

American Constitutional Law

American Constitutional Law
by Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger

is available in two formats:

SINGLE-VOLUME HARDCOVER EDITION

American Constitutional Law

TWO-VOLUME PAPERBACK EDITION

VOLUME 1

Constitutional Structures
Separated Powers and Federalism

VOLUME 2

Constitutional Rights
Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

American Constitutional Law

Eleventh Edition

VOLUME 1

Constitutional Structures

Separated Powers and Federalism

Louis Fisher

Katy J. Harriger



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2016
Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger
All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Fisher, Louis. | Harriger, Katy J. (Katy Jean)

Title: American constitutional law. Volume one : constitutional structures--separated powers and federalism / Louis Fisher and Katy J. Harriger.

Description: Eleventh edition. | Durham, N.C. : Carolina Academic Press, [2016] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015037797 | ISBN 9781611638103 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Constitutional law--United States | Civil rights--United States.

Classification: LCC KF4550 .F568 2016 | DDC 342.73--dc23

LC record available at <http://lcn.loc.gov/2015037797>

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS
700 Kent Street
Durham, North Carolina 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

TO THE CONSTITUTION PROJECT—LOUIS FISHER

IN MEMORY OF MY FATHER, RUSSELL E. HARRIGER (1923–2010)—KATY J. HARRIGER

Summary of Contents

INTRODUCTION	xvii
1 CONSTITUTIONAL POLITICS	1
2 THE DOCTRINE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	31
3 THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS: HUSBANDING POWER AND PRESTIGE	71
4 JUDICIAL ORGANIZATION	109
5 DECISION MAKING: PROCESS AND STRATEGY	135
6 SEPARATION OF POWERS: DOMESTIC CONFLICTS	159
7 SEPARATION OF POWERS: EMERGENCIES AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS	249
8 FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS	313
9 ECONOMIC LIBERTIES	399
10 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT	445
APPENDICES	481
TABLE OF CASES	509
INDEX	523

Contents

ABOUT THE AUTHORS	xiii	WILLIAM W. VAN ALSTYNE, A Critical Guide to <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	44
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xv		
INTRODUCTION	xvii	Martin v. Hunter's Lessee 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304 (1816)	46
1 CONSTITUTIONAL POLITICS	1	Cohens v. Virginia 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264 (1821)	48
A. Litigation as a Political Process	3		
B. Lobbying the Courts	6		
C. The Executive in Court	7	D. Constraints on Judicial Review	50
Reading:		Readings:	
Steel Seizure Case of 1952:		Eakin v. Raub: Gibson's Dissent	
Oral Argument Before		12 S. & R. 330 (Pa. 1825)	54
the District Court	11	The Boundaries of Judicial Review:	
Political Dynamics of Constitutional Law	12	Interview with Justice Powell	56
D. Congressional Duties	12	E. Methods of Constitutional Interpretation	58
Reading:		Readings:	
Raines v. Byrd		The Doctrine of Original Intent:	
521 U.S. 811 (1997)	15	Attorney General Meese versus	
E. Judge As Lawmaker	16	Justice Brennan	65
F. Judge as Administrator	18	The Natural Law Debate:	
G. Independent State Action	19	Frankfurter Against Black	67
H. Who Has the "Last Word"?	20	Robert Jackson's Advice	68
Readings:		Notes and Questions	69
Congress Responds to the Sedition Act	22	Selected Readings	69
Jackson's Veto of the Bank Bill	24		
The Senate Debates Jackson's		3 THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS:	
Veto Message	25	HUSBANDING POWER AND PRESTIGE	71
Lincoln's Critique of <i>Dred Scott</i>	26	A. Cases and Controversies	71
WALTER F. MURPHY,		Readings:	
Who Shall Interpret?	27	Ashwander v. TVA	
Notes and Questions	29	(The Brandeis Rules)	
Selected Readings	29	297 U.S. 288 (1936)	75
2 THE DOCTRINE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	31	Bellotti v. Baird (Advisory Opinions)	
A. Sources of Judicial Review Authority	31	443 U.S. 622 (1979)	76
B. The Framers' Intent	34	B. Standing to Sue	77
Reading:		Readings:	
ALEXANDER HAMILTON,		Frothingham v. Mellon	
Federalist No. 78	36	262 U.S. 447 (1923)	81
C. The Road to <i>Marbury</i>	37	Flast v. Cohen	
Readings:		392 U.S. 83 (1968)	82
Marbury v. Madison		United States v. Richardson	
5 U.S. (1 Cr.) 137 (1803)	42	418 U.S. 166 (1974)	85
		Allen v. Wright	
		468 U.S. 737 (1984)	87

C. Mootness	89	6 SEPARATION OF POWERS: DOMESTIC CONFLICTS	159
Reading:		A. The Separation Doctrine	159
DeFunis v. Odegaard		Readings:	
416 U.S. 312 (1974)	91	Congress Interprets the	
D. Ripeness	93	Ineligibility Clause	164
Reading:		Presidential Signing Statements	165
Poe v. Ullman		Madison's Analysis of the	
367 U.S. 497 (1961)	95	Separation Doctrine	166
E. Political Questions	96	B. Presidential Power	168
Readings:		Reading:	
Baker v. Carr		Hamilton on Executive Power	169
369 U.S. 186 (1962)	100	C. Creating the Executive Departments	171
Nixon v. United States		Readings:	
506 U.S. 224 (1993)	102	Bowsher v. Synar	
Virtues and Vices:		478 U.S. 714 (1986)	176
Bickel versus Gunther	104	Attorney General Opinion on	
F. Equitable Discretion	106	Ministerial Duties	178
Notes and Questions	107	Morrison v. Olson	
Selected Readings	107	487 U.S. 654 (1988)	179
4 JUDICIAL ORGANIZATION	109	D. Appointments and Removals	182
A. Federal Court System	109	Readings:	
B. Legislative and Specialized Courts	113	Buckley v. Valeo	
C. The Appointment Process	117	424 U.S. 1 (1976)	185
Reading:		OLC Memo on President Obama's	
Nomination Hearings of		Recess Appointments (2012)	188
Sandra Day O'Connor	122	NLRB v. Noel Canning	
D. Tenure, Removal, and Compensation	123	573 U.S. ____ (2014)	189
E. Judicial Lobbying	128	Myers v. United States	
Reading:		272 U.S. 52 (1926)	193
Burger on Judicial Lobbying	132	Humphrey's Executor v. United States	
Notes and Questions	133	295 U.S. 602 (1935)	196
Selected Readings	133	Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Company	
5 DECISION MAKING: PROCESS AND STRATEGY	135	Accounting Oversight Board	
A. Jurisdiction: Original and Appellate	136	561 U.S. 477 (2010)	198
B. The Writ of Certiorari	137	E. Delegation of Legislative Power	201
Reading:		Readings:	
DAVID LAUTER, Certiorari Strategies	140	Hampton & Co. v. United States	
C. From Oral Argument to Decision	141	276 U.S. 394 (1928)	204
Reading:		Schechter Corp. v. United States	
FRANK M. COFFIN, The Process of		295 U.S. 495 (1935)	206
Writing a Decision	148	F. Congressional Oversight	208
D. Unanimity and Dissent	149	Readings:	
Readings:		Clinton v. City of New York	
WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS, The Dissent:		524 U.S. 417 (1998)	212
A Safeguard of Democracy	153	INS v. Chadha	
ROBERT H. JACKSON, The Limitation		462 U.S. 919 (1983)	214
of Dissent	154	LOUIS FISHER, Legislative Vetoes	
E. Caseload Burdens	155	After <i>Chadha</i>	217
Notes and Questions	157	G. Investigations and Executive Privilege	219
Selected Readings	158	Readings:	
		Watkins v. United States	
		354 U.S. 178 (1957)	225

Barenblatt v. United States 360 U.S. 109 (1959)	228	Hamdan v. Rumsfeld 548 U.S. 557 (2006)	298
United States v. Nixon 418 U.S. 683 (1974)	230	Boumediene v. Bush 553 U.S. 723 (2008)	300
Negotiating Executive Privilege: The AT&T Cases	233	War Powers Resolution	303
Clinton v. Jones 520 U.S. 681 (1997)	235	Dellums v. Bush 752 F. Supp. 1141 (D.D.C. 1990)	304
H. Congressional Membership and Prerogatives	238	Campbell v. Clinton 203 F.3d 19 (D.C. Cir. 2000)	306
Reading: Powell v. McCormack 395 U.S. 486 (1969)	240	Military Operations in Libya OLC opinion	308
I. Immigration Policy	243	E. Rights of Citizenship	309
Conclusions	245	Conclusions	310
Notes and Questions	245	Notes and Questions	311
Selected Readings	246	Selected Readings	311
7 SEPARATION OF POWERS: EMERGENCIES AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS	249	8 FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS	313
A. External and Internal Affairs	249	A. The Principle of Federalism	313
Readings: United States v. Curtiss-Wright Corp. 299 U.S. 304 (1936)	253	Readings: McCulloch v. Maryland 17 U.S. 315 (1819)	320
Congress Interprets <i>Curtiss-Wright</i> : The Iran-Contra Report	255	Missouri v. Holland 252 U.S. 416 (1920)	323
Zivotofsky v. Kerry 576 U.S. ____ (2015)	256	B. The Commerce Clause	325
Haig v. Agee 453 U.S. 280 (1981)	259	Readings: Gibbons v. Ogden 22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 1 (1824)	327
B. An Executive Prerogative?	261	Cooley v. Board of Wardens 53 U.S. 299 (1852)	330
Readings: The Prize Cases 2 Black (67 U.S.) 635 (1863)	265	C. Nationalization of the Economy	331
Ex parte Milligan 4 Wall. (71 U.S.) 2 (1866)	267	Readings: Champion v. Ames (Lottery Case) 188 U.S. 321 (1903)	333
Korematsu v. United States 323 U.S. 214 (1944)	268	Hammer v. Dagenhart 247 U.S. 251 (1918)	335
Youngstown Co. v. Sawyer 343 U.S. 579 (1952)	271	D. The New Deal Watershed	337
New York Times Co. v. United States 403 U.S. 713 (1971)	273	Readings: Carter v. Carter Coal Co. 298 U.S. 238 (1936)	340
ERWIN N. GRISWOLD, How Sensitive Were the "Pentagon Papers"?	275	NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin 301 U.S. 1 (1937)	342
C. Treaties and Executive Agreements	276	United States v. Darby 312 U.S. 100 (1941)	345
Readings: Goldwater v. Carter 444 U.S. 996 (1979)	280	Wickard v. Filburn 317 U.S. 111 (1942)	346
Dames & Moore v. Regan 453 U.S. 654 (1981)	282	E. From <i>National League</i> to <i>Garcia</i>	349
D. The War Power	285	Readings: National League of Cities v. Usery 426 U.S. 833 (1976)	350
Readings: Hamdi v. Rumsfeld 542 U.S. 507 (2004)	296	Garcia v. San Antonio Metro. Transit Auth. 469 U.S. 528 (1985)	352
		F. State Powers Revived	354
		Readings:	

United States v. Lopez 514 U.S. 549 (1995)	359	Kelo v. City of New London 545 U.S. 469 (2005)	422
United States v. Morrison 529 U.S. 598 (2000)	362	Congress Responds to <i>Kelo</i>	424
Gonzales v. Raich 545 U.S. 1 (2005)	364	D. The Police Power	425
G. The Spending and Taxing Powers	366	Readings:	
Readings:		Slaughter-House Cases 16 Wall. 36 (1873)	426
Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co. (Child Labor Tax Case) 259 U.S. 20 (1922)	370	Munn v. Illinois 94 U.S. 113 (1877)	429
Steward Machine Co. v. Davis 301 U.S. 548 (1937)	371	E. Substantive Due Process	431
South Dakota v. Dole 483 U.S. 203 (1987)	373	Readings:	
National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius 567 U.S. ____ (2012)	375	Lochner v. New York 198 U.S. 45 (1905)	435
King v. Burwell 576 U.S. ____ (2015)	378	Adkins v. Children's Hospital 261 U.S. 525 (1923)	437
H. Preemption and Abstention	382	West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish 300 U.S. 379 (1937)	439
Readings:		Ferguson v. Skrupa 372 U.S. 726 (1963)	441
Pennsylvania v. Nelson 350 U.S. 497 (1956)	386	Conclusions	442
Arizona v. United States 567 U.S. ____ (2012)	387	Notes and Questions	443
I. Nationalization of the Bill of Rights	390	Selected Readings	443
Reading:		10 EFFORTS TO CURB THE COURT	445
The Incorporation Doctrine:		A. Constitutional Amendments	446
Testimony by Justice Rehnquist	394	B. Statutory Reversals	448
Conclusions	395	Reading:	
Notes and Questions	396	Statutory Reversal: Lilly Ledbetter	450
Selected Readings	397	C. Court Packing	452
9 ECONOMIC LIBERTIES	399	Reading:	
A. The Meaning of Property	399	FDR's Court-Packing Plan:	
Reading:		Senate Report	455
Madison's Essay on Property	400	D. Withdrawing Jurisdiction	457
B. The Contract Clause	401	Readings:	
Readings:		Jurisdiction-Stripping Proposals: ABA Report	462
Calder v. Bull 3 U.S. (3 Dall.) 385 (1798)	404	Human Life Bill: Senate Hearings	463
Fletcher v. Peck 10 U.S. (6 Cr.) 87 (1810)	406	Ex Parte McCardle 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1869)	465
Dartmouth College v. Woodward 17 U.S. (4 Wheat.) 517 (1819)	407	E. Noncompliance	466
Charles River Bridge v. Warren Bridge 36 U.S. (11 Pet.) 420 (1837)	409	Readings:	
Home Bldg. & Loan Assn. v. Blaisdell 290 U.S. 398 (1934)	411	Sustaining Public Confidence	468
C. The Takings Clause	413	Prayers in Public Schools	469
Readings:		F. Constitutional Dialogues	470
Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff 467 U.S. 229 (1984)	420	Readings:	
		Is the Supreme Court the Constitution?	474
		The "Finality" of Supreme Court Decisions: Senate Hearings	475
		Conclusions	478
		Notes and Questions	478
		Selected Readings	479

APPENDICES		Appendix 3	
Appendix 1		Glossary of Legal Terms	497
The Constitution of the		Appendix 4	
United States	481	How to Research the Law	503
Appendix 2		TABLE OF CASES	509
Justices of the Supreme Court		INDEX	525
(1789–2016)	495		

About the Authors

LOUIS FISHER received his B.S. from the College of William and Mary and his Ph.D. from the New School for Social Research. After teaching political science at Queens College, he joined the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress in 1970, where he served as Senior Specialist in Separation of Powers. On March 6, 2006, he joined the Law Library of the Library of Congress as Specialist in Constitutional Law. Upon his retirement from the Library in August 2010, he joined The Constitution Project as scholar in residence. He has testified before congressional committees more than 50 times on such issues as war powers, state secrets, NSA surveillance, Congress and the Constitution, executive lobbying, executive privilege, committee subpoenas, impoundment of funds, legislative vetoes, the item veto, the pocket veto, presidential reorganization authority, recess appointments, executive spending discretion, the congressional budget process, the Balanced Budget Amendment, biennial budgeting, covert spending, and CIA whistleblowing. During 1987 he served as Research Director for the House Iran-Contra Committee.

His twenty-four books, listed on his webpage at <http://loufisher.org>, include *Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President* (6th ed., 2014), *Constitutional Dialogues* (1988), *Presidential War Power* (3d ed. 2013), *The Constitution and 9/11: Recurring Threats to America's Freedoms* (2008), *Defending Congress and the Constitution* (2011), and *The Law of the Executive Branch: Presidential Power* (2014). Also forthcoming: *Congress: Protecting Individual Rights* (University Press of Kansas, 2016).

Dr. Fisher has been active with CEELI (Central and East European Law Initiative) of the American Bar Association, traveling to Bulgaria, Albania, and Hungary to lend assistance to constitution writers. In addition to these trips abroad, he participated in CEELI conferences in Washington, D.C., involving delegations from Lithuania, Romania, and Russia, and has served on CEELI “working groups” on Armenia and Belarus. He traveled to Russia in 1992 as part of a CRS delegation to assist on questions of separation of powers and federalism and to Ukraine in 1993 to participate in an election law conference.

His specialties include constitutional law, war powers, state secrets, budget policy, executive-legislative relations, and judicial-congressional relations. He is the author of more than 500 articles in law reviews, political science journals, encyclopedias, books, magazines, and newspapers. He has been invited to speak in Albania, Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, the Czech Republic, China, Denmark, Great Britain, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Japan, Macedonia, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, Oman, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovenia, South Korea, Sweden, Taiwan, Ukraine, and United Arab Emirates.

KATY J. HARRIGER received her B.A. in Political Science from Edinboro State College in Pennsylvania and her M.A. and Ph.D. in Political Science from the University of Connecticut. She is a Professor of Political Science and chair of that department at Wake Forest University where she teaches courses in American Constitutional Law, American politics, judicial process, and democracy and citizenship. She has testified before Congress and been a frequent media commentator on issues related to the use of independent counsel and political influences on the Department of Justice. Dr. Harriger

is the editor of *Separation of Powers: Commentary and Documents*, (Congressional Quarterly Press 2003), the author of *The Special Prosecutor in American Politics*. 2nd ed., revised (University Press of Kansas, 2000), and *Independent Justice: The Federal Special Prosecutor in American Politics* (University Press of Kansas, 1992), as well as a number of articles about constitutional law issues in journals and law reviews. Most recently she co-authored, with Jill J. McMillan, *Speaking of Politics: Preparing College Students for Democratic Citizenship through Deliberative Dialogue* (Kettering Foundation Press, 2007). At Wake Forest, Harriger has been the recipient of the Reid Doyle Prize for Excellence in Teaching (1988), the John Reinhardt Distinguished Teaching Award (2002), and the Schoonmaker Award for Community Service (2006).

Acknowledgments

This book, in gestation for years, has many contributors and abettors. With the publication of the eighth edition, Katy J. Harriger joined as co-author. She brings to the task a strong background in constitutional law and separation of powers and many years of classroom experience and professional activity on legal issues. David Gray Adler, co-author of the seventh edition, offered extensive analytical contributions and in previous editions provided careful, thoughtful reviews.

Morton Rosenberg of the Congressional Research Service lent a guiding hand, giving encouragement and insightful observations. In reviewing the manuscript and selections for readings, he was the major source of counsel and enlightenment. Other friends and colleagues who offered important advice and comments include Susan Burgess, Phillip J. Cooper, Neal Devins, Murray Dry, Roger Garcia, Jerry Goldman, Nancy Kassop, Jacob Landynski, Leonard W. Levy, Robert Meltz, Wayne Moore, Ronald Moe, Christopher Pyle, Jeremy Rabkin, Harold Relyea, William Ross, Jay Shampansky, Gordon Silverstein, Mitchel Sollenberger, Charles Tiefer, and Stephen Wasby.

It is my pleasure to dedicate the book to The Constitution Project, which I have worked with for over fifteen years on a number of issues, including war powers and the state secrets privilege. Its expertise, analytical skills, and nonpartisan approach contribute to an informed and professional debate on key questions of constitutional law. Upon my retirement from government in August 2010, I worked even more closely with The Constitution Project as Scholar in Residence and am proud to be among its supporters.

Louis Fisher

After many years of teaching American Constitutional Law using this textbook, it has been a privilege and a pleasure to work with Lou Fisher on recent editions. I have always been drawn to this text because it recognizes that constitutional law is made through a dynamic dialogic political process rather than simply by nine Supreme Court justices. This seems a particularly important lesson to understand, for political science and law students alike, in a time when the popular understandings of constitutional politics and issues are so shallow and often misinformed. I dedicate the book to my late father, Russell E. Harriger, who always encouraged and supported my endeavors, even when he disagreed with me (which in the area of constitutional law was early and often).

I am thankful for the help of student research assistant T.J. Smith, who has been enormously helpful in keeping me up to date with contemporary developments in the law. Keith Sipe, Tim Colton, and the rest of the staff at Carolina Academic Press, were amiable, helpful and professional in bringing this project to fruition. We express our thanks and gratitude to them for all of their efforts.

Katy J. Harriger

Introduction

To accommodate the leading cases on constitutional law, textbooks concentrate on court decisions and overlook the political, historical, and social framework in which these decisions are handed down. According to this approach, constitutional law is reduced to the judicial exercise of divining the meaning of textual provisions. The larger process, taking account of both judicial and nonjudicial actors, is ignored. The consequence, as noted by one law professor, is the absence of a “comprehensive course on constitutional law in any meaningful sense in American law schools.”¹

The political process must be understood because it establishes the boundaries for judicial activity and influences the substance of specific decisions, if not immediately then within a few years. This book keeps legal issues in a broad political context. Cases should not be torn from their environment. A purely legalistic approach to constitutional law misses the constant, creative interplay between the judiciary and the political branches. The Supreme Court is not the exclusive source of constitutional law. It is not the sole or even dominant agency in deciding constitutional questions. The Constitution is interpreted initially by a private citizen, legislator, or executive official. Someone from the private or public sector decides that an action violates the Constitution; political pressures build in ways to reshape fundamental constitutional doctrines.

Books on constitutional law usually focus exclusively on Supreme Court decisions and stress its doctrines, as though lower courts and elected officials are unimportant. Other studies describe constitutional decision making as lacking in legal principle, based on low-level political haggling by various actors. We see an open and vigorous system struggling to produce principled constitutional law. Principles are important. Constitutional interpretations are not supposed to be idiosyncratic events or the result of a political free-for-all. If they were, our devotion to the rule of law would be either absurd or a matter of whimsy.

It is traditional to focus on constitutional rather than statutory interpretation, and yet the boundaries between these categories substantially overlap. Issues of constitutional dimension usually form a backdrop to “statutory” questions. Preoccupation with the Supreme Court as the principal or final arbiter of constitutional questions fosters a misleading impression. A dominant business of the Court is statutory construction, and through that function it interacts with other branches of government in a process that refines the meaning of the Constitution.

This study treats the Supreme Court and lower courts as one branch of a political system with a difficult but necessary task to perform. They often share with the legislature and the executive the responsibility for defining political values, resolving political conflict, and protecting the political process. Through commentary and reading selections, we try to bridge the artificial gap in the literature that separates law from politics. Lord Radcliffe advised that “we cannot learn law by learning law.” Law must be “a part of history, a part of economics and sociology, a part of ethics and a philosophy of life. It is not strong enough in itself to be a philosophy in itself.”²

A Note on Citations. The introductory essays to each chapter contain many citations to court

1. W. Michael Reisman, “International Incidents: Introduction to a New Genre in the Study of International Law,” 10 *Yale J. Int’l L.* 1, 8 n.13 (1984).

2. Lord Radcliffe, *The Law & Its Compass* 92–93 (1960).

cases, public laws, congressional reports, and floor debates. Reference to these citations may seem confusing and even overwhelming. We include them to encourage readers to consult these documents and develop a richer appreciation of the complex process that shapes constitutional law. Repeated citations to federal statutes help underscore the ongoing role of Congress and the executive branch in constitutional interpretation. To permit deeper exploration of certain issues, either for a term paper or scholarly research, footnotes contain leads to supplementary cases. Bibliographies are provided for each chapter. The appendices include a glossary of legal terms and a primer on researching the law.

If the coverage is too comprehensive, the instructor may always advise students to focus on selected areas. Another option is to ask the student to understand two or three departures from a general doctrine, such as the famous *Miranda* warning developed by the Warren Court but whittled away by the Burger and Rehnquist Courts. Even if a student is initially stunned by the complexity of constitutional law, it is better to be aware of the delicate shadings that exist than to believe that the Court paints with bold, permanent strokes.

At various points in the chapters, we give examples where state courts, refusing to follow the lead of the Supreme Court, conferred greater constitutional rights than available at the federal level. These are examples only. They could have been multiplied many times over. No one should assume that rulings from the Supreme Court represent the last word on constitutional law, even for lower courts.

Compared to other texts, this book offers much more in the way of citations to earlier decisions. We do this for several reasons. The citations allow the reader to research areas in greater depth. They also highlight the process of trial and error used by the Court to clarify constitutional principles. Concentration on contemporary cases would obscure the Court's record of veering down side roads, backtracking, and reversing direction. Focusing on landmark cases prevents the reader from understanding the *development* of constitutional law: the dizzying exceptions to "settled" doctrines, the laborious manner in which the Court struggles to fix the meaning of the Constitution, the twists and turns, the detours and dead ends. Describing major cases without these tangled patterns would presume an orderly and static system that mocks the dynamic, fitful, creative, and consensus-building process that exists. No one branch of government prevails. The process is polyarchal, not hierarchical. The latter, perhaps attractive for architectural structures, is inconsistent with our aspiration for self-government.

In all court cases and other documents included as readings, footnotes have been deleted. For the introductory essays, reference works are abbreviated as follows:

Comp. Gen.	Decisions of the Comptroller General.
Elliot	Jonathan Elliot, ed., <i>The Debates in the Several State Conventions, on the Adoption of the Federal Constitution</i> (5 vols., Washington, D.C., 1836–1845).
Farrand	Max Farrand, ed., <i>The Records of the Federal Convention of 1787</i> (4 vols., New Haven: Yale University Press, 1937).
Fisher	<i>Constitutional Conflicts between Congress and the President</i> (6th ed. 2014).
Landmark Briefs	<i>Landmark Briefs and Arguments of the Supreme Court of the United States: Constitutional Law</i> . Gerald Gunther and Gerhard Casper, eds. University Publications of America.
O.L.C.	Office of Legal Counsel Opinions, U.S. Department of Justice.
Op. Att'y Gen.	Opinions of the Attorney General.
Richardson	James D. Richardson, ed., <i>A Compilation of the Messages and Papers of the Presidents</i> (20 vols., New York: Bureau of National Literature, 1897–1925).
Wkly Comp. Pres. Doc.	<i>Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents</i> , published each week by the Government Printing Office from 1965 to 2009; currently available online.