>Chapter 17 · International Perspectives on Securities Litigation</b>	<b>937</b>
§ 17.01 Introduction	937
§ 17.02 Securities Litigation from a Comparative Perspective	938
[A] Significant Differences between the U.S. and Many Foreign Jurisdictions	938
[B] The United Kingdom	940
[C] The Netherlands	941
[D] Australia	942
[E] China	943
§ 17.03 The Important Comparison to Canada (Ontario)	944
The Emergence of a New Battleground: Liability for Secondary Market Violations in Ontario	944
§ 17.04 Emerging Securities Markets	953
§ 17.05 Scenario	955
<b>Index</b>	<b>957</b>



# Table of Principal Cases

---

- Aaron v. SEC, 721
- Alunni v. Development Resources Group, LLC, 53
- American Mining Corp. v. Theriault, 669
- American Pipe & Construction Co. v. Utah, 598
- Aronson v. Lewis, 641
- Basic Inc. v. Levinson, 200, 250
- Bateman Eichler, Hill Richards, Inc. v. Berner, 299
- Bennett v. Hunter Durham, 519, 560
- Berger v. PUBCO Corp., 899
- Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores, 171
- Boilermakers v. Chevron, 660
- Brockton Retirement Board v. Oppenheimer Global Resource Private Equity Fund I, L.P., 154
- Carpenter v. United States, 762
- Central Bank of Denver v. First Interstate Bank of Denver, 318, 542
- Cuker v. Milalaukas, 649
- Department of Enforcement v. Charles Schwab & Company, Inc., 828
- Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo, 276
- Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder, 228
- Escott v. BarChris Construction Corporation, 84
- Eurycleia Partners, LP v. Seward & Kissel, LLP, 568
- Facciola v. Greenberg Traurig LLP, 524, 560
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation v. O'Melveny & Meyers, 561
- Glassman v. UNOCAL Exploration Corp, 897
- Grosset v. Wenass, 637
- Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., Inc., 146
- Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. ("Halliburton II"), 261
- Harris v. Jones, 858
- In re Apple Computer Derivative Litigation, 664
- In re Fuqua Industries Shareholder Litigation, 633
- In re Parmalat Securities Litigation, 361
- In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. PSLRA Litigation, 609, 613
- In re WorldCom, Inc. Securities Litigation, 107
- In the Matter of Carter and Johnson, 551
- In the Matter of John H. Gutfreund, Thomas W. Strauss, and John W. Meriwether, 363, 714
- In the Matter of John P. Flannery and James D. Hopkins, 350
- Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders, 340, 542
- Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp., 916
- Lane v. Page, 926
- Lee v. Ernst & Young LLP, 66
- Lusgraaf v. Behrens, 356
- Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Ryan, 910
- Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano, 207

- Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds, 288  
Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd., 301  
Nesbit v. McNeil, 853  
New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Co. v. United States, 779  
Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers District Council Construction Industry Pension Fund, 71  
Owen v. Cannon, 885  
Pacific Investment Management Company LLC v. Mayer Brown LLP, 543  
Pinter v. Dahl, 134, 542  
Prousalis v. Moore, 749  
Puckett v. Rufenacht, Bromagen & Hertz, Inc., 846  
Rabkin v. Philip A. Hunt Chemical Corp., 892  
Reves v. Ernst & Young, 33  
Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green, 186  
Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc., 930  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc., 730  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jerry T. O'Brien, Inc., 686  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nicholas, 800  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Shields, 41  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Zandford, 181  
Shearson/American Express, Inc. v. McMahon, 816  
Skilling v. United States, 766  
State v. Andresen, 792  
Sterling Trust Co. v. Adderley, 532  
Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., 330  
Sullivan & Long, Inc. v. Scattered Corp., 457  
Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 236  
Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin, & Jenrette, Inc., 630  
United States v. Bilzerian, 770  
United States v. Dixon, 742  
United States v. Leonard, 48  
United States v. Lindo, 756  
United States v. Stringer, 803  
Upjohn Co. v. United States, 692  
Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg, 217  
Wartzman v. Hightower Productions, Ltd., 31  
Zapata v. Maldonado, 652

# Acknowledgments

---

**Marc I. Steinberg**—I wish to thank my coauthors on this project, esteemed academics and good friends of mine, who have made this project come to fruition. This was one of the most enjoyable projects I have experienced and it is due to their courtesy, professionalism, and superb scholarship. I also extend my appreciation to Dean Jennifer Collins of the SMU Dedman School of Law for her support of this project, the John C. Biggers Faculty Research Fund for providing funding for my summer 2015 research grant, and to Ms. Carolyn Yates for her excellent administrative assistance.

*I dedicate this book to my children—Alex, Avi, and Phillip (Bear)—with all of my love.*

**Wendy Gerwick Couture**—I wish to thank my students at the University of Idaho College of Law, whose creativity and curiosity motivated my work on this project. I also extend my deepest appreciation to my coauthors, whose distinguished careers I hope to emulate. It was truly an honor to work with you. Finally, I wish to thank the University of Idaho College of Law for supporting me in this undertaking.

*I dedicate this book to my parents—Cliff and Suzanne Gerwick—with gratitude and love.*

**Michael J. Kaufman**—Thanks so much to my wonderful coauthors, who exemplify extraordinary wisdom and grace in everything they do. Thanks as well to John Wunderlich and Sean Langan for their invaluable research and editorial assistance.

*I dedicate this book to my family, and to my extended Loyola family.*

**Daniel J. Morrissey**—I would like to acknowledge the encouragement of Gonzaga Law School Dean Jane Korn and Associate Dean Jason Gillmer and the helpful assistance of Patrick Charles and Ashley Sundin, respectively the Director and the Reference/Research Librarian of Gonzaga University's Law Library. I would also like to thank Dean Lyn Entzeroth, Head Law Librarian Melanie Nelson, and Assistant Librarians Richard Todd and Mark Mayer of the University of Tulsa Law School where much of my work on this book was done.

*I dedicate this to Mary Beth, Greg, and Graham—the three greatest joys of my life.*

