

Mastering Civil Procedure

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS MASTERING SERIES

RUSSELL WEAVER, SERIES EDITOR

Mastering Administrative Law

William R. Andersen

Mastering Adoption Law and Policy

Cynthia Hawkins DeBose

Mastering Alternative Dispute Resolution

Kelly M. Feeley, James A. Sheehan

Mastering American Indian Law

Angelique Wambdi EagleWoman, Stacy L. Leeds

Mastering Appellate Advocacy and Process, Revised Printing

Donna C. Looper, George W. Kuney

Mastering Art Law

Herbert Lazerow

Mastering Bankruptcy

George W. Kuney

Mastering Civil Procedure, Third Edition

David Charles Hricik

Mastering Constitutional Law, Second Edition

John C. Knechtle, Christopher J. Roederer

Mastering Contract Law

Irma S. Russell, Barbara K. Bucholtz

Mastering Corporate Tax

Reginald Mombrun, Gail Levin Richmond, Felicia Branch

Mastering Corporations and Other Business Entities, Second Edition

Lee Harris

Mastering Criminal Law, Second Edition

Ellen S. Podgor, Peter J. Henning, Neil P. Cohen

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 1:

The Investigative Stage, Second Edition

Peter J. Henning, Andrew Taslitz, Margaret L. Paris, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 2:

The Adjudicatory Stage, Second Edition

Peter J. Henning, Andrew Taslitz, Margaret L. Paris, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor

Mastering Elder Law, Second Edition

Ralph C. Brashier

Mastering Employment Discrimination Law

Paul M. Secunda, Jeffrey M. Hirsch

Mastering Evidence

Ronald W. Eades

Mastering Family Law

Janet Leach Richards

Mastering First Amendment Law

John C. Knechtle

Mastering Income Tax

Christopher M. Pietruszkiewicz, Gail Levin Richmond

Mastering Intellectual Property

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

Mastering Labor Law

Paul M. Secunda, Anne Marie Lofaso, Joseph E. Slater, Jeffrey M. Hirsch

Mastering Legal Analysis and Communication

David T. Ritchie

Mastering Legal Analysis and Drafting

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

**Mastering Negotiable Instruments (UCC Articles 3 and 4)
and Other Payment Systems, Second Edition**

Michael D. Floyd

Mastering Negotiation

Michael R. Fowler

Mastering Partnership Taxation

Stuart Lazar

Mastering Products Liability

Ronald W. Eades

Mastering Professional Responsibility, Second Edition

Grace M. Giesel

Mastering Property Law, Revised Printing

Darryl C. Wilson, Cynthia Hawkins DeBose

Mastering Secured Transactions: UCC Article 9, Second Edition

Richard H. Nowka

Mastering Statutory Interpretation, Second Edition

Linda D. Jellum

Mastering Tort Law, Second Edition

Russell L. Weaver, Edward C. Martin, Andrew R. Klein,

Paul J. Zwier, II, John H. Bauman

Mastering Trademark and Unfair Competition Law

Lars S. Smith, Llewellyn Joseph Gibbons

Mastering Trusts and Estates

Gail Levin Richmond, Don Castleman

Mastering Civil Procedure

THIRD EDITION

David Charles Hricik

MERCER UNIVERSITY
WALTER F. GEORGE SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017
David Charles Hricik
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Hricik, David, author.

Title: Mastering civil procedure / David Charles Hricik.

Description: Third edition. | Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, 2017. | Series: Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017009731 | ISBN 9781611637342 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Civil procedure--United States.

Classification: LCC KF8841 .H75 2017 | DDC 347.73/5--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017009731>

eISBN 978-1-53100-714-0

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

For Abby, Alex, Houston, and Julian

Contents

Table of Cases	xli
Series Editor’s Foreword	lxi
Introduction and Acknowledgments	lxiii
Chapter 1 · Courts Decide Claims	3
Claims Roadmap	3
A. Lawsuits Begin with Clients	3
B. What Is a “Claim”?	5
C. Affirmative Defenses Compared to Claims	7
D. Destroying a Myth and an Important Note About Studying Procedure	8
Checkpoints	9
PART A	
TO ANCHOR THE ACTION IN A FEDERAL COURT, A PLAINTIFF MUST PLEAD ONE CLAIM WHERE (1) SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, (2) PERSONAL JURISDICTION, AND (3) VENUE ARE PROPER.	
Chapter 2 · A Preview of Part A of This Book	13
A. The Three Requirements to Adjudicate an Anchor Claim: Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Personal Jurisdiction, and Venue	13
Chapter 3 · The Foundations of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	17
Subject Matter Jurisdiction Roadmap	17
A. A Divided System of Government: States and the Federal Government	17
B. Power to Resolve a Claim: Subject Matter Jurisdiction	19

C. Which Law Applies Can be Distinct from Subject Matter Jurisdiction	22
Checkpoints	23
Chapter 4 · Federal Question and Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction	25
Federal Question and Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction Roadmap	25
A. Do Federal Courts Have Power to Adjudicate the Claim?	27
1. Federal Question Subject Matter Jurisdiction under Section 1331	27
a. Federal Question Subject Matter Jurisdiction	30
i. The Typical “Arising Under” Federal Claim: A Federal Statute Creates the Claim	30
ii. Plaintiff’s State Law Claim Necessarily Turns on a Substantial Question of Federal Law	31
iii. Federal Question Jurisdiction if Federal Law Completely Preempts a State Law Claim	36
2. Diversity Subject Matter Jurisdiction	37
a. Section 1332 Requires Complete Diversity and More Than \$75,000 Exclusive of Costs and Interests be in Controversy	38
i. Section 1332(a) Requires Complete Diversity	39
(a) Determining Citizenship of a Party	40
(1) When Is Citizenship Determined?	40
(2) How Is Citizenship Determined?	41
aa. A Natural Person Is a Citizen of His State of Domicile	41
bb. Corporations Are Citizens of Both the State of Incorporation and the State of Principal Place of Business, Which Is Where It Has Its “Nerve Center”	43
cc. Citizenship of Other Business Entities	44
dd. Representative Actions: Citizenship of Real Party in Interest	46
ii. Section 1332 Requires More Than \$75,000 in Controversy	47
(a) When Is the Amount in Controversy Calculated?	47
(b) The Legal Certainty Test Applies to the Amount Pled by Plaintiff	47
(c) Multiple Claims, Multiple Plaintiffs, and the Amount in Controversy	51
(1) A Single Plaintiff may Aggregate Claims Against a Single Defendant	51

(2) A Plaintiff Cannot Aggregate Claims Against More Than one Defendant Unless the Defendants are Jointly Liable	52
(3) Multiple Plaintiffs may not Aggregate Their Claims Unless They are Pursuing a Unified Remedy	52
B. Must the Claim be Filed in Federal Court?	53
1. Claims Arising Under Federal Law	53
2. State Law Claims That Meet Diversity Requirements	55
3. The Discussion Here Applies to the First Claim and all Other Claims but as to Additional Claims, if There is no Diversity or Federal Question, Supplemental Subject Matter Jurisdiction may be Available	55
Checkpoints	56
Chapter 5 · The Foundations of Personal Jurisdiction	57
Personal Jurisdiction Roadmap	57
Checkpoints	60
Chapter 6 · Personal Jurisdiction: Consent, Long-Arm Statutes, Minimum Contacts, and Fair Play	61
Personal Jurisdiction Roadmap	61
A. Generally a Claim-by-Claim, Defendant-by-Defendant Analysis Is Required	64
B. Did the Defendant Consent to Personal Jurisdiction?	64
1. Did the Parties Contractually Agree the Claim Could or Must be Filed in the Forum State?	65
2. For Corporate Defendants, is the Suit Filed in a State Where a State Statute Required the Corporation to Consent to be Sued Over Any Claim?	65
3. Operating a Motor Vehicle Consent Statutes	69
C. Without Consent: The Three Steps to Evaluate <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	70
1. A Long-Arm Statute Must Authorize Service of Process	70
a. State Long-Arm Statutes Apply to Both State and Federal Claims	71
b. Specific Federal Long-arm Statutes Govern Some, but few, Federal Claims	74

2. If a Claim is Within the Reach of the State’s Long-Arm Statute, Assertion of <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction Must Still Not Violate the Defendant’s Due Process Rights	75
a. Did the Defendant, Individual or Corporate, “Consent” to Personal Jurisdiction, Either by Operation of Law or by Contract?	75
b. Was the Individual Defendant “Tagged” with Process While Present in the Forum State After the Suit was Filed?	76
c. Due Process Requires Either Specific or General Personal Jurisdiction Exist	76
i. General Personal Jurisdiction	77
ii. Specific Personal Jurisdiction: For State Claims and Federal Claims Without Nationwide Service of Process, There Must be Minimum Contacts Between the Forum State and the Defendant; For Federal Claims with Nationwide Service of Process, the Defendant Must Have Minimum Contacts with the United States	79
(a) Purposeful Availment or Direction by the Defendant Toward the Forum	80
(b) Relationship Between Claim and Contacts	85
(c) Defendant can Show that, Even if There are Minimum Contacts, Assertion of Personal Jurisdiction Would be Unfair	86
C. Special Issues in <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction	87
1. Which Contacts by a Corporate Defendant “Count”?	87
2. Does an Internet Website Count?	88
3. Rule 4(k)(2) and Foreign-Country Defendants	89
4. The Few Federal, Claim-Specific Long-Arm Statutes	91
ii. Specific Jurisdiction: “Sufficient” Contacts, a Relationship between the Claim and the Contacts, and Fairness	92
Checkpoints	92
Chapter 7 • <i>In Rem</i> and <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	93
<i>In Rem</i> and <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Jurisdiction Roadmap	93
A. The Three Types of <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	93
1. True <i>In Rem</i>	94
2. <i>Quasi In Rem</i>	95
a. <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Type 1	95
b. <i>Quasi In Rem</i> Type 2	96

B. The Same Standards for <i>In Personam</i> Jurisdiction Apply to <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	96
C. How Is Notice Given?	97
Checkpoints	98
Chapter 8 · The Foundations of Venue	99
Venue Roadmap	99
Checkpoints	103
Chapter 9 · Proper and Improper Venue	105
Proper and Improper Venue Roadmap	105
A. Determining <i>Proper</i> Venue	105
1. The Three Possible <i>Proper</i> Venues Under the General Venue Statute	106
(a) A district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred	106
1. Substantial Part of the Events or Omissions	106
2. What Does “Substantial Part” Mean?	107
(b) A judicial district where any defendant resides if all defendants reside—or the only defendant resides— in the forum state	108
(c) Venue Based on the Catch-All Subsection	112
2. Establishing Proper Venue Based on Specific Federal Venue Statutes	112
3. There Must be Proper Venue, or Pendent Venue, Over Each Claim of a Plaintiff	112
Checkpoints	113
Chapter 10 · Removal: What Can the Defendant do if the Plaintiff Filed in State Court a Claim over Which a Federal Court Would Have Subject Matter Jurisdiction?	115
Removal Roadmap	115
A. The Foundations of Removal	116
B. When Is a Case Removable?	116
C. What Claims and Circumstances Make a Case Removable?	117
1. Removal on the Basis of Federal Question	118
a. Single Federal Claim in a State Court Complaint	118
b. Federal Claim Joined with Non-Removable State Claim in the State Court Complaint	118
2. Removal on the Existence of a Removal-Type Diversity Claim	119

a. Citizenship of Fraudulently Joined Defendants Is Ignored	120
i. Fraudulent Jurisdictional Allegations	121
ii. No Possibility of Recovery	121
iii. Fraudulent Procedural “Misjoinder”	122
iv. The Trump Card: The No Forum-Defendant Rule	123
b. Determining the Amount in Controversy	124
i. Plaintiff Pleads no Specific Amount	124
ii. Plaintiff Pleads Less Than \$75,000 in its State Court Pleading	124
iii. Plaintiff Seeks Injunctive Relief	125
D. Deadlines, Waiver by Conduct, and Procedure for Removal	125
1. Deadlines	125
a. The 30-Day Deadline	125
i. Initial Pleading: What Is “Receipt Through Service or Otherwise”?	126
ii. Subsequent Papers: “Receipt”	127
b. One-Year Deadline for Diversity-Type Removal	129
2. Waiver by Conduct of Right to Remove	130
3. Procedure for Removal	131
a. Consent of All Properly Joined and Served Defendants	131
b. Required Filings	132
E. Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand to State Court	132
1. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs	133
F. Removed or Remanded: What Next?	134
G. Post-Removal Actions That Destroy or Create Subject Matter Jurisdiction	134
H. Limited Appealability of Orders Granting or Denying Remand	135
1. Orders Granting Remand	135
2. Orders Denying Remand	136
I. Removal by Parties Other Than Defendants Checkpoints	136

PART B	
JUDICIAL RESOLUTION OF (1) PERSONAL AND SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE; (2) THE MERITS OF A DISPUTE WITHOUT DISCOVERY, WITH DISCOVERY BUT WITHOUT TRIAL, AND ONLY AFTER DISCOVERY AND TRIAL; AND (3) APPEALS	
Chapter 11 · A Preview of Part B of This Book	139
Chapter 12 · The Plaintiff’s Pre-Suit Investigation Required by Rule 11	141
Plaintiff’s Pre-Suit Investigation Roadmap	141
A. The Purpose of Rule 11 Is to Eliminate Frivolous Legal and Factual Assertions in Filed Court Papers	141
B. Every Document Signed and Filed with a Court, Including the Complaint, Is Covered by Rule 11	142
C. The Representations Implied by Rule 11	142
1. The Implied Representation of Reasonable Inquiry	142
2. The Other Four Implied Representations	143
a. No Improper Purpose	143
b. No Frivolous Legal Arguments, Claims, or Defenses	144
c. No Unfounded Allegations	144
d. No Unfounded Denials of Allegations	145
e. What Must be Investigated?	145
f. Examples With Explanations	145
Checkpoints	146
Chapter 13 · Pleadings: The Complaint	147
Complaint Roadmap	147
A. Historical Role of Pleadings	147
B. The Modern Limited Role of Pleadings	148
C. Requirements for Pleadings	150
1. Technical Requirements	150
2. Substantive Requirements	151
a. Short and Plain Statement of the Court’s Jurisdiction	151
b. Short and Plain Statement of the Claim	151
i. Inconsistent Claims (or Defenses)	156
ii. Pleading Unsupported Allegations	157
iii. Rule 9(b) as an Exception	157

c. Demand for Relief	158
D. Who Must Be a Named Plaintiff and a Named Defendant?	159
E. Examples and Forms	160
F. Corporate Disclosure Statement and Civil Cover Sheet	162
G. Conclusion	162
Checkpoints	163
Chapter 14 · Preview of the Four Steps to Analyze Joinder of Claims and Parties	165
A. The Anchor Claim	167
B. The Four Steps for Analyzing Joining Each Additional Claim Against Each Party	169
Chapter 15 · Joinder Step One: Authority in a Rule for Joining the Party or the Claim	171
A. Introduction to the Concept of Authority	171
Chapter 16 · Authority to Join Claims	175
Authority to Join Claims Roadmap	175
A. Joinder of Claims by a Plaintiff	175
1. Joinder of a Claim by an Existing Plaintiff Against an Existing Defendant	176
a. Permissive Joinder	176
b. Mandatory Joinder of Claims by Plaintiffs	179
B. Joinder of Claims by a Defendant Against an Existing Plaintiff	179
1. Compulsory Counterclaims	180
2. Permissive Counterclaims	184
3. Impact of Rule 18(a)	184
C. Procedure for Joining Claims or Counterclaims	185
Checkpoints	185
Chapter 17 · Authority to Join Parties	187
Party Joinder Roadmap	187
A. Joinder of Parties by Plaintiffs	187
1. Permissive Joinder of Parties by Plaintiffs	187
a. Permissive Joinder of One or More Co-Plaintiffs by a Plaintiff	188

i. What Is the “Same Transaction or Occurrence”?	188
ii. What is a “Common Question of Law or Fact”?	189
b. Permissive Joinder of Co-Defendants by a Plaintiff	190
2. Mandatory Joinder of Parties: Rule 19 as a Narrow Exception to Party Autonomy	190
a. How Rule 19 Typically Gets Litigated	190
b. Rule 19: Three Steps with a Venue-Related Twist	191
i. Is the Person a “Necessary” Party?	192
(a) Parties Who Are Necessary to Accord Complete Relief to Existing Parties	193
(b) Parties Who Claim an Interest and Are Necessary to Join	194
ii. If the Person Is Necessary, Joinder Must Be Ordered if Personal and Subject Matter Jurisdiction Exist	195
(a) Joinder Can’t be Ordered if Personal or Subject Matter Jurisdiction is Lacking, but Must be Ordered Even if Venue is Improper	195
iii. Determining Whether a Necessary Person Is Indispensable	196
B. Joinder of Parties by Defendants	200
1. Joining a Party to a Counterclaim or Crossclaim	200
2. Impleader of Third-Party Defendants	202
a. Scope of Rule 14(a)(1)	202
b. Time for Filing and Serving	204
c. Service of the Third-Party Complaint	204
3. Joinder of Additional Claims Under Rule 18(a)	205
Checkpoints	205
Chapter 18 · Multiple Parties and Joinder	207
Multiple Parties Roadmap	207
A. Crossclaims against Co-Parties and Joinder of Parties to Crossclaims	207
B. Rule 14: Fourth-Party Defendants and Beyond	208
C. Misjoinder, Severance, and Separate Trials	209
Checkpoints	209
Chapter 19 · Interpleader: A Non-Party Creates a Suit between Two Parties	211
Interpleader Roadmap	211
A. What the Two Kinds of Interpleader Share	211
B. Statutory Interpleader	212

C. Rule Interpleader	212
D. Adjudication of Interpleader Actions	213
Checkpoints	213
Chapter 20 · Intervention: The Only Way for Someone to Force Himself into a Lawsuit	215
Intervention Roadmap	215
A. Intervention	215
1. Intervention as of Right	216
a. Rule 24(a)(1): Unconditional Statutory Right	216
b. Rule 24(a)(2): Rule-Based Right	216
2. Permissive Intervention	217
a. Rule 24(b)(1)(A): Conditional Statutory Right	217
b. Rule 24(b)(1)(B): Rule-Based Conditional Right	217
C. Impact of Intervention on Subject Matter Jurisdiction	218
D. Appellate Review	218
Checkpoints	218
Chapter 21 · Joinder Step Two: Original or Supplemental Subject Matter Jurisdiction Must Exist	219
Joinder Step Two Roadmap	219
A. Is There Original Subject Matter Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	220
B. Is There Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	220
1. Step One: Is the Additional Claim Part of the Same “Case or Controversy” as an Anchor Claim?	222
2. Step Two: Even if it is Part of the Same “Case or Controversy” and is not “Contaminated” does Section 1367(b) Preclude Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	225
a. Is the Additional Claim Anchored by a Federal Question Claim?	226
i. What’s Not Excluded by Section 1367(b)?	227
(a) No Claim Is Excluded if There is at Least one Federal Question Anchor Claim	227
(b) No Claim by a Defendant or a Party Sought to be Joined as a Defendant is Excluded	227

(c) No Claim by a Single Plaintiff against a Single Defendant is Excluded	227
ii. What is Excluded?	228
3. Step Three: Does the District Court Have Discretion to Decline to Exercise Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	228
a. The Four Triggers to Exercise of Discretion in the Subparagraphs of Subsection 1367(c)	229
i. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Raises a Novel or Complex Issue of State Law	230
ii. Dismissal of an Additional Claim That Substantially Predominates Over the Claim or Claims Over Which the District Court has Original Jurisdiction	230
iii. Dismissal of the Additional Claims After the District Court has Dismissed all Claims Over Which that Court had Original Jurisdiction	231
iv. Dismissal of an Additional Claim When, in Exceptional Circumstances, There are Other Compelling Reasons for Declining Jurisdiction	231
b. If One Subparagraph is Met, What Informs the District Court's Discretion?	231
B. What Happens if the Court Dismisses the Additional Claim?	232
Checkpoints	233
Chapter 22 · Joinder Step Three: Personal or Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Exist Over Most Claims	235
Joinder Step Three Roadmap	235
A. Must There be, and if so is There, Regular Personal Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	236
B. If Needed but There is no Regular Personal Jurisdiction, is There Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Over the Additional Claim?	237
C. Assertion of Pendent Personal Jurisdiction Must Comport with Due Process	239
Checkpoints	239

Chapter 23 · Joinder Step Four: Venue or Pendent Venue Must Exist Over Some Claims	241
Joinder Step Four Roadmap	241
A. Is Venue Proper Under the “Regular” Analysis?	241
B. Is Proper Venue Unnecessary Over the Claim?	242
a. Venue is not Required for a Counterclaim Against an Existing Plaintiff	242
b. Venue is not Required for a Counterclaim Against a Party Joined as a Counterclaim Defendant Under Rule 13(h)	242
c. Venue is not Required for a Claim Against a Third-Party Defendant Joined Under Rule 14(a)	242
2. All Other Claims Require Proper Venue or Pendent Venue	243
Checkpoints	244
Chapter 24 · A Recap and Flow Chart of the Four Steps for Adding Claims or Parties	245
A. A Flow Chart for Applying Section 1367	245
B. The Charts Revisited	247
C. Some Examples with Explanations	247
1. Compulsory Counterclaims	248
2. Certain Permissive Counterclaims	249
3. Joinder of Plaintiffs Under Rules 19 and 20	250
4. Joinder of Defendants Under Rules 19 and 20	252
5. Joinder of a Counterclaim Defendant to a Counterclaim Against and Existing Plaintiff Under Rule 13(h)	253
6. Third-Party Practice Under Rule 14	253
7. Rule 18(a) Joinder of Claims	255
Chapter 25 · Notifying the Defendant of the Filing of Suit through Service, Waiver of Service of Process, or Substituted Service	257
Notifying the Defendant Roadmap	257
A. Formal Service or Request for Waiver of Formal Service	257
1. Formal Service of Process	258
a. Plaintiff’s Counsel Fills Out the Forms	258
b. The Court Clerk Signs and Seals the Forms	258
c. Plaintiff’s Lawyer has Process Properly and Timely Served	258
d. The Process Server Completes Proof of Service	260
e. Plaintiff Files the Proof of Service	260

2. Request for Waiver of Service of Process	260
B. Constructive Service of Process as an Alternative to Formal Service or Waiver of Formal Service	261
C. What's Next?	261
Checkpoints	262
Chapter 26 · Preview of the Defendant's Options in Response to Receiving a Federal Court Complaint	263
Chapter 27 · The Defendant's Initial Set of Options	267
Defendant's Initial Options Roadmap	267
A. Filing a Motion Under Rule 12	267
B. Filing an Answer	268
C. Doing Nothing	268
D. Limitations on the Defendant's Options	269
E. Moving to the Details	270
Checkpoints	270
Chapter 28 · An Introduction to Motions	271
Motions Roadmap	271
A. Technical Requirements for Motions	271
1. Writing, Caption, Grounds	271
2. Serving and Filing the Motion	272
B. Briefs, Affidavits, and Other Things Associated with Motions	273
C. The Opposition to the Motion	275
D. The Reply in Support of the Motion	275
E. Court Dockets and Rules on the Motion	276
Checkpoints	277
Chapter 29 · When and Why Must a Defendant File an Answer or Rule 12 Motion?	279
File an Answer or a Rule 12 Motion Roadmap	279
A. When and Why Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	279
1. When Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	279
2. Why Must a Rule 12 Motion or Answer Be Filed?	279
B. What Must or Can be Raised in a Rule 12 Motion?	280
Checkpoints	281

Chapter 30 · Rule 12(b)(1) Challenges to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	283
Rule 12(b)(1) Roadmap	283
A. Two Forms of Attack	283
1. Facial Attacks	284
2. Factual Attacks	284
B. The Impact of Adjudication	284
C. Additional Doctrines Related to Subject Matter Jurisdiction	284
1. A Summary Chart	286
2. Requirements Originating from Article III’s Case or Controversy Clause	286
a. Constitutional Standing	289
b. Ripeness	289
c. Mootness	290
i. The Exception to the Mootness Limitation: Courts May Adjudicate Claims Capable of Repetition Yet Evading Review	290
3. Prudential Standing Requirements	291
4. Other Limitations on Federal Judicial Power	292
a. <i>Rooker-Feldman</i> : Review of State Court Orders go to the Supreme Court, Not Federal District Courts	293
b. <i>Younger</i> “Abstention”	294
c. <i>Colorado River</i> Abstention	295
d. <i>Pullman</i> Abstention	296
Checkpoints	297
Chapter 31 · Rule 12(b)(2) Challenges to Personal Jurisdiction	299
Rule 12(b)(2) Roadmap	299
A. Promptly and Timely Objecting to Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	299
1. Two Types of Challenges to Personal Jurisdiction	299
a. Facial Challenges	300
b. Factual Challenges	300
2. Waiving Objection to Lack of Personal Jurisdiction	301
a. Intentional Waiver	301
i. Pre-Dispute Forum Selection Clauses	301
ii. Post-Filing Deliberate Inaction	301
iii. Filing of Suit	301
b. Inadvertent Waiver: Pleading an Objection to Personal Jurisdiction in an Answer is not Enough	302
B. The Impact of Adjudication of the Motion	302

C. Not Appearing and Later Collaterally Attacking the Judgment Checkpoints	303 303
Chapter 32 · Rule 12(b)(3) Challenges to Improper Venue	305
Rule 12(b)(3) Roadmap	305
A. Objections to Improper Venue Must Be Made Promptly or Are Waived	305
1. Inadvertent Waiver	305
a. Failing to Timely File a Pre-answer Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue	305
b. Including the Objection to Venue in a Pleading but Participating in Litigation Before Moving to Dismiss	306
2. Intentional Waiver	306
a. Post-Suit Deliberate Choice to Waive Objection	306
b. Pre-Dispute Forum Selection Clauses	306
i. Raising a Venue Objection Based on a Forum Selection Clause	306
ii. Adjudicating Validity of Forum Selection Clauses	307
B. Procedure for Adjudicating Objections to Improper Venue	307
1. Burdens of Proof	307
2. The Court Must Either Dismiss or Transfer If Venue Is Improper	308
3. Where can the Court Transfer the Claim?	309
4. What if Venue Over Some Claims is Proper?	309
5. No Second-Guessing by Transferee Court	310
Checkpoints	310
Chapter 33 · Rule 12(b)(4) and (5) Challenges to Process	311
Rule 12(b)(4) Roadmap	311
A. The Scope of These Two Rules	311
B. Procedure to Make a Rule 12(b)(4) or 12(b)(5) Motion	312
C. Waiver of Objection	312
D. The Impact of Adjudication	312
Checkpoints	312
Chapter 34 · Rule 12(b)(6) Challenges to Statement of a Claim by Plaintiff and under Rule 12(c) for Judgment on the Pleadings	313
Rule 12(b)(6) Roadmap	313
A. The Limitations in Rule 12(b)(6)	314

1. If the Court Does not Limit its Consideration to the Allegations of the Complaint, a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion Must be Treated as a Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment	314
a. What are the “Contents of the Complaint”?	314
b. What if the Court Does not Exclude, but Does not Consider, Matters Outside the Complaint?	315
2. The Plausible Facts in the Pleading are Taken as True:	
Rule 12(b)(6)’s Burden of Persuasion	316
a. An Example Applied to a Claim	316
b. Rule 12(b)(6) and Affirmative Defenses	317
B. Determining Whether the Pleading States a Claim for Relief	317
C. Procedure for Making a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	318
D. Responding to a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion	318
E. It’s Hard to Waive the Objection of Failing to State a Claim	319
1. Rule 12(c)	319
a. The Window of Rule 12(c)	319
b. The Same Standards Under Rule 12(b)(6) Apply Under Rule 12(c)	320
i. Burden of Proof	320
ii. Conversion to a Rule 56 Motion for Summary Judgment	320
2. At Trial	321
F. The Impact of Adjudication of a Rule 12(c) Motion	321
Checkpoints	321
Chapter 35 · Rule 12(b)(7) and Indispensable Parties under Rule 19	323
A. Timing of Rule 12(b)(7) Objection	323
B. Facial or Factual Attacks Permitted	323
C. The Impact of Adjudication	324
Chapter 36 · Rule 12’s One-Motion-Consolidation Requirement	325
Rule 12’s One-Motion-Consolidation Requirement Roadmap	325
A. A Party Can Easily Waive Personal Protections	325
B. The Requirement That Certain Rule 12 Objections Be Consolidated in the First Response or Be Deemed Waived, and the Three Exceptions to Waiver	326
C. Special Issues under Rule 12	328
1. One, and Only One, Pre-Answer Motion	328
2. An Exception for Motions Under Rules 12(e) or (f)	329
3. Compelling a Party to Abide by a Pre-Dispute Arbitration Clause	330

D. The Court Can Grant, Deny, or Carry a Rule 12 Motion With the Case	330
1. Impact of Granting a Rule 12 Motion	331
2. Impact of Denial of a Rule 12 Motion	331
3. Carrying the Motion with the Case	331
E. Should a Party with the Choice File an Answer or a Rule 12 Motion?	332
F. Filing a Rule 56 Motion Should Not Postpone the Answer Date	332
Checkpoints	333
Chapter 37 · The Defendant’s Answer	335
Answer Roadmap	335
A. Defense Counsel Must Investigate the Facts and Law	335
B. Defense Counsel Must Address Each Allegation in the Complaint	336
C. The Answer Must Plead Every Affirmative Defense	336
1. What is an “Affirmative Defense”?	337
2. How Much Detail About the Affirmative Defense Must be Pled in the Answer?	339
3. May the Defendant Be Inconsistent in its Positions?	339
4. An Example of Pleading Affirmative Defenses in <i>Pre-Iqbal/Twombly</i> Fashion	340
D. Defense Counsel Must Plead Any Rule 12(b) Objections	340
E. Defense Counsel Must Analyze Joinder of Claims and Parties	340
F. Defense Counsel Must Determine if a Jury Trial is Available and Desired	341
G. Defense Counsel Must Timely File and Serve the Answer	341
Checkpoints	341
Chapter 38 · Rule 11’s Reach and Special Procedures	343
Rule 11’s Reach Roadmap	343
A. Rule 11 Reaches all Papers, but not Discovery	343
B. The Reach of Rule 11 Beyond “Filing” or “Submitting” a Paper to “Later Advocating”	344
C. Two Ways to Raise Violations of Rule 11	345
1. Party’s Motions for Sanctions	345
2. Court’s Own Motion for Sanctions	345
D. The Proper Sanction Under Rule 11	346
1. A Violation Permits but Does not Require Imposition of any Sanction	346

2. Who Can the Court Sanction?	346
3. Determining the “Appropriate” Sanction	347
a. Monetary Sanctions	348
b. Non-Monetary Sanctions, Including Dismissal	348
E. Related Law: Sanctions Under 28 U.S.C.	
§ 1927 and Inherent Power	349
1. Section 1927	349
2. Inherent Power to Sanction	349
F. Sanctions Related to Discovery	350
Checkpoints	350
Chapter 39 · Transfer from a Proper Venue to a More	
Convenient, Proper Venue	351
Venue Transfer Roadmap	351
A. How to Analyze a Section 1404(a) Motion to Transfer Venue	352
1. Venue Must be Proper in the Current District	352
2. Venue in the Proposed Transferee District Must be Proper	
and the Defendant Must be Subject to	
Personal Jurisdiction in the Transferee Forum	353
3. The Party Seeking to Transfer the Case Bears	
the Burden to Establish the Transferee District	
is Clearly More Convenient	353
B. Procedure to Move to Transfer Under Section 1404(a)	355
1. Is a Rule 12(b)(3) Motion Required to Move	
Under Section 1404(a)?	356
2. Transfer, Not Dismissal, is the Only Option	356
C. Intra-District, Inter-Divisional Transfer Under Section 1404(a)	357
Checkpoints	357
Chapter 40 · Special Venue Issues	359
Special Venue Issues Roadmap	359
A. The Impact of Forum Selection Clauses	359
1. Enforceability and Interpretation	359
2. Interplay Between Forum Selection Clauses and Rule 12(b)(3)	360
B. Forum <i>Non Conveniens</i>	361
1. International Application of Forum <i>Non</i>	361
2. Narrow Domestic Application of Forum <i>Non</i>	362
3. Appellate Review	363
4. Waiver of Forum <i>Non</i>	363

C. Venue in Removed Actions	363
Checkpoints	363
Chapter 41 · Amending Pleadings	365
Amending Pleadings Roadmap	365
A. The General Rule Regarding Amendment of Pleadings in Rule 15(a)	365
1. The Right to Amend	366
2. Agreement From the Opposing Parties	366
3. Moving for Leave to Amend	366
a. Rule 15(a)(2): The General Rule	366
B. The Procedure to Amend a Pleading	368
C. The Other Parties' Right to Respond if Amendment is Permitted	369
D. Rule 15(c) Relation Back of an Otherwise Time-Barred Claim	370
1. Rule 15(c)(1): The Rule Governing Relation Back	370
a. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(A)	371
b. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(B)	371
c. Relation Back Under Rule 15(c)(1)(C)	373
i. Changing the Defendant or Defendant's Name	373
ii. Changing the Plaintiff	375
2. How the Question of Relation Back is Typically Litigated	375
Checkpoints	376
Chapter 42 · Lawyer Responsibility for and Judicial Involvement in Case Management	377
Chapter 43 · The First Steps After Pleadings Close: Conferences and Required Initial Disclosures	379
After Pleadings Close Roadmap	379
A. Required Initial Disclosures: Each Party Must Show its Best Hand	380
B. The Parties Agree on a Plan for the Lawsuit at the Rule 26(f) Conference	380
1. When	381
2. What	381
3. Why	384
B. The Rule 26(f) Conference's Impact on Discovery	384
C. The Rule 16(b) Conference and Order	384
D. Exchange of Required Initial Disclosures	386
1. Exemptions	386
2. When	386

3. What: The Good Stuff Reasonably Available to the Party	386
4. Supplementation	387
5. Sanctions and Exclusion From Evidence for Violating the Rule	387
E. Amending a Deadline in a Rule 16 Scheduling Order:	
Good Cause Plus	388
1. Amending Pleadings: Good Cause to Amend or Bias To Allow the Amendment?	389
Checkpoints	390
Chapter 44 · Adjudication or Resolution of Claims Before Discovery	391
Adjudication Before Discovery Roadmap	391
A. Default Judgments	391
1. The Three Steps to Obtain a Default Judgment	392
a. An Act of Default: The Failure to “Plead or Otherwise Defend”	392
i. Failure to Plead	392
ii. What Constitutes “Failure to Otherwise Defend”?	392
b. Entry of Default and Setting Entry of Default Aside	393
i. Entry of Default	393
ii. Setting Aside Entry of Default	394
c. Entry of Default Judgment and Vacating Entry	394
i. Can the Clerk or Must the Judge Enter Default Judgment?	394
(a) What Is a “Sum Certain”?	395
(b) What is an “Appearance”?	396
ii. Vacating a Default Judgment	396
B. Voluntary Dismissal	396
1. Voluntary Unilateral Dismissal of a Claim by a Party	396
a. Time for Filing Notice of Dismissal	396
b. Impact of Filing a Notice of Dismissal	397
2. Only one Voluntary Dismissal <i>Without</i> Prejudice	398
3. Dismissal by Stipulation of the Parties	398
a. Dismissal After Answer or Summary Judgment or Without All Parties’ Consent: Court Approval Required	398
C. Involuntary Dismissal with Prejudice for Violating Rules or Orders	399
D. Dismissal of a Claim Based Upon a Motion Under Rules 12(b)(6) or 12(c)	400
E. Settling the Case	400
Checkpoints	401

Chapter 45 · The Foundations of Choice of Law in Federal Court	403
Foundations of Choice of Law Roadmap	403
A. Federal Power is Limited	403
B. States Authorized Congress to Create Federal District Courts	404
C. Limits on State Power	404
D. The Complexities of Choice of Law	405
Checkpoints	406
Chapter 46 · Vertical and Horizontal Choice of Law	407
Choice of Law Roadmap	407
A. Federal Law and Federal Procedure Apply to Claims Arising Under Federal Law	407
B. State Substantive Law, but Federal Procedural Law, Applies to Claims Arising Under State Law	408
1. The <i>Erie</i> Decision: State Substantive Law Applies to State Law Claims Being Adjudicated in Federal Court	409
2. The Federal Power to Regulate Federal Procedure and its Limitations	411
3. Where We Are Today	414
a. A Validly Enacted Rule Will Control Absent State Substantive Law to the Contrary	414
B. Determining Which State’s Law Applies and Then Identifying the Content of that State’s Law	415
1. Which State’s Law Applies?	415
a. Choice of Law in Federal Courts: The General Rule	415
b. Choice of Law After Transfer of Venue to a Different State	416
i. Choice of Law After a Section 1404(a) Transfer to a More Convenient Forum	416
ii. Choice of Law After a Section 1406(a) Transfer for Improper Venue (or Lack of Personal Jurisdiction)	418
2. How Does a Federal Court Determine What State Law is—the “Content” of State Law?	418
3. Constitutional Limitations on Imposition of Substantive State Law	419
D. Appellate Review	419
Checkpoints	419

Chapter 47 · Discovery	421
Discovery Roadmap	421
A. Purpose of Discovery	422
B. Party Responsibility for Setting the Boundaries for Discovery and Making Initial Disclosures	423
C. Greater Judicial Involvement in and Lawyer Management of Discovery	424
1. Each Lawyer Must Participate in the Rule 26(f) Conference	424
2. New Amendment Requires Early, More Judicial Involvement	424
3. Each Party Must Make Initial Disclosures	424
4. A Discovery Request Is Certified as Reasonable	425
5. Lawyers Must Meet and Confer Before Raising Disputes with the Court, and Must Request a Conference with the Court Before Filing a Discovery Motion	425
Checkpoints	425
Chapter 48 · Scope of Discovery: Relevancy and Other Boundaries	427
Scope of Discovery Roadmap	427
A. Relevancy to a Claim and Proportionality as a Key Limit on Discovery	428
B. Sometimes Even a Request for Information that is Relevant to a Claim or Defense and which is Proportional to the Case is Objectionable	432
C. Privilege and Work Product as Boundaries to Discovery	432
1. Privileged Information Is Protected from Disclosure	433
2. Work Product or “Trial Preparation” Materials Receive Qualified Protection	434
(3) Trial Preparation: Materials	435
D. Other Boundaries	438
E. Enforcing the Boundaries	438
Checkpoints	438
Chapter 49 · Forms of Discovery	439
Forms of Discovery Roadmap	439
A. Requests for Production of Documents and Things	439
1. Obligations of the Requesting Party	440
2. Obligations of the Recipient	440
3. Obtaining Documents from Non-Parties by Rule 45 Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i>	447

B. Requests for Inspection of Land and Other Locations to Parties or Non-Parties	447
C. Interrogatories to Parties	448
1. Serving Party's Obligations	448
2. Recipient's Obligations	449
D. Requests for Admission	450
1. Serving Party's Obligations	450
2. Recipient's Obligations	450
3. Scope of Admission and Withdrawal of an Admission	452
E. Depositions on Written Questions	452
1. Sender's Obligations	452
2. Recipient's Obligations	453
F. Oral Depositions	453
1. Overview	453
2. Procedure for Taking the Deposition of Parties or Non-Parties	453
3. Deposing a Natural Person Who Is Already a Party to the Suit	455
4. Deposing Corporations and Other Entities: Rule 30(b)(6)	455
a. The Procedure for Obtaining a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition	455
b. Issues Concerning the Designee's Testimony	456
5. Taking Oral Deposition of a Non-Party by Service of a Subpoena	458
G. Physical and Mental Examinations	459
1. Obtaining an Order for an Examination	459
a. Who May Be Examined?	460
b. When Is a Condition "in Controversy"?	460
c. What Constitutes "Good Cause"?	460
H. Special Issues	461
1. E-Discovery	461
I. Practical but Crucial Big Picture View of Discovery Checkpoints	461
	462
Chapter 50 · Expert Witnesses: Disclosure, Discovery, and Protection	463
Expert Witnesses Roadmap	463
A. Required Disclosures from Parties Intending to Use Testifying Experts and Hybrid Experts at Trial	464
1. An Overview of the Two Rounds of Disclosures	464
a. Disclosure of the Identity of Each Testifying Expert and Hybrid Expert	465
b. Disclosure of Reports of Testifying Experts and Summaries from Hybrid Experts	466

i. Who is a “Testifying Expert” and so Must Prepare a Report?	466
ii. What Must Be in the Report of a Testifying Expert?	467
iii. What Must the Summary of a Hybrid Expert Contain?	468
2. Each Party Must Supplement Expert Disclosures	468
3. Incomplete or Late Reports, Summaries of Hybrid Experts, or Deposition Testimony	469
B. Non-Testifying (<i>aka</i> Consulting) Experts	470
1. The Rule: No Discovery of Consulting Experts	470
2. The “Exceptional Circumstances” Exception	471
a. The Condition Is No Longer Observable and Could Not Have Been Observed by the Party Seeking Discovery from the Consulting Expert	472
b. The Event or Condition Can be Recreated Only at Great Expense	473
c. There’s No Other Expert in the Field Available	473
C. Special Issues	473
1. Deposing a Testifying Expert’s Non-Testifying Assistants	473
2. Waiver of Protection of Non-Testifying Expert’s Opinions	474
3. De-Designating a Testifying Expert	474
Checkpoints	474
Chapter 51 · Adjudication of Discovery Disputes	475
Adjudication of Discovery Disputes Roadmap	475
A. Penalties for Noncompliance with Discovery Requests and Disclosure Obligations	475
1. The Process for Adjudicating Disputes About Discovery	475
2. Adjudicating a Party’s Motion to Compel	477
a. The Process for Moving to Compel	477
b. Violation of an Order Compelling Discovery	478
3. Adjudication of a Party’s or Non-Party’s Motion for Protective Order	479
Checkpoints	481
Chapter 52 · Summary Judgment: Adjudication of Claims Before Trial but Usually After Discovery Closes	483
Summary Judgment Roadmap	483
A. Timing: When Motions for Summary Judgment Can Be and Typically Are Filed	485

B. What a Court May Consider in Adjudicating a Motion for Summary Judgment	486
C. The Burden of Persuasion is on the Party Moving for Summary Judgment Movant	487
1. The Burden of Persuasion	487
a. No Genuine Issue of Material Fact	487
i. When Is a Fact “Material”?	487
ii. When Is There a “Genuine Issue”?	488
b. When Is a Movant “Entitled to Judgment as a Matter of Law”?	489
2. The Burden of Production, Not Persuasion, Is Usually What Matters	490
a. How Much Evidence Is Sufficient?	491
D. The Summary Judgment Process: Shifting Burdens of Production	492
1. The Movant’s Initial Burden of Production and the Shift to the Responding Party	493
a. The Burden of Production If the Party Moving for Summary Judgment Does Not Have the Burden of Proof at Trial	493
b. The Burden of Production If the Party Moving for Summary Judgment Also Has the Burden of Proof at Trial	494
2. The Burden of Production Shifts Only if the Summary Judgment Movant Meets Its Burden of Production	495
E. Examples with Explanations and a Flow Chart	496
1. Examples with Explanations	496
2. A Flow Chart	498
Checkpoints	498
Chapter 53 · The Final Set of Required Disclosures: The Pretrial Order	499
Pretrial Order Roadmap	499
A. Pretrial Disclosures Required by Rule 26(a)(3) 30 Days Before Trial	499
B. The More Common, Jointly Prepared Pretrial Order and Commonly Required Additional Materials	501
C. Impact of the Pretrial Order on Subsequent Events	501
Checkpoints	503

Chapter 54 · Adjudication of Claims by Trial	505
Adjudication of Claims by Trial Roadmap	505
A. Pretrial Motions <i>in Limine</i>	505
B. Juries	506
1. Will a Jury Hear the Claim?	506
a. Is There a Right to Trial by Jury?	506
b. Was the Right Waived or, if Made, Can It be Revoked?	507
c. Power of the Court to use a Jury on Non-Jury Questions, or Despite the Parties' Waiver of the Right to One	507
2. Jury Selection	508
a. Jury Pools	508
b. Jury Strikes	508
i. Striking for Cause	508
ii. Striking Peremptorily	509
c. Jury Seated	510
C. Trial	510
1. Turns and Burdens	510
2. Plaintiff's Case in Chief	510
3. Defendant's Turn	512
a. JMOL under Rule 50(a): aka "Motions for Directed Verdict"	512
i. Timing of Rule 50(a) Motion	512
ii. Two Motions	513
iii. Movant's Burden of Proof	513
iv. Grant or Denial of Motion	515
4. Plaintiff's Rebuttal Case and Plaintiff's JMOL Against Defendant's Counterclaims or Affirmative Defenses	515
5. JMOL on Issues on Which the Movant Has the Burden of Proof	516
6. JMOL Examples with Explanations	516
D. Conferring on the Charge and Verdict Form	518
E. Closing Arguments	519
F. Charging the Jury	520
G. Jury Deliberation	520
H. Return of Verdict	521
Checkpoints	521
 Chapter 55 · After Verdict: In the District Court	 523
After Verdict Roadmap	523
A. Options for the Verdict Loser That Must Be Taken before Jury Discharge	523

B. After the Jury Discharge: Verdict Winner Moves for Entry of Judgment	525
1. Process for Entry of Judgment	525
2. Form of Judgment	525
C. Within 28 Days of Entry of Judgment, the Verdict Loser Must File Any Motions for New Trial and Rule 50(b) Renewed Motions for JMOL	526
1. Post-Judgment Rule 50(b) JMOL Motions (aka JNOV)	526
a. Predicate: Pre-Submission Rule 50(a) JMOL Motion	526
b. Scope of Post-Judgment Rule 50(b) Motion Limited to Pre-Verdict Rule 50(a) Motion	526
c. Burden of Proof	527
d. Impact of Rulings	528
2. Motions for New Trial under Rule 59	528
a. The Two Common Bases for New Trial Motions	528
b. New Trial Awarded Based Only on the Amount of Damages	530
i. <i>Remittitur</i>	530
ii. <i>Additur</i> : Unconstitutional in Federal Court	531
D. Motions to Amend the Judgment under Rule 59(e)	531
E. What Does the Verdict and Judgment Winner Have to Do?	531
1. Did the Verdict Deprive It of Any Relief?	531
2. Respond to the Loser's Motions	532
F. Impact of Entry of Judgment on Execution and Appeals	532
G. Possible Actions by the Trial Court and the Impact on Appeal Checkpoints	535
Chapter 56 · Obtaining Relief after Prevailing on a Claim	537
Obtaining Relief Roadmap	537
A. Execution of Judgment and Discovery in Aid of Execution	537
B. The Judgment Loser Can Move in Federal Court to Stay Execution of the Judgment Pending Appeal	538
Checkpoints	539
Chapter 57 · Limited Availability of Appeals: The Final Judgment Rule and Its Exceptions	541
Final Judgment Rule Roadmap	541
A. Who Can Appeal?	542
B. When is the Earliest an Appeal Can Be Taken? The Final Judgment Rule and Its Few Exceptions	543

1. Exceptions to the Final Judgment Rule	545
a. Rule 54(b)	545
i. Adjudication of at Least One of Multiple Claims	546
ii. Express Determination There Is No Just Reason for Delay	547
iii. Express Entry of Final Judgment	549
iv. Waiver of the Right to Seek Rule 54(b) Certification	549
v. Appellate Review	549
b. Collateral Orders	550
i. Conclusive Determination	550
ii. An Important Issue Separate From the Merits	550
iii. Effectively Unreviewable After Appeal	551
c. Orders Granting or Denying Injunctive Relief:	
28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1)	552
i. Orders Granting or Denying Preliminary Injunctions:	
Appealable as of Right	553
ii. Temporary Restraining Orders: Generally Not Appealable	553
d. Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)	554
i. Requirements	555
(a) Controlling Question of Law	555
(b) Substantial Ground for Difference of Opinion	555
(c) Interlocutory Appeal May Materially Advance Litigation	556
ii. Process in the District Court	556
iii. Process in the Appellate Court	556
iv. Waiver	557
e. Mandamus	557
C. The Big Picture	558
Checkpoints	558
Chapter 58 · A Brief Word on Appeals	559
Appeals Roadmap	559
A. Timing of Filing of Notice of Appeal	559
B. The Appellate Process	560
1. Reversible Error and Harm Must Be Established	560
a. Standards of Review	560
b. Harmful Error Is Required to Reverse	561
2. Affirm, Reverse, Render or Remand	562
3. Panel Reconsideration and Rehearing En Banc	562
C. Petition for Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court	562
Checkpoints	563

Chapter 59 · Attacking a Judgment in District Court under Rule 60(b)	565
Rule 60(b) Roadmap	565
A. Motions to Reopen the Judgment under Rule 60(b)	565
B. The Six Narrow Bases of Rule 60(b) and the Short Time Limits	566
C. Adjudication of Rule 60(b) Motions	566
1. Rule 60(b)(1): Mistake, Inadvertence, Surprise, or Excusable Neglect	566
2. Rule 60(b)(2): Newly Discovered Evidence	567
3. Rule 60(b)(3): Fraud	567
4. Rule 60(b)(4): Judgment is Void	568
5. Rule 60(b)(5): Judgment has been Satisfied, Released, Discharged, Reversed, or Become Inequitable	568
6. Rule 60(b)(6): The Narrow Catch-all	569
Checkpoints	569
Chapter 60 · After Appeals: Claim and Issue Preclusion	571
Claim and Issue Preclusion Roadmap	571
A. Choice of Law	572
B. Claim Preclusion	573
1. Purpose of Claim Preclusion	573
2. The Elements of Claim Preclusion	574
a. Could the Later-Asserted Claim Have Been Brought in the First Suit?	574
b. Should the Later-Asserted Claim Have Been Asserted in the First Suit: is it the “Same Claim” as one in the Earlier Suit?	575
i. Is the Later-Asserted Claim so Identical to or Closely Related to one in the Earlier Suit that “Should Have Been” Brought Earlier?	575
ii. Was the Later-Asserted Claim a Compulsory Counterclaim in the First Suit?	577
iii. Could the Later-asserted Claim Have Been a Defense to the First Suit That, if Successful, Would Nullify Rights Established in the First Suit?	578
c. If the Party Bringing the Later-Asserted Claim was not a Party to the First Suit, is it Nonetheless Bound by the Prior Judgment Because it is in “Privity” with a Party to the First Suit?	579

d. Did the First Suit End with a Final Judgment “On the Merits”?	580
C. Issue Preclusion	581
1. Purpose of Issue Preclusion	581
2. Elements of Issue Preclusion	582
a. Was the Same Issue Litigated and Actually Determined in the First Suit?	583
b. Was the Issue Essential to the Judgment in the First Case, Such That the Judgment Could Not Have Issued Without That Issue Having Been Decided?	584
c. Was There a Valid, Final Judgment on the Merits in the First Suit?	586
d. Can Issue Preclusion be Applied Against the Party in the Second Suit?	587
e. Can the Party in the Second Suit Assert Issue Preclusion?	587
D. Procedure for Litigating Claim Preclusion	589
1. Claim Preclusion is “ <i>Res Judicata</i> ” and So Must be Pled as an Affirmative	589
2. Ordinarily Claim Preclusion can be Resolved by Moving for Summary Judgment	589
E. Procedure for Litigating Issue Preclusion	589
1. Pleading Requirements	589
a. Because Issue Preclusion is “ <i>Res Judicata</i> ” it must be Pled as an Affirmative Defense Under Rule 8(c)	589
b. Offensive Use: Must Plaintiff Plead Issue Preclusion?	590
2. Litigating Issue Preclusion by Moving for Summary Judgment	590
F. Special Issues	590
1. Co-Pending Suits with Same Claims	590
Checkpoints	591

PART C

SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING SIMILAR CLAIMS AMONG MANY PARTIES

Chapter 61 · A Brief Introduction to Mass and Class Actions	595
A. Class Actions	596
1. Overview of Class Actions	596
2. Impact of CAFA	597
B. Multi-District Consolidation: 28 U.S.C. § 1407	598

CONTENTS

xxxix

Mastering Civil Procedure Master Checklist

599

Index

607

Table of Cases

- A. Kraus & Son v. Benjamin Moore & Co., 122
- Aamot v. Kassel, 398
- Abbott Labs. v. Gardner, 290
- A.B.C.G. Enterp., Inc. v. First Bank Southeast, N.A., 579
- Acevedo-Garcia v. Vera-Monroig, 538
- Achtman v. Kirby, McInerney & Squire, LLP, 223
- Ackra Direct Mktg. Corp. v. Fingerhut Corp., 394
- ACLU of Nev. v. Lomax , 289, 291
- Acri v. Varian Assocs., Inc., 231
- Action Embroidery Corp. v. Atlantic Embroidery, Inc., 238
- Adams v. AlliedSignal General Aviation Avionics, 312
- Advance Financial Corp. v. Utsey, 388
- Advanced Magnetics v. Bayfront Partners, 546
- Aequitron Medical, Inc. v. CBS, Inc., 375
- Aetna Health, Inc. v. Davila (1984), 32, 36
- Aetna Health, Inc. v. Davila (2004), 32, 36
- Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hosp. & Training School for Nurses, 466
- Aguayo v. AMCO Ins. Co., 124, 130
- Ahern v. Scholz, 561
- Ahrenholz v. Bd. of Trustees of Univ. of Ill., 555, 557
- Ajifu v. Int’l Ass’n. of Machinists & Aerospace Workers, 400
- Aldrich v. New York, 585
- Alexander Proudfoot Co. World Headquarters L.P. v. Thayer, 413
- Alexander v. Okla., 315
- Allen v. Wright, 288, 291
- Allred v. Moore & Peterson, 300
- Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hugh Cole Builder, Inc., 203
- Allstate Ins. v. Hague, 419
- Alper v. U.S., 458
- Alpine View Co. v. Atlas Copco AB, 362
- Alvarez v. Simmons Mkt. Research Bureau, Inc., 400
- Am. Cyanamid Co. v. McGhee, 398
- Am. Express Travel Related Serv. Co., Inc. v. Beaumont, 203
- Am. Reliable Ins. Co. v. Navratil, 419
- Am. Reliable Ins. Co. v. Stillwell, 293
- Amway Corp. v. Procter & Gamble Co., 468
- Anderson v. Docuport, Inc., 189
- Anderson v. Hale, 431
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 488, 496

- Angelo v. Armstrong World Indus., Inc., 511
- Ankenbrandt v. Richards, 292
- Apotex, Inc. v. Food & Drug Admin., 576
- Arbegas v. Bd. of Ed. Of S. New Berlin Central H.S., 494
- Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona, 290
- Armotek Indus., Inc. v. Employers Ins., 542
- Arons v. Lalime, 144
- Asahi Metal Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Superior Ct. of Ca., 84–85
- ASARCO Inc. v. Kadish, 54
- Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 152
- AT&T Broadband v. Tech Communications, Inc., 554
- Atchinson v. Dist. of Columbia, 368
- Atlanta Shipping Corp. v. International Modular House., Inc., 128
- Atlantic Marine Const. Co., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Court for Western Dist. of Texas, 360–362
- Audette v. Isaksen Fishing Corp., 524
- Avco Corp. v. Aero Lodge No. 735, Int’l Ass’n of Machinists, 36
- Avista Mgmt., Inc. v. Wausau Underwriters Ins. Co., 458
- Avita v. Metropolitan Club, 158
- B. Fernandez & HNOS, Inc. v. Kellogg USA, Inc., 217–218
- B & B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Indus., Inc., 582, 584
- Bain v. MJJ Prods., Inc., 567
- Baker v. Gold Seal Liquors, Inc., 180
- Bancroft & Masters, Inc. v. Augusta Nat’l, Inc., 84
- Bank of Am. Nat’l Trust & Savs. Ass’n. v. Nilsli, 197
- Bank of Augusta v. Earle, 66
- Bank of the Orient v. Superior Court, 430
- Banks v. Office of Senate Sergeant-at-Arms, 447
- Barab v. Menford, 203
- Barrett v. Lombardi, 300
- Barton v. Florida, 307
- Base Metal Trading, Ltd. v. OJSC “Novokuznetsky Aluminum Factory,” 89, 97
- Bates v. C&S Adjusters, Inc., 108
- Batts v. Tow-Motor Forklift Co., 569
- Baumgart v. Fairchild Aircraft Corp., 362
- Beattie v. U.S., 243
- Becker v. Montgomery, 344
- Beeck v. Aquaslide ’n’ Dive Corp., 368
- Beighley v. Federal Deposit Ins. Corp., 130
- Belcher v. Basset Furn. Indus., 448
- Belknap v. Leary, 554
- Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 152, 156
- Bell v. City of Kellogg, 542
- Bell v. Hood, 27
- Belleville Catering Co. v. Champaign Market Place L.L.C., 45
- Bel-Ray Co. v. Chemrite Ltd., 302
- Beneficial Nat’l Bank v. Andersen, 36
- Benham v. Rice, 461
- Berhard v. Bank of Am., 587
- Bhaya v. Westinghouse Elec. Corp., 528
- Bias v. Advantage Int’l, Inc., 488
- Bird v. Carteret Mortgage Corp., 122
- Bird v. Parsons, 88
- Bishop v. Okla., 243

- Blake v. Gov't of V.I. Dept. of Housing, 550
- Blakey v. Continental Airlines, Inc., 529
- Blockbuster, Inc. v. Galeno, 597
- Blonder-Tongue Labs., Inc. v. Univ. of Ill., 587
- Blue Compass Corp. v. Polish Masters of Am., 111
- Bockweg v. Anderson, 590
- Boeing Co. v. Shipman, 514
- Bors v. Johnson & Johnson, 67
- Bonerb v. Richard J. Caron Found., 367, 372
- Bowler v. U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Serv., 349
- Boyer v. Snap-on Tools Corp., 118
- Bracco Diagnostics, Inc. v. Amer-sham Health Inc., 457
- Bracken v. Matgouranis, 29
- Bradgate Assocs. Inc. v. Fellows, Read & Assocs., Inc., 142
- Branch v. Tunnell, 315
- Braud v. Transp. Serv. Co. of Ill., 373
- Braun v. Lorillard Inc., 471–472
- Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co., 301, 307, 359
- Breuer Elec. Mfg. Co. v. Toronado Sys., Inc., 393
- Brinderson-Newberg Joint Venture v. Pacific Erectors, 310
- Brink v. First Credit Resources, 373
- Brokopp v. Ford Motor Co., 519
- Bromwell v. Mich. Mut. Ins. Co., 134
- Brooks v. Vassar, 290–291
- Broussard v. Columbia Gulf Transmission Co., 194
- Brown v. Capitol Air, Inc., 344
- Brown v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 67, 69
- Brown v. McBro Planning & Dev. Co., 530
- Brunswick Corp. v. Sheridan, 548–549
- Budinich v. Becton Dickinson & Co., 413
- Burden v. Gen'l Dynamics Corp., 118
- Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz, 58, 76, 83
- Burke v. General Motors Corp., 128
- Burke v. Smith, 413
- Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. U.S. Dist. Ct., 444
- Burnham v. Superior Court of Cal., 95
- Bus. Guides, Inc. v. Chromatic Communs. Enterp., Inc., 141
- Byrne v. Nezhat, 349
- Cadent Ltd v. 3M Unitek Corp., 458
- Caiola v. Berkshire Med. Ctr., Inc., 414
- Cal. Scents v. Surco Prods., Inc., 507
- Calder v. Jones, 81, 83
- Calderon Rosado v. General Elec. Circuit Breakers, Inc., 591
- Calderone v. U.S., 494
- Calista Enterprises Ltd. v. Tenza Trading Ltd, 236
- Cannon Mfg. Co. v. Cudahy Packing Co., 87
- Canny v. Dr. Pepper/Seven-Up Bottling Group, Inc., 527
- Car Carriers, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 154
- Carden v. Arkoma Assocs., 45, 273
- Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill, 231
- Carnite v. Granada Hosp. Group, Inc., 389
- Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute, 65, 301

- Carr v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co, 431
- Carson v. Am. Brands, Inc., 552, 554
- Carter v. Macon Manor NRC, LLC, 395
- Caruso v. Coleman Co., 447
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 135–136
- Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams, 30, 135–136
- Catlin v. U.S., 544
- Celotex v. Catrett, 493–96
- Century Commodity Corp. v. Data-Trend Commodities Inc., 41
- Certain Underwriters at Lloyds, London v. Warrantech Corp., 232
- Chambers v. Capital Cities/ABC, 443
- Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 349
- Chapman v. Barry, 45
- Chase Manhattan Bank v. Celotex Corp., 579
- Chauffers, Teamsters Helpers Local No. 391 v. Terry, 506
- Chiquita Int'l Ltd. v. M/V Bolero Reefer, 472
- Christian v. Mattel, Inc., 146
- Chrysler Corp. v. Carey, 478
- Cima v. Wellpoint Healthcare Networks, Inc., 329
- Clark v. Associates Commercial Corp. v. Howard, 329
- Clark v. Coats & Clark, Inc., 494
- Clark v. Exxon Corp., 367
- Clark v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co., 52
- Clements v. Airport Auth. of Washoe County, 591
- Cliff v. Payco Gen'l Am. Credits, Inc., 375
- Clough v. Rush, 525
- Coast Fed. Bank, FSB v. United States, 556
- Cohen v. Bd. of Trustees of the Univ. of Med. & Dentistry of N.J., 553
- Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 414, 550
- Cohen v. Office Depot, Inc., 413
- Cohen v. Republic of the Philippines, 217
- Coleman v. Conseco, Inc., 122
- Coleman v. Milwaukee Bd. of School Directors, 259
- Collision v. Int'l Chem. Workers Union, Local 217, 531
- Colorado River Water Conserv. Dist. v. U.S., 295
- Com/Tech Commn. Tech., Inc. v. Wireless Data Sys., Inc., 413
- Commerce & Indus. Ins. Co. v. Grinnell Corp., 474
- Commercial Nat'l Bank v. Demos, 212
- Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. First National Supermarkets, Inc., 436
- Competitive Tech. Inc. v. Fujitsu Ltd., 301
- Compuserve, Inc. v. Patterson, 89, 295
- Concession Consultants, Inc. v. Mirisch, 305
- Conk v. Richard & O'Neil LLP, 122
- Conley v. Gibson, 152, 156
- Continental Cas. Co. v. Am. Nat'l Ins. Co., 330
- Continental Cas. Co. v. Howard, 531
- Continental Training Services, Inc. v. Cavazos, 552
- Controlotron Corp. v. Perry Printing Corp., 307

- Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay, 550
Cooter & Gell v. Hartmarx Corp.,
141, 143
Coover v. Saucon Valley Sch. Dist.,
580
Corpus v. Bennett, 524
Correia v. Fitzgerald, 524
Cory v. Aztec Steel Building, Inc., 70,
74
Cottman Transmission Sys., Inc. v.
Martino, 107
Coury v. Prot, 43
Coventry Sewage Assocs. v. Dworkin
Realty Co., 48, 50
Credit Co. v. Aaron-Lincoln Mer-
cury, 129
Cullen v. Margiotta, 548
Cunningham v. Hamilton County,
545
Cunningham v. Outten, 585
Cunningham v. Rothery, 315
Curtis Management Group, Inc. v.
Academy of Motion Picture Arts
& Sciences, 198
Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General
Electric Co., 548
Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 89
Dadurian v. Underwriters at Lloyd's
of London, 530
Daggett v. Comm. on Gov'tal Ethics
& Election Practices, 217
Daimler AG v. Bauman, 67
DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno,
288–289
DakColl, Inc. v. Grand Central
Graphics, Inc., 312
Dalminter, Inc. v. Jessie Edwards,
Inc., 396
Dalton v. R & W Marine, Inc., 88
Danial v. Daniels, 48
Daniel v. Am. Bd. Of Emergency
Med., 107
Dartmouth Review v. Dartmouth
College, 318
Datskov v. Teledyne, Inc., Continen-
tal Products Div., 312
Davis v. Precoat Metals, 430, 476
D.C. Electronics, Inc. v. Nartron
Corp., 397
De Aguilar v. Boeing Co., 124
De Antonio v. Solomon, 393
Debreceni v. Bru-Jell Leasing Corp.,
64
Dempsey v. Atchison, Topeka &
Santa Fe Ry. Co., 316
Denver & R.G.W.R. Co. v. Brother-
hood of R.R. Trainmen, 111
Detoy v. San Francisco, 457
Devlin v. Transp. Communications
Int'l Union, 531
DeWeerth v. Baldinger, 568–569
D.H. Blair & Co. v. Gottdiener, 65,
353
Diamond Shamrock Oil & Gas v.
Comm'r of I.R.S., 213
Dick v. N.Y. Life Ins. Co., 514
Dickson v. Murphy, 193, 196
Digital Equip. Corp. v. Desktop
Direct Inc., 550–551
Digital Props., Inc. v. City of Planta-
tion, 290
Dimick v. Schiedt, 531
Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals
v. Feldman, 294
DiStefano v. Carozzi N. Am., Inc.,
299
Dobrick-Peirce v. Open Options,
Inc., 112
Doe v. Kerwood, 131
Doe v. Unocal Corp., 88

- Don King Productions, 213
 Donaldson v. Clark, 142–143
 Donson Stores, Inc. v. American Bakeries Co., 437
 Dove v. Wash. Area Metro. Transit Auth., 367
 Drexel Heritage Furnishings, Inc. v. Furniture USA Inc., 441
 Drooger v. Carlisle Tire & Wheel Co., 404
 Druid Group, Inc. v. Dorfman, 64
 Duha v. Agrium, Inc., 363
 Dupre v. Fru-Con Eng'g, Inc., 543
 Dura Automotive Sys., of Indiana, Inc. v. CTS Corp., 473
 Durning v. First Boston Corp., 314
 Dusenberry v. U.S., 257
 Eagle v. American Tel. and Tel. Co., 53
 Eastway Const. Corp. v. City of New York, 146
 ECDC Env'tl., L.C. v. New York Marine and Gen. Ins. Co., 437
 Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co., 509
 Edwards v. Occidental Chem. Corp., 373
 E.E.O.C. v. Peabody West. Coal Co., 192
 EFCO Corp. v. Iowa Ass'n of Bus. & Indus., 37
 Effjohn Int'l Cruise Holdings, Inc. v. A & L Sales, Inc., 394
 Effron v. Sun Line Cruises, Inc., 301
 Ehorn v. Sunken Vessel Known as "Rosinco," 97
 E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Phillips Petroleum Co., 539
 Eichenholtz v. Brennan, 355
 Elk Grove Unified School Dist. v. Newdow, 291
 EMI April Music, Inc. v. 1064 Old River Rd., Inc., 567
 Empire Healthchoice Assurance, Inc. v. McVeigh, 34
 Empresa Lineas Maritimas Argentinas, S.A. v. Schichau-Unterweser A.G., 362
 English v. Cowell, 324
 Ennis v. Queen Ins. Co. of Am., 128
 Enron Oil Corp. v. Diakuhara, 567
 EP Operating Ltd. Partnership v. Placid Oil Co., 329
 Equal Employment Opp'y Comm'n. v. Peabody Western Coal Co., 195
 Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 410
 Eriline Co. S.A. v. Johnson, 317
 ESAB Group, Inc. v. Centricut, Inc., 74, 84, 238
 Espinoza v. U.S., 259
 Executive Software N. Am., Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct., 229, 231
 ExxonMobil Corp. v. Allapattah Serv., Inc., 40, 51, 168, 170, 220, 251
 ExxonMobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp., 293
 F.D.I.C. v. Bathgate, 200
 F.D.I.C. v. LeGrand, 538
 Feathers v. McLucas, 73
 Fed. Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Moitie, 581
 Fed. Ins. Co. v. Tyoco Int'l, Ltd., 118
 Federated Mut. Ins. Co. v. McKinnon Motors, LLC, 50
 Federico v. Order of St. Benedict in R.I., 561
 Ferens et ux. v. John Deere Co., 417
 Ferrell v. Pierce, 583
 Fietzer v. Ford Motor Co., 508

- Fink v. Foley-Belsaw Co., 528
- First of Michigan Corp. v. Bramlet, 108, 308
- Fitzgerald v. Seaboard System, 44
- FleetBoston Fin. Corp. v. FleetBostonFinancial.com, 94
- Fletcher-Harlee Corp. v. Pote Concrete Contractors, Inc., 319
- Flight Extenders, Inc. v. Lakewood Aircraft Serv., Inc., 205
- Foman v. Davis, 367, 375
- Ford v. Elsbury, 547
- Foster Poultry Farms, Inc. v. Int'l Bus. Mach. Corp., 136
- Franchise Tax Bd. Of Cal. V. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 29
- Freeport-McMoRan, Inc. v. KN Energy, Inc., 218
- Friedman v. New York Life Ins. Co., 53
- Frier v. City of Vandalia, 577
- Fruend v. Nycomed Amersham, 526
- Fuddruckers, Inc. v. KCOB I, LLC, 537
- Fuesting v. Zimmer, Inc., 526
- Gardiner v. V.I. Water & Power Auth., 197
- Gargallo v. Merrill Lunch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, 574
- Garner v. Wolfenbarger, 555
- Garr v. U.S. Healthcare, Inc., 143
- Gasperini v. Ctr. for Humanities, Inc., 414
- Gen'l Contracting & Trading Co., L.L.C. v. Interpole, Inc., 302
- General Electric Co. v. Marvel Rare Metals Co., 242
- Gerling Int'l Ins. Co. v. Comm'r of Internal Rev., 443
- Ginett v. Computer Task Group, 546
- Glaser v. Enzo Biochem, Inc., 367
- Glater v. Eli Lilly & Co., 78
- Glencore Grain Rotterdam B.V. v. Shivnath Rai Harnarain Co., 96
- Global Satellite Commun. Co. v. Starmill U.K. Ltd., 131
- Go-Video, Inc. v. Akai Elec. Co., Ltd., 90
- Goel v. Heller, 581
- Goldlawr, Inc. v. Heiman, 302, 308
- Goldwater v. Carter, 285
- Gonzalez v. Chrysler Corp., 362
- Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown,, 76
- Grable & Sons Metal Prods., Inc. v. Daure Engineering & Mfg., 32
- Grace v. McArthur, 76
- Gray v. Am. Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp., 73
- Gray v. Genlyte Group, Inc., 520
- Great N. Ry. Co. v. Alexander, 128
- Great Rivers Co-op. of S.E. Ia. v. Farmland Indus., Inc., 545
- Great-West Life Annuity Ins. Co. v. Woldemicael, 191
- Greater Yellowstone Coalition v. Bosworth, 355
- Green v. Daimler Benz, A.G., 159
- Green v. Lake of the Woods County, 46
- Greyhound Exhibit Group, Inc. v. E.L.U.L. Realty Corp., 394
- Grindell v. Am. Motors Corp., 472
- Grochowski v. Phoenix Constr. Co., 388
- Grossheim v. Freightliner Corp., 524
- Grupo Dataflux v. Atlas Global Group, L.P., 40
- Guaranteed Sys., Inc. v. Am. Nat'l Can Co., 209

- Guaranty Trust Co. v. York, 410–411
 Gucci America, Inc., v. Gold Ctr. Jewelry, 566
 Gulfstream Aerospace Corp. v. Mayacamas Corp., 550
 Gully v. First Nat'l Bank, 33
 Gunn v. Minton, 35
 Gust v. Flint, 72
 Haas v. Jefferson Nat'l Bank, 194, 198
 Hades v. Yonkers Racing Corp., 143
 Hall v. Norfolk So. Ry. Co., 374
 Hamilton v. Atlas Turner, Inc., 302
 Hanna v. Plumer, 411, 413
 Hanson v. Denckla, 81–82, 96
 Harleysville Mut. Ins. Co. v. Packer, 284
 Harris v. Balk, 94
 Harriscom Svenska AB v. Harris Corp., 548
 Harrison v. M.S. Carriers, Inc., 207
 Hart v. Clayton-Parker and Assocs., Inc., 182
 Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection & Ins. Co. v. Quantum Chem. Corp., 227, 245, 248
 Hartsel Springs Ranch of Co., Inc. v. Bluegreen Corp., 590
 Harvey by Blankenbaker v. United Transp. Union, 590
 Hawthorne Land Co. v. Occidental Chem. Corp., 388
 Haynes v. Gasoline Marketers, Inc., 130
 Hays County Guardian v. Supple, 231
 Heitmann v. Concrete Pip Machinery, 474
 Helzberg's Diamond Shops, Inc. v. Valley West Des Moines Shopping Center, Inc., 194
 Hemme v. Bharti, 208
 Henderson v. U.S., 258–259
 Henshell Corp. v. Childerston, 109
 Henson v. CSC Credit Servs., 315
 Herman v. Marine Midland Bank, 473
 Hermeling v. Montgomery Ward & Co., 470
 Hermes Consol., Inc. v. United States, 556
 Hermsdorfer v. Am. Motors Corp., 472
 Herrera v. Reicher, 585
 Herrick v. SCS Commun., Inc., 45
 Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 44
 Hess v. Pawloski, 70
 Hester Indus., Inc. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., 399
 H.F. Livermore Corp. v. Aktiengesellschaft Gebrüder Loepffe, 396
 Hickman v. Taylor, 434
 Hidalgo v. Fagen, 509
 Hilton v. Braunskill, 539
 Hodgson v. Gilmartin, 112, 355, 360
 Hoechst Celanese Corp. v. Nat'l Fire Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, 457
 Hoffman v. Blaski, 353
 Hogan v. Consolidated Rail Corp., 548
 Holmes Group, Inc. v. Vornado Air Circulation Sys., 136
 Holmgren v. State Farm Mut. Ins. Co., 438
 Holston Investments, Inc. B.V.I. v. LanLogistics Corp., 877 F.3d 1068, 44
 Home Ins. Co. v. Thomas Indus., Inc., 308
 Honaker v. Smith, 517

- Hoopston Canning Co. v. Cullen, 83
 Horizon Unlimited, Inc. v. Richard
 Silva & SNA, Inc., 347
 Houston Indus. Inc. v. U.S., 547
 Howard v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 518
 Hunter v. Earthgrains Co. Bakery,
 144
 Hurd v. American Hoist & Derrick
 Co., 516
 Hurley v. Motor Coach Indus., 133
 Hy Cite Corp. v. Advanced Market-
 ing Int'l, Inc., 355
 Ierardi v. Lorillard, Inc., 456
 Iglesias v. Mut. Life Ins. Co., 181
 Ill. Central R. Co. v. Parks, 582, 584
 Illinois Central Gulf Railroad v.
 Parks, 584
 In re 60 East 80th St. Equities, Inc.,
 344
 In re Advanta Corp. Securities Litig.,
 158
 In re Air Crash Disaster Near New
 Orleans, La., 363
 In re Arthur Treacher's Franchisee
 Litig., 542
 In re Bank of N.Y. Derivative Litig.,
 218
 In re Blackwater Security Consulting,
 LLC, 36
 In re Brand Name Prescription
 Drugs Antitrust Litig., 423
 In re Briscoe, 135–136
 In re Cendant Corp. Sec. Litig., 437
 In re Clerici, 538
 In re Daily, 585
 In re Dierschke, 394
 In re First T.D. & Inv., Inc., 549
 In re Flor, 556
 In re Keegan Mgmt. Co., Sec. Litig.,
 349
 In re New Motor Vehicles Canadian
 Export Antitrust Litig., 78
 In re Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc., 468
 In re Polymedica Corp. Sec. Litig.,
 474
 In re Sealed Case, 431, 433, 447
 In re Shell Oil Refinery, 473
 In re Silicon Graphics, Inc. Securities
 Litig., 315
 In re Zyprexa Prods. Liability Litig.,
 598
 Indianapolis Colts v. Mayor & City
 Council of Baltimore, 212
 Industrial Hard Chrome, Ltd. v.
 Hetran, Inc., 456
 Injection Research Specialists v.
 Polaris Indus., L.P., 111
 Ins. Co. of the West v. United States,
 555
 Ins. Corp. of Ireland v. Compagnie
 des Bauxites de Guinee, 302
 Intamin Ltd. v. Magnetar Tech.,
 Corp., 144
 Intera Corp. v. Henderson, 300
 International Shoe Co. v. Washing-
 ton, 79
 Interstate Life Assurance Co. v.
 Sedlak, 211
 Int'l Science & Technology Institute,
 Inc. v. Inacom Comms., Inc., 26
 Ivey v. Wilson, 543
 J. McIntyre Mach. Ltd. v. Nicastro, 85
 J&J Celcom v. AT&T Wireless Serv.,
 Inc., 469
 J.A. Olson Co. v. City of Winona, 44
 Jackson v. West Telemarketing Corp.
 Outbound, 418
 Jaffe v. Accredited Surety & Cas. Co.,
 Inc., 581
 Jaffe v. Redmond, 433

- Jean Alexander Cosmetics, Inc. v. L'Oreal USA, Inc., 585
- Jenkins v. National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pa., 129
- Jewell v. Grain Dealers Mut. Ins. Co., 52
- Jin v. Ministry of State Security, 224, 230
- John v. Sotheby's Inc., 212
- Johnson v. De Grandy, 294
- Johnson v. Heublein Inc., 130
- Johnson v. Oroweat Foods Co., 367
- Jones v. Bock, 317
- Jones v. Ford Motor Credit Co., 223
- Jones v. GNC Franchising, Inc., 359
- Jones v. Weibrecht, 301
- Jumara v. State Farm Ins. Co., 352
- Justice v. Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Ry. Co., 125
- Jyachosky v. Winter, 308
- Kalb v. Feuerstein, 54
- Karibbean v. Village Green Mgmt. Co., 231
- Kendall v. GES Exposition Servs., Inc., 449
- Kenneth Leventhal & Co. v. Joyner Wholesale Co., 203
- Kerobo v. Southwestern Clean Fuels, Corp., 351
- Kerr v. United States Dist. Court, 557
- Key Bank v. Tablecloth Textile Co., 394
- King v. Pratt & Whitney, 457
- Kircher v. Putnam Funds Trust, 135
- Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Elec. Mfg. Co., 416
- Kline v. Burke Constr. Co., 21
- Klipsch, Inc. v. WWR Tech., Inc., 590
- Kneeland v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 218
- Krisa v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc'y, 468
- Kugler v. Helfant, 295
- Kulko v. Superior Court, 82
- Kusens v. Pascal Co., Inc., 515
- Kyle v. Morton High School, 158
- Laber v. Harvey, 367
- Laborers' Welfare Fund v. Lowery, 302
- LaFont v. Decker-Angel, 338
- Laguna v. Am. Export Isbrandtsen Lines, 502
- Lake v. Jones, 590
- Landon v. Hunt, 144
- Langadinos v. Am. Airlines, Inc., 318
- Langsam-Borenstein Partnership by Langsam v. NOC Enterp., Inc., 205
- Larsen v. Senate of Commonwealth of Penn., 551
- Latino v. Kazer, 529
- Lauro Lines S.R.L. v. Chasser, 551
- Lavender v. Kurn, 516
- Lawler v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co., 418
- Layman v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co., 338
- Leatherman v. Tarrant County Narcotics Intell. & Coord. Unit, 158
- Lee v. Trans Am. Trucking Serv., Inc., 43
- Legault v. Zambarano, 344
- Legg v. Chopra, 414
- Leroy v. Great W. United Corp., 100
- Lesnik v. Public Industrials Corporation, 242
- Leveto v. Lapina, 317
- Lewis v. Cont'l Bank Corp., 291

- Lewis v. Herrman's Excavating, Inc., 460
- Lewis v. Time Inc., 507
- Lewis v. U.S. Slicing Mach. Co., 152
- Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Midwest Cold Storage & Ice Corp., 389
- Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Wetzel, 544, 547
- Lieb v. Topstone Indus. Inc., 142, 348
- Lifshitz v. Walter Drake & Sons, Inc., 526
- Lind v. Schenley Indus., Inc., 528, 529
- Lindsay v. Gov't Employees Ins. Co., 224–226, 229
- Link v. Wabash R.R., 399
- Lively v. Wild Oats Markets, Inc., 133
- Lomano v. Black, 107
- Long Term Capital Holdings v. U.S., 471
- Lony v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., 356
- Lopez-Gonzalez v. Municipality of Comerio, 317
- Los Angeles Branch NAACP v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist., 576
- Louisville & Nashville R.R. v. Mottley, 27
- Lovell v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 53
- Lowdermilk v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n., 47
- Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 289
- Lundquist v. Precision Valley Aviation, 42
- Lutomski v. Panther Valley Coin Exchange, 396
- Magnum Foods, Inc. v. Continental Cas. Co., 515
- Malautea v. Suzuki Motor Co., Ltd., 350
- Manguno v. Prudential Property & Cas. Ins. Co., 49
- Marder v. Lopez, 314
- Marex Titanic, Inc. v. Wrecked and Abandoned Vessel, 94
- Markham v. Allen, 292
- Markhorst v. Rigid, Inc., 374
- Markvicka v. Broadhead-Garrett Co., 203
- Marques v. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, 397
- Marriott Int'l Resorts, L.P. v. U.S., 555
- Marsh v. Coleman Co., 372
- Martens v. Smith Barney, Inc., 557
- Martin v. Automobili Lamborghini Exclusive, Inc., 350
- Martin v. Delaware Law School of Widener Univ., 329
- Martin v. Franklin Capital Corp. (2005), 233
- Martino v. McDonald's Sys., Inc., 578
- Mas v. Perry, 41
- Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusanano, 156
- Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 497
- Mattel, Inc. v. Bryant, 146, 197
- Matter of Gober, 584
- Matter of Yagman, 349
- Matthews v. Brookstone Stores, Inc., 302
- Max Arnold & Sons, LLC v. W.L. Hailey & Co., 316
- McCann v. Newman Irrevocable Trust, 42, 284
- McCauley v. Ford Motor Co., 49

- McClaughlin v. Fellows Gear Shaper Co., 519
 McCullough v. Ligon, 29
 McCurtain County Production Corp. v. Cowett, 131
 McFarlin v. Conseco Serv., LLC, 557
 McGee v. Int'l Life Ins. Co., 82, 87
 McGinn v. Burlington Northern R.R. Co., 495
 McKeel v. City of Pine Bluff, 543
 McKey v. Fairbairn, 502
 McKinnon v. City of Berwyn, 513
 Meagher v. Long Island R.R. Co., 520
 Med. Mut. of Ohio v. de Soto, 239
 Meehan, 567
 Mendez v. Teachers Ins. & Annuity Ass'n, 212
 Mendota Ins. Co. v. Hurst, 579
 Mennonite Bd. Of Missions v. Adams, 261
 Mercantile Capital Partners v. Agenzia Sports, Inc., 107
 Meridian Sec. Ins. Co. v. Sadowski, 49
 Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc. v. Thompson, 28
 Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and Smith, Inc. v. ENC Corp., 30, 35, 197
 Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Manning, 30, 35, 197
 Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 36
 M.F. Patterson Dental Supply v. Wadley, 159
 Miami Herald Pub. Co. Div. of Knight-Ridder Newspapers, Inc. v. Ferre, 131
 Middle Tenn. News Co. v. Charnel of Cincinnati, Inc., 52
 Middlesex County Ethics Comm. v. Garden State B. Ass'n., 295
 Miedema v. Maytag Corp., 597
 Miller v. Grgurich, 40
 Miller v. Mer, 283
 Minn. Supply Co. v. Raymond Corp., 338
 Mitchell v. Forsyth, 551
 Mitchell v. Gonzales, 519
 Mitrano v. Hawes, 107
 Mitzel v. Westinghouse Elec. Corp., 423
 Mobley v. McCormick, 348
 Moe v. Avions Marcel Dassault-Breguet Aviation, 20
 Mohr v. Margolis, Ainsworth & Kinlaw Consulting, Inc., 307
 Monahan v. Holmes, 97
 Monfore v. Phillips, 503
 Montana v. U.S., 573
 Montecatini Edison, S.P.A. v. Ziegler, 184
 Moore v. Baker, 371–372
 Moore v. Dixon, 107, 244
 Moore v. Permanente Med. Group, Inc., 130
 Moore v. Western Surety Co., 347
 Moreland v. Barrette, 414
 Morgan v. City of New York, 436
 Morongo Band of Mission Indians v. Ca. St. Bd. of Equalization, 212
 Morris v. Princess Cruises, Inc., 120
 Morris v. Wachovia Sec., Inc., 145
 Mosley v. General Motors Corp., 189
 Muenzberg v. Barnes, 111
 Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust CO., 257
 Munoz v. England, 310
 Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc., 126

- Musser v. Gentiva Health Serv., 469
- N. Ind. Gun & Outdoor Shows, Inc. v. South Bend, 315
- NAACP, Detroit Branch v. Detroit Police Officers Assoc., 576, 583
- Naghui v. Inter-Continental Hotels Group, Inc., 159
- NAS Elecs., Inc. v. Transtech Elecs. Pte Ltd., 389
- Nat'l Bank of Washington v. Dolgov, 548
- Nat'l Dev. Co. v. Triad Holding Corp., 259
- Nat'l Equip. Rental, Ltd. v. Szukhent, 301
- Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa. v. Aerowhawk Aviation, Inc., 330
- National Org. for Women v. Mutual of Omaha Ins. Co., 53
- Nat'l Park Hospitality Ass'n. v. Dep't of Interior, 289
- Nelson v. Kefer, 49
- New Jersey Sports Prods., Inc. v. Don King Prods., Inc., 212
- New Mexico v. Trujillo, 547
- New York Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 562
- New York State Nat. Organization for Women v. Terry, 552
- New York v. Cyco.net, Inc., 112
- Newman-Green, Inc. v. Alfonso-Larrain, 43
- Nivens v. Gilchrist, 295–296
- Nixon v. U.S., 285
- Noonan v. Winston Co., 78
- Norris v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 127
- Northeast Ohio Coalition for Homeless and Serv. Employees Int'l. v. Blackwell, 554
- Nowak v. Tak How Investments, Ltd., 86
- N.Y. Life Ins. Co. v. Brown, 392–393
- NYLife Distribs., Inc., v. Adherence Group, Inc., 213
- O'Brien v. Alexander, 343
- O'Brien v. City of Syracuse, 576
- Ocean Atlantic Dev. Corp. v. Willow Treat Farm L.L.C., 337, 339
- Office of Pers. Mgmt. v. Am. Fed'n of Gov't Employees, AFL-CIO, 553
- Ohio Forestry Ass'n, Inc. v. Sierra Club, 290
- Olympia Equipment Leasing Co. v. Western Union Tel. Co., 538
- Olympic Sports Prods., Inc. v. Universal Athletic Sales Co., 419
- OMI Holdings, Inc. v. Royal Ins. Co. of Canada, 75
- Omni Capital Int'l, Ltd. v. Rudolf Wolff & Co., 258, 260
- One Beacon Ins. Co. v. JNB Storage Trailer Rental Corp., 242
- Ontel Prods., Inc. v. Project Strategies Corp., 355
- Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders, 431
- Orb Factory Ltd. v. Design Science Toys, Ltd., 306
- Osborn v. Bank of the U.S., 27
- Owen Equipment & Erection Co. v. Kroger, 253
- Pacitti v. Macy's, 430
- Palcko v. Airborne Express, Inc., 330
- Palmore v. Sidoti, 292
- Pan Am. World Airways, Inc. v. Lopez, 363
- Panavision Int'l, L.P. v. Toeppen, 81, 89
- Paparelli v. Prudential Ins. Co., 457

- Parke-Chapley Constr. Co. v. Cherrington, 565
- Parker v. Colombia Pictures Indus., 388
- Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore, 582, 587–588
- Patterson v. MacDougall, 196
- Payne v. Brake, 394
- PdP Parfums de Paris v. Int'l Frangrances, 159
- Peabody v. Hamilton, 76
- Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy, 80, 89
- Pelletier, 347
- Penn Millers Ins. Co. v. U.S., 375
- Pennoyer v. Neff, 58, 532
- Pennsylvania Railroad v. Chamberlain, 517
- Penrod Drilling Co. v. Johnson, 111
- People ex rel. Wheeler v. So. Pac. Transp. Co., 467
- Pepsico, Inc., v. Board of Trustees of the W. Conference of Teamsters Pension Trust Fund, 111
- Percy v. San Francisco Gen. Hosp., 375
- Peregrine Myanmar Ltd. v. Segal, 194
- Perez v. Posse Comitatus, 346
- Perkins v. Benguet Consol. Mining Co., 78
- Peterson v. Wilson, 520
- Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts, 419
- Phillips v. Bowen, 526
- Phillips v. General Motors Corp., 479
- Picciotto v. Continental Cas. Co., 225
- Piecznik v. Dyax Corp., 72
- Pierce v. Shorty Small's of Branson, Inc., 308
- Pikofsky v. Jem Oil Co., 393
- Pinker v. Roche Holdings, Ltd., 91, 308
- Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l v. Holden Found. Seeds, Inc., 232
- Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno, 361
- PKWare v. Meade, 108, 113, 243, 354
- Plant v. Blazer Financial Services, 181
- Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc., 589
- Poole v. Textron, Inc. 476, 478–479
- Porn v. Nat'l Grange Mut. Ins. Co., 576
- Port-Wide Container Co. v. Interstate Maint. Corp., 396
- Poulos v. Naas Foods, Inc., 128
- Preferred RX, Inc. v. Am. Prescription Plan, Inc., 543
- Price v. Alfa Mut. Ins. Co., 29
- Price v. CTB, Inc., 202
- Pro Billiards Tour Ass'n. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 479
- Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc., 144
- Protestant Mem. Med. Center, Inc. v. Maram, 290
- Provident Tradesmens Bank & Trust Co. v. Patterson, 197
- Prudential Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Stump, 320
- Publicis Communication v. True North Communications Inc., 577
- Publicker Indus. Inc. v. U.S., 351
- Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Auth. v. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., 551
- Pullman Co. v. Jenkins, 117
- Puricelli v. CAN Ins. Co., 189
- PYCA Indus. v. Harrison County Waste Water Management Dist., 547
- Q Int'l Courier, Inc. v. Smoak, 181
- Quinn v. Owen Fed. Bank FSB, 231

- Railroad, Inc., 27–28, 44, 152–153, 296, 410, 516–517, 584–585
- Rainbow Mgmt. Group., Ltd. v. Atlantis Submarines Hawaii, L.P., 208
- Raines v. Byrd, 288
- Rainey v. Am. Forest & Paper Ass’n., Inc., 456
- Randazzo v. Eagle-Picher Indus., Inc., 151
- Rashidi v. Albright, 333, 393
- Rates Technology Inc. v. Nortel Networks Corp., 302
- Rector v. Approved Fed. Sav. Bank, 345
- Red Wing Shoe Co. v. B-Jays USA, Inc., 356
- Redfern v. Sullivan, 576
- Regal Stone Ltd. v. Longs Drug Stores California, 132
- Reid v. San Pedro, Los Angeles & Salt Lake R.R., 517
- Reiter v. Cooper, 547
- Revell v. Lidov, 89
- Reyes v. Freebery, 550
- Richardson v. Nassau County, 567
- Rich-Mix Products, Inc. v. Quickrete Companies, Inc., 108
- Ricke v. Armco, Inc., 332
- Ridder Bros., Inc. v. Blethen, 49
- Rios v. Davis, 584
- Rivera Castillo v. Autokirey, Inc., 530
- Roberts v. Corrothers, 331
- Robinette v. Jones, 583, 586
- Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass’n, 557
- Rockwell Int’l Corp. v. H. Wolfe Iron & Metal Co., 446
- Rodick v. City of Schenectady, 143, 146
- Romine v. CompuServe Corp., 295
- Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 293
- Rose v. Giamatti, 45, 131
- Ross v. Rell, 554
- Roth v. Green, 345
- Rozier v. Ford Motor Co., 567
- Rush v. Smith, 543
- Rutherford v. Merck & Co., 123
- S&W Enterp., L.L.C. v. Southtrust Bank of Alabama, NA, 389
- Sagent Technology, Inc. v. Micros Sys., Inc., 306
- Salgado v. Gen’l Motors Corp., 469
- Salpoglou v. Schlomo Widder, 112
- Salve Regina College v. Russell, 419
- Samuel-Bassett v. KIA Motors Am., Inc., 125
- Santelli v. Electro-Motive, 434
- Sanyo Laser Prods., Inc. v. Arista Records, Inc., 428
- Saval v. BL Ltd., 50
- Schaefer v. First Nat’l Bank of Lincolnwood, 549
- Scherer v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc’y of U.S., 48
- Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 460
- Schmitt v. Beverly Health & Rehab. Serv., Inc., 502
- Schoot v. U.S., 201
- Schrag v. Simpson, 347
- Schultze v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 353, 354
- Schwarm v. Craighead, 229–230
- Searock v. Stripling, 443
- Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Mackey, 547
- SEC v. Lucent, 319–320
- Semtek Int’l Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 572
- Senate Select Committee v. Nixon, 21
- Seven Hanover Assocs., LLC v. Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc., 437

- Shaffer v. Heitner, 96
Shamrock Oil & Gas Corp. v. Sheets, 116
Sheets v. CTS Wireless Components, Inc., 189
Shell Oil Co. v. Aetna Casualty & Sur. Co., 367
Shoshone Mining Co. v. Rutter, 31
Shuffle Master Inc. v. Progressive Games, Inc., 476
Silver Sage Partners, Ltd. v. U.S. Dist. Court, 558
Singh v. George Washington University, 555
Sinochem Int'l Co. Ltd. v. Malaysia Int'l Shipping Corp., 362, 551
Skidmore Energy, Inc., v. KPMG, 143
Skillin v. Kimball, 524
Slayton v. Am. Exp. Co., 373
Smart v. Goord, 353
Smelt v. County of Orange, 297
Smith Pet. Serv. Int'l v. Monsanto Chem. Co., 216
Smith v. Colonial Penn Ins. Co., 306, 357
Smith v. Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan, 261
Smith v. Kansas City Title & Trust Co., 33
Smith v. Kirkpatrick, 576
Smith v. Yale Univ., 308
Smothers v. Solvay Chem., Inc., 468
Snell v. Mayor and City Council of Havre de Grace, 576
Snyder v. Harris, 53
So. New England Tel. Co. v. Global NAPS, Inc., 370
Soblely v. So. Natural Gas Co, 514
Sopha v. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp., 575
Sosa v. Airprint Sys., Inc., 388
Souran v. Travelers Ins. Co., 347
Southern Boston Mgmt. Corp. v. BP Prods. N. Am. Inc., 204
Southern States Rack & Fixture, Inc. v. Sherwin-Williams Co., 470
Southland Sec. Corp. v. Inspire Ins. Solutions, Inc., 157
Sovereign Sales, L.L.C. v. New York Accessory Group, Inc., 204
Spearman Indus., Inc. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co., 471
St. Paul Mercury Indem. Co. v. Red Cab Co., 47
St. Paul Reinsurance Co. v. Commercial Fin. Corp., 441
Stalnaker v. Kmart Corp., 479
Starlight Int'l Inc. v. Herlihy, 442
State Exchange Bank v. Hartline, 350
State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. Tashire, 38, 212
State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. Century Home Components, 588
Steel Valley Auth. v. Union Switch & Signal Div., 134
Steffan v. Cheney, 430
Stelax Indus., Ltd. v. Donahue, 239
Stock West Corp. v. Taylor, 40
Stone v. Dept. of Aviation, 577
Stradford v. Zurich Ins. Co., 157
Strawbridge v. Curtiss, 38
Stuart v. Spademan, 88
Studio Art Theatre of Evansville, Inc. v. City of Evansville, Ind., 583
Suber v. Chrysler Corp., 48
Sun Oil Co. v. Wortman, 419
Sunview Condo. Ass'n v. Flexel Int'l, 300
Swedberg v. Marotzke, 397
Swierkiewicz v. Sorema, 158

- Swift v. Tyson, 409
 S.W.S. Erectors, Inc. v. Infax, Inc.,
 124
 Sykes v. Hengel, 324
 Sylvester v. City of N.Y., 530
 Taylor v. CSX Transp., Inc., 243
 Telectron v. Overhead Door Corp.,
 350
 Temple v. Synthes Corp., Ltd., 193
 Tesser v. Bd. of Ed., 528
 Testa v. Katt, 54
 Tex. Railroad Comm'n. v. Pullman,
 296
 Theilmann v. Rutland Hospital, Inc.,
 399
 Thesleff v. Harvard Trust Co., 47
 Thomas v. Capital Security Serv.,
 Inc., 143
 Thompson v. Altheimer & Gray, 509
 Thompson v. Dep't of Housing &
 Urban Dev., 431
 Thompson v. Duke, 143
 Thompson v. Haskell Co., 472
 Ticketmaster-New York, Inc. v.
 Alioto, 86
 Tinius v. Carroll County Sheriff
 Dept., 229
 Toms v. Links Sports Mgmt. Group,
 L.P., 431
 Tongkook Am. v. Shipton Sportswear
 Co., 47
 Too, Inc. v. Kohl's Dept. Stores, Inc.,
 8, 18, 35, 41, 49, 60, 82, 91, 142,
 147, 156, 167, 192, 195, 204, 207,
 223, 235, 264, 290, 302, 309, 330,
 333, 423, 427, 477, 503, 506, 518,
 530–531, 544, 548, 557
 Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr,
 90
 Transclean Corp. v. Jiffy Lube Int'l.,
 Inc., 589
 Travelers Health Ass'n v. Va., 80
 Tri-Ex Enterprises, Inc. v. Morgan
 Guaranty Trust Co., 371
 Triggs v. John Crump Toyota, Inc.,
 121
 Truck-a-Tune, Inc. v. Re, 212
 Trustees of the Univ. of Pennsylvania
 v. Mayflower Transit, Inc., 319
 Tull v. U.S., 506
 Turner v. CF&I Steel Corp., 196
 Uffner v. La Reunion Francaise, S.A.,
 107
 United Mine Workers of Am. v.
 Gibbs, 223
 United States v. Dist. Council of New
 York City and Vicinity of the
 United Brotherhood of Carpen-
 ters and Joiners of Am., 436
 United States v. J.M. Taylor, 456
 United Steelworkers of Am. v. R.H.
 Bouligny, Inc., 45
 Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-
 Eckrich, 526
 Univ. of S. Ala. v. Am. Tobacco Co.,
 398
 Univ. of Tex. v. Vratil, 448
 Upjohn Co. v. U.S., 434
 U.S. v. United Shoe Machinery
 Corp., 433
 U.S. E.E.O.C. v. Caesars Entertain-
 ment, Inc., 457
 U.S. ex rel. Bilyew v. Franzen, 562
 U.S. ex rel. Englund v. Los Angeles
 County, 451
 U.S. ex rel. Tiesinga v. Dianon
 Systems, Inc., 457
 U.S. v. An Article of Drug, 530
 U.S. v. Botefuhr, 237

- U.S. v. Diabetes Treatment Centers of Am., Inc., 544, 551, 558
 U.S. v. First Nat'l Bank of Circle, 388, 502
 U.S. v. Indrelunas, 544
 U.S. v. Konovsky, 583
 U.S. v. Mercedes-Benz of North Am., 398
 U.S. v. Reyes, 400
 U.S. v. Reynolds, 433
 U.S. v. Rice, 135
 U.S. v. Sioux Nation, 589
 U.S. v. Swiss Am. Bank, Ltd., 90
 Ushman v. Sterling Drug, Inc., 129
 Valdes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 133
 Valentinn v. Hosp. Bella Vista, 41
 Van Dusen v. Barrack, 356, 416
 Vance v. U.S., 470
 Vereda, Ltda. v. United States, 555
 Verizon Md., Inc. v. Global Naps, Inc., 35
 Versa Prods., Inc. v. Home Depot, USA, Inc., 399
 Viacom, Inc. v. Harbridge Merchant Servs., Inc., 156
 Vines v. Univ. of La. at Monroe, 582
 Vitalo v. Cabot Corp., 468
 VMS/PCA Ltd. Partnership v. PCA Partners Ltd. Partnership, 352
 Von Zuckerstein v. Argonne Nat'l Lab., 514
 Vt. Teddy Bear Co. v. 1-800 Beargram Co., 391
 Vuitton v. White, 553
 Walden v. Fiore, 80
 Walker v. Armco Steel Corp., 414
 Walker v. Norwest Corp., 145
 Walkill 5 Assocs. II v. Tectonic Eng'r, P.C., 203–204
 Warger v. Shauers, 520, 524
 Warth v. Sedlin, 291
 Washington State Physicians Ins. Exchange & Ass'n. v. Fisons Corp., 445
 Wasson v. Riverside County, 311
 Watergate Landmark Condo. Unit Owner's Ass'n v. Wiss, Janey, Elstner Ass'n., 203
 Watson v. Blankinship, 188
 Watson v. City of Newark, 542
 Weathington v. United Behavioral Health, 134
 Weiss v. Nat'l Westminster Bank, PLC, 437
 Wennik v. Polygram Group Distrib., Inc., 524
 Whole Women's Health v. Lakey, 468
 Wickstrom v. Ebert, 393
 Wight v. Bankamerica Corp., 158
 Wild v. Subscription Plus, Inc., 308
 Wilderness Soc'y v. Alcock, 288
 Will v. Hallock, 550
 Will v. United States, 557
 Williams v. Bd. Of City Comm'rs., 448
 Williams v. Kleppe, 49
 Williams v. Lehigh Valley R.R. Co., 456
 Williams v. Runyon, 527
 Williamson v. Consol. Rail Corp., 529
 Wilson v. Belin, 73
 Wilson v. Lakner, 456
 Wilson v. U.S., 356
 Wise v. Wachovia Securities, LLC, 45
 Wood v. Worachek, 374
 Woods v. Interstate Realty Co, 412
 Workman v. Frito-Lay, Inc., 519
 World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson, 58, 84

Yannacopoulos v. Gen'l Dynamics Corp., 543	Zielinski v. Philadelphia Piers, Inc., 336
Yavuz v. 61 MM, Ltd., 361	Zippo Mfg. Co. v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc., 88
Young & Assocs. Public Relations, LLC v. Delta Air Lines, Inc., 512	Zubalake v. UBS Warburg LLC, 461
Young v. Lepone, 375	
Younger v. Harris, 295	

Series Editor's Foreword

The Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series is designed to provide you with a tool that will enable you to easily and efficiently “master” the substance and content of law school courses. Throughout the series, the focus is on quality writing that makes legal concepts understandable. As a result, the series is designed to be easy to read and is not unduly cluttered with footnotes or cites to secondary sources.

In order to facilitate student mastery of topics, the Mastering Series includes a number of pedagogical features designed to improve learning and retention. At the beginning of each chapter, you will find a “Roadmap” that tells you about the chapter and provides you with a sense of the material that you will cover. A “Checkpoint” at the end of each chapter encourages you to stop and review the key concepts, reiterating what you have learned. Throughout the book, key terms are explained and emphasized. Finally, a “Master Checklist” at the end of each book reinforces what you have learned and helps you identify any areas that need review or further study.

We hope that you will enjoy studying with, and learning from, the Mastering Series.

Russell L. Weaver
Professor of Law & Distinguished University Scholar
University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law

Introduction and Acknowledgments

This book is the product of 15 years of teaching civil procedure on top of almost 30 doing federal civil litigation. It is designed to bring the practical aspects of civil procedure in focus while addressing the policy issue often focused on in law school. Profound thanks to Cindy Schiesel at Palo Verde High School and Ruth Gardner at the University of Arizona for helping me learn to write more clearly.

